Topological insights in polynuclear Ni/Na coordination clusters derived from a schiff base ligand

Kieran Griffiths¹ · Albert Escuer² · George E. Kostakis^{1,3}

Received: 30 April 2016 / Accepted: 9 June 2016 / Published online: 9 August 2016
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract This article presents the syntheses, crystal structures, topological features and magnetic properties of two Ni^{II}/Na^I coordination clusters formulated [Ni₃^{II}-Na(L1)₃(HL1)(MeOH)₂] (**1**) and [Ni₆^{II}Na(L1)₅(CO₃)(MeO)(MeOH)₃(H₂O)₃]·4(MeOH) 2(H₂O) [**2** 4(MeOH) 2(H₂O)] where H₂L1 is the semi-rigid Schiff base ligand (E)-2-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)-phenol). Compound **1** possesses a rare Ni₃^{II}Na^I cubane (**3M4-1**) topology, and compound **2** is the first example in polynuclear Ni/Na chemistry that exhibits a **2,3,4M7-1** topology.

Keywords Coordination cluster · Nickel · Sodium · Topology · Schiff base · Ferromagnetism · Carbonate ion

This work is dedicated to Prof Vladimir Ya. Shevchenco on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11224-016-0797-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ George E. Kostakis
G.Kostakis@sussex.ac.uk
Albert Escuer
albert.escuer@qi.ub.es

¹ Department of Chemistry School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

² Departament de Química Inorgànica i Orgànica Secció de Química Inorgànica and Institut de Nanociència i Nanotecnologia (IN2UB), Universitat de Barcelona Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

³ Science and Educational Center of Physics of Nonequilibrium Open Systems, Samara, National Research University, Moskovskoye Shosse 34, Samara 443086, Russia

Introduction and review

One of the most complex categories of coordination compounds are polynuclear coordination clusters (CCs) that incorporate multiple metal ions into a single molecular entity and are linked by bridging ligands [1]. These entities are of great interest for their aesthetically beautiful structures [2–4], unexpected transformations [5–7] and potential applications in magnetism [8–10], luminescence [11–15], catalysis [16–18], etc. Paramagnetic transition metal CCs are of intense interest and have attracted a vast amount of attention since the discovery that some CCs behave as single-molecule magnets (SMMs) [19–21]. The Ni^{II} (d8) ion has second-order orbital angular momentum, and zero-field splitting (ZFS) which can result in significant single-ion anisotropy and potentially in molecules exhibiting interesting magnetic properties [22, 23]. The interest in polynuclear Ni(II) coordination chemistry was first captured when the first Ni(II)-based SMM, a Ni₁₂ complex, was reported in 2001 by Cadiou et al. [24]. Ever since, there have been a number of homometallic polynuclear Ni^{II} CCs with high nuclearities including, Ni₅ [7, 25], Ni₆ [26], Ni₇ [27, 28], Ni₈ [29–32], Ni₉ [33, 34], Ni₁₁ [35], Ni₁₂ [7], Ni₁₃ [36], Ni₁₄ [37], Ni₂₀ [38], Ni₂₁ [39], Ni₂₄ [40] and Ni₂₆ [41], and many of these display interesting magnetic properties including ferromagnetic, ferrimagnetic coupling, diamagnetism and SMM behaviour.

Clusters of this size incorporate simple modified ligands with a wide variety of coordination modes for bridging, such as diethanolamine [42], Schiff base [43], carbide [44, 45] and carboxylate [46]. The introduction of bridging groups can increase the nuclearity of a CC. Carbonate anions offer a diverse range of bridging modes within cluster type molecules. A number of high-nuclearity CCs have been based on carbonate moieties [47, 48]. While

Ni(II) CCs with bridging carbonate ligands are known, structural factors and magnetic exchange within these clusters greatly vary due to the large number of coordination modes of the CO_3^{2-} anion [39, 49, 50]. Some interesting examples include, a Ni_6 containing a carbonate bridge [51] and an Ni_{12} where four Ni_4O_4 units are templated around a central CO_3^{2-} anion core [52]. On the other hand, the first reported mixed $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ CC was reported in 1976 by Jonas for potential small-molecule activation [53]. Since then, a number of $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ CCs have been reported, targeting for high-nuclearity clusters and interesting magnetic properties: Ni_4Na_2 [54], Ni_4Na_5 [55], Ni_4Na_3 [56], Ni_4Na_4 [57, 58] and others [59–62]. However, since 2007, $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ CCs with nuclearity over 10 have been reported far more frequently. The highest reported of these is a $\text{Ni}_{18}\text{Na}_6$ cluster [63] and the second $\text{Ni}_{16}\text{Na}_4$ [64], both synthesised by calix [4] arene-type ligands and the third is a $\text{Ni}_{16}\text{Na}_2$ cluster. In addition, two $\text{Ni}_{12}\text{Na}_2$ clusters were reported by Christou et al. [37, 65]. In all cases, similar anti-ferromagnetic behaviour was observed.

The diprotic Schiff base ligand (E)-2-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylideneamino)-phenol ($\text{H}_2\text{L1}$, Scheme 1) initially reported in 1971 to capturing UO_2 [66] can be synthesised in almost quantitative yields [67] and has two pockets that can coordinate to metal centres. Previously, this ligand has been involved in the synthesis of homometallic [68–71] and heterometallic CCs [72–75]. We recently employed this ligand in 3d/4f chemistry to synthesise a family of homogeneous efficient catalysts towards a domino reaction [76]. Interestingly, when $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ was employed in Ni(II) chemistry, a tetranuclear Ni_4 CC exhibiting ferromagnetic interactions at low temperatures was isolated. [71] With the interest of introducing carbonate anions into a system, there are three key methods: direct addition of carbonate or bicarbonate [14], atmospheric fixation of carbon dioxide [77] and in situ decomposition of ligands [78].

Having all these in mind, in this article, we study the influence of the presence of Na cations and CO_3^{2-} anions on the nuclearity of the given chemical system $\text{Ni(II)/H}_2\text{L1}$ and we report two compounds formulated $[\text{Ni}_3^{\text{II}}\text{Na}(\text{L1})_3(\text{HL1})(\text{MeOH})_2]$ (**1**) and $[\text{Ni}_6^{\text{II}}\text{Na}^{\text{I}}(\text{L1})_5(\text{CO}_3)(\text{MeO})(\text{MeOH})_3$

Scheme 1 Protonated form of the organic ligand $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ used in this study

$(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3]$ -4(MeOH)2($\text{H}_2\text{O})$ [24(MeOH)2($\text{H}_2\text{O})]$. Topological aspects and magnetic properties of these compounds are further discussed.

Experimental

Materials

Chemicals (reagent grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Alfa Aesar. All experiments were performed under aerobic conditions using materials and solvents as received.

Instrumentation

IR spectra of the samples were recorded over the range of $4000\text{--}650\text{ cm}^{-1}$ on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer fitted with a UATR polarization accessory.

X-ray diffraction

Crystallography

Data for **1** and **2**·4(MeOH) were collected at the National Crystallography Service, University of Southampton [79] using a Rigaku Saturn 724+ area detector mounted at the window of an FR-E+ rotating anode generator with a Mo anode ($\lambda = 0.71075\text{ \AA}$) under a flow of nitrogen gas at 150(2) K for **1** and 100(2) K for **2**·4(MeOH). Both structures were determined using Olex2 [80], solved using either Superflip [81] or SHELXT [82, 83] and refined with SHELXL-2014 [84]. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters, and H atoms were introduced at calculated positions and allowed to ride on their carrier atoms. For **1**, attempts to model the lattice solvents (2MeOH) were unsuccessful despite multiple data collections. Therefore, the solvent mask function of Olex2 [80] was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with those molecules from the intensity data. Geometric/crystallographic calculations for all structures were performed using PLATON [85], Olex2 [80] and WINGX [82] packages; graphics were prepared with Crystal Maker [86] CCDC 1476870–1476871 (Tables 1, 2, 3).

Magnetic studies

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out on polycrystalline samples with a MPMS5 Quantum Design susceptometer working in the range 30–300 K under external magnetic field of 0.3 T and under a field of 0.03 T in the 30–2 K range to avoid saturation effects.

Table 1 Crystallographic data for compounds **1** and **2**

	1	2 4(MeOH) 2(H ₂ O)
Empirical formula	C ₅₉ H ₅₇ N ₄ NaNi ₃ O ₁₅	C ₃₁₁ H ₃₅₃ N ₂₀ Na ₄ Ni ₂₄ O ₁₁₇
Formula weight	1261.20	7744.11
Temperature/K	150	100(2)
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P2 ₁ /n	P-1
a/Å	13.5258(10)	12.4060(8)
b/Å	23.2626(16)	26.2551(18)
c/Å	18.9222(13)	27.7103(19)
α/°	90	113.152(2)
β/°	94.6777(8)	91.231(2)
γ/°	90	92.181(2)
Volume/Å ³	5934.0(7)	8286.4(10)
Z	4	1
ρ _{calc} /cm ³	1.412	1.552
μ/mm ⁻¹	1.018	1.426
F(000)	2616.0	4011.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.19 × 0.08 × 0.05	0.22 × 0.03 × 0.01
Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71075)	MoKα (λ = 0.71075)
2Θ range for data collection/°	5.208–52.746	4.654–50.062
Index ranges	−17 ≤ h ≤ 17, −30 ≤ k ≤ 30, −24 ≤ l ≤ 24	−14 ≤ h ≤ 14, −28 ≤ k ≤ 31, −32 ≤ l ≤ 32
Reflections collected	64,331	86,087
Independent reflections	12,109 [R _{int} = 0.0481, R _{sigma} = 0.0262]	28,939 [R _{int} = 0.1207, R _{sigma} = 0.1309]
Data/restraints/parameters	12109/25/749	28939/1/2138
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.063	0.972
Final R indexes [I ≥ 2σ (I)]	R ₁ = 0.0596, wR ₂ = 0.1391	R ₁ = 0.0734, wR ₂ = 0.1878
Final R indexes [all data]	R ₁ = 0.0641, wR ₂ = 0.1415	R ₁ = 0.1249, wR ₂ = 0.2167
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å ⁻³	1.77/−0.72	1.82/−2.38

Diamagnetic corrections were estimated from Pascal tables. The quality of the fitting is parameterised as the factor $R = (\chi_M T_{\text{exp}} - \chi_M T_{\text{calc}})^2 / (\chi_M T_{\text{exp}})^2$.

Synthesis of H₂L1

o-Vanillin (0.025 mol, 3.35 g) and 2-amino-phenol (0.025 mol, 2.73 g) were dissolved in MeOH (5 mL). The suspension was refluxed for 1 h, during which time a bright orange solid precipitated. After cooling to room temperature, the solid was filtered off and washed with cold MeOH and Et₂O. The solid was dried in vacuo. Yield 99 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 9.75–9.71 (m, 1H), 8.95 (s, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21–6.99 (m, 3H), 6.96 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.91–6.81 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 162.01, 152.27, 151.42, 148.63, 134.95, 128.46, 124.26, 120.05, 119.96, 119.70, 118.37, 116.97, 115.71, 56.34, 40.62, 40.53, 40.45, 40.36, 40.28, 40.19, 40.11, 40.03, 39.95, 39.86, 39.69, 39.53.

Synthesis of 1

Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (0.1 mmol, 37 mg), H₂L1 (0.1 mmol, 24 mg) and Na₂CO₃ (0.1 mmol, 10 mg) were suspended in MeOH (20 mL) and stirred for 1 h. The solution was filtered and the filtrate left for slow evaporation. After 14 days, small brown crystals of **1** were collected and washed with Et₂O. [Yield = 45 % calculated based on Ni(II)]. IR (ν, cm⁻¹) = 3402, 3291, 1780, 1609, 1552, 1454, 1388, 1291, 1224, 1182, 1073, 1033, 964, 820, 733, 635. CHN [Ni₃Na(C₁₄H₁₁NO₃)₃(C₁₄H₁₂NO₃)(CH₃OH)₂]; observed C-56.63 %; H-4.47 %, N-4.43 % (expected); C-56.76 %; H-4.35 %; N-4.56 %.

Synthesis of [2 4(MeOH) 2(H₂O)]

A similar synthetic procedure to **1** was followed; however, after filtration, the solution was placed in a vial which in turn was placed in a larger vial that contained a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃. A few drops of concentrated

Table 2 Selected bond distances and angles for compound 1

Ni1	O2	1.972(2)	Ni3	N2	1.979(3)	
Ni1	O3	1.989(3)	Na1	O2	2.646(3)	
Ni1	O9	2.095(2)	Na1	O6	2.272(3)	
Ni1	O11	2.188(3)	Na1	O10	2.334(4)	
Ni1	O48	2.144(3)	Na1	O11	2.333(3)	
Ni1	N1	2.011(3)	Na1	O15	2.329(3)	
Ni2	Na1	3.2461(18)	Na1	O25	2.295(5)	
Ni2	O8	1.994(2)	Ni1	O11	Na1	108.68(11)
Ni2	O9	2.089(2)	Ni2	O11	Ni1	97.02(11)
Ni2	O6	2.275(2)	Ni2	O11	Na1	96.31(10)
Ni2	O11	2.015(2)	Ni1	O2	Ni3	102.69(12)
Ni2	N4	2.131(3)	Ni1	O2	Na1	104.49(11)
Ni2	N3	1.979(3)	Ni3	O2	Na1	93.47(10)
Ni3	Na1	3.4000(17)	Ni1	O9	Ni3	93.38(9)
Ni3	O1	2.340(2)	Ni2	O9	Ni1	97.68(10)
Ni3	O2	1.980(3)	Ni2	O9	Ni3	99.87(9)
Ni3	O9	2.146(2)	Ni3	O6	Ni2	97.18(9)
Ni3	O5	1.982(3)	Ni3	O6	Na1	103.91(10)
Ni3	O6	2.041(2)	Na1	O6	Ni2	91.09(9)

HCl were added to the saturated solution, and the vial was immediately sealed. After 5 days, large brown block-like crystals of compound [**2** 4(MeOH)] were collected. (Yield = 60 % calculated based on Ni(II)). IR (ν , cm^{-1}) = 3288, 1604, 1552, 1451, 1388, 1294, 1229, 1182, 1075, 1037, 966, 818, 736, 637. CHN [$\text{Ni}_6\text{Na}(\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_3)_5(\text{CO}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{O})(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$] (observed); C-49.51 %; H-4.11 %; N-3.79 %; (expected) C-49.52 %; H-4.21 %; N-3.85 %.

Results and discussion

Two $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ CCs were synthesised. The aim to use Na_2CO_3 as the base to introduce carbonate bridges to the system results in the presence of one Na^{I} in both CCs, while both compounds have different structures to the previously reported homometallic Ni^{II} CC with $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ [69]. In **1**, the use of Na_2CO_3 did not result in the introduction of carbonate bridging groups; however, in **2**, where the reaction solution was exposed to a high CO_2 atmosphere during slow evaporation, a single CO_3^{2-} anion is observed. **1** and **2** are new additions to a large family of previously reported $\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ CCs.

1 crystallises in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ and contains one molecule in the asymmetric unit cell. Compound **1** contains three Ni^{II} ions, one Na^{I} ion, three doubly deprotonated (**L1**) ligands, one mono deprotonated (**HL1**[−]) ligand and three coordinated CH_3OH solvent. Each Ni^{II} ion

coordinates to six heteroatoms and displays a distorted octahedral geometry. There are three observed coordination modes for the doubly deprotonated ligands (**L1**) (Scheme 2); in each, a Ni^{II} ion occupies the ONO pocket between the phenoxido and imido atoms. In the singly protonated (**HL1**) ligand coordination mode (Scheme 2) [69, 71–74, 87–91], a Ni^{II} ion is observed occupying the OO pocket between the methoxido and phenoxide ligand atoms. Interestingly, **L1** demonstrates a twisted out-of-plane geometry between the two aromatic rings with a torsion angle of -100.679° along the $\text{C}=\text{C}-\text{N}=\text{C}$ bond, whilst the **L1** Ligand aromatic rings are close to being in-plane with torsion angles between -166.840° and 174.23° . In addition to this, both Ni^{II} and the Na^{I} are observed bridging from the phenoxido atoms. The Na^{I} ion displays a distorted octahedral geometry with average $\text{Na}-\text{O}$ bond distances ranging from 2.272 to 2.648 Å. Then, three Ni^{II} ions all display distorted octahedral geometry with average $\text{Ni}-\text{O}$ bond distances ranging from 1.981 to 2.343 Å. Four $\mu_3\text{-O}_{\text{phenoxo}}$ donors are placed in the corners of the cube linking the four cations. $\text{Ni}-\text{O}-\text{Ni}$ bridges form three faces of the cube with bond angles of $97.0(1)^\circ/97.7(1)^\circ$, $97.18(9)^\circ/99.87(9)^\circ$ and $93.38(9)^\circ/102.7(1)^\circ$.

According to a nomenclature, developed by some of us [92], the decorated core of this compound is assigned **3M4-1** (Fig. 1). An extended literature survey reveals only three previously reported complexes with Ni_3Na core topologies, each of which are synthesised from similar Schiff base ligands and coordination pockets to $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ [93, 94]. The most recently reported of these Zhang et al. [93] shares the same **3M4-1** topology as **1**, making **1** the second example of a $\text{Ni}_3^{\text{II}}/\text{Na}^{\text{I}}$ cubane.

Compound **2** crystallises in the triclinic $P-1$ space group and contains two molecules in the asymmetric unit. The molecule of **2** contains six Ni^{II} ions, one Na^{I} ion, five doubly deprotonated **L1** ligands, one bridging ($\mu_5\text{-CO}_3$), one deprotonated MeOH solvent molecule with three CH_3OH and three H_2O molecules which fulfil the coordination geometry of the metal ions.

All six Ni^{II} ions have a distorted octahedral geometry. The **L1** demonstrates four different bridging modes (Scheme 2) with Ni^{II} ions observed, occupying the (ONO) and (OO) pocket as well as bridging from the phenoxido, methoxido and imine groups. The Na^{I} ion is seven-coordinated, and the coordination geometry can best be described as a capped trigonal prism determined by SHAPE software. The Na^{I} ion coordinates to the (OO) pocket between the methoxide and phenoxido atoms of three ligands with the seventh position fulfilled by a H_2O molecule. The CO_3^{2-} entity bridges Ni2, Ni4, Ni5 and Ni6 through a ($\mu_5\text{-CO}_3$) ion. $\text{Na}-\text{O}$ bond distances range from 2.282 to 2.625 Å. The $\text{Ni}-\text{O}$ and $\text{Ni}-\text{N}$ distances vary between 1.983(6) and 2.321(4) Å, while the $\text{Ni}-\text{O}-\text{Ni}$

Table 3 Selected bond distances and angles for compound **2**

Ni1	N1	1.987(6)	Ni7	O30	1.961(5)	Ni3	O5	Ni1	101.84(19)
Ni1	O3	1.990(5)	Ni7	O27	1.976(5)	Ni2	O6	Ni1	96.90(19)
Ni1	O5	1.998(4)	Ni7	N6	1.985(6)	Ni2	O16	Ni3	100.20(18)
Ni1	O6	2.059(5)	Ni7	O28	2.046(5)	Ni4	O51	Ni2	101.50(19)
Ni1	O16	2.076(4)	Ni7	O41	2.099(5)	Ni4	O51	Ni3	95.74(19)
Ni1	O4	2.321(4)	Ni7	O29	2.297(5)	Ni2	O51	Ni3	95.13(17)
Ni2	O16	2.017(5)	Ni8	O41	2.016(5)	Ni5	O11	Na1	88.28(18)
Ni2	O6	2.053(5)	Ni8	O43	2.059(5)	Ni5	O12	Ni4	89.88(17)
Ni2	O17	2.069(5)	Ni8	O28	2.059(5)	Ni4	O20	Ni2	99.50(18)
Ni2	O18	2.071(5)	Ni8	O44	2.071(5)	Ni5	O22	Ni6	130.4(2)
Ni2	O51	2.086(4)	Ni8	O34	2.092(5)	Ni5	O22	Na1	91.49(18)
Ni2	O20	2.133(4)	Ni8	O45	2.135(4)	Ni6	O22	Na1	95.31(18)
Ni3	O5	1.954(4)	Ni9	O30	1.941(5)	Ni6	O14	Na1	103.8(2)
Ni3	O8	2.040(5)	Ni9	N7	1.997(6)	Ni4	O52	Ni5	90.12(19)
Ni3	N2	2.040(6)	Ni9	O54	2.031(5)	Ni4	O52	Na1	116.1(2)
Ni3	O16	2.062(5)	Ni9	O41	2.061(5)	Ni5	O52	Na1	81.76(16)
Ni3	O2	2.075(6)	Ni9	O42	2.088(5)	Ni7	O28	Ni8	97.7(2)
Ni3	O51	2.153(5)	Ni9	O34	2.178(5)	Ni9	O30	Ni7	103.6(2)
Ni4	N3	1.993(6)	Ni10	O33	1.993(5)	Ni10	O34	Ni8	101.92(19)
Ni4	O52	2.017(5)	Ni10	N8	2.001(5)	Ni10	O33	Na2	116.2(2)
Ni4	O51	2.040(5)	Ni10	O34	2.020(4)	Ni11	O33	Na2	83.77(17)
Ni4	O20	2.053(4)	Ni10	O45	2.049(4)	Ni10	O34	Ni9	93.77(18)
Ni4	O8	2.153(5)	Ni10	O54	2.143(5)	Ni8	O34	Ni9	94.16(17)
Ni4	O12	2.213(5)	Ni10	O37	2.234(5)	Ni11	O36	Na2	85.61(18)
Ni5	N4	1.987(5)	Ni11	N9	1.982(5)	Ni11	O37	Ni10	89.16(17)
Ni5	O11	1.989(4)	Ni11	O36	1.997(5)	Ni8	O41	Ni9	100.21(19)
Ni5	O22	2.011(4)	Ni11	O46	2.020(4)	Ni12	O39	Na2	103.0(2)
Ni5	O12	2.031(4)	Ni11	O37	2.057(4)	Ni8	O41	Ni7	97.32(19)
Ni5	O25	2.145(6)	Ni11	O50	2.122(5)	Ni9	O41	Ni7	94.99(19)
Ni5	O52	2.218(5)	Ni11	O33	2.212(5)	Ni11	O46	Na2	92.85(19)
Ni6	O14	1.957(5)	Ni12	O39	1.967(5)	Ni12	O46	Na2	95.00(18)
Ni6	N5	1.983(6)	Ni12	N10	1.972(6)	Ni9	O54	Ni10	94.49(19)
Ni6	O15	2.043(5)	Ni12	O40	2.034(5)	Ni10	O45	Ni8	99.49(18)
Ni6	O22	2.067(4)	Ni12	O46	2.057(5)	Ni11	O46	Ni12	129.5(2)
Ni6	O23	2.086(5)	Ni12	O49	2.096(5)				
Ni6	O21	2.229(5)	Ni12	O47	2.244(5)				
Na1	O14	2.282(5)	Na2	O39	2.278(6)				
Na1	O7	2.342(5)	Na2	O90	2.306(7)				
Na1	O24	2.353(7)	Na2	O32	2.318(6)				
Na1	O22	2.442(5)	Na2	O46	2.444(6)				
Na1	O11	2.572(6)	Na2	O33	2.629(5)				
Na1	O13	2.615(5)	Na2	O38	2.659(5)				
Na1	O52	2.651(5)	Na2	O36	2.718(6)				

angles mediated by the O_{phenoxo} donors vary from 88.88(17) and 101.8(2)°, those mediated by the $\mu_3\text{-OMe}$ bridge, that links Ni1, Ni2 and Ni3, exhibit bond angles ranging between 95.74(19) and 100.2(2)° and Ni5-O22-Ni6, mediated by one $O_{\text{carbonate}}$ atom reach 130.4(2)°.

Adopting our topological approach, the core of compound **2** can be enumerated as **2,3,4M7-1** [92]. The **2,3,4M7-1** core topology can be viewed as two lozenges fused with a shared apex vertices (Fig. 2 lower); this can be otherwise described as a “butterfly” motif, where the

Scheme 2 Coordination modes of the organic ligand H_2L1 seen in **1** (upper) and **2** (middle and lower)

shared vertices are the body and the protruding lozenges the “wings”. The first reported compound of this topology was a mixed valence Mn^{II}/Mn^{III} complex in 1991 [95]. This was subsequently followed by a number of homometallic CCs, including Mn^{III} [96] and Pb^{II} [97]. The first heterometallic complex of this topology was reported in 2012 with the core topology of Zn_5Na_2 [98] with the ((2,3-dihydroxypropylamino)methyl)phenol ligand (Scheme 3 H_3L5). The metal ion positions of this core topology differ to the reported compound **2**. The Na^I ions occupy the two apex vertices of the two fused lozenges with all other positions occupied by the 3d Zn^{II} ions. In both examples, the fused vertex is occupied by a 3d ion (Ni^{II}/Zn^{II}). Metal ion geometries widely differ in both complexes, with Na^I ions possessing severely distorted octahedral geometry and Zn^{II} ions tetrahedral. Both of these complexes are formed from Schiff base ligands incorporating the 2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde moiety, which provides a similar coordination environment, with further bridging attributed to the third hydroxyl group in H_3L5 . To the best of our knowledge, **2** is the first example of an Ni^{II}/Na^I CC exhibiting the **2,3,4M7-1** topology. Moreover, ignoring the existence of the Na ion, the motif of the hexanuclear Ni_6 unit can be enumerated as **1,2,2,3,3M6-1** (Figure S3), which has been reported only once before in Zinc chemistry [99].

Magnetic studies

The $\chi_M T$ value at room temperature for compound **1** is $3.97 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$, increase in cooling to a maximum value of $4.50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at 13 K, prior to decrease at low temperature, indicating a dominant weak ferromagnetic interaction. Fit of the experimental data was performed with PHI [100] program and applying the Hamiltonian:

$$H = -2J_1(S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_1 \cdot S_3) - 2J_2(S_2 \cdot S_3)$$

including a D_{ion} parameter. Considering the D_{ion} parameter, an excellent fit of the experimental data was obtained for the parameters $J_1 = 3.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = -2.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $D = 1.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g = 2.26$ and $R = 4.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ but this was not the case when the isotropic Hamiltonian were applied. The shape of the $\chi_M T$ plot corresponds to a weak ferromagnetic coupling with a strong decrease at low temperature due to combination of the AF component and the ZFS of the same order of magnitude than J.

The $\chi_M T$ value at room temperature for **2** is $7.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at room temperature and on cooling increases slight up to a rounded maximum of $7.34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at 45 K. Below this temperature, the $\chi_M T$ value decreases down to $5.94 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ at 2 K. From the structural data,

Fig. 1 (Upper) The crystal structure of compound **1**, (lower left) the main Ni_3Na core, (lower right) the **3M4-1** topology. Colour code Ni (green), Na (light yellow), O (red), N (blue), C (yellow) (Color figure online)

compound **2** consists of a Ni_4 butterfly subunit linked by means of different kinds of bridges to two additional Ni^{II} cations, and thus, the corresponding Hamiltonian to analyse the experimental data must be:

$$H = -J_1(S_1 \cdot S_2 + S_1 \cdot S_3 + S_2 \cdot S_4 + S_3 \cdot S_4) - J_2(S_2 \cdot S_3) \\ - J_3(S_4 \cdot S_5) - J_4(S_2 \cdot S_6 + S_4 \cdot S_6) - J_5(S_5 \cdot S_6)$$

This expression is clearly overparameterised, and different solutions can be obtained assuming all constants or simplifying the Hamiltonian (in all cases R factor in the 10^{-5} – 10^{-6} range). This feature is a consequence of the topology of that complex: The butterfly subunit can give any local spin between $S = 0$ and $S = 4$ as function of the J_1 and J_2 values, and in addition, the two additional Ni^{II} cations form triangular subunits in which there are competitive interactions, and thus, only a qualitative analysis can be made.

It is well established that the FM/AF border for Ni–O–Ni bridges is placed around 96° for hydroxo bridges and values slightly larger for alkoxo or phenoxo ones. The most of the Ni–O–Ni bond angles in compound **2** lies around this border and thus, only weak ferro or anti-ferromagnetic interactions can be expected. In contrast, the very large Ni5–O22–Ni6 bond angle mediated by one of the O-donors of the carbonate ligand must give a clear AF interaction. In good agreement, all obtained fits gave a large dispersion of values for J_1 – J_4 , but in all cases, J_5 gave a value around -7 cm^{-1} (Scheme 4). In light of this data, we must assume that two $S = 1$ local spins are cancelled, whereas the remainder four spins are related by weak interactions.

The presence of competitive interactions is reinforced by magnetisation experiments. The unsaturated value under a field of 5 T is $6.25 \text{ N}\mu_{\text{B}}$ and can be reasonably fitted as an isolated $S = 3$ spin with $D = 0.89 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $g = 2.14$ (Fig. 3).

Fig. 2 (Upper) The crystal structure of compound **2**. Lattice molecules and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Colour code Ni (green), Na (light yellow), O (red), N (blue), C (yellow). (lower) The **2,3,4M7-1** topology of compound **2** (Color figure online)

Scheme 3 Protonated forms of the organic ligands used for the synthesis of **3d** and **3d/Na** CCs possessing the **2,3,4M7-1** topology

Scheme 4 Schematic representation of the exchange couplings seen in compound **2**

Fig. 3 (left) $\chi_M T$ versus T plots for the complexes **2** (circles) and **1** (diamonds). Solid lines show the fit of the experimental data for **1** and one of the fits obtained for **2**. (right) The magnetisation plot for compound **2**

Conclusions

Our synthetic strategy to introduce Na^I and carbonate, as co-ligand, along with $\text{H}_2\text{L1}$ in Ni chemistry, resulted in two new Ni/Na compounds possessing a rare (for **1**) and an unseen (for **2**) topology. Magnetic studies reveal the presence of weak ferromagnetic interactions at low temperature for both compounds. Assumptions for the structural relationship of **1** and **2** can be attempted, but more synthetic studies are required to support it. Our future studies will be focused in two different directions: a) to extend the systematic synthetic study by varying metal ligand ratios and co-ligands aiming to achieve higher nuclearity CCs and b) to further develop our topological approach [101] by incorporating all centres as nodes.

Acknowledgments We thank the EPSRC UK National Crystallography Service at the University of Southampton for the collection of the crystallographic data for compounds **1** and **2**. G. E. K. acknowledges the University of Sussex for offering a Ph.D. position to K. G. A. E. thanks for the support from the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología (CICYT) under project CTQ2015-63614-P.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Cronin L, Fielden J (2007) Coordination Clusters. In: Coord. Clust. Encycl. Supramol. Chem. Taylor Fr. London, 2007, Taylor & Francis, pp 1–10
2. Papatrifaftyllopoulou C, Moushi EE, Christou G, Tasiopoulos AJ (2016) Filling the gap between the quantum and classical worlds of nanoscale magnetism: giant molecular aggregates based on paramagnetic 3d metal ions. Chem Soc Rev 45:1597–1628. doi:10.1039/c5cs00590f
3. Liu D-P, Lin X-P, Zhang H et al (2016) Magnetic properties of a single-molecule lanthanide-transition-metal compound containing 52 gadolinium and 56 nickel atoms. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 55:4532–4536. doi:10.1002/anie.201601199
4. Peng J-B, Kong X-J, Zhang Q-C et al (2014) Beauty, symmetry, and magnetocaloric effect—four-shell keplerates with 104 lanthanide atoms. J Am Chem Soc 136:17938–17941. doi:10.1021/ja5107749
5. Schmidt S, Prodius D, Mereacre V et al (2013) Unprecedented chemical transformation: crystallographic evidence for 1,1,2,2-tetrahydroxyethane captured within an Fe(6)Dy(3) single molecule magnet. Chem Commun 49:1696–1698. doi:10.1039/c2cc38006d
6. Kitos AA, Efthymiou CG, Manos MJ et al (2016) Interesting copper(ii)-assisted transformations of 2-acetylpyridine and 2-benzoylpyridine. Dalton Trans 45:1063–1077. doi:10.1039/c5dt03912f
7. Perlepe PS, Cunha-Silva L, Gagnon KJ et al (2016) “Ligands-with-Benefits”: naphthalene-substituted schiff bases yielding new Ni(II) metal clusters with ferromagnetic and emissive properties and undergoing exciting transformations. Inorg Chem 55:1270–1277. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b02492
8. Feltham HLC, Brooker S (2014) Review of purely 4f and mixed-metal nd-4f single-molecule magnets containing only one lanthanide ion. Coord Chem Rev 276:1–33. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.05.011
9. Abtab SMT, Maity M, Bhattacharya K et al (2012) Syntheses, structures, and magnetic properties of a family of tetranuclear hydroxido-bridged Ni(II)2Ln(III)2 (Ln = La, Gd, Tb, and Dy) complexes: display of slow magnetic relaxation by the zinc(II)-dysprosium(III) analogue. Inorg Chem 51:10211–10221. doi:10.1021/ic301138r
10. Liu K, Shi W, Cheng P (2015) Toward heterometallic single-molecule magnets: synthetic strategy, structures and properties of 3d-4f discrete complexes. Coord Chem Rev 289–290:74–122. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2014.10.004
11. Yang XP, Jones RA, Lynch V et al (2005) Synthesis and near infrared luminescence of a tetrametallic Zn_2Yb_2 architecture from a trinuclear Zn_3L_2 Schiff base complex. Dalton Trans. doi:10.1039/b416695g
12. Jankolovits J, Andolina CM, Kampf JW et al (2011) Assembly of near-infrared luminescent lanthanide host(host-guest) complexes with a metallacrown sandwich motif. Angew Chem Int Ed 50:9660–9664. doi:10.1002/anie.201103851
13. Samanta SK, Abtab SMT, Sardar PS et al (2014) Role of triplet states of two different ligands in the sensitized emission of Ln(III)

- (EuIII, TbIII) in d-f hybrid tetranuclear heterometal (ZnII2LnIII2, CdII2LnIII2) complexes. *Eur J Inorg Chem*. doi:10.1002/ejic.201402274
14. Jankolovits J, Kampf JW, Pecoraro VL (2014) Solvent dependent assembly of lanthanide metallacrowns using building blocks with incompatible symmetry preferences. *Inorg Chem* 53:7534–7546. doi:10.1021/ic500832u
 15. Zhang L, Zhao L, Zhang P et al (2015) Nanoscale Ln(III)24Zn(II)6 triangular metalloring with magnetic refrigerant, slow magnetic relaxation, and fluorescent properties. *Inorg Chem* 54:11535–11541. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b02215
 16. Nesterov DS, Chygorin EN, Kokozay VN et al (2012) Heterometallic Co(III)4Fe(III)2 Schiff base complex: structure, electron paramagnetic resonance, and alkane oxidation catalytic activity. *Inorg Chem* 51:9110–9122. doi:10.1021/ic301460q
 17. Okamura M, Kondo M, Kuga R et al (2016) A pentanuclear iron catalyst designed for water oxidation. *Nature* 530:465–468. doi:10.1038/nature16529
 18. Powers TM, Betley TA (2013) Testing the polynuclear hypothesis: multielectron reduction of small molecules by tri-iron reaction sites. *J Am Chem Soc* 135:12289–12296. doi:10.1021/ja405057n
 19. Manoli M, Alexandrou S, Pham L et al (2016) Magnetic “Molecular Oligomers” based on decametallal supertetrahedra: a giant Mn49 cuboctahedron and its Mn25 Na4 fragment. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 55:679–684. doi:10.1002/anie.201509461
 20. Biswas R, Ida Y, Baker ML et al (2013) A new family of trinuclear nickel(II) complexes as single-molecule magnets. *Chem Eur J* 19:3943–3953. doi:10.1002/chem.201202795
 21. Tong J, Demeshko S, John M et al (2016) Redox-induced single-molecule magnetism in mixed-valent [2 × 2] Co4 grid complexes. *Inorg Chem* 55:4362–4372. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b00106
 22. Cornia A, Gatteschi D, Sessoli R (2001) New experimental techniques for magnetic anisotropy in molecular materials. *Coord Chem Rev* 219:573–604
 23. Wix P, Kostakis GE, Blatov VA et al (2013) A database of topological representations of polynuclear nickel compounds. *Eur J Inorg Chem*. doi:10.1002/ejic.201201348
 24. Cadiou C, Murrie M, Paulsen C et al (2001) Studies of a nickel-based single molecule magnet: resonant quantum tunnelling in an S = 12 molecule. *Chem Commun*. doi:10.1039/b108894g
 25. Bilyachenko AN, Yalymov AI, Korlyukov AA et al (2016) Unusual penta- and hexanuclear Ni(ii)-based silsesquioxane polynuclear complexes. *Dalton Trans* 45:7320–7327. doi:10.1039/c6dt00113k
 26. Pait M, Bauzá A, Frontera A et al (2015) A new family of Ni4 and Ni6 aggregates from the self-assembly of [Ni2] building units: role of carboxylate and carbonate bridges. *Inorg Chem* 54:4709–4723. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b00039
 27. Keene TD, Hursthouse MB, Price DJ (2004) Ferromagnetic coupling in a heptanuclear nickel cluster with a vertex-shared dicubane structure. *New J Chem* 28:558–561. doi:10.1039/b315323a
 28. Petit S, Neugebauer P, Pilet G et al (2012) Condensation of a nickel tetranuclear cubane into a heptanuclear single-molecule magnet. *Inorg Chem* 51:6645–6654. doi:10.1021/ic3001637
 29. Bell A, Aromi G, Teat SJ et al (2005) Synthesis and characterisation of a Ni-8 single molecule magnet and another octanuclear nickel cage. *Chem Commun*. doi:10.1039/b500581g
 30. Xiong K, Jiang F, Gai Y et al (2012) A series of octanuclear-nickel(II) complexes supported by thiacalix 4 arenes. *Inorg Chem* 51:3283–3288. doi:10.1021/ic202737h
 31. Schmitz S, van Leusen J, Ellern A et al (2016) Thioether-terminated nickel(ii) coordination clusters with Ni 6 horseshoe- and Ni 8 rollercoaster-shaped cores. *Inorg Chem Front* 3:523–531. doi:10.1039/C5QI00278H
 32. Scheurer A, Gieb K, Alam MS et al (2012) Synthesis, magnetic properties, and STM spectroscopy of an unprecedented octanuclear chloro-bridged nickel(II) double cubane. *Dalton Trans* 41:3553–3561. doi:10.1039/c2dt12007k
 33. Hua S-A, Liu IP-C, Hasanov H et al (2010) Probing the electronic communication of linear heptanickel and nonanickel string complexes by utilizing two redox-active Ni-2(napy)(4) (3 +) moieties. *Dalton Trans* 39:3890–3896. doi:10.1039/b923125k
 34. Xu J-Y, Song H-B, Xu G-F et al (2012) A new enneanuclear nickel(II) cluster with a rectangular face-centered trigonal prism structure and cluster glass behavior. *Chem Commun* 48:1015–1017. doi:10.1039/c1cc16243h
 35. Ismayilov RH, Wang W-Z, Lee G-H et al (2011) Two linear undecanickel mixed-valence complexes: increasing the size and the scope of the electronic properties of nickel metal strings. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 50:2045–2048. doi:10.1002/anie.201006695
 36. Brunet G, Habib F, Cook C et al (2012) A novel high-spin tridecanuclear Ni-II cluster with an azido-bridged core exhibiting disk-like topology. *Chem Commun* 48:1287–1289. doi:10.1039/c2cc16221k
 37. Stamatatos TC, Escuer A, Abboud KA et al (2008) Unusual structural types in nickel cluster chemistry from the use of pyridyl oximes: Ni5, Ni12Na2, and Ni14 clusters. *Inorg Chem* 47:11825–11838. doi:10.1016/j.poly.2005.08.019
 38. Gui L-C, Wang X-J, Ni Q-L et al (2012) Nanospheric M-20(OH)(12)(maleate)(12)(Me2NH)(12) (4 +) Clusters (M = Co, Ni) with O-h Symmetry. *J Am Chem Soc* 134:852–854. doi:10.1021/ja2100966
 39. Fondo M, Ocampo N, Garca-deibe AM et al (2006) Self-assembly of a tetranuclear Ni cluster with an S = 4 ground state: the first 3d metal cluster bearing a μ4-η2:η2-O, O carbonate ligand. *Inorg Chem* 45(1):255–262. doi:10.1021/ic051194s
 40. Dearden AL, Parsons S, Winpenny REP (2001) Synthesis, structure, and preliminary magnetic studies of a Ni-24 wheel. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 40:151–154. doi:10.1002/1521-3773(20010105)40:1<151:aid-anie151>3.3.co;2-j
 41. Athanasopoulou AA, Pilkington M, Raptopoulou CP et al (2014) Structural aesthetics in molecular nanoscience: a unique Ni26 cluster with a “rabbit-face” topology and a discrete Ni18 “molecular chain”. *Chem Commun* 50:14942–14945. doi:10.1039/c4cc07192a
 42. Nakajima T, Seto K, Horikawa F et al (2012) Wheel-shaped icosanuclear homo- and heterometallic complexes of Ni II, Co II, and Cu II ions supported by unsymmetrical aminoalcohol ligands. *Inorg Chem* 51:12503–12510. doi:10.1021/ic3019106
 43. Andruh M (2015) The exceptionally rich coordination chemistry generated by Schiff-base ligands derived from o-vanillin. *Dalton Trans* 44:16633–16653. doi:10.1039/C5DT02661J
 44. Bernardi A, Femoni C, Iapalucci MC et al (2008) Synthesis, molecular structure and properties of the [H6-nNi30C4(-CO)34(CdCl)2]n- (n = 3–6) bimetallic carbide carbonyl cluster: a model for the growth of noncompact interstitial metal carbides. *Chem Eur J* 14:1924–1934. doi:10.1002/chem.200701519
 45. Femoni C, Iapalucci MC, Longoni G, Svensson PH (2000) A high-nuclearity Ni-Sb carbonyl cluster displaying unprecedented metal stereochemistries: synthesis and X-ray structure of [NEt4][Ni31Sb4(CO)40]·2 Me2CO. *Chem Commun*. doi:10.1039/B000783H
 46. Blake AJ, Grant CM, Parsons S et al (1994) The synthesis, structure and magnetic properties of a cyclic dodecanuclear nickel complex. *Chem Commun*. doi:10.1039/C39940002363

47. Chesman ASR, Turner DR, Moubaraki B et al (2009) Lanthanoids: chiral, structurally layered polycarbonate tridecanuclear lanthanoid clusters. *Chem Eur J* 15:5203–5207. doi:[10.1002/chem.200900400](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900400)
48. Chesman ASR, Turner DR, Moubaraki B et al (2012) Tetradecanuclear polycarbonatolanthanoid clusters: diverse coordination modes of carbonate providing access to novel core geometries. *Dalton Trans* 41:10903–10909. doi:[10.1039/c2dt31101a](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2dt31101a)
49. Escuer A, Vicente R, Kumar SB et al (1996) A novel pentadentate coordination mode for the carbonate bridge: synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic behavior of $(\mu_3\text{-CO}(3))[\text{Ni}(3)(\text{Medpt})(3)(\text{NCS})(4)]$, a new trinuclear nickel(II) carbonate-bridged complex with strong antiferromagnetic coupling. *Inorg Chem* 35:3094–3098
50. Sakamoto S, Yamauchi S, Hagiwara H et al (2012) Carbonate-bridged tetranuclear $\text{NiII}_2\text{GdIII}_2$ complex generated by atmospheric CO_2 fixation. *Inorg Chem Commun* 26:20–23. doi:[10.1016/j.inoche.2012.08.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2012.08.022)
51. Georgopoulou AN, Raptoulou CP, Psycharis V et al (2009) Ferromagnetic Cu II_4 , Co II_4 , and Ni II_6 Azido complexes derived from metal-assisted methanolysis of Di-2, 6- (2-pyridylcarbonyl) pyridine. *Inorg Chem* 48:3167–3176
52. Wikstrom JP, Filatov AS, Mikhalyova EA et al (2010) Carbonate formation within a nickel dimer: synthesis of a coordinatively unsaturated bis(μ -hydroxo) dinickel complex and its reactivity toward carbon dioxide. *Dalton Trans* 39:2504–2514. doi:[10.1039/b916832j](https://doi.org/10.1039/b916832j)
53. Jonas K, Brauer DJ, Krüger C et al (1976) “Side-on” dinitrogen-transition metal complexes. *J Am Chem Soc* 98:74–81
54. Gole B, Mondal KC, Mukherjee PS (2014) Tuning nuclearity of clusters by positional change of functional group: synthesis of polynuclear clusters, crystal structures and magnetic properties. *Inorg Chim Acta* 415:151–164. doi:[10.1016/j.ica.2014.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2014.02.017)
55. Uehara K, Hikichi S, Inagaki A, Akita M (2005) Xenophilic complexes bearing a TpR ligand, $[\text{TpRM-M'Ln}]$ [TpR = Tp^iPr_2 , $\text{Tp}^\#$ ($\text{TpMe}_2, 4\text{-Br}$); M = Ni, Co, Fe, Mn; M'Ln = $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4$, $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3(\text{PPh}_3)$, $\text{RuCp}(\text{CO})_2$]: the two metal centers are held together not by covalent interaction but by electrostatic attraction. *Chem Eur J* 11:2788–2809. doi:[10.1002/chem.200401016](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200401016)
56. Monakhov KY, Lopez X, Speldrich M et al (2014) Magnetochemical complexity of hexa- and heptanuclear wheel complexes of late-3d ions supported by N, O-donor pyridyl-methanolate ligands. *Chem Eur J* 20:3769–3781. doi:[10.1002/chem.201304177](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201304177)
57. Kermagoret A, Braunstein P (2008) Synthesis of nickel complexes with bidentate N, O-type ligands and application in the catalytic oligomerization of ethylene. *Dalton Trans* 32:1564–1573. doi:[10.1039/b716111e](https://doi.org/10.1039/b716111e)
58. Botana L, Ruiz J, Mota AJ et al (2014) Anion controlled structural and magnetic diversity in unusual mixed-bridged polynuclear Ni(II) complexes with a versatile bis(2-methoxyphenol)diamine hexadentate ligand. An experimental and theoretical magneto-structural study. *Dalton Trans* 43:13509–13524. doi:[10.1039/c4dt01253d](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4dt01253d)
59. Brechin EK, Gilby LM, Gould RO et al (1998) Heterobimetallic nickel–sodium and cobalt–sodium complexes of pyridonate ligands. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. doi:[10.1039/a803442g](https://doi.org/10.1039/a803442g)
60. Cadiou C, Coxall RA, Graham A et al (2002) Octanuclear cobalt and nickel cages featuring formate ligands. *Chem Commun*. doi:[10.1039/b202062a](https://doi.org/10.1039/b202062a)
61. Aromi G, Bell AR, Helliwell M et al (2003) A systematic exploration of nickel-pyrazolinato chemistry with alkali metals: new cages from serendipitous assembly. *Chem Eur J* 9:3024–3032. doi:[10.1002/chem.200304939](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200304939)
62. Brechin EK, Graham A, Harris SG et al (1997) Overcrowding leads to prism reform: new polyhedra for polymetallic cages. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. doi:[10.1039/A704822J](https://doi.org/10.1039/A704822J)
63. Wang S, Bi Y, Liao W (2015) Constructing calixarene-supported high nuclearity Co_{27} , Co_{28} and Ni_{18} Na_6 clusters with triazoles as co-bridges. *CrystEngComm* 17:2896–2902. doi:[10.1039/C5CE00314H](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CE00314H)
64. Su K, Jiang F, Qian J et al (2014) Generalized synthesis of calixarene-based high-nuclearity M_n nanocages (M = Ni or Co; n = 2–6). *Cryst Growth Des* 14:3116–3123
65. Stamatatos TC, Abboud KA, Perlepes SP, Christou G (2007) The highest nuclearity metal oxime clusters: Ni_{14} and $\text{Ni}_{12}\text{Na}_2$ complexes from the use of 2-pyridinealdoximate and azide ligands. *Dalton Trans*. doi:[10.1039/b708189h](https://doi.org/10.1039/b708189h)
66. Chojnacki J, Oleksyn B, Zukowska E (1971) Prototype ligand with Uranium. *Rocz Chem* 45:487
67. Kannappan R, Tooke DM, Spek AL, Reedijk J (2006) Separation of actinides and lanthanides: synthesis and molecular structure of a new di- μ -phenoxo-bridged dinuclear bis(dioxouranium(VI)) complex. *Inorg Chim Acta* 359:334–338. doi:[10.1016/j.ica.2005.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2005.08.020)
68. Constable EC, Housecroft CE, Zampese JA, Zhang G (2012) Multinuclear zinc(II) complexes with $\{\text{Zn}_6(\mu\text{-O})_6(\mu_3\text{-O})_2\}$ - and $\{\text{Zn}_5(\mu\text{-O})_3(\mu_3\text{-O})_3\}$ -cluster cores. *Polyhedron* 44:150–155. doi:[10.1016/j.poly.2012.06.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2012.06.033)
69. Dey D, Kaur G, Ranjani A et al (2014) A trinuclear zinc-schiff base complex: biocatalytic activity and cytotoxicity. *Eur J Inorg Chem*. doi:[10.1002/ejic.201402158](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201402158)
70. Hasanzadeh M, Salehi M, Kubicki M et al (2014) Synthesis, crystal structures, spectroscopic studies and antibacterial properties of a series of mononuclear cobalt(III) schiff base complexes. *Trans Met Chem* 39:623–632. doi:[10.1007/s11243-014-9841-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11243-014-9841-x)
71. Saha S, Pal S, Gómez-García CJ et al (2014) A ferromagnetic tetranuclear nickel(II) Schiff-base complex with an asymmetric Ni_4O_4 cubane core. *Polyhedron* 74:1–5. doi:[10.1016/j.poly.2014.02.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2014.02.036)
72. Ke H, Zhu W, Zhang S et al (2015) A new family of heterometallic tetranuclear $[\text{MnIII}_2\text{LnIII}_2]$ (Ln = Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy) isostructural clusters: syntheses, crystal structures and magnetic properties. *Polyhedron* 87:109–116. doi:[10.1016/j.poly.2014.10.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2014.10.030)
73. Ke H, Zhang S, Zhu W et al (2015) Synthesis, structures and magnetic properties of four dodecanuclear Ni_8RE_4 (RE = Gd, Dy, Y) clusters trapping four μ 5-bridged carbonate anions. *J Coord Chem* 68:808–822. doi:[10.1080/00958972.2015.1004326](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2015.1004326)
74. Kuang W-W, Shao C-Y, Yang P-P (2015) Syntheses, crystal structures, and magnetic properties of a series of Fe_2Ln complexes. *J Coord Chem* 68:1412–1422. doi:[10.1080/00958972.2015.1013947](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2015.1013947)
75. Griffiths K, Novitchi G, Kostakis GE (2016) Synthesis, characterization, magnetic properties, and topological aspects of isoskeletal heterometallic hexanuclear $\text{Co II}_4 \text{Ln III}_2$ coordination clusters possessing 2,3,4M6-1 topology. *Eur J Inorg Chem*. doi:[10.1002/ejic.201600078](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201600078). doi:[10.1002/ejic.201600078](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201600078)
76. Griffiths K, Gallop CWD, Abdul-Sada A et al (2015) Heteronuclear 3 d/Dy(III) coordination clusters as catalysts in a domino reaction. *Chem Eur J* 21:6358–6361. doi:[10.1002/chem.201500505](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201500505)
77. Ruiz J, Lorusso G, Evangelisti M et al (2014) Closely-related $\text{Zn(II)}_2\text{Ln(III)}_2$ complexes (Ln(III) = Gd, Yb) with either magnetic refrigerant or luminescent single-molecule magnet properties. *Inorg Chem* 53:3586–3594. doi:[10.1021/ic403097s](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic403097s)

78. Keypour H, Rezaeivala M, Ramezani-Aktij A et al (2016) New macrocyclic schiff base complexes incorporating a homopiperazine unit: synthesis of some Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II) complexes and crystal structure and theoretical studies. *J Mol Struct* 1115:180–186. doi:[10.1016/j.molstruc.2016.02.071](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2016.02.071)
79. Coles SJ, Gale PA (2012) Changing and challenging times for service crystallography. *Chem Sci* 3:683–689. doi:[10.1039/c2sc00955b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc00955b)
80. Dolomanov OV, Blake AJ, Champness NR, Schröder M (2003) OLEX: new software for visualization and analysis of extended crystal structures. *J Appl Crystallogr* 36:1283–1284
81. Palatinus L, Chapuis G (2007) SUPERFLIP—a computer program for the solution of crystal structures by charge flipping in arbitrary dimensions. *J Appl Crystallogr* 40:786–790. doi:[10.1107/S0021889807029238](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889807029238)
82. Farrugia LJ (1999) Suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography. *J Appl Crystallogr* 32:837–838. doi:[10.1107/S0021889899006020](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889899006020)
83. Sheldrick GM (2015) SHELXT—integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr Sect A Found Adv* 71:3–8. doi:[10.1107/S2053273314026370](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053273314026370)
84. Sheldrick GM (2008) A short history of SHELX. *Acta Crystallogr Sect A* 64:112–122. doi:[10.1107/s0108767307043930](https://doi.org/10.1107/s0108767307043930)
85. Spek AL (2003) Single-crystal structure validation with the program PLATON. *J Appl Crystallogr* 36:7–13. doi:[10.1107/S0021889802022112](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889802022112)
86. Macrae CF, Edgington PR, McCabe P et al (2006) Mercury: visualization and analysis of crystal structures. *J Appl Crystallogr* 39:453–457. doi:[10.1107/S002188980600731X](https://doi.org/10.1107/S002188980600731X)
87. Nemeš I, Machata M, Herchel R et al (2012) A new family of Fe₂Ln complexes built from mononuclear anionic schiff base subunits. *Dalton Trans* 41:14603–14610. doi:[10.1039/c2dt31809a](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2dt31809a)
88. Mondal KC, Kostakis GE, Lan Y et al (2011) Defect-dicubane Ni₂Ln₂ (Ln = Dy, Tb) single molecule magnets. *Inorg Chem* 50:11604–11611. doi:[10.1021/ic2015397](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic2015397)
89. Mondal KC, Sundt A, Lan Y et al (2012) Coexistence of distinct single-ion and exchange-based mechanisms for blocking of magnetization in a Co(II)2Dy(III)₂ single-molecule magnet. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 51:7550–7554. doi:[10.1002/anie.201201478](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201201478)
90. Mondal KC, Kostakis GE, Lan Y, Powell AK (2013) Magnetic properties of five planar defect dicubanes of [LnIII₄(μ₃-OH)₂(L)₄(HL)₂·2THF (Ln = Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho and Er). *Polyhedron* 66:268–273. doi:[10.1016/j.poly.2013.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.05.016)
91. Ke H, Zhao L, Guo Y, Tang J (2012) Syntheses, structures, and magnetic analyses of a family of heterometallic hexanuclear [Ni₄M₂] (M = Gd, Dy, Y) compounds: observation of slow magnetic relaxation in the Dy(III) derivative. *Inorg Chem* 51:2699–2705. doi:[10.1021/ic202699k](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic202699k)
92. Kostakis GE, Blatov VA, Proserpio DM (2012) A method for topological analysis of high nuclearity coordination clusters and its application to Mn coordination compounds. *Dalton Trans* 41:4634–4640. doi:[10.1039/c2dt12263d](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2dt12263d)
93. Zhang K, Kurmoo M, Wei LQ, Zeng MH (2013) iterative mass spectrometry and X-ray crystallography to study ion-trapping and rearrangements by a flexible cluster. *Sci Rep* 3: Article number: 3516. doi: [Artn 3516rDoi 10.1038/Srep03516](https://doi.org/10.1038/Srep03516)
94. Dubey M, Koner RR, Ray M (2009) Sodium and potassium ion directed self-assembled multinuclear assembly of divalent nickel or copper and L-leucine derived ligand. *Inorg Chem* 48:9294–9302. doi:[10.1021/ic9011444](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic9011444)
95. Bhula R, Weatherburn DC (1991) Oxidative cleavage of triethylenetetramine (trien) to yield diethylenetriamine (dien): structure of the MnII/MnIII heptanuclear complex [Mn₇(trien)₂(-dien)2O₄(OAc)₈](PF₆)₄·2H₂O. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 30:688–689
96. Wang S, Tsai H-L, Streib WE et al (1992) High nuclearity molecular species exhibiting spin frustration: fusion of two Mn^{III}₄O₂ butterfly complexes to yield an intermediate spin ground state Mn^{III}₇O₄ complex. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun*. doi:[10.1039/C39920000677](https://doi.org/10.1039/C39920000677)
97. Krautscheid H, Vielsack F (1999) Discrete and polymeric iodoplumbates with Pb₃I₁₀ building blocks: [Pb₃I₁₀] 4₋, [Pb₇I₂₂] 8₋, [Pb₁₀I₂₈] 8₋, 1 ∞[Pb₃I₁₀] 4₋ and 2 ∞[Pb₇I₁₈] 4₋. *Dalton Trans*. doi:[10.1007/3-540-44628-1_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44628-1_13)
98. Ding C, Zeng F, Ni J et al (2012) Polynuclear complexes of ligands containing in situ formed oxazinane and oxazolidine rings with appended alkoxyl and phenol groups. *Cryst Growth Des* 12:2089–2096. doi:[10.1021/cg300096n](https://doi.org/10.1021/cg300096n)
99. Tarushi A, Kastanias F, Psycharis V et al (2012) A [24-MC-6] zinc metallacoronate with a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug as the constructing ligand. *Inorg Chem* 51:7460–7462. doi:[10.1021/ic3010757](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic3010757)
100. Chilton NF, Anderson RP, Turner LD et al (2013) PHI: a powerful new program for the analysis of anisotropic monomeric and exchange-coupled polynuclear d- and f-block complexes. *J Comput Chem* 34:1164–1175. doi:[10.1002/jcc.23234](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.23234)
101. Blatov VA, Shevchenko AP, Proserpio DM (2014) Applied topological analysis of crystal structures with the program package ToposPro. *Cryst Growth Des* 14:3576–3586. doi:[10.1021/cg500498k](https://doi.org/10.1021/cg500498k)