



## Correction to: Half a Century of Stereotyping Associations Between Gender and Intellectual Ability in Films

Ramiro H. Gálvez<sup>1,2</sup> · Valeria Tiffenberg<sup>1</sup> · Edgar Altszyler<sup>1,2,3</sup>

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

### Correction to: Sex Roles

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-019-01019-x>

The original version of the article unfortunately contained errors.

In Fig. 3, panel b, the gray shades were captured on top of the lines instead as a background.

The original article has been corrected and the updated figure is shown below.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-019-01019-x>


---

✉ Ramiro H. Gálvez  
rgalvez@dc.uba.ar

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Computación, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Ciencias de la Computación, CONICET-UBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>3</sup> Fundación Sadosky, Buenos Aires, Argentina



**Fig. 3** Word associations between gender pronouns and stereotyping roles. **a** Estimated associations between gender pronouns and stereotyping roles when analyzing films from 2010 up to and including 2016 for the full sample ( $n = 2902$ ) and the family/animation subsample ( $n = 242$ ). Asterisks indicate the results of log odds ratios statistical significance tests on the underlying contingency tables. **b** Time

evolution of the estimated associations taking as input sets of films belonging to successive 10-year periods (1967–1976, 1977–1986, ..., 2007–2016). Trends are estimated through LOESS regressions. Gray areas indicate that, according to log odds ratios statistical significance tests, differences are not significant at the 5% level. \*\*\* $p < .001$