Erratum to: The Effect of Political Competition on Democratic Accountability

Philip Edward Jones

Published online: 11 December 2012
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2012

Erratum to: Polit Behav DOI 10.1007/s11109-012-9203-3

In the original publication of this article, Fig. 2 was incorrectly printed and is a duplicate of Fig. 1. The correct version of Fig. 2 is shown here. All of the results reported in the text remain correct.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11109-012-9203-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-012-9203-3).

P. E. Jones (✉)
Department of Political Science and International Relations, University of Delaware,
347 Smith Hall, Newark, DE 19716, USA
e-mail: pejones@udel.edu

Fig. 2 Simulated first differences in probability of constituent voting for incumbent senator given shift from low to high policy congruence, in uncompetitive and competitive states. *Bars* represent difference in probability of a vote for the incumbent, given a shift from 25 to 75 % policy congruence. Each measure of state-level competition is set to one standard deviation below the mean value for states (*dark gray bars*) and to one standard deviation above the mean (*light gray bars*). For electoral competition, these values are 68.46 and 91.03; for ideological disagreement they are 1.10 and 2.19; for demographic diversity they are .34 and .46. All other variables are held at their mean or mode. *Brackets* represent 90 % confidence intervals. Estimates are simulated from models 1(a), 2(a), and 3(a) shown in Table 4