Impacts of peat bulk density, ash deposition and rainwater chemistry on establishment of peatland mosses

Alice Noble · Sheila M. Palmer · David J. Glaves ·
Alistair Crowle · Joseph Holden

Received: 21 February 2017 / Accepted: 26 June 2017 / Published online: 5 July 2017
© The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

Abstract

Background and aims Peatland moss communities play an important role in ecosystem function. Drivers such as fire and atmospheric pollution have the capacity to influence mosses via multiple pathways. Here, we investigate physical and chemical processes which may influence establishment and growth of three key moss species in peatlands.

Methods A controlled factorial experiment investigated the effects of different peat bulk density, ash deposition and rainwater chemistry treatments on the growth of *Sphagnum capillifolium*, *S. fallax* and *Campylopus introflexus*.

Results Higher peat bulk density limited growth of both *Sphagnum* species. *S. capillifolium* and *C. introflexus* responded positively to ash deposition. Less polluted rain limited growth of *C. introflexus*. Biomass was well correlated with percentage cover in all three species.

Conclusions Peat bulk density increases caused by fire or drainage can limit *Sphagnum* establishment and growth, potentially threatening peatland function. Ash inputs may have direct benefits for some *Sphagnum*

species, but are also likely to increase competition from other bryophytes and vascular plants which may offset positive effects. Rainwater pollution may similarly increase competition to *Sphagnum*, and could enhance positive effects of ash addition on *C. introflexus* growth. Finally, cover can provide a useful approximation of biomass where destructive sampling is undesirable.

Keywords Burning · *Campylopus* · Fire · Invasive species · Nutrients · Pollution · *Sphagnum*

Introduction

Peatlands are a globally important provider of ecosystem services including carbon storage, biodiversity and water quality maintenance. However, many peatlands have been degraded by human influences including atmospheric pollution and fire (Evans et al. 2014; Holden et al. 2007). In northern hemisphere peatlands *Sphagnum* spp. often play a key role in peat formation, so re-establishing or increasing *Sphagnum* cover is an important focus of restoration (Parry et al. 2014). A range of other bryophytes occur on peatlands, but dominance of less characteristic species at the expense of *Sphagnum* may threaten ecosystem function. One such species is *Campylopus introflexus*, an invasive species native to the southern hemisphere which has shown an expanded range and increased abundance on some northern hemisphere peatlands in recent decades (Equihua and Usher 1993).

Peatland vegetation is frequently influenced by multiple environmental drivers including grazing, cutting,

Responsible Editor: Jeffrey Walck.

A. Noble (✉) · S. M. Palmer · J. Holden
water@leeds, School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds,
UK
e-mail: gyakn@leeds.ac.uk

D. J. Glaves · A. Crowle
Natural England, Foss House, Kings Pool, Peasholme Green,
York, UK

prescribed burning, wildfire and atmospheric pollution (Holden et al. 2007). Each driver may influence vegetation via multiple pathways; alteration of the hydrological, thermal, chemical and biological properties of peat all have the potential to impact mosses (e.g. Bu et al. 2013; Lukenbach et al. 2015; Price and Whitehead 2001), potentially in conflicting ways. Knowledge of which processes exert the greatest control on important peatland moss species has the potential to inform the adaptation of management tools to support ecosystem function.

Fire is a common disturbance on peatlands globally and can take the form of wildfire or prescribed burning. Fire regimes are subject to change over time according to environmental conditions, human activity and policy. For example, Kasischke and Turetsky (2006) found that the incidence of fire increased across the North American boreal region from 1959 to 99. In the UK around 15% of land cover is peat and an estimated 18% (3150 km²) of this has been subjected to prescribed burning (Worrall et al. 2010), mainly to manage vegetation for game or livestock. Douglas et al. (2015) observed an increase in the annual number of burns in the UK from 2001 to 2011. However, the environmental impacts of burning practices have been the subject of several studies and significant debate in recent years (Brown et al. 2016; Dougill et al. 2006). An important question in the debate is the effect of burning on *Sphagnum* spp., but past studies have produced conflicting conclusions, with *Sphagnum* sometimes increasing and sometimes declining after burning, and abundance varying with time since burn (Glaves et al. 2013). Increased knowledge of the pathways via which burning affects *Sphagnum* is therefore urgently required. *C. introflexus* has been observed to colonise rapidly after fire (Southon et al. 2012), including on many northern hemisphere peatlands where it is not native, but understanding of the processes controlling fire effects on mosses in general is still limited.

One way in which drivers including fire may influence mosses is by altering peat properties such as near-surface peat bulk density. Increased bulk density can reduce water availability to mosses, which are non-vascular and rely on passive capillary transport, but species may differ in their responses depending on water requirements and desiccation tolerance (Sagot and Rochefort 1996). Holden et al. (2014) measured a mean bulk density of 0.259 (± 0.013) g cm⁻³ in peatland plots where the surface vegetation had been subjected to prescribed burning 2 years previously compared to

0.110 (± 0.008) g cm⁻³ in unburned plots, potentially due to drying-induced compression and collapse of the peat mass after burning. Prescribed burns are normally controlled to burn vegetation without igniting the underlying peat. However, similar bulk density effect observed in a North American peatland was attributed to the exposure of denser peat when surface layers were consumed in a wildfire (Thompson and Waddington 2013). Near-surface bulk density may also be influenced by drivers including drainage (Ketcheson and Price 2011) and peat harvesting (Van Seters and Price 2002). While several studies have investigated the influence of water table on *Sphagnum* growth (Buttler et al. 1998; Grosvernier et al. 1997; Robroek et al. 2009), changes in bulk density have the potential to affect water availability to mosses even when the water-table depth is favourable by reducing soil water storage capacity, potentially increasing soil water retention, and slowing the rate at which water lost via evapotranspiration is replaced at the peat surface (Boelter 1968). These changes can reduce the amount of water loss needed to cause soil water pressure to fall below around -100 mb (Thompson and Waddington 2013), a point at which *Sphagnum* plants are unable to withdraw moisture from the peat (Price et al. 2003; Clymo and Hayward 1982).

Another process which may affect mosses after fire is ash deposition. Ash deposited after fire on peatlands is often largely a product of woody species such as heather (*Calluna vulgaris*). Nearly all nitrogen is lost during burning, but ash is a source of cations including Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺, which can impact soil water pH, as well as plant growth and competition (Allen 1964; Allen et al. 1969). At high concentrations these cations can have toxic effects, but at lower levels they can enhance growth of some species, particularly in ombrotrophic peatlands which may be nutrient limited (Hoosbeek et al. 2002; Vicherova et al. 2015). Ash may also block near-surface macropores in peat and alter hydrology, potentially affecting water availability to mosses (Holden et al. 2014). Much work on the effects of ash in peatland systems has focussed on the fertilisation of forest plantations with wood ash, which can cause visible damage to *Sphagnum* (Dynesius 2012). However, the application rate of ash for fertilisation can be around 20 times higher than the amount deposited after vegetation fire (Moilanen et al. 2002), and it is often applied in a processed form, so results from these studies may not be comparable to the effects of prescribed burning and wildfire.

In the absence of ash, rainwater is the most significant source of plant nutrients on ombrotrophic peatlands. Concentrations of nutrients including nitrogen and sulphur can vary regionally according to atmospheric pollution levels. Historically, *Sphagnum* abundance has been reduced in regions with high atmospheric pollution, including areas near industrial centres in the UK (Lee et al. 1987) where in the twentieth century *Sphagnum* previously dominant on peatlands was almost completely extirpated, leading to drying and erosion of peat (Carroll et al. 2009). Negative effects of sulphur have been documented in several *Sphagnum* species (Ferguson et al. 1978), but concentrations in rainwater have fallen in the UK in recent decades. Conversely, nitrogen levels have remained high in some regions (Carroll et al. 2009). On blanket peatlands nitrogen inputs are likely to benefit faster growing vascular plants, increasing competition to mosses (Malmer et al. 2003). *C. introflexus* has been shown to respond positively to nitrogen inputs (Southon et al. 2012), but negative physiological effects on some *Sphagnum* species have been observed (Granath et al. 2012). It has been suggested that current levels of nitrogen and sulphur are not likely to prevent *Sphagnum* growth in the UK (Carroll et al. 2009), but individual species may respond differently. Furthermore, it is possible that rainwater chemistry interacts with ash deposition to influence nutrient dynamics.

In this study we use a controlled factorial experiment to isolate and quantify the effects of three variables related to fire and atmospheric pollution (peat bulk density, ash deposition and rainwater chemistry) on the establishment of *S. capillifolium*, *S. fallax* and *C. introflexus*. These species were chosen as they are common on blanket peatlands in the UK, and are thought to have varying preferences for moisture and nutrients (Table 1) which may influence their ability to establish on degraded peat. Based on existing knowledge of these species, we hypothesise that increased bulk density will affect *S. fallax* most negatively, followed by *S. capillifolium*, with little or no impact on *C. introflexus*. More polluted rainwater is expected to impact the two *Sphagnum* species, particularly *S. capillifolium*, negatively, but benefit *C. introflexus*. We would also expect ash to benefit *C. introflexus*, a species associated with burned areas, but to have a negative effect on both *Sphagnum* species, and for leached ash to have less impact than unleached ash.

Methods

Substrate preparation

To collect peat as a substrate for the experiment with minimal disturbance to its structure, straight sided, bottomless pots were created using 6 cm lengths of 68 mm diameter PVC pipe. Peat was collected from an area of bare blanket peat, exposed by erosion, at Moor House–Upper Teesdale National Nature Reserve (henceforth Moor House) in the north of England. The site had not been burned for at least 60 years at the time of collection. For the pots with normal bulk density, 6 cm pots were pushed into the peat until level with the peat surface, taking care not to compress the peat, and removed. Pots with increased bulk density were prepared by inserting 10 cm lengths of pipe and then compressing the 10 cm peat core into 6 cm pots. Three sample pots from each treatment were dried to constant weight and mean bulk density was calculated to be $0.131 (\pm 0.004) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ for normal pots and $0.169 (\pm 0.030) \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ for compressed pots. Pots were stored at 4 °C for two days prior to the start of the growth experiment.

Rainwater preparation

Two types of artificial rainwater were produced to represent precipitation chemistry at UK upland sites with relatively low and high atmospheric pollution levels (Table 2). Chemical composition was based on ECN data (Rennie et al. 2015) averaged over 5 years (2007–2012) for two sites: Allt a'Mharcaidh in the Cairngorms, Scotland (less polluted) and Wardlow Hay Cop in the Peak District, England (more polluted). Concentrated solutions were made every four weeks by dissolving compounds (NaCl , MgSO_4 , $\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and NH_4NO_3) in a 250 mL volumetric flask of deionised water at 100 times the required strength. The concentrated solutions were stored at 4 °C in 50 mL plastic vials and diluted with deionised water as required. HCl (0.1 M) was then added dropwise as necessary to give a pH between 5.2 and 5.8 for both rainwater types.

Ash preparation

To make the ash, vegetation consisting almost entirely of *C. vulgaris* was harvested at Moor House by cutting

Table 1 Ellenberg habitat indicator values for moisture and nitrogen (scale of 1–10, higher values indicate wetter conditions for moisture and more fertile conditions for nitrogen) for *S. capillifolium*, *S. fallax* and *C. introflexus*, adapted from Hill et al. (2007)

Species	<i>S. capillifolium</i>	<i>S. fallax</i>	<i>C. introflexus</i>
Moisture	Moist substrates (7)	Waterlogged substrates (9)	Moderately moist substrates (5)
Nitrogen	Extremely infertile sites (1)	Moderately infertile sites (3)	Infertile sites (2)

stems close to the ground. In the lab, vegetation was cut into 5 cm lengths, oven dried for 24 h at 105 °C, weighed, and then ignited in a muffle furnace at 450 °C. This temperature was chosen as it is comparable to temperatures recorded during prescribed burning in the field (Whittaker 1961). One third of the 108 pots used in the experiment had no ash added, while ash was scattered on the surface of the remaining two thirds at a rate of 10 g m⁻² to correspond to a dry weight of 672 g m⁻², the average *C. vulgaris* biomass 16 years after burning recorded by Alday et al. (2015) at Moor House. Half of the ash added was subject to leaching with artificial rainwater (corresponding with the type to be supplied during the experiment) before adding to pots at a rate of 413 L m⁻² (1.5 L per pot), which approximates a mean value for total spring (March, April and May) rainfall at Moor House (Rennie et al. 2015). In the UK, burning is restricted to the winter half year (October–April), so this amount is comparable to the rain a site might receive between burning and the growing season. For the growth experiment (section 2.4), ash was leached on filter paper rather than in situ on the pots as this was quicker and avoided confounding effects of the leaching process (e.g. differential drying of leached and unleached pots) on moss growth. However, for the chemical impacts on peat experiment (section 2.5), ash was added to the peat surface before the start of the leaching process.

Growth experiment

Bryophyte material was collected from Moor House (*S. capillifolium* and *S. fallax*) and Leek Moors in the Peak District (*C. introflexus*). Diaspores comprising individual capitula for both *Sphagnum* species and individual stems for *C. introflexus* were prepared and ten diaspores of an individual species were added to each pot. Diaspores were initially watered in from above with 50 mL of artificial rainwater, equivalent to 13.77 mm or around 3 days spring rainfall at Moor House (Rennie et al. 2015), per pot. Pots were arranged in 18 plastic trays, each of which contained six pots representing the six bulk density-species combinations. Ash and rainwater treatments were the same within individual trays to avoid nutrient contamination. Each species x bulk density x ash x rainwater combination was replicated three times, giving 108 pots in total.

The growth experiment took place in a controlled environment room with two LED light units (Heliospectra AB, Sweden), which were on between 5 am and 9 pm to simulate spring/summer daylight hours in the UK. The temperature in the environment room was 9 °C at night (9 pm to 9 am) and 14 °C during the day. These temperatures are comparable to mean summer temperatures at UK upland sites (Rennie et al. 2015).

The experiment was run for 152 days, during which the two rainwater types were supplied to pots by filling

Table 2 Composition of the two artificial rainwater types produced for the experiment

Component	Cairngorm water meq L ⁻¹	Peak District water meq L ⁻¹
Ca ²⁺	0.002	0.022
Cl ⁻	0.075	0.073
Mg ²⁺	0.016	0.015
Na ⁺	0.074	0.067
NH ₄ ⁺	0.011	0.028
NO ₃ ⁻	0.011	0.028
SO ₄ ²⁻	0.018	0.036

the trays as required to maintain a water-table depth between 2 cm and 5 cm. The following variables were recorded for each pot every 14 days: survival (proportion of diaspores which had not dried out), proportion of diaspores which had produced new material, cover (percentage of the peat surface covered by moss) and maximum height of moss. At the end of the experiment, all moss was harvested from the peat surface of each pot using tweezers, air dried to constant weight, and the final dry biomass was recorded as a measure of growth.

Chemical impacts of ash addition and leaching

Separately from the growth experiment, the impact of ash addition and leaching on peat chemistry was investigated using 18 samples. At the start of the leaching process, 18 pots of peat were wetted with 250 mL of artificial rainwater and allowed to drain. Ash was then added to half of the pots as described in section 2.3. Twelve of the cores were subjected to leaching at the rate described in section 2.3; six with each rainwater type. Water was added to all pots at the rate it drained from the slowest draining pots; approximately 200 mL a day, and pots were covered with plastic sheeting to reduce evaporation.

After the leaching process, surface peat samples were taken from all 18 pots and BaCl_2 extractions were performed using 5 g of fresh peat and 45 mL of 0.1 M BaCl_2 per sample. The resulting mixture was shaken for 2 h at 15 rpm, centrifuged for 15 min at 2115 rpm and filtered through Whatman No 41 filter paper before analysis of exchangeable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ by ICP-OES. Concentrations measured were converted from mg L^{-1} to $\text{cmol}_c \text{ kg}^{-1}$ dry weight for reporting.

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using R 3.2.3 (R Development Core Team 2010) with the packages *car* (Fox and Weisberg 2011), *nlme* (Pinheiro et al. 2016), *lsmeans* (Lenth 2016) and *ggplot2* (Wickham 2009).

Correlations between the different measurements of moss establishment (biomass and final measurements of survival, height and cover) were assessed using Pearson's product-moment correlation. Mixed ANOVA, accounting for the split-plot experimental design, was used to test effects of bulk density, ash and rainwater type and their interactions on dry biomass of each species separately. The Tukey HSD test was used

to test for pairwise differences. Normality of residuals and homogeneity of variables were inspected graphically for all models.

Natural logarithm transformation was applied to the cation concentration data from the peat samples to reduce heteroscedasticity. Factorial ANOVA and Tukey HSD tests were then carried out to investigate the effects of ash and rainwater type on concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ in peat samples.

Results

Moss biomass

Air dried moss biomass was used as the dependent variable in the analyses as it represented the most objective measure of establishment success and was strongly correlated with final measurements of cover, survival, growth and height in both *Sphagnum* species (Table 3). Survival of the original diaspores of *C. introflexus* was uniformly low, as was height in this species, but biomass was well correlated with cover and the proportion of diaspores which gave rise to new growth.

ANOVAs carried out on the biomass data indicated that the effects of the three treatments manipulated in the experiment varied depending on moss species (Table 4). Pots with normal bulk density produced greater biomass of both *S. capillifolium* ($F = 16.55$, $p = 0.002$) and *S. fallax* ($F = 18.33$, $p = 0.001$) compared to those with high peat bulk density (Fig. 1). There was no significant effect of bulk density on *C. introflexus* ($F < 0.01$, $p = 0.950$). Ash addition impacted *S. capillifolium* ($F = 15.28$, $p = 0.001$) and Tukey HSD tests indicated significantly higher biomass in pots with unleached ash than those with leached ash or no ash, and likewise in pots with leached ash compared to those with no ash (Fig. 2). In *S. fallax*, no significant impact of ash on biomass was observed ($F = 1.76$, $p = 0.213$).

Ash ($F = 16.61$, $p < 0.001$), rainwater chemistry ($F = 20.67$, $p = 0.001$) and the interaction between the two ($F = 10.26$, $p = 0.003$) impacted *C. introflexus* biomass. Pots watered with Peak District rainwater overall produced higher *C. introflexus* biomass than those watered with Cairngorm rainwater. The impact of ash was non-significant in pots watered with Cairngorm water, but pots watered with Peak District rainwater had

Table 3 Pearson's product moment correlation of biomass (g dry weight) with proportion of pot covered by moss, number of moss fragments surviving, number of fragments showing signs ofgrowth and moss height. *P* values for all correlations shown are <0.001. N/A = not tested

Species	Cover %	Survival proportion	Growth proportion	Height (mm)
<i>Sphagnum capillifolium</i>	0.78	0.66	0.70	0.66
<i>Sphagnum fallax</i>	0.84	0.68	0.68	0.70
<i>Campylopus introflexus</i>	0.82	N/A	0.51	N/A

lower biomass when no ash was added compared to unleached and leached ash (Fig. 3). Rainwater chemistry did not significantly impact biomass of either *S. capillifolium* ($F = 1.51$, $p = 0.242$) or *S. fallax* ($F = 0.71$, $p = 0.417$).

Impacts of ash addition and leaching on peat chemistry

Factorial ANOVA and Tukey HSD tests revealed significantly greater concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^{+} and K^{+} in unleached peat samples with ash added compared

Table 4 Results of mixed ANOVA of final biomass (g dry weight) of *S. capillifolium*, *S. fallax* and *C. introflexus* according to water chemistry, ash treatment and peat bulk density

Species	Variable	Degrees of freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F value	Pr(>F)
S. capillifolium	Ash	2	0.113	0.057	15.281	0.001
	Rainwater	1	0.006	0.006	1.513	0.242
	Ash:rainwater	2	0.006	0.003	0.805	0.470
	Residuals	12	0.044	0.004		
	Bulk density	1	0.042	0.042	16.551	0.002
	Ash:bulk	2	0.002	0.001	0.456	0.644
	Rainwater:bulk	1	0.001	0.001	0.328	0.577
	Ash:rainwater:bulk	2	0.003	0.002	0.643	0.543
	Residuals	12	0.031	0.003		
S. fallax	Ash	2	0.033	0.017	1.764	0.213
	Rainwater	1	0.007	0.007	0.708	0.417
	Ash:rainwater	2	0.015	0.008	0.800	0.472
	Residuals	12	0.113	0.009		
	Bulk density	1	0.237	0.237	18.334	0.001
	Ash:bulk	2	0.035	0.018	1.374	0.290
	Rainwater:bulk	1	0.002	0.002	0.189	0.671
	Ash:rainwater:bulk	2	0.002	0.001	0.065	0.937
	Residuals	12	0.155	0.013		
C. introflexus	Ash	2	0.005	0.003	16.610	<0.001
	Rainwater	1	0.003	0.003	20.670	0.001
	Ash:rainwater	2	0.003	0.002	10.260	0.003
	Residuals	12	0.002	0.000		
	Bulk density	1	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.950
	Ash:bulk	2	0.000	0.000	0.160	0.854
	Rainwater:bulk	1	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.921
	Ash:rainwater:bulk	2	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.964
	Residuals	12	0.008	0.001

Fig. 1 Boxplot showing dry biomass of a) *S. capillifolium* and b) *S. fallax* grown in pots with normal or high peat bulk density ($n = 54$). The horizontal line, box, whiskers, dots and $\diamond$ indicate the median, upper and lower quartiles, minimum and maximum excluding outliers, outliers and mean respectively. Treatments which do not share a letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

to unleached samples without ash ($p = 0.002$; 0.004 ; <0.001 ; <0.001 respectively). However, differences in the concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ between samples with and without ash were not significant after

Fig. 2 Boxplot showing dry biomass of *S. capillifolium* grown in pots with no ash, leached ash or unleached ash ($n = 36$). The horizontal line, box, whiskers, dots and $\diamond$ indicate the median, upper and lower quartiles, minimum and maximum excluding outliers, outliers and mean respectively. Treatments which do not share a letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

leaching with either Cairngorm ($p = 0.465$; 0.634 ; 0.786 ; 0.574) or Peak District ($p = 0.650$; 0.964 ; 0.327 ; 0.054) rainwater (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The results of this study showed that growth of all three moss species responded differently to the experimental treatments. Both *Sphagnum* species were affected by bulk density and *S. capillifolium* was affected by ash addition, whilst *C. introflexus* was affected by both ash addition and rainwater chemistry. This highlights the importance of considering species individually and indicates that even species with a similar functional niche may respond differently to environmental change. Of

Fig. 3 Boxplot showing dry biomass of *C. introflexus* grown in pots with no ash, leached ash or unleached ash and Cairngorm or Peak District water chemistry ($n = 18$). The horizontal line, box, whiskers, dots and $\diamond$ indicate the median, upper and lower quartiles, minimum and maximum excluding outliers, outliers and mean respectively. Treatments which do not share a letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

the variables measured, cover was best correlated with biomass in all three species (Table 3), suggesting that recording percentage cover would be an appropriate alternative to biomass measurements in experiments where destructive sampling is not possible. Comparison of mean values for biomass and cover indicate that the differences in biomass observed within treatments represented ecologically significant differences in percentage cover (Table 5).

The negative impact of increased bulk density on both *S. capillifolium* and *S. fallax* suggests that water availability to these species was affected at higher bulk density. This could be the result of reduced water storage capacity, increased water retention, and decreased hydraulic conductivity associated with higher bulk densities. These conditions can increase the incidence of low soil water pressures (e.g. below -100mb), which would be unsuitable for *Sphagnum* (Price 1997; Clymo and Hayward 1982). Based on data provided by Boelter (1968) and Thompson and Waddington (2013) the

Fig. 4 Concentrations (natural log $\text{cmol}_c \text{kg}^{-1}$ dry weight) of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ in surface peat samples taken from pots with and without ash additions and either left unleached or leached with 1.5 L of either Cairngorm or Peak District recipe artificial rainwater ($n = 3$). The horizontal line, box, whiskers, dots and $\diamond$ indicate the median, upper and lower quartiles, minimum and maximum excluding outliers, outliers and mean respectively. Treatments which do not share a letter are significantly different ($p < 0.05$)

Table 5 Mean and standard error values for final biomass (g dry weight) and percentage cover of *S. capillifolium*, *S. fallax* and *C. introflexus* according to water chemistry, ash treatment and peat bulk density

Factor	Level	<i>Sphagnum capillifolium</i>		<i>Sphagnum fallax</i>		<i>Campylopus introflexus</i>	
		Biomass (g)	Cover %	Biomass (g)	Cover %	Biomass (g)	Cover %
Bulk density	Normal	0.36 ± 0.08	58 ± 14	0.48 ± 0.12	57 ± 18	0.05 ± 0.03	10 ± 6
	High	0.29 ± 0.07	42 ± 17	0.31 ± 0.09	41 ± 14	0.05 ± 0.02	14 ± 10
Ash addition	None	0.26 ± 0.05	34 ± 14	0.36 ± 0.16	44 ± 19	0.03 ± 0.01	2.2 ± 1.9
	Leached	0.33 ± 0.07	51 ± 12	0.39 ± 0.13	44 ± 17	0.06 ± 0.03	17 ± 7
	Unleached	0.39 ± 0.07	65 ± 9	0.44 ± 0.10	59 ± 15	0.05 ± 0.02	16 ± 5
Rainwater type	Cairngorm	0.34 ± 0.10	50 ± 18	0.38 ± 0.11	48 ± 15	0.04 ± 0.01	10 ± 6
	Peak	0.32 ± 0.07	50 ± 17	0.41 ± 0.15	50 ± 21	0.06 ± 0.03	14 ± 10

difference in bulk density between the normal pots and the compacted pots may equate to a difference in volumetric water content between these treatments of ~0.1 at -100mb. Although water tables in the experiment were within a range considered favourable to *Sphagnum* (2 to 5 cm), the surfaces of many of the high bulk density pots were visibly drier than those with normal bulk density throughout the experiment, suggesting that water was less able to move through the peat matrix to replace evaporative loss at the surface. Clymo and Hayward (1982) identified this as a key potential issue for *Sphagnum*, even when there are relatively shallow water table conditions. In future work, measuring water pressure and/or water content at the peat surface could help to quantify this effect and develop our understanding of critical thresholds for *Sphagnum*. The fact that *C. introflexus* was unaffected reflects the species' lower Ellenberg indicator value for moisture (Table 1) and could indicate a risk of a shift to species which can tolerate lower water availability at high bulk density. Holden et al. (2014) found that peat bulk densities decreased with time since burning, so leaving sites unburned for longer may create more favourable conditions for *Sphagnum* establishment. However, the high bulk density treatment in this experiment was actually lower than many of the bulk densities measured on burned plots by Holden et al. (2014), suggesting that greater limitations to *Sphagnum* establishment may occur in the field. On the other hand, the bulk density - water retention plots presented by Thompson and Waddington (2013) for soil water pressures of -100mb flatten out considerably for bulk densities >0.17 g cm⁻³ and so the effects on water retention, at -100mb, of enhanced bulk density beyond the range we tested

may not be substantially greater in the field for fire affected sites. The normal bulk density treatment was similar to bulk densities measured by Holden et al. (2014) on unburned plots. As water was supplied from below throughout the experiment, water intercepted from precipitation was not accounted for, but past work has shown that dependence on precipitation can increase susceptibility to drought and reduce carbon uptake in *Sphagnum* (Nijp et al. 2014).

The positive impact of ash on *S. capillifolium* was unexpected given previous reports of damage to *Sphagnum* by ash (Dynesius 2012). This may be due to inputs of limiting nutrients since pots with unleached ash produced greater *S. capillifolium* biomass than pots with leached ash. Although concentrations of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺ in peat with leached ash were not significantly different to those without ash, it is possible that small residual quantities of these or other nutrients had an effect, or that another nutrient was less easily leached. An increase in pH as a result of ash addition could also have affected *Sphagnum* growth. *Sphagnum* usually prefers acidic habitats (Clymo 1963), but tolerance ranges and optima can vary between species (Haraguchi 1996). The fact that rainwater chemistry had no impact on either *Sphagnum* species may suggest that the effect was down to a nutrient supplied by the ash and lacking in the rainwater. Phosphorus has been observed to affect *Sphagnum* both positively and negatively depending on the species and concentrations of other nutrients (Carfrae et al. 2007; Li et al. 1993; Sottocornola et al. 2007), but was found by Allen (1964) to leach from ash at a similar rate to magnesium. It is possible that ash addition from burning may facilitate establishment of *S. capillifolium* under some

circumstances, but the benefit may be decreased if burning is shortly followed by a heavy rainfall event that leaches deposited ash. Furthermore, positive effects may be offset by the negative impacts of increased bulk density as well as increased competition from vascular plants and other mosses (including *C. introflexus*) benefiting from ash inputs. The fact that a similar pattern was not observed in *S. fallax* indicates that *Sphagnum* species vary in their responses to ash addition. Work by Brown et al. (2014) suggests that repeated prescribed burning may reduce peat cation exchange capacity, so in the field initial cation enrichment may be followed by depletion in the longer term.

C. introflexus was the only species which showed a response to rainwater chemistry, which is consistent with reports of a positive response to nitrogen increases (Southon et al. 2012). The interaction of rainwater chemistry with ash addition suggests that *C. introflexus* is limited by more than one nutrient, as biomass was greatest under nutrient inputs from both ash and Peak District rain. Neither of the *Sphagnum* species showed a response to rainwater chemistry, which suggests that current atmospheric pollution deposition is not directly limiting to these species. However, there is potential for competitive effects from other species including *C. introflexus* in the field. Given that the Ellenberg value for *S. fallax* indicated a higher nitrogen tolerance than *C. introflexus* (Table 1), a positive response to increased rainwater nutrients may have been expected. However, previous experimental work has shown a negative physiological response of the species to nitrogen increases, and it is suggested that local environmental conditions and supply of other nutrients may play a role in determining net impacts (Granath et al. 2012).

Overall, the results of this study show that anthropogenic influences including fire and atmospheric pollution can impact mosses via both physical and chemical processes. Effects were not consistent across the three species studied and in the case of *S. capillifolium*, ash addition and bulk density increase had opposing effects. The positive effect of ash addition on *S. capillifolium* may indicate that in some instances, ash can facilitate colonisation. However, increased competition from other mosses and vascular plants is likely to be a significant factor in the field. The negative impact of increased bulk density on both *Sphagnum* species indicates that peat physical properties governing water availability are an important factor to consider alongside water table depth

in restoration efforts. Species specific responses highlight the importance of differentiating between moss species to ensure appropriate management and considering both species identity and local environmental conditions when planning *Sphagnum* re-introductions.

Acknowledgements This research was funded by a Natural Environment Research Council studentship [NE/L008572/1] supported by Natural England, awarded to JH, SMP, DG and AC in open competition. We thank Dr. Catherine Moody (University of Leeds) for comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Rachel Gasior and lab staff at the University of Leeds School of Geography are thanked for help with sample processing and ICP-OES analysis.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Alday JG, Santana VM, Lee H, Allen KA, Marrs RH (2015) Above-ground biomass accumulation patterns in moorlands after prescribed burning and low-intensity grazing. Perspectives in plant ecology. *Evol Syst* 17:388–396. doi:10.1016/j.ppees.2015.06.007
- Allen SE (1964) Chemical Aspects of Heather Burning. *J Appl Ecol* 1:347–367. doi:10.2307/2401318
- Allen SE, Evans CC, Grimshaw HM (1969) The Distribution of Mineral Nutrients in Soil after Heather Burning. *Oikos* 20: 16–25. doi:10.2307/3543741
- Boelter DH (1968) Important physical properties of peat materials, paper presented at 3rd International Peat Congress, 18–23 Aug. 1968, Quebec, Canada, Dep. of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada
- Brown LE, Holden J, Palmer SM (2014) Effects of moorland burning on the ecohydrology of river basins. Key findings from the EMBER project. University of Leeds. Available via [water@leeds http://water.leeds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/EMBER_full-report.pdf](http://water.leeds.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/EMBER_full-report.pdf). Accessed 29 Jun 2017
- Brown LE, Holden J, Palmer SM (2016) Moorland vegetation burning debates should avoid contextomy and anachronism: a comment on Davies et al. (2016). *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 371. doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0432
- Bu ZJ, Chen X, Rydin H, Wang SZ, Ma JZ, Zeng J (2013) Performance of four mosses in a reciprocal transplant experiment: implications for peatland succession in NE China. *J Bryol* 35:220–227. doi:10.1179/1743282013y.0000000063
- Buttler A, Grosvernier P, Matthey Y (1998) Development of *Sphagnum fallax* diaspores on bare peat with implications for the restoration of cut-over bogs. *J Appl Ecol* 35:800–810. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2664.1998.355351.x

- Carfrae JA, Sheppard LJ, Raven JA, Leith ID, Crossley A (2007) Potassium and phosphorus additions modify the response of *Sphagnum capillifolium* growing on a Scottish ombrotrophic bog to enhanced nitrogen deposition. *Appl Geochem* 22: 1111–1121. doi:[10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.03.002)
- Carroll J, Anderson P, Caporn S, Eades P, O'Reilly C, Bonn A (2009) *Sphagnum* in the Peak District - Current Status and Potential for Restoration Moors for the Future Report No 16
- Clymo RS (1963) Ion Exchange in *Sphagnum* and its Relation to Bog Ecology. *Ann Bot* 27:309–324
- Clymo RS, Hayward PM (1982) The ecology of *Sphagnum*. In: smith AJE (ed) *bryophyte ecology*. Springer Netherlands, pp 229–289. doi:[10.1007/978-94-009-5891-3_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-5891-3_8)
- Dougill AJ et al (2006) Learning from doing participatory rural research: lessons from the Peak District National Park. *J Agric Econ* 57:259–275. doi:[10.1111/j.1477-9552.2006.00051.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2006.00051.x)
- Douglas DJT, Buchanan GM, Thompson P, Amar A, Fielding DA, Redpath SM, Wilson JD (2015) Vegetation burning for game management in the UK uplands is increasing and overlaps spatially with soil carbon and protected areas. *Biol Conserv* 191:243–250. doi:[10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.014)
- Dynesius M (2012) Responses of bryophytes to wood-ash recycling are related to their phylogeny and pH ecology Perspectives in plant ecology. *Evol Syst* 14:21–31. doi:[10.1016/j.ppees.2011.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.09.002)
- Equihua M, Usher MB (1993) Impact of Carpets of the Invasive Moss *Campylopus introflexus* on *Calluna vulgaris* Regeneration. *J Ecol* 81:359–365. doi:[10.2307/2261506](https://doi.org/10.2307/2261506)
- Evans CD et al. (2014) Relationships between anthropogenic pressures and ecosystem functions in UK blanket bogs: linking process understanding to ecosystem service valuation ecosystem services 9:5–19 doi:[10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.06.013)
- Ferguson P, Lee JA, Bell JNB (1978) Effects of sulphur pollutants on the growth of *Sphagnum* species environmental pollution (1970) 16:151–162 doi:[10.1016/0013-9327\(78\)90129-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-9327(78)90129-5)
- Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) *An R companion to applied regression*. Sage, Thousand Oaks
- Glaves DJ, Morecroft M, Fitzgibbon C, Leppitt P, Owen M, Phillips S (2013) The effects of managed burning on upland peatland biodiversity, carbon and water Natural England evidence review NEER004
- Granath G, Strengbom J, Rydin H (2012) Direct physiological effects of nitrogen on *Sphagnum*: a greenhouse experiment. *Funct Ecol* 26:353–364. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01948.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01948.x)
- Grosvernier P, Matthey Y, Buttler A (1997) Growth Potential of Three *Sphagnum* Species in Relation to Water Table Level and Peat Properties with Implications for Their Restoration in Cut- Over Bogs. *J Appl Ecol* 34:471–483. doi:[10.2307/2404891](https://doi.org/10.2307/2404891)
- Haraguchi A (1996) Effect of pH on photosynthesis of five *Sphagnum* species in mires in Ochiishi. *Northern Japan Wetlands* 16:10–14
- Hill MO, Preston CD, Bosanquet SDS, Roy DB (2007) *BRYOATT*. Attributes of British and Irish Mosses, Liverworts and Hornworts. NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology and Countryside Council for Wales
- Holden J et al. (2007) Environmental change in moorland landscapes earth-science reviews 82:75–100 doi:[10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.01.003)
- Holden J, Wearing C, Palmer SM, Jackson B, Johnston K, Brown LE (2014) Fire decreases near-surface hydraulic conductivity and macropore flow in blanket peat. *Hydrol Process* 28: 2868–2876. doi:[10.1002/hyp.9875](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9875)
- Hoosbeek MR, Van Breemen N, Vasander H, Buttler A, Berendse F (2002) Potassium limits potential growth of bog vegetation under elevated atmospheric CO₂ and N deposition. *Glob Chang Biol* 8:1130–1138. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00535.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00535.x)
- Kasischke ES, Turetsky MR (2006) Recent changes in the fire regime across the North American boreal region—Spatial and temporal patterns of burning across Canada and Alaska. *Geophys Res Lett* 33:9. doi:[10.1029/2006GL025677](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL025677)
- Ketcheson SJ, Price JS (2011) The Impact of Peatland Restoration on the Site Hydrology of an Abandoned Block-Cut Bog. *Wetlands* 31:1263–1274. doi:[10.1007/s13157-011-0241-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-0241-0)
- Lee JA, Press MC, Woodin S, Ferguson P (1987) Responses to acidic deposition in Ombrotrophic mires in the U.K. In: Hutchinson TC, Meema KM (eds) *Effects of atmospheric pollutants on forests, Wetlands and agricultural ecosystems*, vol 16. NATO ASI Series. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp 549–560. doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-70874-9_39](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-70874-9_39)
- Lenth RV (2016) Least-squares means: the R package lsmeans. *J Stat Softw* 69:1–33
- Li Y, Glime JM, Drummer TD (1993) Effects of phosphorus on the growth of *Sphagnum magellanicum* Brid. And *S. papillosum* Lindb. *Lindbergia* 18:25–30
- Lukenbach MC, Devito KJ, Kettridge N, Petrone RM, Waddington JM (2015) Hydrogeological controls on post-fire moss recovery in peatlands. *J Hydrol* 530:405–418. doi:[10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.09.075)
- Malmer N, Albinsson C, Svensson BM, Wallén B (2003) Interferences between *Sphagnum* and vascular plants: effects on plant community structure and peat formation. *Oikos* 100: 469–482. doi:[10.2307/25681921](https://doi.org/10.2307/25681921)
- Moilanen M, Silfverberg K, Hokkanen TJ (2002) Effects of wood-ash on the tree growth, vegetation and substrate quality of a drained mire: a case study. *For Ecol Manag* 171:321–338. doi:[10.1016/S0378-1127\(01\)00789-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00789-7)
- Nijp JJ, Limpens J, Metselaar K, van der Zee SEATM, Berendse F, Robroek BJM (2014) Can frequent precipitation moderate the impact of drought on peatmoss carbon uptake in northern peatlands? *New Phytol* 203:70–80. doi:[10.1111/nph.12792](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12792)
- Parry LE, Holden J, Chapman PJ (2014) Restoration of blanket peatlands. *J Environ Manag* 133:193–205. doi:[10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.11.033)
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Development Core Team (2016) *Nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models*. URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>
- Price J (1997) Soil moisture, water tension, and water table relationships in a managed cutover bog. *J Hydrol* 202:21–32
- Price JS, Whitehead GS (2001) Developing hydrologic thresholds for *Sphagnum* recolonization on an abandoned cutover bog. *Wetlands* 21:32–40. doi:[10.1672/0277-5212\(2001\)021\[0032:dhtfsr\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1672/0277-5212(2001)021[0032:dhtfsr]2.0.co;2)
- Price JS, Heathwaite AL, Baird AJ (2003) Hydrological processes in abandoned and restored peatlands: An overview of

- management approaches. *Wetl Ecol Manag* 11:65–83. doi:[10.1023/a:1022046409485](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1022046409485)
- R Development Core Team (2010) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Rennie S et al. (2015) UK environmental change network (ECN) meteorology data: 1992–2012. NERC Environmental Information Data Centre,
- Robroek BJM, van Ruijven J, Schouten MGC, Breeuwer A, Crushell PH, Berendse F, Limpens J (2009) Sphagnum re-introduction in degraded peatlands: the effects of aggregation, species identity and water table. *Basic Appl Ecol* 10: 697–706. doi:[10.1016/j.baee.2009.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baee.2009.04.005)
- Sagot C, Rochefort L (1996) Sphagnum desiccation tolerance. *Cryptogamie Bryologie Lichenologie* 17:171–183
- Sottocornola M, Boudreau S, Rochefort L (2007) Peat bog restoration: Effect of phosphorus on plant re-establishment. *Ecol Eng* 31:29–40. doi:[10.1016/j.ecoleng.2007.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2007.05.001)
- Southon GE, Green ER, Jones AG, Barker CG, Power SA (2012) Long-term nitrogen additions increase likelihood of climate stress and affect recovery from wildfire in a lowland heath. *Glob Chang Biol* 18:2824–2837. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02732.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02732.x)
- Thompson DK, Waddington JM (2013) Peat properties and water retention in boreal forested peatlands subject to wildfire. *Water Resour Res* 49:3651–3658. doi:[10.1002/wrcr.20278](https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20278)
- Van Seters TE, Price JS (2002) Towards a conceptual model of hydrological change on an abandoned cutover bog. *Quebec Hydrol Process* 16:1965–1981. doi:[10.1002/hyp.396](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.396)
- Vicherova E, Hajek M, Hajek T (2015) Calcium intolerance of fen mosses: physiological evidence, effects of nutrient availability and successional drivers. *Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst* 17:347–359. doi:[10.1016/j.ppees.2015.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2015.06.005)
- Whittaker E (1961) Temperatures in Heath Fires. *J Ecol* 49:709–715. doi:[10.2307/2257233](https://doi.org/10.2307/2257233)
- Wickham H (2009) *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer New York
- Worrall F, Clay G, Marrs R, Reed MS (2010) Impacts of burning management on peatlands - draft scientific review IUCN UK peatland Programme