The three-term recursion for Chebyshev polynomials is mixed forward-backward stable

Alicja Smoktunowicz · Agata Smoktunowicz ·
Ewa Pawelec

Received: 1 February 2014 / Accepted: 25 September 2014 / Published online: 12 November 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract This paper provides an error analysis of the three-term recurrence relation (TTRR) $T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x)$ for the evaluation of the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind $T_N(x)$ in the interval $[-1, 1]$. We prove that the computed value of $T_N(x)$ from this recurrence is very close to the exact value of the Chebyshev polynomial T_N of a slightly perturbed value of x . The lower and upper bounds for the function $C_N(x) = |T_N(x)| + |xT'_N(x)|$ are also derived. Numerical examples that illustrate our theoretical results are given.

Keywords Chebyshev polynomials · Error analysis · Roots of polynomials

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) 65G50 · 65D20 · 65L70

1 Introduction

This paper proves the numerical stability of the three-term recurrence relation (TTRR) of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind ($T_n(x)$):

$$T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1)$$

The research of Agata Smoktunowicz was funded by ERC grant 320974.

A. Smoktunowicz (✉) · E. Pawelec

Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science, University of Technology,
Koszykowa 75, Warsaw, 00-662 Poland
e-mail: smok@mini.pw.edu.pl

E. Pawelec

e-mail: E.Pawelec@mini.pw.edu.pl

A. Smoktunowicz

School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland EH9 3JZ, UK
e-mail: A.Smoktunowicz@ed.ac.uk

where $T_0(x) = 1$, $T_1(x) = x$.

This formula often appears in practical applications. The TTRR is used in Forsythe's method for evaluating polynomials in Chebyshev form $p_N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k T_k(x)$. There are several algorithms for evaluating Chebyshev series (see [1–5, 13]). Clenshaw's and Forsythe's algorithms are recommended. Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind ($T_n(x)$) are widely used in many applications (see [6, 8, 10, 14]). A desirable property for algorithms is numerical stability (see [12, 17]). The term *stability* is sometimes used to refer to the forward or backward analysis of the algorithm. An error analysis of Clenshaw's algorithm in the general case was first provided by D. Elliott in [9]. See also [2–5, 7, 11, 15], where the authors present the forward error bounds for the evaluation of $p_N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k T_k(x)$.

In this paper we study the mixed forward-backward stability of the TTRR (see [12], Section 1.5). A precise definition of mixed forward-backward stability is now given.

Definition 1 An algorithm W of computing $T_N(x)$ is called mixed forward-backward stable with respect to the data x , if the value $\tilde{T}_N(x)$ computed by W in floating point arithmetic satisfies

$$\tilde{T}_N(x) = (1 + \delta_N)T_N((1 + \Delta_N)x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2), \quad |\delta_N|, |\Delta_N| \leq \epsilon_M L, \quad (2)$$

where $L = L(N)$ is a modestly growing function on N and ϵ_M is machine precision.

Simply, the computed value of $T_N(x)$ by mixed forward-backward stable algorithm is very close to the exact value of the Chebyshev polynomial T_N of a slightly perturbed value of x . Throughout this paper, we will ignore the terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2)$. Notice that (see, e.g., Lemma 4.1 in [18]) that the property (2) is equivalent to

$$|\tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x)| \leq \epsilon_M L C_N(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2), \quad (3)$$

where

$$C_N(x) = |T_N(x)| + |xT'_N(x)| = |T_N(x)| + N|xU_{N-1}(x)|, \quad (4)$$

where $U_{N-1}(x)$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. These polynomials satisfy the recurrence relations

$$U_n(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) - U_{n-2}(x), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \quad (5)$$

where $U_0(x) = 1$, $U_1(x) = 2x$.

The paper has been organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic properties of the Chebyshev polynomials. In Section 3, we will use these properties in a derivation of the lower and upper bounds for $C_n(x)$. In Section 4, we present the error analysis for the TTR. We prove that the TTRR is mixed forward-backward stable in the sense of (3) (which is equivalent to (2)). Finally, in Section 5 we present some numerical experiments performed in *MATLAB*.

2 Preliminaries

We will need some properties of the Chebyshev polynomials (see [14, 16]). For $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, we have $T_n(x) = \cos(n\Theta)$, where $\Theta = \arccos x$ and $U_{n-1}(x) = \sin(n\Theta)/\sin \Theta$ for $0 < x < 1$.

The following identities hold:

$$U_{n-1}(x) = \frac{T'_n(x)}{n},$$

$$T_n(-x) = (-1)^n T_n(x), \quad U_n(-x) = (-1)^n U_n(x).$$

The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind satisfy the following differential equations;

$$(1 - x^2)T''_n(x) - xT'_n(x) + n^2T_n(x) = 0 \quad (6)$$

and

$$T_n^2(x) + \frac{1 - x^2}{n^2} T_n'^2(x) = 1. \quad (7)$$

The last equality is a consequence of the trigonometric identity $\cos^2 n\theta + \sin^2 n\theta = 1$.

For $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$ we have the upper bounds

$$|T_n(x)| \leq |T_n(1)| = 1, \quad |U_n(x)| \leq |U_n(1)| = n + 1 \quad (8)$$

and for $-1 < x < 1$

$$|U_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}. \quad (9)$$

The roots (t_i) of $T_n(x)$ are distinct and belong to $(-1, 1)$:

$$t_i = \cos \frac{(2i - 1)\pi}{2n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (10)$$

The roots (u_i) of $T'_n(x)$ (i.e. the roots of $U_{n-1}(x)$) are:

$$u_i = \cos \frac{i\pi}{n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1. \quad (11)$$

Then $-1 < t_n < u_{n-1} < \dots < u_1 < t_1 < 1$ and

$$T_n(u_i) = (-1)^i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1. \quad (12)$$

For $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and $m = 0, 1, \dots$ we get

$$|T_{2m+1}(x)| \leq (2m + 1)|x|, \quad |U_{2m+1}(x)| \leq 2(m + 1)|x|. \quad (13)$$

3 Lower and upper bounds for $C_n(x)$

Since $C_n(-x) = C_n(x)$ for all x , we restrict our considerations to the interval $[0, 1]$. From (8) it follows that $C_n(x) \leq C_n(1) = n^2 + 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. By (11)–(12), we have $C_n(u_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. If n is odd then $C_n(0) = 0$ (Figs. 1 and 2).

Fig. 1 Plot of $y = C_4(x)$

Fig. 2 Plot of $y = C_5(x)$

Theorem 1 *Let n be a natural number. Assume that $s_n \leq x \leq 1$, where*

$$s_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2 + 1}}. \quad (14)$$

Then we have

$$C_n(x) = |T_n(x)| + |xT'_n(x)| \geq 1. \quad (15)$$

Proof Notice that the inequality $x^2 \geq s_n^2$ is equivalent to $x^2(n^2 + 1) \geq 1$, hence $x^2 \geq \frac{1-x^2}{n^2}$. From this and (7) we get

$$C_n^2(x) \geq T_n^2(x) + x^2 T_n'^2(x) \geq T_n^2(x) + \frac{1-x^2}{n^2} T_n'^2(x) = 1.$$

The proof is now complete. $\square$

Theorem 2 *Let n be a natural number. Assume that $0 \leq x \leq s_n$, where s_n is defined by (14). Then*

- (i) $C_n(x) \geq n|x|$ for all n ,
- (ii) $C_n(x) \geq 1$ for even n .

Proof We consider case (i). Clearly, by (14) $1 \geq n^2 x^2$, and since $0 \leq x \leq s_n$, we get

$$C_n^2(x) \geq T_n^2(x) + x^2 T_n'^2(x) \geq n^2 x^2 T_n^2(x) + x^2 T_n'^2(x) \geq x^2 n^2 \left(T_n^2(x) + \frac{1}{n^2} T_n'^2(x) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$C_n^2(x) \geq x^2 n^2 \left(T_n^2(x) + \frac{1-x^2}{n^2} T_n'^2(x) \right) = x^2 n^2,$$

due to (7). Therefore, $C_n(x) \geq n|x|$. This completes the proof of case (i).

Now we consider case (ii). Let $n = 2m$. We first prove that T_{2m} has no roots in $(0, s_{2m})$. By (10), we need to show that

$$t_m = \cos \frac{(2m-1)\pi}{4m} > s_{2m}. \quad (16)$$

Notice that

$$t_m = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4m} \right) = \sin \frac{\pi}{4m}.$$

Since $0 < \Theta < \tan \Theta$ for all $0 < \Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $\tan^2 \Theta > \Theta^2$. From this it follows that $\sin^2 \Theta > \frac{\Theta^2}{1+\Theta^2}$. Substituting $\Theta = \pi/4m$ in the above inequality leads to

$$t_m^2 > \frac{\pi^2}{16m^2 + \pi^2} > \frac{1}{4m^2 + 1} = s_{2m}^2,$$

so $t_m > s_{2m}$. This finishes the proof of (16).

We see that T_{2m} has no roots in $(0, s_{2m})$. Moreover, $T_{2m}(0) = (-1)^m$ and $T'_{2m}(0) = 0$. We conclude from (10)–(11) that 0 is the only root of T'_{2m} in the interval $(-s_{2m}, s_{2m})$. Notice that T_{2m} and T''_{2m} are even, i.e. $T_{2m}(-x) = T_{2m}(x)$ and

$T_{2m}''(-x) = T_{2m}''(x)$ for all x . T_{2m}' is odd, that is, $T_{2m}'(-x) = -T_{2m}'(x)$. Thus we see that the polynomials T_{2m} and T_{2m}' do not change the signs in $(0, s_{2m})$.

More precisely, if m is even, then for all $0 < x < s_{2m}$ we have $T_{2m}(x) > 0$ and $T_{2m}'(x) < 0$, hence $C_{2m}(x) = T_{2m}(x) - xT_{2m}'(x)$. Similarly, if m is odd then $T_{2m}(x) < 0$ and $T_{2m}'(x) > 0$, so $C_{2m}(x) = -T_{2m}(x) + xT_{2m}'(x)$. We see that $C_{2m}'(x) = -T_{2m}''(x)$ if m is even and $C_{2m}'(x) = T_{2m}''(x)$ otherwise.

By (6) for $n = 2m$, we obtain the formula

$$(1 - x^2)T_{2m}''(x) = xT_{2m}'(x) - 2m^2T_{2m}(x).$$

We see that for all $0 < x < s_{2m}$ we have $T_{2m}''(x) < 0$ if m is even and $T_{2m}''(x) > 0$ if m is odd. We conclude that $C_{2m}'(x) > 0$ for any m , so $C_{2m}(x)$ is increasing in the interval $(0, s_{2m})$. This gives the lower bound $C_{2m}(x) \geq C_{2m}(0) = 1$. The proof of our theorem is now complete. $\square$

4 Error analysis

We analyze the rounding errors in the TTRR. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let $\tilde{T}_n(x)$ denote the quantities computed by the TTRR in floating point arithmetic fl with machine precision ϵ_M . Assume that x is exactly representable in fl ($fl(x) = x$) and $x \in [-1, 1]$. Then*

$$|\tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x)| \leq \epsilon_M E_N(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2), \quad (17)$$

where

$$E_N(x) = \sum_{n=2}^N (2|x| |T_{n-1}(x)| + |T_n(x)|) |U_{N-n}(x)|. \quad (18)$$

Proof Note that $\tilde{T}_0(x) = 1$, $\tilde{T}_1(x) = x$ and for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ we have

$$\tilde{T}_n(x) = (2x \tilde{T}_{n-1}(x)(1 + \alpha_n) - \tilde{T}_{n-2}(x))(1 + \beta_n), \quad |\alpha_n|, |\beta_n| \leq \epsilon_M.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{T}_n(x) = 2x \tilde{T}_{n-1}(x) - \tilde{T}_{n-2}(x) + \xi_n, \quad \xi_n = 2x \tilde{T}_{n-1}(x) \alpha_n + \frac{\beta_n}{1 + \beta_n} \tilde{T}_n(x). \quad (19)$$

Let $e_n = \tilde{T}_n(x) - T_n(x)$. We observe that $e_0 = e_1 = 0$ and $e_n = 2xe_{n-1} - e_{n-2} + \xi_n$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots, N$. From this it follows that $e_N = \tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x) = \sum_{n=2}^N U_{N-n}(x) \xi_n$. Therefore, $|e_N| \leq \sum_{n=2}^N |U_{N-n}(x)| |\xi_n|$. This, together with (19), leads to

$$|\xi_n| \leq \epsilon_M (2|x| |T_{n-1}(x)| + |T_n(x)|) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2), \quad (20)$$

hence

$$|e_N| \leq \epsilon_M \sum_{n=2}^N (2|x| |T_{n-1}(x)| + |T_n(x)|) |U_{N-n}(x)| + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2). \quad (21)$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is now complete. $\square$

Now our task is to bound $E_N(x)$.

Theorem 3 *Let $E_N(x)$ be defined by (18). Then we have*

$$E_N(x) \leq E_N(1) = \frac{3N(N-1)}{2} \quad \text{for } x \in [-1, 1] \quad (22)$$

and

$$E_N(x) \leq \frac{3(N-1)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \quad \text{for } x \in (-1, 1). \quad (23)$$

If N is odd and $|x| \leq s_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2+1}}$ then

$$E_N(x) \leq \frac{5(N-1)(N+7)}{8} |x|. \quad (24)$$

Proof It is obvious that for every $x \in [-1, 1]$ we have

$$E_N(x) \leq E_N(1) = 3 \sum_{n=2}^N (N-n+1) = \frac{3N(N-1)}{2}.$$

This completes the proof of (22).

If $-1 < x < 1$ then by (8)–(9) and (18) we get

$$E_N(x) \leq 3 \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \frac{3(N-1)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}.$$

This finishes the proof of (23).

Now assume that N is odd and $|x| \leq s_N$. We rewrite (18) as follows

$$E_N(x) = A_N(x) + B_N(x), \quad (25)$$

where

$$A_N(x) = 2|x| \sum_{n=2}^N |T_{n-1}(x)| |U_{N-n}(x)|, \quad (26)$$

$$B_N(x) = \sum_{n=2}^N |T_n(x)| |U_{N-n}(x)|. \quad (27)$$

Notice that (9) gives

$$|U_k(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s_N^2}} \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq s_N, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (28)$$

This, together with the inequality $|T_{n-1}(x)| \leq 1$, gives

$$A_N(x) \leq 3|x|(N-1). \quad (29)$$

Table 1 The error (33) for the TTRR

N	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024
Error (33)	5.25	11.00	21.78	35.00	66.00	165.00	280.75	679.62

To estimate $B_N(x)$ for $N = 2m + 1$, we split it as follows:

$$B_N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m |T_{2k}(x)| |U_{N-2k}(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^m |T_{2k+1}(x)| |U_{N-(2k+1)}(x)|.$$

Note that (13) implies the following upper bounds (for the polynomials of the odd degrees):

$$|U_{N-2k}(x)| \leq (N - 2k + 1) |x|, \quad |T_{2k+1}(x)| \leq (2k + 1) |x|.$$

From this and (28) it follows that

$$B_N(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m (N - 2k + 1) |x| + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (2k + 1) |x|.$$

The last inequality, together with (25) and (29), leads to

$$E_N(x) \leq (3(N - 1) + m(N - m) + \frac{3}{2} m(m + 2)) |x|.$$

Since $m = (N - 1)/2$, we immediately get (24). $\square$

The bounds (22,23) are not new, see Barrio [2, 5]. To our knowledge, the bound (24) is however new, and allows us to prove the mixed forward-backward stability of the TTRR for all $x \in [-1, 1]$.

By Theorems 1–3 and Lemma 1 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *The TTRR is mixed forward-backward stable in $[-1, 1]$. For every $N \geq 2$ we have*

$$|\tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x)| \leq \epsilon_M \frac{3N(N - 1)}{2} C_N(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2) \quad \text{for } x \in [-1, 1] \quad (30)$$

and

$$|\tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x)| \leq \epsilon_M 7(N - 1) C_N(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2) \quad \text{for } x \in [-0.9, 0.9]. \quad (31)$$

Moreover, if N is odd and $|x| \leq s_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2 + 1}}$, then

$$|\tilde{T}_N(x) - T_N(x)| \leq \epsilon_M \frac{5(N - 1)(N + 7)}{8N} C_N(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_M^2). \quad (32)$$

We see that $L(N)$ in (2) is of order N in $(-1, 1)$ for x not too close to ± 1 and of order N^2 near ± 1 .

Table 2 The relative error $R_N(x)$

N	10	10^2	10^3	10^4	10^5	10^6
$R_N(0)$	5	50	500	$5 \cdot 10^3$	$5 \cdot 10^4$	$5 \cdot 10^5$
$R_N(0.5)$	1.63	1.96	1.99	1.99	2.00	2.00
$R_N(0.9)$	1.33	1.61	1.48	1.50	1.48	1.75
$R_N(\cos(2\pi/N))$	14.70	$1.51 \cdot 10^3$	$1.51 \cdot 10^5$	$1.50 \cdot 10^7$	$1.62 \cdot 10^7$	$4.33 \cdot 10^6$
$R_N(\cos(\pi/N))$	29.41	$3.03 \cdot 10^3$	$3.03 \cdot 10^5$	$2.91 \cdot 10^7$	$8.24 \cdot 10^6$	$1.06 \cdot 10^5$
$R_N(1)$	1.33	1.48	1.49	1.49	1.50	1.50

5 Numerical tests

To illustrate our results, we present numerical tests in *MATLAB* with machine precision $\epsilon_M = 2^{-52} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$. We compare the results computed by the TTRR with the exact values of the Chebyshev polynomial $T_N(x)$. They were obtained by implementing the TTRR in high precision using the VPA (Variable Precision Arithmetic) function from *MATLAB*'s Symbolic Math Toolbox, and then rounded to 16th decimal digits. We compute the relative error

$$e_N = \frac{\max_{x \in S} |T_N(x) - \tilde{T}_N(x)|}{\epsilon_M}. \quad (33)$$

Here S consists of the equally spaced checkpoints $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{201}$ from the interval $[-1, 1]$, i.e. $t_i = -1 + (i - 1)/100$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 201$ (Table 1). In order to show that our theoretical bounds are realistic, we evaluated $R_N(x) = E_N(x)/C_N(x)$ for particular values of x . It is clear that near $x = \pm 1$ the values of R_N are of order N^2 for large N (Table 2). For example, if $\hat{x} = u_1 = \cos(\pi/N)$ (the root of $T'_N(x)$) then $\hat{x}$ is very close to 1, so $E_N(\hat{x}) \approx E_N(1) = \frac{3N(N-1)}{2}$. However, $C_N(\hat{x}) = 1$, so $R_N(\hat{x}) \approx \frac{3N(N-1)}{2}$. Similar conclusion can be found in [2] for a class of parallel algorithms to evaluate Chebyshev series.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to the referees for carefully reading our manuscript and for their fruitful suggestions and remarks, which helped to improve the paper.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Bakhvalov, N.S.: The stable calculation of polynomial values. *J. Comp. Math. Math. Phys.* **11**, 1568–1574 (1971)
2. Barrio, R.: Stability of parallel algorithms to evaluate Chebyshev series. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **41**, 1365–1377 (2001)
3. Barrio, R.: Rounding error bounds for the Clenshaw and Forsythe algorithms for the evaluation of orthogonal series. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **138**, 185–204 (2002)

4. Barrio, R.: A unified rounding error bound for polynomial evaluation. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **19**(4), 385–399 (2003)
5. Barrio, R., Jiang, H., Serrano, S.: A general condition number for polynomials. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **51**(2), 1280–1294 (2013)
6. Berrut, J.-P., Trefethen, L.N.: Barycentric Lagrange interpolation. *SIAM Rev.* **46**(3), 501–517 (2004)
7. Deufhard, P.: On algorithm for the summation of certain special functions. *Computing* **17**, 37–48 (1976)
8. Driscoll, T.A., Hale, N., Trefethen, L.N. (eds.): *Chebfun Guide*. Pafnuty Publications, Oxford (2014)
9. Elliott, D.: Error analysis of an algorithm for summing certain finite series. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8**, 213–221 (1968)
10. Gautschi, W.: *Orthogonal Polynomials: Computation and Approximation* (Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation). Oxford University Press (2004)
11. Gentleman, W.M.: An error analysis of Goertzel's (Watt's) method for computing Fourier coefficients. *Comput. J.* **12**, 160–165 (1969)
12. Higham, N.J.: *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*. SIAM, Philadelphia (1996)
13. Koepf, W.: Efficient computation of Chebyshev polynomials. In: Wester, M.J. (ed.) *Computer Algebra Systems: A Practical Guide*, pp. 79–99. Wiley, New York (1999)
14. Paszkowski, S.: *Numerical Applications of Chebyshev Polynomials*. Warsaw, (in Polish) (1975)
15. Smoktunowicz, A.: Backward stability of Clenshaw's algorithm. *BIT* **42**(3), 600–610 (2002)
16. Szegő, G.: *Orthogonal Polynomials*, rev. ed. New York (1959)
17. Wilkinson, J.H.: *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problems*. Oxford University Press (1965)
18. Woźniakowski, H.: Numerical stability for solving nonlinear equations. *Numer. Math.* **27**, 373–390 (1977) 600–610