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#### Abstract

We prove that any fat, subanalytic compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ possesses a nearly optimal (polynomial) admissible mesh. It is related to particular results that have recently appeared in the literature for very special (globally semianalytic) sets like $N$-dimensional polynomial or analytic graph domains or polynomial and analytic polyhedrons. (Here a good source of references is the recent paper (Piazzon and Vianello, East J Approx 16(4):389-398, 2010).) We also show that an infinitely differentiable map $f$ from a compact set $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ onto a Markov compact set $K$ in $\mathbb{C}^{l}(l \leq N)$ transforms a (weakly) admissible mesh in $Q$ onto a (weakly) admissible mesh in $K$, which extends a result of Piazzon and Vianello (East J Approx 16(4):389-398, 2010) for analytic maps in case $Q$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Versions for $\mathcal{C}^{k}$ maps with sufficiently large $k$ are also given.
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Let $K$ be a compact subset of the $N$-dimensional complex space $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. Let $\mathbb{P}_{d}=$ $\mathbb{P}_{d}\left(\mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$ be the set of all polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ of degree at most $d$ and let $\mathbb{P}=$

[^0]$\bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{d}$. A family $(A(d))_{d=1}^{\infty}$ of finite subsets $A(d)$ of $K$ is said to be a weakly admissible mesh if the cardinality of $A(d)$ grows polynomially when $d \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $\# A(d)=O\left(d^{\alpha}\right)$, for some $\alpha>0$, and there exists a polynomially growing sequence $\{C(d)\}$ of positive constants such that for each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $P \in$ $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ one has
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P\|_{K} \leq C(A(d))\|P\|_{A(d)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $\|h\|_{S}$ stands for the uniform norm sup $|h|(S)$. If moreover $\sup _{d} C(A(d))<$ $\infty$, then $(A(d))$ is said to be an admissible mesh. Suppose that $K$ is $\mathbb{P}$ determining, i.e. for each $P \in \mathbb{P}, P=0$ on $K$ forces $P(z) \equiv 0$. Then by the multivariate Langrange interpolation formula (see e.g. [13, 15]) there is a weakly admissible mesh $(A(d))$ on $K$, where $A(d)$ is a set $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m_{d}}\right\}$ of FeketeLeja type extremal points of $K$ of order $m_{d}:=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{P}_{d}=\binom{N+d}{N}=\mathrm{O}\left(d^{N}\right)$. If $K$ is a Markov compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{N}$, i.e. a compact set that admits a Markov inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla P\|_{K} \leq M d^{r}\|P\|_{K} \quad \text { for all } P \in \mathbb{P}_{d} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positive constants $M$ and $r$ depending only on $K$, then following [3] one can construct an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ on $K$ with $\# A(d)=O\left(d^{2 r N}\right)$ (and with $O\left(d^{r N}\right)$ cardinality, if $\left.K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N} \cong \mathbb{R}^{N}+i 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}\right)$. Observe that $r \geq 1$ if $K \subset \mathbb{C}^{N}$ and $r \geq 2$ for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ (cf also Example 7) and for computational reasons one would like to construct meshes with more modest cardinalities. On the other hand, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}, A(d)$ must be $\mathbb{P}_{d}$-determining, whence $\# A(d) \geq m_{d}$. This leads to the notion of optimal polynomial meshes: an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ is said to be optimal, if $\# A(d)=O\left(d^{N}\right)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. If \# $A(d)=O\left((d \ln d)^{N}\right)$, it is called nearly optimal. The main purpose of this note is to show that nearly optimal meshes can be constructed on fat, compact subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ that are known to admit Markov inequality (2) (see [8]). Let us first recall some basic notions of subanalytic geometry that was developed mainly by Łojasiewicz, Gabrielov and Hironaka.

A subset $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is said to be semianalytic if for each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ one can find a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ and a finite number of real analytic functions $f_{i j}$ and $g_{i j}$ defined in $U$, such that

$$
E \cap U=\bigcup_{i} \bigcap_{j}\left\{f_{i j}>0, g_{i j}=0\right\}
$$

The projection of a semianalytic set need not be semianalytic (cf [2, 7]). The class of sets obtained by enlarging that of semianalytic sets to include images under the projections has been called the class of subanalytic sets. More precisely, a subset $E$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is said to be subanalytic if for each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that $E \cap U$ is the projection of a bounded semianalytic set $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N+M}$, where $M \geq 0$. If $N \geq 3$, the class of subanalytic sets is essentially larger than that of semianalytic sets, the classes being identical if $N \leq 2$. The union of a locally finite family and the
intersection of a finite family of semianalytic (resp. subanalytic) sets is semianalytic (resp. subanalytic). The closure, interior, boundary and complement of a semianalytic (resp. subanalytic) set is still semianalytic (resp. subanalytic), the last property in the case of subanalytic sets being a (non-trivial) theorem of Gabrielov. For an excellent survey on subanalytic geometry, the reader is referred to [2]. In particular, one can find there an elegant proof of a crucial for this theory Hironaka Rectilinearization Theorem which (in a scalar space version) reads as follows.

Theorem 1 Let $E$ be a subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then there are finitely many real analytic mappings $\varphi_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that:
(1) There is a compact subset $K_{j}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, for each $j$, such that $\bigcup_{j} \varphi_{j}\left(K_{j}\right)$ is a neighbourhood of $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
(2) $\varphi_{j}^{-1}(E)$ is a union of quadrants in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

With the aid of the above theorem one can prove (see [8]) the following

Theorem 2 Let $E$ be a bounded, subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of pure dimension $N$. Then there are finitely many real analytic maps $f_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that for each j,

$$
f_{j}\left(J^{N}\right) \subset E \quad \text { and } \quad \bigcup_{j} f_{j}\left(I^{N}\right)=\bar{E},
$$

where $J^{N}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|x_{i}\right|<1, \quad i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, and $I^{N}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:\left|x_{i}\right| \leq\right.$ $1, i=1, \ldots, N\}$.

Subanalytic geometry methods have appeared very useful in polynomial approximation, since they provide tools for investigating regularity of the pluricomplex Green's function (see e.g. [8, 9, 12, 13]). As an example, we refer the reader to an important application of Hironaka's theorem (in version of Theorem 2) which is the following

Corollary 3 [8] If $K$ is a fat (i.e. $K \subset \overline{\text { int } K}$ ) compact subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then it admits Markov's inequality (2).

Actually, in [8], it has been shown essentially more, namely that the set $K$ of the above corollary is UPC, i.e. it is uniformly polynomially cuspidal and consequently, its pluricomplex Green function is Hölder continuous in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$.

We shall need a multidimensional version of the well-known BernsteinWalsh theorem which is due to Siciak [15].

Theorem 4 Let $K$ be a compact subset of the space $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. Assume that $K$ is polynomially convex, i.e. $K=\hat{K}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{N}:|p(z)| \leq\|p\|_{K}\right.$ for all $\left.p \in \mathbb{P}\right\}$. If $f$ is a holomorphic function in an open neighbourhood of $K$ then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\operatorname{dist}_{K}\left(f, \mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}<1
$$

One can also easily prove the following
Lemma 5 (cf [13]) If $K$ is a Markov compact set in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ then for every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{P}_{d}(d=1,2 \ldots)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(z)| \leq e^{N}\|P\|_{K} \quad \text { if } \operatorname{dist}(z, K) \leq \frac{1}{M d^{r}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ and $r$ are the constants of inequality (2).
Now we can state the main result of this paper.
Theorem 6 Let $K$ be a fat, compact subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then one can construct an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ on $K$ such that $\# A(d)=O\left((d \ln d)^{N}\right)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Let

$$
f_{j}=\left(f_{j, 1}, \ldots, f_{j, N}\right): \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}(j=1, \ldots, m)
$$

be real analytic functions of Theorem 2 for $\bar{E}=K$. Let $P \in \mathbb{P}_{d}$. Choose a point $w \in K$ such that $|P(w)|=\|P\|_{K}$. Then there is $j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $w \in f_{j}(I)$. Now choose $x \in I$ such that $w=f_{j}(x)$. Since any compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is polynomially convex, by Theorem 4 there exist polynomials $P_{n, k} \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, and constants $L>0$ and $a \in(0,1)$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{j, k}-P_{n, k}\right\| \leq L a^{n}=: \varepsilon_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, N$. Set $P_{n}=\left(P_{n, 1}, \ldots, P_{n, N}\right)$. Let $w^{n}=P_{n}(x)$. Then $\| w-$ $w^{n}\|=\| f_{j}(x)-P_{n}(x) \| \leq \sqrt{N} \varepsilon_{n}$. Let $(A(d))_{d=1}^{\infty}$ be an optimal admissible mesh in the cube $I$. (It is well-known that such meshes exist; e.g. one can take the Cartesian product of a one dimensional mesh $Y(d)$ on $[-1,1]$ with $\# Y(d)=$ $O(d)$, constructed in [4], chap. 3, sec.7, Lemma 3.) By the mean value theorem, Lemma 5 and Markov's inequality (2), we have

$$
\left|P(w)-P\left(w^{n}\right)\right| \leq\|\nabla P\|_{\left[w, w^{n}\right]}\left\|w-w^{n}\right\| \leq N e^{N} M d^{r}\|P\|_{K} \varepsilon_{n}
$$

provided $\sqrt{N} \varepsilon_{n} \leq \frac{1}{M d^{r}}$. Hence, setting $\varphi(d, n):=N e^{N} M d^{r} \varepsilon_{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\|P\|_{K} & =|P(w)| \leq\left|P(w)-P\left(w^{n}\right)\right|+\left|P\left(w^{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \varphi(d, n)\|P\|_{K}+C\|P\|_{P_{n}(A(d n))} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

with $C=C(A(d)) \geq 1$, as $\sqrt{N} \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. By a similar way, we shall now estimate $\|P\|_{P_{n}(A(d n))}$. Let $z \in P_{n}(A(d n))$ be such that $|P(z)|=\|P\|_{P_{n}(A(d n))}$. Choose $y \in A(d n)$ so that $P_{n}(y)=z$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|P(z)| & \leq\left|P\left(P_{n}(y)\right)-P\left(f_{j}(y)\right)\right|+\left|P\left(f_{j}(y)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \varphi(d, n)\|P\|_{K}+C\|P\|_{f_{j}(A(d n))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (5),

$$
\|P\|_{K} \leq \varphi(d, n)\|P\|_{K}+C \varphi(d, n)\|P\|_{K}+C^{2}\|P\|_{A^{\prime}(d n)}
$$

where $A^{\prime}(d n):=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} f_{j}(A(d n))$, provided $\sqrt{N} \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. Now, it is easily seen that there is a sequence $n(d)=O(\ln d)$ of positive integers such that $\varphi(d, n(d)) \leq \frac{C}{4}$ and $\sqrt{N} \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. Then

$$
\|P\|_{K} \leq 2 C^{2}\|P\|_{A^{\prime}(d n(d))}
$$

One also verifies that $\# A^{\prime}(d n(d))=O\left((d \ln d)^{N}\right)$.
In general, Theorem 6 gives better estimates of the cardinality of accessible meshes in subanalytic sets than those yielded by [3, Theorem 5]. This is seen by the following

Example 7 Consider the set

$$
K=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq x_{1} \leq 1,0 \leq x_{2} \leq g\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $g$ is an analytic function in an open neighbourhood of $[0,1]$ such that $0<g\left(x_{1}\right) \leq x_{1}^{p}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $K$ is a semianalytic set, whence by Corollary 3 it is Markov. Its Markov exponent $r$ has to be greater than $M \frac{p}{\ln p}$ for $p$ sufficiently large, which can be easily seen by considering the polynomials $P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}$. (Actually, if $g\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{p}$, then by Goetgheluck [5] $r=$ $2 p$.) Thus Markov's exponent of $K$ could be as large as we want. By Theorem 6 one can construct an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ in $K$ with $\# A(d)=O\left((d \ln d)^{2}\right)$, as $d \rightarrow \infty$, while by [3, Theorem 5] we know only that there exists an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ in $K$ with \# $A(d)=O\left(d^{2 r}\right)$.

The idea of applying Markov's inequality and the mean value theorem to constructing admissible meshes goes back to Cheney and it has been described in his monograph [4] in the case of univariate polynomial approximation. In the proof of the above theorem we also exploit the possibility of rapid (geometric) approximation of analytic maps by polynomials. Such a method has also been used by the authors of the recent interesting paper [10], where they prove the following

Theorem 8 Let $K$ be a Markov compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ and let $Q$ be a $\mathbb{P}$ determining compact set in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ such that $K=f(Q)$, where $f$ is an analytic map in an open neighbourhood of the polynomial hull $\hat{Q}$ of $Q$. Let $(A(d)$ ) be a
(weakly) admissible mesh for $Q$. Then there exists a sequence $j(d)=O(\ln d)$ of natural numbers such that $\left(A^{\prime}(d)\right):=((f(A(d j(d))))$ is a (weakly) admissible mesh for $K$ with $C\left(A^{\prime}(d)\right) \asymp C(A(d j(d)))$ and $\# A^{\prime}(d) \leq \# A(d j(d))$.

Observe that in the above theorem we are able to let $f$ have values in the space $\mathbb{C}^{l}$ with $l \leq N$. Let us also note that we cannot directly apply Theorem 8 in the proof of Theorem 6 , since we do not know whether the sets $f_{j}(I)$ are Markov. We only know, by [1], that this is the case if $\operatorname{det}\left[f_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right] \neq 0$ at every point $x \in I$.

Remark 9 In a recent paper [6], Kroó constructs admissible meshes in graph domains in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ that are sets of the type

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{g}:= & \left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: f_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right) \leq x_{k} \leq g_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right),\right. \\
& \left.\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right) \in I^{k-1}, 1 \leq k \leq N\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I^{k}=[0,1]^{k}, 1 \leq k \leq N, f_{1} \equiv 0, g_{1} \equiv 1$ and $0 \leq f_{k}(x) \leq g_{k}(x) \leq 1, x \in$ $I^{k-1}, 2 \leq k \leq N$. (Such domains are also called "normal domains" in textbooks on multiple integrals.) He shows (Proposition 1) that in case the functions $f_{k}$ and $g_{k}$ are algebraic polynomials the domain $K_{g}$ possesses an optimal polynomial mesh. Actually, it immediately follows from the fact that any graph set $K_{g}$ is simply the image of the cube $[0,1]^{N}$ by the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right):= & \left(t_{1},\left(1-t_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)+t_{2} g_{2}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\left(1-t_{N}\right) f_{N}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N-1}\right)+t_{N} g_{N}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, if $(A(d))$ is an optimal mesh in $I^{N}$ and $F=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{N}\right): \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a polynomial map of degree $s=\max _{1 \leq k \leq N} \operatorname{deg} F_{k}$, then for any polynomial $P$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of degree $d$ one has

$$
\|P\|_{F\left(I^{N}\right)}=\|P \circ F\|_{I^{N}} \leq C\|P \circ F\|_{A(s d)} \leq C\|P\|_{F(A(s d))}
$$

with $\# F(A(s d)) \leq \# A(s d) \leq M s^{N} d^{N}$. The same holds true if $K$ is a finite union of the images $F^{j}\left(I^{N}\right)$ of the unit cube $I^{N}$ by polynomial maps $F^{j}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N}$, in particular if $K$ is a polytope.

If the functions $f_{k}$ and $g_{k}$ are traces on $I^{k-1}$ of real analytic functions then the corresponding graph domain $K_{g}$ is clearly a (global) semianalytic set. Then by Theorem 6 one can construct in $K_{g}$ an admissible mesh $(A(d))$ with $\# A(d)=O\left((d \ln d)^{N}\right)$ which is better than the estimate $\# A(d)=$ $O\left(d^{N} \ln ^{N(N-1)} d\right)$ yielded in such a case by [6, Theorem 1]. Let us add that in the analytic case the cardinality result \# $A^{\prime}(d)=O\left((d \ln d)^{N}\right)$ for $K_{g}$ also follows from Corollary 3 and Theorem 7.

Other typical sets fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 6 are analytic polyhedrons, i.e. compact subsets $K$ of a domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ of the type

$$
K:=\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|h_{j}(x)\right| \leq 1, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

where $h_{j}$ are real analytic functions in $\Omega$.

Now we are going to show that in case $Q$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ Theorem 8 is also valid for $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ maps and even for $\mathcal{C}^{k}$ maps with sufficiently large $k$ depending on Markov's exponent $r$ of inequality (2) and the growth of the sequence $\{C(A(d))\}$.

Theorem 10 Let $Q$ be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and let $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ be a map defined on $Q$, with values in $\mathbb{C}^{l}(l \leq N)$, whose components $f_{j}$ are traces of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Suppose that the set $K=f(Q)$ is Markov. Let $(A(d))$ be a (weakly) admissible mesh in $Q$. Then there is a positive integer $m$ such that $\left(f\left(A\left(m d^{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is a (weakly) admissible mesh in $K$.

Proof By the multivariate Jackson theorem (applied to a cube $I \supset Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ ), one can find polynomials $P_{j, n} \in \mathbb{P}_{n}$ such that the sequence $\varepsilon_{j, n}:=\| f_{j}-$ $P_{j, n} \|_{Q}$ is rapidly decreasing, i.e. for each $k>0, n^{k} \varepsilon_{j, n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $j=1, \ldots, l($ see $[13,16])$. Let $P_{n}=\left(P_{1, n}, \ldots, P_{l, n}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=\max _{j} \varepsilon_{j, n}$. We have $\left\|f-P_{n}\right\|_{Q} \leq \sqrt{l} \varepsilon_{n}$. Take a polynomial $W \in \mathbb{P}_{d}\left(\mathbb{C}^{l}\right)$ and choose $w \in K=f(Q)$ so that $|W(w)|=\|W\|_{K}$. Then, by a similar argument to that of the proof of Theorem 6 (cf also the proof of Theorem 7 in [10]) we arrive at the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|W\|_{K} \leq & \psi(d, n)\|W\|_{K}+C(A(d n)) \psi(d, n)\|W\|_{K} \\
& +C(A(d n))\|W\|_{f(A(d n))}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\psi(d, n):=M l e^{l} d^{r} \varepsilon_{n}$, provided $\sqrt{l} \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. Observe that for each $k>0$ we have

$$
\psi(d, n)=\text { Const. } n^{k} \varepsilon_{n} \frac{d^{r}}{n^{k}} \leq \text { Const. } \sup _{n}\left(n^{k} \varepsilon_{n}\right) \frac{d^{r}}{n^{k}}=C(k) \frac{d^{r}}{n^{k}} .
$$

Consider now two cases.
$1^{\circ} C:=\sup _{d} C(A(d))<\infty$, that is the mesh $(A(d))$ is admissible. We may assume that $C \geq 1$. Then, setting $k=[r]+1$, where $[r]$ denotes the entire part of $r$, one can find a positive integer $m$ such that $C \psi(d, m d) \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\varepsilon_{m d} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. Consequently,

$$
\|W\|_{K} \leq 2 C\|W\|_{f\left(A\left(m d^{2}\right)\right.},
$$

and if \# $A(d)=O\left(d^{\alpha}\right)$ for some $\alpha>0$, we get $\# f\left(A\left(m d^{2}\right)=O\left(d^{2 \alpha}\right)\right.$. Thus $\left(f\left(A\left(m d^{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is an admissible mesh in $K$.
$2^{\circ}$ Suppose $C(A(d))=O\left(d^{\beta}\right)$ for some $\beta>0$. Then again, setting $k=[\beta+$ $r]+1$, we can find a positive integer $m^{\prime}$ such that $C\left(A\left(m^{\prime} d^{2}\right)\right) \psi\left(d, m^{\prime} d\right) \leq$ $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\varepsilon_{m d} \leq 1 / M d^{r}$. This yields the inequality

$$
\|W\|_{K} \leq 2 C\left(A\left(m^{\prime} d^{2}\right)\right)\|W\|_{f\left(a\left(m^{\prime} d^{2}\right)\right)}
$$

Moreover, if \# $A(d)=O\left(d^{\gamma}\right)$ for some $\gamma>0$, then $\# f\left(A\left(m^{\prime} d^{2}\right)\right)=O\left(d^{2 \gamma}\right)$. This means that the mesh $\left(f\left(A\left(m^{\prime} d^{2}\right)\right)\right)$ is weakly admissible.

Remark 11 By a version of the multivariate Jackson theorem in [16], if a map $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ defined on $Q$ extends to a $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}$ map from $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{l}$, then for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$,

$$
\sup _{n} n^{k} \varepsilon_{n} \leq C(k) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k+1}\left\|D^{\alpha} f_{j}\right\|_{I} \leq D(k, f),
$$

where $I$ is a compact cube in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ containing the set $Q$. Then, if the mesh $(A(d))$ is admissible, Theorem 10 holds if $f$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{[r]+2}$ map, and if $C(A(d))=O\left(d^{\beta}\right)$ ( $\beta>0$ ), then Theorem 10 is valid for any $\mathcal{C}^{[\beta+r]+2}$ map $f$.

Remark 12 By a non-trivial result of [11], bounded, fat and definable sets in some polynomially bounded o-minimal structures generated by special classes of $C^{\infty}$ functions in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ are uniformly polynomially cuspidal, whence by [8] they are Markov. This is e.g. the case of the Rolin-Speissegger-Wilkie structure (cf [14]) generated by the Denjoy-Carleman classes of quasianalytic functions with partial derivatives tempered by a strongly logarithmically convex sequence $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$. In [11], Pierzchała has proved a version of Theorem 2 for such a structure. Thus it should be possible to extend Theorem 6 to the case of definable sets in the Rolin-Speissegger-Wilkie o-minimal structure.
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