

Erratum to: Development and Validation of a Clinical Prediction Rule of the Return-to-Work Status of Injured Employees in Minnesota

A. Bentley Hankins¹ · Christine A. Reid²

Published online: 7 August 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Erratum to: J Occup Rehabil DOI 10.1007/s10926-015-9568-3

Unfortunately, the return-to-work (RTW) rates for the binary variable attorney involvement in Table 1 are mistakenly transposed in the original publication of the article.

The correct RTW rates are 41.8 % for injured employees with an attorney involved and 86.8 % for injured employees with no attorney involved in their workers' compensation claim. The corrected portion of Table 1 is given below:

Variable	n	%	RTW %
Attorney involvement			
Attorney involved	8,376	54.5	41.8
No attorney involved	6,996	45.5	86.8

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10926-015-9568-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-015-9568-3).

✉ A. Bentley Hankins
abhankins@hankinsvoccon.com

¹ Hankins and Hankins Vocational Consulting, 115 West Main Street, Jonesborough, TN 37659, USA

² Department of Rehabilitation Counseling, School of Allied Health Professions, Virginia Commonwealth University, P.O. Box 980330, Richmond, VA 23298, USA