The Crystal Structure of Fluphenazinium Dipicrate Dimethylsulphoxide Solvate

Grzegorz Dutkiewicz · B. P. Siddaraju ·
H. S. Yathirajan · B. Narayana · Maciej Kubicki

Received: 5 May 2010 / Accepted: 10 May 2010 / Published online: 20 May 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The title compound, $C_{22}H_{28}F_3N_3OS^{2+} \times 2(C_6H_2N_3O_7^-) \times (CH_3)_2SO$ —the picrate salt of the potent antipsychotic drug, fluphenazine—crystallizes in the triclinic *P*-1 space group with unit cell parameters $a = 10.6333(12)$ Å, $b = 11.9696(12)$ Å, $c = 17.7036(15)$ Å, $\alpha = 103.265(9)^\circ$, $\beta = 98.414(9)^\circ$, $\gamma = 102.702(10)^\circ$. The ionic fragments: the fluphenazinium cation, and two picrate anions, are joined by means of strong N–H $\cdots$ O and weak C–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds into the chains of alternating cations and anions, expanding along [010] direction. Within the chain, the picrates interact by means of short $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions: the mean distance between the planes is 3.366 Å; additional interaction of the same type between one of the picrates and the phenyl ring of the phenothiazine ring system additionally strengthen the pattern. The phenothiazine ring exists in a typical, “butterfly-like” conformation, with two terminal rings planar and the central ring in a slightly flattened boat form. This conformation results in the dihedral angle between the terminal rings of $41.76(5)^\circ$. The aliphatic chain which substitutes

phenothiazine at N-position is not in an extended conformation, the torsion angles along this chain are $75.95(14)^\circ$ and $-163.96(10)^\circ$. The structure contains also the solvent—dimethylsulphoxide molecules, which are connected with the cation–anion structure by means of strong O–H $\cdots$ O hydrogen bonds.

Keywords Fluphenazine · Phenothiazines · Picrates · Hydrogen bonds · Crystal packing

Experimental

Preparation

Fluphenazine dihydrochloride (5.6 g, 0.01 mol) was dissolved in 25 mL of methanol and picric acid (2.4 g, 0.01 mol) was dissolved in 25 mL of methanol. Both the solutions were mixed and the mixture was stirred in a beaker at 333 K for 1 h and was kept aside for 3 days at room temperature. The formed salt was filtered and dried in vacuum desiccator over phosphorous pentoxide. It was observed that, regardless of the proportions in which the donor and acceptor were mixed, only the 1:2 complex was formed. X-ray quality crystals were obtained from a solution of dimethylsulphoxide after slow evaporation (m.p.: 501–503 K).

Crystallography

Yellow transparent prism-like crystal (0.2, 0.2, 0.08 mm) was used for data collection. Diffraction data were collected at 120(1) K by the ω -scan technique up to $2\theta = 56.5^\circ$, on an Xcalibur Eos diffractometer [1] with graphite-monochromatized MoK $_{\alpha}$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The temperature

G. Dutkiewicz · M. Kubicki (✉)
Department of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University,
Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland
e-mail: mkubicki@amu.edu.pl

B. P. Siddaraju
Department of Chemistry, V. V. Puram College of Science,
Bangalore 560004, India

H. S. Yathirajan
Department of Studies in Chemistry, University of Mysore,
Manasagangotri, Mysore 570 006, India

B. Narayana
Department of Studies in Chemistry, Mangalore University,
Mangalagangotri 574 199, India

was controlled by an Oxford Instruments Cryosystems cooling device. The data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization and absorption effects [1]. Accurate unit-cell parameters were determined by a least-squares fit of 14624 reflections of highest intensity, chosen from the whole experiment. The structure was solved with SIR92 [2] and refined with the full-matrix least-squares procedure on F^2 by SHELXL97 [3]. Scattering factors incorporated in SHELXL97 were used. The function $\sum w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2$ was minimized, with $w^{-1} = [\sigma^2(F_o)^2 + (0.0513P)^2 + 0.13P]$ (where $P = [\text{Max} (F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2]/3$). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms were found in the difference Fourier map, and refined with the isotropic displacement parameters set at 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times the U_{eq} value for appropriate non-hydrogen atom. Relevant crystal data are listed in Table 1, together with refinement details.

Table 1 Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement

Formula	$C_{22}H_{28}F_3N_3OS^{2+} \cdot 2(C_6H_2N_3O_7^-) \cdot C_2H_6OS$
Formula weight	973.87
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	P-1
a(Å)	10.6333(12)
b(Å)	11.9696(12)
c(Å)	17.7036(15)
$\alpha(^{\circ})$	103.265(9)
$\beta(^{\circ})$	98.414(9)
$\gamma(^{\circ})$	102.702(10)
V(Å ³)	2093.0(4)
Z	2
$d_x(\text{g cm}^{-3})$	1.55
F(000)	1008
$\mu(\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.23
Θ range ($^{\circ}$)	3.04–28.19
hkl range	$-13 \leq h \leq 12$ $-14 \leq k \leq 15$ $-15 \leq l \leq 23$
Reflections	
Collected	14624
Unique (R_{int})	8495 (0.012)
With $I > 2\sigma(I)$	6957
Number of parameters	595
R(F) [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.032
wR(F^2) [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.083
R(F) [all data]	0.041
wR(F^2) [all data]	0.085
Goodness of fit	1.074
Max/min $\Delta\rho$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.38/−0.48

CCDC-775478 contains supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, by e-mailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK.

Results and Discussion

Fluphenazine (2-[4-[3-[2-(trifluoromethyl)-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl]propyl]-piperazin-1-yl]ethanol) belongs to the phenothiazine family of antipsychotic drugs used to treat schizophrenia and psychotic symptoms such as hallucinations, paranoia, and hostility. These drugs exert their activity by the blockade of dopaminergic receptors in the brain; they also inhibit alpha adrenoreceptors and muscarinic, cholinergic, histamine, serotonin, and opioid receptors in the central nervous system [e.g., 4, 5]. Fluphenazine is one of the piperazine class of phenothiazines and is extremely potent; more potent than haloperidol and around 50–70 times the potency of chlorpromazine [6]. It is marketed under the different brand names as decanoate (e.g., Modecate, Fludecate), enanthate (e.g., Flunanthate) or hydrochloride (e.g., Prolixin, Dapotum, Lyogen). The identification and differentiation of some phenothiazine picrates have been carried out [7] and a review on various aspects of phenothiazines has been published [8].

The structures of similar neutral molecules have been determined; for instance perphenazine (Cl instead of CF₃, monoclinic Cc [9] and triclinic P1 [10] forms) and The crystal structures of some picrates of phenothiazines [11–15] have recently been reported. The present work reports the crystal structure of the salt formed by the interaction between 2-[4-[3-[2-(trifluoromethyl)-10H-phenothiazin-10-yl]propyl]-piperazin-1-yl] ethanol dihydrochloride and 2,4,6-trinitrophenol in methanol medium. The compound turned out to be a salt, fluphenazinium dipicrate and its crystal structure showed that it crystallizes as the dimethylsulphoxide solvate (Scheme 1).

The conformational preferences of phenothiazine ring system was described by Brock et al. [16]. Since then the number of structures deposited in the Cambridge Structural

Scheme 1 The content of the asymmetric part of the structure

Fig. 1 The histogram showing the conformational preferences of the phenothiazine ring system in the compounds found in the CSD [14]. The plot shows the number of structures found versus the value of the dihedral angle between the planes of the terminal rings

Database ([17]; Version of Nov. 2009) has grown substantially—the number of hits for phenothiazine is 151 (44 in [16])—but the observed preferences has not changed. Figure 1 presents the histogram of dihedral angles between the terminal ring planes for organic phenothiazine derivatives, without additional fused rings. The majority of the compounds have “butterfly-wings” conformation, with the dihedral angles between 25° and 45°.

Table 2 lists some selected geometrical parameters for 1. Figure 2 shows the anisotropic displacement representation of the dictation with labeling scheme. In 1, the phenothiazine ring system adopts the typical “butterfly-like” conformation (Fig. 2): the terminal phenyl rings are approximately planar (maximum deviations of 0.0257 (10) Å) while the central ring is a slightly flattened boat with the S and N atoms above the mean plane made by the remaining four carbon atoms. The asymmetry parameters [18], indicating the deviations from the ideal C_{2v} symmetry, have very low values: ΔC_s^{S1} is 0.78° and ΔC_s [2–7] is 2.44°. This conformation results in the dihedral angle between the terminal ring planes of 41.76 (5)°. This value is close to the mean value for the structures found in the CCDC (cf. Fig. 1). The C15 atom lies in equatorial position (C2–C7–N8–C15 torsion angle is 166.49 (12)°), and this equatorial plane is almost perpendicular to the plane defined by the rest of the bridging atoms (C15–C16–C17–N18 torsion angle is –163.96 (11)°, the dihedral angle is 82.06 (6)°. The piperazine ring is in the almost perfect chair conformation, maximum values of asymmetry

Table 2 Selected geometrical parameters (Å, °)

S1–C2	1.7638(14)	S1–C14	1.7678(14)
C7–N8	1.4151(17)	N8–C9	1.4260(17)
N8–C15	1.4653(17)	C25–O26	1.4191(17)
C31–O32	1.2513(16)	C47–O48	1.2461(16)
S63–O64	1.5063(11)		
C2–S1–C14	97.29(6)	C7–N8–C9	117.24(11)
C33–C31–C43	112.29(12)	C49–C47–C59	111.28(11)
C31–C33–C37	124.47(12)	C47–C49–C53	124.93(12)
C33–C37–C38	118.28(12)	C49–C53–C54	118.74(13)
C37–C38–C42	121.81(12)	C53–C54–C58	121.45(12)
C38–C42–C43	119.06(13)	C54–C58–C59	119.01(12)
C42–C43–C31	123.59(12)	C58–C59–C47	124.42(12)
C65–S63–C66	97.94(9)		
C14–S1–C2–C7	40.99(12)	S1–C2–C7–N8	–5.23(17)
C2–C7–N8–C9	–42.67(17)	C7–N8–C9–C14	43.33(18)
N8–C9–C14–S1	4.19(18)	C9–C14–S1–C2	–40.30(13)
N8–C15–C16–C17	75.95(14)	C15–C16–C17–N18	–163.96(10)
N18–C19–C20–N21	–58.54(14)	C19–C20–N21–C22	57.29(13)
C20–N21–C22–C23	–57.73(13)	N21–C22–C23–N18	58.36(14)
C22–C23–N18–C19	–57.28(13)	C23–N18–C19–C20	57.85(13)
N21–C24–C25–O26	–75.80(14)		
C31–C33–N34–C35	–152.96(14)	C47–C49–N50–O51	147.14(13)
C31–N33–C34–O36	29.60(12)	C47–C49–N50–O52	–35.45(18)
C37–C38–N39–O40	–172.76(13)	C53–C54–N55–O56	–158.83(13)
C37–C38–N39–O41	7.37(19)	C53–C54–N55–O57	20.09(19)
C42–C43–N44–O45	–22.95(19)	C58–C59–N60–O61	–21.08(20)
C42–C43–N44–O46	155.49(13)	C58–C59–N60–O62	158.13(14)

Fig. 2 Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of the dictation together with atom labeling scheme [20]. The ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are depicted as spheres with arbitrary radii

parameters (in this case the ideal symmetry is D_{3d}) are found for ΔC_s^{20} , of 0.94° and ΔC_2 [18, 19] of 1.2°). Both substituents of this ring are in equatorial positions (torsion

Fig. 3 Anisotropic ellipsoid representations of the anions together with atom labeling scheme [20]. The ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are depicted as spheres with arbitrary radii

Table 3 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D–H...A	D–H	H...A	D...A	D–H...A
N18–H18...O48	0.91	1.81	2.6724(14)	158
N21–H21...O32	0.95	1.75	2.6414(14)	157
O26–H26...O64	0.87	1.84	2.7040(16)	171
C3–H3...O61 ⁱ	0.96	2.61	3.4975(18)	154
C4–H4...O45 ⁱⁱ	0.97	2.53	3.4653(19)	163
C13–H13...O64 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.45	3.3773(17)	161
C15–H15A...O40 ^{iv}	0.95	2.58	3.2960(19)	132
C15–H15B...O26 ^v	0.98	2.52	3.2862(17)	135
C16–H16A...O35 ^{vi}	0.99	2.48	3.2791(17)	138
C17–H17A...O62	0.95	2.53	3.1642(16)	124
C19–H19A...O56 ^{vii}	0.99	2.47	3.4142(16)	159
C19–H19B...O62	0.94	2.47	3.0479(17)	120
C20–H20A...O40 ^{viii}	0.94	2.41	3.3055(17)	158
C23–H23A...O32	0.95	2.47	3.1035(17)	124
C23–H23B...O51 ^{ix}	0.90	2.35	3.1611(17)	150
C24–H24A...O36 ^x	0.98	2.47	3.2066(18)	132
C24–H24B...O40 ^{viii}	1.00	2.63	3.4956(18)	145
C25–H25A...O46	0.98	2.61	3.3630(19)	134

Symmetry codes: ⁱ $-x + 2, -y + 2, -z$; ⁱⁱ $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z$; ⁱⁱⁱ $x + 1, y + 1, z$; ^{iv} $x + 1, y + 1, z$; ^v $x + 1, y, z$; ^{vi} $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1$; ^{vii} $x, y - 1, z$; ^{viii} $x, y + 1, z$; ^{ix} $-x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1$; ^x $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$

angles C20–C19–N18–C17–177.21 (11)°, C19–C20–N21–C24–179.34 (11)°).

The picrate anions have similar bond lengths and angles patterns, but their conformations are to some extent different. Figure 3 shows the anisotropic representation of both picrates with labeling schemes. The dihedral angles between almost planar ring and the planes of nitro groups can serve as descriptors of the conformation: in one of the anions (C47–O62) all three nitro groups are significantly twisted (dihedral angles are 36.05 (6)° and 23.24 (7)° for the groups *ortho*-with respect to the C=O(–) fragment and 20.78 (6)° for the *para* group). In the second anion the *para*

Fig. 4 Fragment of the crystal packing as seen along *b*-direction, i.e., along the layer of the molecules [20]

group is closer to coplanarity, the dihedral angle is only 8.74 (2)°. Moreover, even though the two *ortho* groups are similarly twisted (26.45 (6)° and 24.43 (7)°), one of these nitro groups is twisted in opposite sense (cf. Fig. 3).

In the crystal structure there are hydrogen bonds connecting the structural elements of the structure (Table 3). Three strongest hydrogen bond donors, two N–H and one O–H groups from the cation are involved—as expected by Etter's rules [19]—with the best acceptors: two C=O(–) oxygen atoms from picrate anions and carbonyl oxygen of the DMSO molecule (Fig. 4).

A number of relatively short C–H...O contacts join the cations, anions and solvent molecules into complicated three-dimensional structure (cf. Table 3). The picrates from two neighbouring units connect two cations by alternating strong N–H...O and weak C–H...O hydrogen bonds into a hydrogen bonded dimer (Fig. 4). Within this dimer, the relatively short $\pi\cdots\pi$ interactions between the picrate fragments and the picrate and one of the terminal

Fig. 5 Fragment of the crystal packing as seen along b-direction, i.e., along the layer of the molecules [20]

rings of the phenothiazine system additionally stabilize this structure. The distance between the centers of the picrates is 3.471(1) Å, and between the picrate and phenyl—3.874 Å. Taking into account the offset, the interplanar distances are 3.366 and 3.525 Å, respectively. As might be seen from the Fig. 4, two different ‘sides’ of the cation take part in these interactions, so in the crystal structure these dimmers expand into the ribbons along b-direction (Fig. 5).

Acknowledgments CSC thanks University of Mysore for research facilities.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which

permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Oxford Diffraction (2009) CrysAlis PRO (Version 1.171.33.36d). Oxford Diffraction Ltd
2. Altomare A, Casciaro G, Giacovazzo C, Gualardi A (1993) *J Appl Cryst* 26:343
3. Sheldrick GM (2008) *Acta Cryst A* 64:112
4. Lieberman JA, Bymaster FP, Meltzer HY, Deutch AY, Duncan GE, Marx CE, Aprille JR, Dwyer DS, Li X-M, Mahadik SP, Duman RS, Porter JH, Modica-Napolitano JS, Newton SS, Csernansky JG (2008) *Pharmacol Rev* 60:358
5. Cieřlik-Boczula K, Szwed J, Jaszczyzyn A, Gasiorowski K, Koll A (2009) *J Phys Chem B* 113:15495
6. Martindale W (1977) In: Wade A (ed) *The extra pharmacopoeia*. The Pharmaceutical Press, London, pp 1567–1569
7. Yung DK, Pernarowski M (1963) *J Pharm Sci* 52:365
8. Kojlo A, Karpinska J, Kuzmicka L, Misiuk W, Puzanowska-Tarasiewicz H, Tarasiewicz M (2001) *J Trace Microprobe Tech* 19:45
9. McDowell JJH (1978) *Acta Cryst B* 34:686
10. Lyle AJ, Wood C, Srikrishnan T (2008) *J Chem Crystallogr* 38:261
11. Yathirajan HS, Ashok MA, Narayana Achar B, Bolte M (2007) *Acta Cryst E* 63:o1432
12. Yathirajan HS, Ashok MA, Narayana Achar B, Bolte M (2007) *Acta Cryst E* 63:o1691
13. Yathirajan HS, Ashok MA, Narayana Achar B, Bolte M (2007) *Acta Cryst E* 63:o1693
14. Yathirajan HS, Ashok MA, Narayana Achar B, Bolte M (2007) *Acta Cryst E* 63:o1792
15. Yathirajan HS, Ashok MA, Narayana Achar B, Bolte M (2007) *Acta Cryst E* 63:o1795
16. Brock CP, deLaLuz J, Golinski M, Lloyd MA, Vanaman TC, Watt DS (1996) *Acta Cryst B* 52:713
17. Allen FH (2002) *Acta Cryst B* 58:380
18. Duax WL, Norton DA (1975) *Atlas of steroid structures*. Plenum, New York, pp 16–22
19. Etter MC (1990) *Acc Chem Res* 23:120
20. Siemens (1989) *Stereochemical workstation operation manual*. Release 3.4. Siemens analytical X-ray instruments Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA