Erratum to: Choice and placebo expectation effects in the context of pain analgesia

Jason P. Rose · Andrew L. Geers · Heather M. Rasinski ·
Stephanie L. Fowler

Published online: October 11, 2012
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2012

Erratum to: J Behav Med (2012) 35:462–470
DOI 10.1007/s10865-011-9374-0

The original version of this article unfortunately contained errors in Table 1. The corrected table is given below.

Table 1 Pain ratings as a function of choice condition

Measure	Choice condition		No choice condition		Control condition	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
Concurrent pain (s)						
15	2.05	1.49	3.38	2.17	2.57	1.93
30	2.88	1.59	4.52	2.33	4.00	1.86
45	3.65	2.66	6.09	2.43	5.65	1.68
60	3.95	2.93	6.79	2.33	6.54	1.93
VAS post-task pain	29.06	21.61	52.96	20.87	48.59	21.58
Anxiety	2.98	1.56	4.56	2.03	4.54	1.78

Concurrent pain ratings were made on an 11- point scale (0 = *no pain*; 10 = *worst possible pain*) at four time points during the task (after 15, 30, 45, and 60 s). VAS (visual analogue scale) post-task pain ratings were made by marking 100-mm lines, anchored with *no pain/not bad at all* on the left side and *worst pain possible/most unpleasant feeling possible* on the right side. Anxiety ratings were made on a 7-point scale (1 = *not at all anxious*; 7 = *very anxious*). The reported means control for participant age, gender, and baseline pain ratings

The online version of the original article can be found under
doi:[10.1007/s10865-011-9374-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-011-9374-0).

J. P. Rose (✉) · A. L. Geers · H. M. Rasinski ·
S. L. Fowler
Department of Psychology, University of Toledo,
Mail Stop #948, 2801 Bancroft St., Toledo,
OH 43606-3390, USA
e-mail: Jason.Rose4@utoledo.edu