Cyclic symmetry of the scaled simplex

Hugh Thomas · Nathan Williams

Received: 28 August 2012 / Accepted: 22 April 2013 / Published online: 4 May 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract Let Z_m^k consist of the m^k alcoves contained in the m -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove of the type A_k affine hyperplane arrangement. As the fundamental alcove has a cyclic symmetry of order $k + 1$, so does Z_m^k . By bijectively exchanging the natural poset structure of Z_m^k for a natural cyclic action on a set of words, we prove that $(Z_m^k, \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1-q^{mi}}{1-q^i}, C_{k+1})$ exhibits the cyclic sieving phenomenon.

Keywords Cyclic sieving phenomenon · Affine permutations · Type A · Core · Abacus · Young's lattice

1 Introduction

Let Z_m^k consist of the m^k alcoves contained in the m -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove of the type A_k affine hyperplane arrangement. As the fundamental alcove has a cyclic symmetry of order $k + 1$, so does Z_m^k . Let $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ be the set of words of length $k + 1$ on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with sum $m - 1 \pmod{m}$, with the order $k + 1$ cyclic action given by rotation. As the orbit structure of $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ is easily understood, we determine the orbit structure of Z_m^k with the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *There is an equivariant bijection from Z_m^k under its cyclic action to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ under rotation.*

Figure 1 illustrates this bijection for Z_4^2 .

H. Thomas
University of New Brunswick, New Brunswick, Canada
e-mail: hthomas@unb.ca

N. Williams (✉)
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
e-mail: will3089@math.umn.edu

Fig. 1 The 16 alcoves of $\mathcal{Z}_4^2$ are those alcoves contained within the *thick black lines*. Each alcove is labeled with its corresponding word in $\mathcal{W}_4^2$. There are five orbits of size 3 and a single orbit (the alcove in the center) of size 1

The paper is organized as follows. We give a brief history of the relevant work on this problem in Sect. 2. This section also serves as an additional introduction to the paper. In Sects. 3 and 4, we follow C. Berg and M. Zabrocki by interpreting $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ as a poset $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ on $(k+1)$ cores [6]. We do not give the cyclic action directly on the cores—in Sect. 5, we give a combinatorial description of a cyclic action on a poset $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ on words of length k on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, and we then show that $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$. In Sect. 6, we use the cyclic sieving phenomenon to analyze the orbit structure of $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ under rotation and we give the forward direction for an equivariant bijection between $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ and $\mathcal{W}_m^k$. This bijection exchanges the natural poset structure on $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ for a natural cyclic action on $\mathcal{W}_m^k$. To construct the more difficult inverse map, we first generalize in Sect. 7 and then restrict in Sect. 9 a fragment that we had commented out by giving the direct bijection from $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ obtained by composing the above maps. In Sect. 10, we use our bijection to describe a new labeling of regions of the Shi arrangement by parking functions, and we conclude with an open problem in Sect. 11.

In summary, we prove Theorem 1.1 by showing that there are equivariant bijections between the following objects:

$$\mathcal{Z}_m^k \underset{\text{Sects. 3 and 4}}{\simeq} \mathcal{Y}_m^k \underset{\text{Sect. 5}}{\simeq} \mathcal{X}_m^k \underset{\text{Sects. 6, 7, and 9}}{\simeq} \mathcal{W}_m^k.$$

2 History

At a 2007 conference in Rome, R. Suter gave a talk in which he defined a surprising cyclic symmetry of order $k+1$ of a subposet $\mathcal{Y}_2^k$ of Young's lattice, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This was based on his work in 2002 to understand the Abelian ideals of complex simple Lie algebras. The symmetry is due to the fact that the Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{A}_k$ is a cycle of length $k+1$ (see [18] and [19]).

Fig. 2 The Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{Y}_2^4$ and its underlying graph

Fig. 3 The Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{X}_4^2$ and its underlying graph

To give the flavor of R. Suter's result, let $\mathcal{Y}_2^k$ be the subposet of Young's lattice containing those partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell)$ for which $\lambda_1 + \ell \leq k$. The symmetry is revealed by drawing the Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{Y}_2^k$ and then remembering only the underlying graph structure. Figure 2 illustrates this for $k = 4$.

After seeing the striking rotational symmetry on a transparency in R. Suter's talk, V. Reiner conjectured that the graphs would exhibit the cyclic sieving phenomenon [10]. D. Stanton later refined this to the conjecture that there is an equivariant bijection between R. Suter's partitions under their cyclic action and binary words of length $k + 1$ with odd sum under rotation [16].

In 2010, the problem was presented in this form to the second author. The result was proved by giving such a bijection in [21]. This proof allowed for a natural *combinatorial* generalization of the $(k + 1)$ cyclic action to certain posets $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ on words of length k on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Figure 3 illustrates the cyclic symmetry of order 3 for $m = 4$ and $k = 2$ (compare to Fig. 1).

No interpretation of the general posets $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ in terms of partitions was given in [21]. Even more distressing was that the forward direction of the bijection was generalized to a map from $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$, but the inverse was not found. Shortly after this result, M. Visontai was able to solve a system of linear equations to give a proof that the map was invertible for $m = 3$ [20].

Fig. 4 The Hasse diagram of $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$ and its underlying graph

From the other direction, M. Zabrocki—while looking at the Wikipedia page for Young’s Lattice—also came across R. Suter’s result. He and C. Berg gave a natural *geometric* generalization of R. Suter’s poset to $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ as the m -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove in type A_k , from which the cyclic symmetry is intrinsically obvious [6]. They further gave the correct definition for the poset in terms of partitions, by letting $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ be a certain order ideal in the k -Young’s lattice of $(k+1)$ -cores. Figure 4 illustrates the cyclic symmetry of order 3 for $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$.

With these definitions in hand, it is easy to show that $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ and $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ are isomorphic as posets. Understanding the cyclic symmetry of $\mathcal{X}_m^k \simeq \mathcal{Y}_m^k \simeq \mathcal{Z}_m^k$ is therefore equivalent to finding the inverse of the map from $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$. This turned out to be much more difficult than expected, but a proof was finally found at the 2012 Combinatorial Algebra meets Algebraic Combinatorics conference at the Université du Québec à Montréal.

3 $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$: A poset of alcoves

Following M. Zabrocki and C. Berg in [6], we introduce some geometry and define the poset $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ as a dilation of the fundamental alcove.

Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be *simple roots* for the A_k root system in the vector space V with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote the set of all roots for A_k by Φ . We write $\alpha_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$ for the negative of the *highest root*.

For $v \in V$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the *hyperplane* $H_{v,p} = \{x \in V \mid \langle v, x \rangle = p\}$. The type A_k affine hyperplane arrangement is the set of hyperplanes $\{H_{\alpha,p} : \alpha \in \Phi \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let s_i be the *reflection* in the hyperplane $H_{\alpha_i,0}$ and let s_0 be the reflection in $H_{-\alpha_0,1}$. The group generated by $\{s_i\}_{i=0}^k$ is the *affine symmetric group*, which has relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k, \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i \quad \text{if } i - j \neq \pm 1, \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

The *dominant chamber* is the region $\{x : \langle \alpha_i, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. The connected components of $V / \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi, p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{\alpha,p}$ are called *alcoves*. The *fundamental alcove* is the intersection of the dominant chamber with $\{x : \langle -\alpha_0, x \rangle \leq 1\}$.

Definition 3.1 Define a partial order on the alcoves in the dominant chamber by taking the fundamental alcove to be minimal and letting two alcoves have a covering relation when they share a bounding hyperplane (this hyperplane is called a *wall*).

Definition 3.2 ([6]) Let $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ consist of the alcoves contained in the m -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove.

In other words, $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ contains those alcoves in the dominant chamber bounded by the hyperplane $H_{-\alpha_0, m}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ is a dilation of the fundamental alcove, which geometrically exhibits a cyclic symmetry of order $k + 1$ obtained by permuting $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_0$.

4 $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$: A subposet of k -Young's lattice

We conclude our summary of M. Zabrocki and C. Berg's results in [6]. We encode the geometry of the dominant chamber using partition cores and state the result that $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ restricts to a poset $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ containing $(k + 1)$ -cores lying below certain stacks of rectangles. We do not know in general of a simple combinatorial rule to perform the inherited geometric cyclic action explicitly on the cores.

We interpret the poset of the alcoves in the dominant chamber as a poset on certain partition cores.

Definition 4.1 The *hook length* of a box in the Ferrers diagram (in English notation) of a partition is one plus the number of boxes to the right in the same row plus the number of boxes below and in the same column. A $(k + 1)$ -core is a partition with no hook length of size $k + 1$.

We label the (i, j) th box of the Ferrers diagram of a $(k + 1)$ -core λ by its *content* $(j - i) \bmod (k + 1)$. We define an action of the affine symmetric group on $(k + 1)$ -cores λ by letting $s_i \lambda$ (for $0 \leq i \leq k$) be the unique $(k + 1)$ -core that differs from λ only by boxes with content i .

Definition 4.2 Define a partial order on the set of $(k + 1)$ -cores by fixing the covering relations: λ covers μ if $|\lambda| > |\mu|$ and $\lambda = s_i \mu$ for some i .

Theorem 4.1 ([9]) *There is a poset isomorphism between alcoves in the dominant chamber and the poset of $(k + 1)$ -cores.*

One may compute this bijection by observing that the action of the affine symmetric group on the cores parallels the action of reflecting an alcove across one of its bounding hyperplanes.

Using Theorem 4.1, C. Berg and M. Zabrocki specified the maximal elements of $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ in terms of $(k + 1)$ -cores [5].

Definition 4.3 Let $R_{k,i} = (i^{k-i+1})$ be the rectangular partition with $k - i + 1$ parts of size i . For fixed k, m and for $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_{m-1}$, let $R_{k,\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}}$ be the Ferrers diagram obtained by placing the Ferrers diagrams $R_{k,i_1}, R_{k,i_2}, \dots, R_{k,i_{m-1}}$ from northeast to southwest with their corners touching, and then adding all the boxes northwest of these rectangles.

Example 4.1 For $m = 4$ and $k = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2,\{1,1,1\}} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} & R_{2,\{2,1,1\}} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} \\ R_{2,\{2,2,1\}} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} & R_{2,\{2,2,2\}} &= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \hline \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

The boxes belonging to the rectangles R_{k,i_j} are shown in white, while the added boxes are shown in gray.

Definition 4.4 Let $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ be the subposet of k -Young's lattice consisting of all $(k+1)$ -cores contained in some $R_{k,\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}}$.

Theorem 4.2 ([6]) *There is a poset isomorphism between $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ and $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$.*

Figure 4 illustrates the poset $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$ and deforms the graph underlying the poset to reveal the cyclic symmetry of order 3.

Proof sketch One can identify the maximal alcoves as those labeled by some $R_{k,\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}}$. Using Theorem 4.1, we obtain a poset isomorphism between $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ and $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$. $\square$

The graph of $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ therefore inherits a $(k+1)$ -fold cyclic symmetry from $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$. Figure 5 illustrates this geometry.

5 $\mathcal{X}_m^k$: A poset of words of length k

We give a combinatorial definition of a poset $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ on words, show that this poset is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$, and realize the symmetry of both posets as an explicit combinatorial action on the words in $\mathcal{X}_m^k$.

Definition 5.1 Let $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ be the poset of words $\mathbf{x}$ of length k on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with the partial order induced by the following covering relations:

- (1) For $a < m-1$, $\mathbf{y}a < (a+1)\mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{y}$ is a string of length $k-1$ on the alphabet $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) For $b < a$, $\mathbf{y}abz < \mathbf{y}b az$, where $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ are two strings on the alphabet $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, with the total length of the two strings being $k-1$.

Fig. 5 The fundamental alcove is shaded gray. The thick black lines represent the hyperplanes $H_{\alpha_1,0}$, $H_{\alpha_2,0}$, and $H_{-\alpha_0,4}$. The alcoves within this bounded region are filled with their corresponding cores in $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$ and words in $\mathcal{X}_4^2$

Figure 3 illustrates the poset $\mathcal{X}_4^2$. Given a word $\mathbf{x}$ on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, we write $\mathbf{x} - i$ to denote the word obtained by subtracting i from each letter of $\mathbf{x}$ (mod m).

Theorem 5.1 *The graph of $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ has $(k+1)$ -fold cyclic symmetry.*

Proof We will define a cyclic action of order $k+1$ that is a graph isomorphism.

Definition 5.2 Given a word $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_m^k$, form the *extended word* of length $(k+1)m$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x})(m-1)(\mathbf{x}-1)(m-2) \cdots (\mathbf{x}-m+1)(0).$$

That is, for any $1 \leq i \leq (k+1)$, the entries in positions $i, (k+1)+i, 2(k+1)+i, \dots, (m-1)(k+1)+i$ are cyclically decreasing by 1. Let $\phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$ be defined by cyclically rotating $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ left so that its leftmost 0 appears as its rightmost character. This induces an action ϕ on $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ by restricting the resulting word to its first k letters.

An example is given in Fig. 6.

Observe that ϕ is a cyclic action of order $k+1$, since there are $k+1$ zeros in $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. It is now a tedious check to show that ϕ takes edges to edges. Note that ϕ is not a poset isomorphism: it reverses the orientation of some edges.

Orbits of extended words in $\mathcal{X}_4^2$										Orbits of $\mathcal{W}_4^2$		
003	332	221	110	033	322	211	100	333	222	111	000	003
103	032	321	210	303	232	121	010	323	212	101	030	133
013	302	231	120	133	022	311	200	223	112	001	330	012
113	002	331	220	023	312	201	130	233	122	011	300	102
213	102	031	320	203	132	021	310	313	202	131	020	223
				123	012	301	230					232
												111

Fig. 6 When $m = 4$ and $k = 2$, there are five orbits of size 3 and one orbit of size 1

- *Case 1(a)* (An edge of Type (1), where the position of the leftmost zero in $\overline{ya}$ is not $j(k+1)+k$):

Let the position $j(k+1)+i$ be the leftmost zero in $\overline{ya}$, so that $y_i - j = 0$.

Applying ϕ to $y_L y_i y_R a \leq (a+1)y_L y_i y_R$ gives us

$$(y_R - j)(a - j)(m - 1 - j)(y_L - j - 1) \geq (y_R - j)(m - 1 - j)(a - j)(y_L - j - 1),$$

which is an edge of Type (2).

- *Case 1(b)* (An edge of Type (1), where the position of the leftmost zero in $\overline{ya}$ is $j(k+1)+k$):

Let the position $j(k+1)+k$ be the leftmost zero in $\overline{ya}$, so that $a - j = 0$.

Applying ϕ to $ya \leq (a+1)y$ gives us

$$(m - 1 - j)(y - 1 - j) \geq (y - 1 - j)(m - 2 - j),$$

which is an edge of Type (1).

For an edge of Type (2), let the positions of a and b in $yabz$ be given by i and $i+1$.

- *Case 2(a)* (An edge of Type (2), where the position of the leftmost zero in $\overline{yabz}$ is not $a(k+1)+i$ or $b(k+1)+i+1$):

It is clear that the relation

$$yabz \leq ybaz$$

will translate to another edge of Type (2).

- *Case 2(b)* (An edge of Type (2), where the position of the leftmost zero in $\overline{yabz}$ is $a(k+1)+i$ or $b(k+1)+i+1$):

If the leftmost zero is in position $a(k+1)+i$, applying ϕ to $y_L a b y_R \leq y_L b a y_R$ gives us

$$(b - a)(y_R - a)(y_L - a - 1) \geq (y_R - a)(y_L - a - 1)(b - a - 1),$$

which is an edge of Type (1).

If the leftmost zero is instead in position $b(k+1)+i+1$, applying ϕ to $y_L a b y_R \leq y_L b a y_R$ gives us

$$(y_R - b)(y_L - b - 1)(a - b - 1) \leq (a - b)(y_R - b)(y_L - b - 1),$$

which is again an edge of Type (1). $\square$

Theorem 5.2 (C.f. [21] when $m = 2$) *There is a poset isomorphism between $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ and $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$. The geometric symmetry of the underlying graph is realized by the cyclic action ϕ .*

To efficiently define this isomorphism, we first recall the abacus model.

Definition 5.3 Given a partition, we read off the path formed by the boundary of its Ferrers diagram from top right to bottom left as a *boundary word*, where a 1 records a step left and a 0 records a step down.

3-core in $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$			
Boundary word	011 010 000	010 010 000	011 010 010
Abacus display	0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0
Word in $\mathcal{X}_4^2$	21	20	31

Fig. 7 The poset isomorphism given in Theorem 5.2 from an orbit of $\mathcal{Y}_4^2$ to the corresponding orbit of $\mathcal{X}_4^2$

If we are further given a positive integer $k + 1$, we may form an *abacus display* with $k + 1$ runners (labeled $0, 1, \dots, k$) by breaking the boundary word into consecutive runs of length $k + 1$ and then stacking them. Given a $(k + 1)$ -core contained in $R_{k, \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}}$, we will choose the particular representative of it as an abacus display with $k + 1$ runners by forcing the first zero of the boundary word to lie in the leftmost column.

The first three rows of Fig. 7 illustrate the construction of the abacus representative from a partition. We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof Since the partition is a core, the columns will be flush [8]. We may therefore recover this display from the word $x_1 \cdots x_k$, where x_i counts the number of ones in the i th column (occurring after the first zero). Finally, note that since the core was contained in $R_{k, \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{m-1}\}}$, it will have at most $m - 1$ rows. We have therefore defined a bijection from $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ to words of length k on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

To complete the proof, we show that the poset structures are the same. The empty partition is mapped to the word of all zeros. Adding a box to the Ferrers diagram of a general partition changes a consecutive pair $\dots 10\dots$ in the boundary word to the pair $\dots 01\dots$. Adding all possible boxes with a specific content to a core simultaneously applies this change to all such $\dots 10\dots$ pairs in the boundary word that lie $k + 1$ positions apart. Converting to the abacus model stacks entries that differ by $k + 1$ positions.

If we do not add any boxes to the first row, then the $\dots 10\dots$ pairs are not split between the first and last column. A covering relation of Type (2) in $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$ therefore corresponds to adding as many boxes as possible with the same content when no boxes are added to the first row.

On the other hand, adding boxes including one on the first row corresponds to the $\dots 10\dots$ pairs being split between the first and last column. In this case, the last column will be emptied of all its ones and the additional one directly before the first zero will be introduced. This corresponds to an edge of Type (1). $\square$

When $m = 4$ and $k = 2$, this bijection is illustrated for a single orbit in Fig. 7. The full correspondence for this example is given in Fig. 5.

Remark 5.3 In the case $m = 2$, R. Suter gave an explicit description of the action on cores [18]. It was proved in [21] that this is the same as ϕ .

6 $\mathcal{W}_m^k$: Words of length $k + 1$ that sum to $m - 1$

We define the cyclic sieving phenomenon, give a set of words $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ under rotation that exhibit it, and give the forward direction of an equivariant bijection from $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$. This equivariant bijection exchanges the natural poset structure on $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ for a natural cyclic action on $\mathcal{W}_m^k$.

The cyclic sieving phenomenon (CSP) was introduced by V. Reiner, D. Stanton, and D. White [11] as a generalization of J. Stembridge's $q = -1$ phenomenon [17].

Definition 6.1 ([11]) Let X be a finite set, $X(q)$ a generating function for X , and C a cyclic group acting on X . Then the triple $(X, X(q), C)$ exhibits the CSP if for $c \in C$,

$$X(\omega(c)) = |\{x \in X : c(x) = x\}|,$$

where $\omega : C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism of C with the n th roots of unity.

In other words, a set exhibits the CSP if we can obtain information about its orbit structure under a cyclic action by evaluating a polynomial at a root of unity.

Definition 6.2 Let $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ be the set of all words on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of length $(k + 1)$ with sum equal to $m - 1 \pmod{m}$. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_m^k(q) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1 - q^{m_i}}{1 - q^i}$$

be a generating function for $\mathcal{W}_m^k$.

We let C_{k+1} act by left rotation, sending $w_1 w_2 \dots w_{k+1}$ to $w_2 \dots w_{k+1} w_1$. The following lemma is an easy exercise by direct computation.

Lemma 6.1 $(\mathcal{W}_m^k, \mathcal{W}_m^k(q), C_{k+1})$ exhibits the CSP.

To prove the corresponding statement for $\mathcal{X}_m^k$, we define an equivariant bijection with $\mathcal{W}_m^k$.

Theorem 1.1 There is an equivariant bijection w from $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ under ϕ to $\mathcal{W}_m^k$ under left rotation.

Proof One direction is easy. We take the first letter of each word from an orbit of $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ under ϕ , and concatenate these letters into a single word, cyclically repeated to make the resulting word of length $(k + 1)$. (This trick is called a *bijection* [21].)

We now calculate the sum of the entries in $w(\mathbf{x})$. By definition of the cyclic action, each x_i in the word $\mathbf{x}(m - 1)$ will occur as the first letter of a word in the orbit of

$\mathbf{x}$ when a translate of the preceding letter x_{i-1} is equal to zero. Writing $\mathbf{x}(m-1) = \mathbf{x}_L x_{i-1} x_i \mathbf{x}_R$, we form the extended word of length $(k+1)m$

$$\mathbf{x}_L x_{i-1} x_i \mathbf{x}_R \dots (\mathbf{x}_L - x_{i-1}) 0 (x_i - x_{i-1}) (\mathbf{x}_R - x_{i-1}) \dots,$$

from which we conclude that each x_i in $\mathbf{x}(m-1)$ is counted as $(x_i - x_{i-1}) \pmod{m}$. Summing over all i (and so disregarding the order in which the letters appear), we have a telescoping sum which leaves only the last letter of $\mathbf{x}(m-1)$. Therefore, the sum of the word $w(\mathbf{x})$ is $m-1 \pmod{m}$.

By construction, ϕ maps to left rotation. The inverse w^{-1} will be constructed over the next two sections. $\square$

This bijection is illustrated in Fig. 6. As an immediate corollary, we understand the orbit structures of $\mathcal{X}_m^k$, $\mathcal{Y}_m^k$, and $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ under their cyclic actions.

Corollary 6.1 $(\mathcal{X}_m^k \simeq \mathcal{Y}_m^k \simeq \mathcal{Z}_m^k, \mathcal{W}_m^k(q), \langle \phi \rangle)$ exhibits the CSP.

7 Generalizing Theorem 1.1

We prove that a generalization of the map w in Theorem 1.1 is a bijection. Section 9 will show how to specialize this construction to w and w^{-1} .

Definition 7.1 Let $\mathbb{W}_m^k$ be the set of words of length $(k+1)$ on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 7.2 Let $\mathbf{w} = w_1 w_2 \dots w_{k+1} \in \mathbb{W}_m^k$ and let $\mathbf{b} = (b_0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_{m-2})$ be an $(m-1)$ -tuple with entries in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$. Define a *partitioned word*

$$(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}) = w_1 w_2 \dots w_{b_0} | w_{b_0+1} w_{b_0+2} \dots w_{b_1} | \dots | w_{b_{m-2}+1} w_{b_{m-2}+2} \dots w_{k+1}$$

to be a partition of $\mathbf{w}$ into m connected blocks, where $\mathbf{b}$ specifies where the dividers are placed. We denote the set of all partitioned words on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbf{w}$ of length $k+1$ by $(\mathbb{W}_m^k)^*$.

Our map is defined in two parts. Algorithm 1 defines a map $p : \mathbb{W}_m^k \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}_m^k)^*$. Write $\mathbb{I}_m^k$ for the image of p in $(\mathbb{W}_m^k)^*$. Let $f : (\mathbb{W}_m^k)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_m^k$ be the map on partitioned words which forgets the partition. Composing, we obtain a map $f \circ p$ from $\mathbb{W}_m^k$ to itself.

The inverse map $(f \circ p)^{-1}$ is also defined in two parts. Algorithm 2 defines a map q which takes $\mathbb{I}_m^k$ to $\mathbb{W}_m^k$. This map q is the inverse of p . We also define a map $g : \mathbb{W}_m^k \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_m^k$ which is the inverse of f : it reconstructs the partition forgotten by f .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{W}_m^k & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{I}_m^k & \xrightarrow{f=\text{Forget partition}} & \mathbb{W}_m^k \\ & \xleftarrow{q} & & \xleftarrow{g=\text{Reconstruct partition}} & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

Before we give the definitions of p and q , we need some additional notation for partitioned words.

Input: A word $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{k+1}$.
Output: A partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ with sum $\sigma = x_{k+1} \pmod{m}$.
 $x_0 := 0$;
Initialize $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ as m empty blocks labeled from left to right as
 $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma+1} := (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma+2} := \dots := (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma} := \emptyset$;
for $i = 1$ **to** $k + 1$ **do**
 Set $t_i := x_i - x_{i-1}$;
 Insert t_i as the rightmost letter in block $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{x_{i-1}}$;
end
Return $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$;

Algorithm 1: $p : \mathbb{W}_m^k \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}_m^k)^*$

Input: A partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$.
Output: A pair $(\mathbf{x}, (\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}'))$, where $\mathbf{w}'$ is a subword of $\mathbf{w}$.
 $t := 0$;
for $i = 1$ **to** $k + 2$ **do**
 if $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_t \neq \emptyset$ **then**
 Let v_i be the leftmost letter in $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_t$;
 Delete v_i from $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_t$;
 Update the current block to $t := t + v_i \pmod{m}$;
 Set $x_i := t$;
 end
 else
 Return $(x_1 x_2 \dots x_{i-1}, (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}))$;
 end
end

Algorithm 2: $(\mathbb{W}_m^k)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_m^k \times (\mathbb{W}_m^{k-i+1})^*$

Definition 7.3 Given a partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, let $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} w_i \pmod{m}$. We label the blocks from left to right as $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma+1}, (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma+2}, \dots, (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_{\sigma}$.

Algorithm 1 now defines p .

On the other hand, given a partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, we may perform Algorithm 2.

Definition 7.4 We say that Algorithm 2 *succeeds* on $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ if the length of the output $\mathbf{x}$ is the same as the length of $\mathbf{w}$ (so that the output $\mathbf{w}'$ is empty). In this case, $x_{k+1} = \sigma$ and we call $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ (or just $\mathbf{b}$ when $\mathbf{w}$ is understood) a *successful* partition of $\mathbf{w}$.

Figure 8 illustrates Algorithm 2 applied to a successful partition.

Lemma 7.1 For $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}_m^k$, Algorithm 2 succeeds when it is applied to $p(\mathbf{w})$, and the output of the algorithm is $\mathbf{w}$.

Fig. 8 Algorithm 2 applied to a successful partition of the word $\mathbf{w} = 3210302$, with $m = 4$, $k = 6$

i	t	$(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$	$x_1 x_2 \dots x_{i-1}$
1	0	$3 2 1 0302$	$\cdot$
2	3	$\cdot 2 1 0302$	3
3	3	$\cdot 2 1 302$	33
4	2	$\cdot 2 1 02$	332
5	3	$\cdot 2 \cdot 02$	3323
6	3	$\cdot 2 \cdot 2$	33233
7	1	$\cdot 2 \cdot \cdot$	332331
8	3	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$	3323313

Proof Algorithm 2 undoes Algorithm 1, one step at a time. $\square$

Thanks to the previous lemma, for any $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ in $\mathbb{I}_m^k$, we can define $q(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ to be the result of applying Algorithm 2 to $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, and we have that $q(p(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}$.

We can restate the previous lemma in the following way:

Corollary 7.1 *The maps p and q are mutually inverse bijections between $\mathbb{W}_m^k$ and $\mathbb{I}_m^k$.*

Proof The fact that $q \circ p$ is the identity implies that p is injective, and p is surjective by definition. Thus p is a bijection, and q is its inverse. $\square$

We now inductively define a tree of words on which Algorithm 2 succeeds.

Definition 7.5 Define an infinite complete m -ary tree $\mathcal{T}_m^*$ by

- (1) The 0th rank consists of the empty word $\cdot$, partitioned as $\cdot| \cdot | \dots | \cdot$.
- (2) The children of a partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ are the m words obtained by prepending $-i \pmod m$ to $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})_i$.

Figure 9 gives the first few rows of $\mathcal{T}_3^*$.

Lemma 7.2 $\mathcal{T}_m^*$ consists of all the words on which Algorithm 2 succeeds.

Proof We first establish that Algorithm 2 succeeds on every word in $\mathcal{T}_m^*$. The proof is by induction on the rank in the tree. Suppose that $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ is in $\mathcal{T}_m^*$, so it was obtained by prepending $-i \pmod m$ to some word $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ in the previous rank of $\mathcal{T}_m^*$. It is straightforward to see that after the first iteration through the main loop of Algorithm 2, the updated value of $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ is $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$, and the desired result follows by induction.

We now prove the converse, that if Algorithm 2 succeeds on $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, then $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ appears in $\mathcal{T}_m^*$. The proof is by induction on the length of $\mathbf{w}$. Suppose that Algorithm 2 succeeds on $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, and suppose that $\mathbf{w}$ has positive length. Since Algorithm 2 does not halt on the first step, then on the first step it removes a single letter from $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$, obtaining some successful word $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$. By the induction hypothesis, this word appears in $\mathcal{T}_m^*$, and the tree was defined in such a way that the children of $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ include $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. $\square$

Fig. 9 The first few ranks of $\mathcal{T}_3^*$

The next step in our argument is the following proposition:

Proposition 7.3 Any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}_m^k$ admits a successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$.

We defer the proof of this result to the next section.

It is clear that the k th row of $\mathcal{T}$ consists of m^k partitioned words. According to Proposition 7.3, for each word $\mathbf{w}$ of length k , we are able to find a successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. This accounts for m^k distinct partitioned words of length k on which Algorithm 2 succeeds, and therefore, by Lemma 7.2, this must be all of them. It then follows that there is a unique successful partition of any word. (We have also found a direct proof of the uniqueness of the successful partition of $\mathbf{w}$, but it was fairly involved, so we preferred not to present it.) At this point, we therefore have the following:

Proposition 7.4 (Dendrodistinctivity) Let $\mathcal{T}_m$ be the infinite complete m -ary tree obtained from $\mathcal{T}_m^*$ by replacing each partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ with its underlying word $\mathbf{w}$. Then each word of length $k + 1$ on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ appears exactly once.

Now, for $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{W}_m^k$, we can define $g(\mathbf{w})$ to be the unique successful partition of $\mathbf{w}$. Since we know that $p(\mathbf{w})$ is a successful partition of $\mathbf{w}$, and there is only one, it must be that $g(\mathbf{w}) = p(\mathbf{w})$. Therefore $g(\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{I}_m^k$, and $q(g(f(p(\mathbf{w})))) = \mathbf{w}$.

This establishes the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1 The maps $(f \circ p)$ and $(q \circ g)$ are mutually inverse bijections from $\mathbb{W}_m^k$ to $\mathbb{W}_m^k$.

8 Proof of Proposition 7.3

In this section we provide the proof of Proposition 7.3, deferred from the previous section.

Fig. 10 $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})}$ for the successful partition $3|2|1|0302$ and for the (rightmost but not successful) equitable partition $3210|30|2|$.

$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
---	---

We begin our construction of a successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$ by encoding a partitioned word in a revealing way. Given an index i , we find the position of the block to which the letter w_i belongs by defining $\text{block}(\mathbf{b}, i) = t$, where $b_{t-1} + 1 \leq i \leq b_t$ (and we take $b_0 = 0$).

Definition 8.1 Define the $(k+1) \times m$ balancing matrix $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})} = (m_{i,j})$ of a partitioned word $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ by

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{block}(\mathbf{b}, i) \leq j \leq \text{block}(\mathbf{b}, i) + w_i - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 8.2 We say that column j of $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})}$ is *equitably filled* if it has $\lfloor \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} w_i \rfloor + 1$ ones and $j \in \{m - \sigma, m - \sigma + 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, or if it has $\lfloor \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} w_i \rfloor$ ones and $j \notin \{m - \sigma, m - \sigma + 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. We say that $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})}$ is *equitably distributed* if each of its columns is equitably filled. If $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})}$ is equitably distributed, we call $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ (or just $\mathbf{b}$ when $\mathbf{w}$ is understood) an *equitable partition* for $\mathbf{w}$.

Note that when $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ is a successful partition, then it is also an equitable partition. The converse is false—see Fig. 10 for an example.

We now construct a specific equitable partition, which is our first approximation to the successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$.

Definition 8.3 Let a *rightmost equitable partition* be an equitable partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ such that for any other equitable partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}')$, we have $b_t \geq b'_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq m - 1$.

We prove existence and uniqueness of the rightmost equitable partition.

Lemma 8.1 For any word $\mathbf{w}$, there is a unique rightmost equitable partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)$.

Proof We claim that Algorithm 3 constructs the unique rightmost equitable partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)$.

By construction—assuming Algorithm 3 is well-defined—it returns the unique rightmost equitable partition, because at each step of Algorithm 3, if a letter is moved from the i th part to the $i+1$ -st part, then it necessarily occurs to the right of the i th part in any equitable partition.

There are two ways that Algorithm 3 might fail. The first is if every column but the rightmost of $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)}$ is equitably filled, since then the algorithm tries to push the rightmost entry of $\text{block}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)_\sigma$ to the leftmost entry of $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)_{\sigma+1}$, which

Input: A word $\mathbf{w}$.

Output: The rightmost equitable partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})$.

Set $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+1} := \mathbf{w}$ and $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+2} := (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+3} := \cdots := (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma} := \emptyset$;

while $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})}$ is not equitably distributed **do**

 Let column t be the first non-equitably filled column of $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})}$;

 Let tmp be the rightmost element in $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t}$;

 Delete tmp from $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t}$;

 Prepend tmp to $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t+1}$;

end

Return $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})$;

Algorithm 3: The rightmost equitable partition

would destroy the property that $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}}$ is a partition of $\mathbf{w}$. But if all other columns are equitably filled, then it follows from the definition that column m is also equitably filled. Therefore, this case does not occur.

The second way for Algorithm 3 to fail to be well-defined is if it tries to push the rightmost entry of an empty block $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t_0}$ to the block $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t_0+1}$. By assumption, we know that columns t for $1 \leq t < t_0$ of $M_{(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})}$ are all equitably filled. Since $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{R}})_{\sigma+t_0}$ is empty, we know that the number of ones in column t_0 is at most the number of ones in column $t_0 - 1$. By the definition of equitably filled, column t must have the same number of ones as column $t - 1$ for $t \neq m - \sigma$ and $t \neq m$. But for $t = m - \sigma$, column t must have exactly one more one than column $t - 1$, and for $t = m$ we find ourselves back in the previous case. Then column t_0 must have been equitably filled so that this case also does not occur. $\square$

An example of the rightmost partition occurs as the rightmost example in Fig. 10. We now prove Proposition 7.3.

Proof We claim that Algorithm 4 constructs the unique successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{S}})$.

By construction—assuming Algorithm 4 is well-defined—it returns a successful partition for any word $\mathbf{w}$ of length $k + 1$.

To understand why this algorithm is well-defined, we will consider what the possibilities are for the second output of Algorithm 2. Algorithm 2 terminates when it tries to remove a letter from an empty block of $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$, which corresponds to a column in $M_{(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')}$ whose ones all came from other parts. Furthermore, since Algorithm 2 removes entries while preserving the property that $M_{(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')}$ is equitably distributed, it must remove an entry corresponding to the leftmost column with the most number of ones or an entry corresponding to the rightmost column (if all columns have the same number of ones). Putting these two pieces together, we see that Algorithm 2 terminates because it was trying to remove a letter from the rightmost block. Shifting the letters remaining in $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ while preserving those in $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$ that are not in $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$, we obtain a new equitable partition for $\mathbf{w}$.

Each time we repeat the process, the letters are moved further to the right, so we cannot get back to an equitable partition which we had obtained previously. Since

Input: A word $\mathbf{w}$.

Output: The successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$.

Let $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$ be the output $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R)$ from Algorithm 3 applied to $\mathbf{w}$;

while $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$ is not a successful partition **do**

 Let $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ be the second part of the output from Algorithm 2 applied to $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$;

for $t = 1$ **to** $m - 1$ **do**

 Delete the rightmost $|(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')_{\sigma+t}|$ entries from $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)_{\sigma+t}$;

 Prepend $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')_{\sigma+t}$ to $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)_{\sigma+t+1}$;

end

end

Return $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$;

Algorithm 4: The successful partition $f^{-1}(\mathbf{w})$

The current partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^S)$	The output $(\mathbf{w}', \mathbf{b}')$ from Algorithm 2
$(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}^R) = 3210 30 2 \cdot$	$210 30 2 \cdot$
3 210 30 2	$\cdot 10 30 \cdot$
3 2 10 302	$\cdot \cdot 0 \cdot$
3 2 1 0302	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$

Fig. 11 The construction of the successful partition $(3210302, \mathbf{b}^S)$ using Algorithm 4

there are only a finite number of equitable partitions, the algorithm eventually terminates, finding a successful partition $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b})$. $\square$

Figure 11 gives an example of Algorithm 4.

9 The conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1

We conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 by specializing the result from the previous section.

Proposition 9.1 w is the same map as $(f \circ p)$ restricted to words in $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ with an $m - 1$ appended.

Proof Fix a word $\mathbf{x} = x_1x_2 \dots x_k(m - 1)$ consisting of a word in $\mathcal{X}_m^k$ with an $m - 1$ appended. To prove that $w(\mathbf{x}) = f(p(\mathbf{x}))$, we show that each letter of $w(\mathbf{x})$ occurs in the same position as each letter of $f(p(\mathbf{x}))$ by showing that they have the same number of letters to their left.

In order to analyze the map w , note that exactly one of $x_i, x_{(k+1)+i} = x_i - 1, x_{2(k+1)+i} = x_i - 2, \dots, x_{(m-1)(k+1)+i} = x_i - (m - 1)$ in $\mathbf{x}(m - 1)$ occurs as the first letter in some word in the orbit of $\mathbf{x}$. This happens when $x_{j(k+1)+i-1} = 0$, which means that $j = x_{i-1}$ and so the i th position of $\mathbf{x}$ gives rise to $x_i - x_{i-1}$ in $w(\mathbf{x})$.

Given an extended word $\overline{x_1 x_2 \dots x_k(m-1)}$, a translate of $x_j < x_{i-1}$ will be zero to the left of when a translate of x_{i-1} is zero. The same is true for $x_j = x_{i-1}$ with $j < i-1$. Then the letter $x_i - x_{i-1}$ in $w(\mathbf{x})$ occurs to the right of all $x_j - x_{j-1}$ for which $x_j < x_{i-1}$ and all $x_j - x_{j-1}$ for which $x_{j-1} = x_{i-1}$ and $j < i-1$.

On the other hand, since $x_{k+1} = m-1$, the blocks in Algorithm 1 are labeled from left to right by $0, 1, \dots, m-1$. All $x_j - x_{j-1}$ for which $x_j < x_{i-1}$ are placed in blocks to the left of $b_{x_{i-1}}$ and all $x_j - x_{j-1}$ for which $x_{j-1} = x_{i-1}$ and $j < i-1$ are added earlier to the block $b_{x_{i-1}}$. Replacing a partitioned word with its underlying word leaves these letters to the left of $x_i - x_{i-1}$.

Each letter $x_i - x_{i-1}$ therefore occurs in $w(\mathbf{x})$ in the same position as $(f \circ p)(\mathbf{x})$, so that the two maps are identical. $\square$

Proposition 9.2 *The map w is invertible; its inverse is $(q \circ g)|_{\mathcal{W}_m^k}$.*

Proof This is a specialization of Theorem 7.1, combined with the identification of the image of w as $\mathcal{W}_m^k$. $\square$

These two propositions conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

10 An application to parking functions

In this section we use our results to define a new labeling of regions of the m -Shi arrangement with m -parking functions. This partially answers a question of D. Stanton, who asked for a reason that it might be natural to biject the alcoves $\mathcal{Z}_m^k$ with the set of words $\mathcal{W}_m^k$.

Definition 10.1 An m -parking function of length k is a word $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\{a_j | a_j > mi\}| \leq k - i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. In what follows, we will normally suppress the mention of k .

Definition 10.2 For $m \geq 1$, the m -Shi arrangement $\mathcal{S}_{km+1}^{k-1}$ is the collection of hyperplanes $x_i - x_j = s$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ and $-m+1 \leq s \leq m$.

Theorem 10.1 (I. Pak and R. Stanley [14, 15]) *There is a bijection between regions of the m -Shi arrangement and m -parking functions.*

The Pak–Stanley map λ that labels m -Shi regions with m -parking functions is particularly easy to state [15]. Let e_i be the word with a one in the i th position and zeroes elsewhere. Label the fundamental alcove by the m -parking function $00 \dots 0$. When a region R has been labeled, and R' is an unlabeled region that is separated from R by a unique hyperplane $x_i - x_j = s$ with $i < j$, the new region is labeled by

$$\lambda(R') = \lambda(R) + e_j \quad \text{if } s \leq 0,$$

$$\lambda(R') = \lambda(R) + e_i \quad \text{if } s > 0.$$

Fig. 12 The 16 minimal alcoves of the regions of $\mathcal{S}_4^2$ labeled with their corresponding parking functions under the Pak–Stanley labeling

An example of this labeling is given in Fig. 12. The inverse map did not appear until two years later [15], and is more involved.

We now describe the labeling of regions of the m -Shi arrangement by m -parking functions which follows from our perspective.

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let s_i be the reflection in the hyperplane $H_{\alpha_i, 0}$ and let s_0 be the reflection in $H_{-\alpha_0, 1}$. As we have already remarked, the reflections $s_0, \dots, s_k$ generate the affine symmetric group.

The affine symmetric group acts simply transitively on the set of alcoves in the A_k affine hyperplane arrangement. (For definiteness, we let the affine symmetric group act on the left.) We can therefore label each alcoves by the unique affine permutation which takes the fundamental alcove to that alcove.

Since the affine permutations form a group, we may find the inverse permutation and the corresponding inverse alcove. C. Athanasiadis and E. Sommers proved that there is a unique minimal alcove from the type A_k affine hyperplane arrangement in each region of the m -Shi arrangement [2, 13]. Extending work of J.-Y. Shi in [12], E. Sommers showed that the collection of the inverted minimal alcoves from the m -Shi arrangement forms a $(km + 1)$ -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove [13]. This dilation turns out to be a translation of $\mathcal{Z}_{km+1}^{k-1}$ —the fundamental alcove sits in the middle, rather than at the edge of this simplex. The authors are grateful to D. Armstrong for compiling this story in [1] and to V. Reiner for pointing them in this direction.

An example of the Pak–Stanley labeling on the inverse alcoves is given in Fig. 13.

The standard proof of the enumeration formula for parking functions notes that every coset of the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{km+1}^k$ generated by $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ contains exactly one parking function [7]. But notice that every coset also evidently contains one word that sums to $km \pmod{km+1}$. Starting with our labeling of the scaled simplex with words that sum to $km \pmod{km+1}$ (Fig. 1), we may therefore select the parking function in the same coset (the left part of Fig. 14), translate the simplex, and then find the inverse alcoves to give a labeling of the m -Shi arrangement by m -parking functions (the right part of Fig. 14). Note that this is a different labeling from those given in [14] and [3] and is—to the best of our knowledge—new.

An immediate corollary is a CSP for m -parking functions under rotation and the regions of the m -Shi arrangement under its cyclic symmetry.

11 Future directions

Dilations of the fundamental alcove in type A_k have a dihedral symmetry, which may be seen by introducing transposition of $(k + 1)$ -cores alongside the cyclic action previously considered. This extends the original dihedral symmetry considered by R. Suter to our generalized setting. It is reasonable to ask if there is a way to characterize this dihedral symmetry—one possibility would be through the dihedral sieving phenomenon (see, for example, [4]). We are unable to develop such a characterization here because although we are able to understand the cyclic action on cores using our bijection w , we do not know how to model transposition of cores after applying w .

Problem 11.1 *Describe the orbit structure of an m -fold dilation of the fundamental alcove in type A_k under the dihedral action described above.*

Fig. 13 The inverses of the 16 minimal alcoves of the regions of S_4^2 labeled with their corresponding parking functions under the Pak–Stanley labeling

Fig. 14 On the left are the 16 alcoves of $\mathcal{Z}_4^2$ labeled with the parking functions coming from words that sum to $3 \pmod 4$. On the right are the 16 inverses of these alcoves after translation—which are the minimal alcoves of the regions of S_4^2 —labeled in the same way

Acknowledgements The authors thank L. Serrano and the organizers of the Algebraic Combinatorics meets Combinatorial Algebra conference at UQAM for inviting and introducing them to each other. They are especially indebted to C. Berg and M. Zabrocki for showing them how this problem arises from geometry, M. Zabrocki for helpful discussions and his interest in dendrodistinctivity, C. Berg for his suggestions on the proof of Theorem 5.2 and careful reading, and M. Visontai for innumerable suggestions regarding proof and presentation. The authors also thank the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions. N. Williams is grateful to V. Reiner and D. Stanton for their guidance and patience, and thanks them for giving him this problem. H. Thomas was partially supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

References

1. Armstrong, D.: Hyperplane arrangements and diagonal harmonics. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc.* (2011). <http://www.dmtcs.org/dmtcs-ojs/index.php/proceedings/article/view/dmAO0105>
2. Athanasiadis, C.A.: On a refinement of the generalized Catalan numbers for Weyl groups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **357**(1), 179–196 (2005)
3. Athanasiadis, C.A., Linusson, S.: A simple bijection for the regions of the Shi arrangement of hyperplanes. *Discrete Math.* **204**(1), 27–39 (1999)
4. Barcelo, H., Reiner, V., Stanton, D.: Bimahonian distributions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **77**(3), 627–646 (2008)
5. Berg, C., Bergeron, N., Thomas, H., Zabrocki, M.: Expansion of k -Schur functions for maximal k -rectangles within the affine nilCoxeter algebra. [arXiv:1107.3610](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.3610) (2011, preprint)
6. Berg, C., Zabrocki, M.: Symmetries on the lattice of k -bounded partitions. [arXiv:1111.2783](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2783) (2011, preprint)
7. Foata, D., Riordan, J.: Mappings of acyclic and parking functions. *Aequ. Math.* **10**(1), 10–22 (1974)
8. James, G., Kerber, A., Cohn, P.M., Robinson, G.B.: *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, vol. 16. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1984)
9. Lascoux, A.: *Ordering the Affine Symmetric Group* (2001)
10. Reiner, V.: Personal Communication (2010)
11. Reiner, V., Stanton, D., White, D.: The cyclic sieving phenomenon. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **108**(1), 17–50 (2004)
12. Shi, J.: Sign types corresponding to an affine Weyl group. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **2**(1), 56 (1987)
13. Sommers, E.: B-stable ideals in the nilradical of a Borel subalgebra. *Can. Math. Bull.* **48**(3), 460–472 (2005)
14. Stanley, R.P.: Hyperplane arrangements, interval orders, and trees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**(6), 2620 (1996)
15. Stanley, R.P., et al.: *Hyperplane arrangements, parking functions and tree inversions*. *Prog. Math.* **161**, 359–376 (1998). Boston
16. Stanton, D.: Personal Communication (2010)
17. Stembridge, J.R.: Some hidden relations involving the ten symmetry classes of plane partitions. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **68**(2), 372–409 (1994)
18. Suter, R.: Young’s lattice and dihedral symmetries. *Eur. J. Comb.* **23**(2), 233–238 (2002)
19. Suter, R.: Abelian ideals in a Borel subalgebra of a complex simple Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.* **156**(1), 175–221 (2004)
20. Visontai, M., Williams, N.: Dendrodistinctivity. In: *GASCom 2012* (2012)
21. Williams, N.: *Bijections*. Master’s thesis, University of Minnesota (2011)