Erratum to: Defining Genera of New World Monkeys: The Need for a Critical View in a Necessarily Arbitrary Task

Guilherme Siniciato Terra Garbino^{1,2,3}

Published online: 14 December 2015

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Erratum to: Int J Primatol
DOI 10.1007/s10764-015-9882-9

The original version of this article unfortunately contained mistakes in the Introduction and Table 1. The changes are underlined as follows:

Introduction, second sentence:

First, it differs from all more inclusive categories (family group names and above) by appearing in the scientific name of a species (Mayr 1943), which is formed by a specific epithet and a generic substantive (Dubois 2000).

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10764-015-9882-9>.

✉ Guilherme Siniciato Terra Garbino
gstgarbino@hotmail.com

¹ Pós-graduação em Zoologia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

² IPÊ - Instituto de Pesquisas Ecológicas, 12960-000 Nazaré Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

³ Pós-graduação, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, 04263-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Table 1, Source column:

Table 1 Genus-level names proposed (either as new or revalidations) for New World monkeys since 1990

Genera	Author	Status	Underlying criteria				Source
			Adaptive zone	Divergence time	Morphological gap	Broad monophyly	Focused monophyly
<i>Callibella</i>	Van Roosmalen and Van Roosmalen (2003)	New	X ^a		X	X ^b	Aguiar and Lacher (2003); Ford and Davis (2009); Van Roosmalen and Van Roosmalen (2003)
<i>Leontocebus</i>	Wagner (1839)	Revalidated		X			Buckner <i>et al.</i> (2015); Matauschek (2010); Sampaio <i>et al.</i> (2015)
<i>Mico</i>	Lesson (1840)	Revalidated	X		X	X	Barroso <i>et al.</i> (1997); Garbino (2015); Porter <i>et al.</i> (1997); Rylands <i>et al.</i> (2000)
<i>Oreonax</i>	Thomas (1927)	Revalidated				X ^c	Groves (2001)
<i>Sapajus</i>	Kerr (1792)	Revalidated	X	X	X		Lynch-Alfaro <i>et al.</i> (2012a, b); Silva-Junior (2001)
“ <i>Torquatus</i> ”	Goodman <i>et al.</i> (1998)	<i>Nomen nudum</i> ^d		X			Goodman <i>et al.</i> (1998)

^a Not based on systematic surveys (Van Roosmalen and Van Roosmalen 2003).

^b Based on low-supported clades (<80 bootstrap support, Van Roosmalen and Van Roosmalen 2003), not corroborated by subsequent phylogenies (Buckner *et al.* 2015; Garbino 2015; Schneider *et al.* 2012).

^c Based on low-supported clades (<70 bootstrap support, Groves 2001), not corroborated by subsequent phylogenies (Rosenberger and Matthews 2008; Ruiz-Garcia *et al.* 2014).

^d For any genus-group name proposed after 1930 to be available under the Code, it must provide “a description or definition that states in words characters that are purported to differentiate the taxon,” or “a bibliographic reference to such a published statement,” or “be proposed expressly as a new replacement name (nomen novum) for an available name” (Article 13.1 of the Code). Moreover, the new name must “be accompanied by the fixation of a type species in the original publication” (Article 13.3 of the Code), which did not occur.