Misconceptions about the Hubble recession law

Wilfred H. Sorrell

Published online: 21 July 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Erratum to: Astrophys Space Sci (2009) 323: 205–211
DOI 10.1007/s10509-009-0057-z

Under the heading: 4 The expanding universe hypothesis,
four lines before the conclusion, the line

The best-fit match to the data requires graphite dust needles
with radii $a = 0.03 \mu\text{m}$ – $0.07 \mu\text{m}$ and a uniform mass density
 $\rho_{\text{dust}}(t_0) = 3 \times 10^{-24} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$.

should read:

The best-fit match to the data requires graphite dust needles
with radii $a = 0.03 \mu\text{m}$ – $0.07 \mu\text{m}$ and a uniform mass density
 $\rho_{\text{dust}}(t_0) = 3 \times 10^{-34} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$.

The online version of the original article can be found under
doi:10.1007/s10509-009-0057-z.

W.H. Sorrell (✉)
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University
of Missouri-St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri 63121, USA
e-mail: sorrellw@umsl.edu