Comment on “The Role of Selection Effects in the Contact Hypothesis: Results from a U.S. National Survey on Sexual Prejudice” by Loehr, Doan, and Miller (2015)

Kenneth J. Zucker¹

Published online: 3 September 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

The lead article by Loehr, Doan, and Miller (2015) in this issue is a very nice empirical study on sexual prejudice in the United States.

In December 2014, when the submission was accepted for publication, I wrote the following to the authors: “Please see the recent article in *Science* by LaCour and Green (2014). Take a look at this article and see if it should be added to the paper.” The authors did take a look and referenced the LaCour and Green study.

Whoops. As many readers now know, the LaCour and Green article has been retracted by *Science* (McNutt, 2015), for reasons that have been well explicated upon in the media (e.g., Carey & Belluck, 2015). Loehr et al. should not be faulted for citing an article published in a prestigious peer-reviewed Journal and reference to it in no way detracts from the soundness of their own study.

References

- Carey, B., & Belluck, P. (2015, May 25). Doubts about study of gay canvassers rattle the field. *New York Times*. Retrieved on August 15 from <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/05/26/science/maligned-study-on-gay-marriage-is-shaking-trust.html>.
- LaCour, M. J., & Green, D. P. (2014). When contact changes minds: An experiment on transmission of support for gay equality. *Science*, 346, 1366–1369.
- Loehr, A., Doan, L., & Miller, L. R. (2015). The role of selection effects in the contact hypothesis: Results from a U.S. national survey on sexual prejudice. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. doi:10.1007/s10508-015-0483-7.
- McNutt, M. (2015). Editorial expression of concern. *Science*, 348, 1100.

✉ Kenneth J. Zucker
Ken.Zucker@camh.ca

¹ Gender Identity Clinic, Child, Youth, and Family Services, Underserved Populations Program, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 80 Workman Way, Toronto, ON M6J 1H4, Canada