Erratum to: Pest management systems affect composition but not abundance of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) in apple orchards

Árpád Szabó · Béla Péntzes · Péter Sipos · Tamás Hegyi ·
Zsuzsanna Hajdú · Viktor Markó

Published online: 24 January 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Erratum to: Exp Appl Acarol DOI 10.1007/s10493-013-9752-0

Due to an unfortunate turn of events, errors were introduced in Table 2 and Table 5 of the above-mentioned publication. Due to the size of Table 2, some values in the last column (No. of sampled leaves) became illegible. In Table 5, it was not properly indicated which values belong to the low or high cumulative pesticide input.

The correct representation of both Tables is published on the following pages and should be treated as definitive by the reader.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10493-013-9752-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-013-9752-0).

Á. Szabó (✉) · B. Péntzes · Z. Hajdú · V. Markó
Department of Entomology, Corvinus University of Budapest, Ménesi Str. 44, 1118 Budapest,
Hungary
e-mail: arpad.szabo@uni-corvinus.hu

P. Sipos
Eurofins Agrosience Services Ltd., Új Váralja Str. 16, 8000 Szekesfehevar, Hungary

T. Hegyi
Plant Protection and Soil Conservation Directorate, Bács-Kiskun County Government Office,
Halasi Str. 34, 6000 Kecskemet, Hungary

Table 2 Relative abundance (%) of phytoseiid species in Hungarian apple orchards [abandoned, organic, IPM and conventional]; see the list of orchards in the ‘Materials and methods’; bold numbers indicate the dominant species in the orchard

Orchards	<i>Amblyseius agrestis</i>	<i>Amblyseius andersoni</i>	<i>Amblyseius bryophilus</i>	<i>Amblyseius cucumeris</i>	<i>Amblyseius reductus</i>	<i>Anthoseius intercalaris</i>	<i>Anthoseius occidius</i>	<i>Anthoseius pirianykae</i>	<i>Anthoseius recki</i>	<i>Anthoseius</i> sp.	<i>Blattisocius keegani</i>	<i>Phytoseius echinus</i>	<i>Phytoseius juvenis</i>	<i>Phytoseius macropilis</i>	<i>Euseius finlandicus</i>	<i>Paraseiulus tabii</i>	<i>Paraseiulus triporus</i>	<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	No. of individuals	No. of sampled leaves
ABANDONED																				
1		98													2				48	400
5		2																98	72	500
11		6							12	18					64				33	500
13		80			14					2.5					3.5				113	400
14		65											21		14				39	400
17		91								2					7				91	400
25		92													8				352	400
ORGANIC																				
2		41.5											10.5		48				48	400
6		0.4				0.1	0.2				0.1				0.1	0.1		99	1102	5000
18		32													67		0.3	0.7	394	3000
19		46													52	0.7	1.3		642	2880
22		25										1	32		42				180	600
23		73										6	2	2	17				115	600
27		42													58				55	640
IPM																				
3		96.5													3.5				201	400
9		70					1								29				430	1920
10	1.3	68.2						0.3							30.2				321	1300
20		91													0.3	3		5.7	741	1185
26		80																20	260	600
28		31																69	71	640
29		88	12																50	640
30		100																	87	640
CONVENTIONAL																				
4		100																	166	400
7		100																	149	400
8		32																68	62	500
12		95		5															134	500
15		100																	57	400
16		100																	335	800
21		97.6													0.7			1.7	289	482
24		100																	32	600

For the abundance data see Supplementary Table 2 online

Table 5 Spearman correlation (and probability, *P*) between the abundance of different phytoseiid species in the low cumulative pesticide input (abandoned and organic, normal numbers) and the high cumulative pesticide input (IPM and conventional, bold numbers) apple orchards

	<i>Amblyseius andersoni</i>	<i>Euseius finlandicus</i>	<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	Other phytoseiids
Spearman rho				
<i>A. andersoni</i>		0.466	−0.633*	0.504 ⁺
<i>E. finlandicus</i>	0.299		−0.561*	0.608*
<i>T. pyri</i>	−0.233	−0.157		−0.466
Other phytoseiids	−0.024	−0.162	−0.079	
<i>P</i> values				
<i>A. andersoni</i>		0.109	0.020	0.079
<i>E. finlandicus</i>	0.279		0.046	0.028
<i>T. pyri</i>	0.403	0.575		0.109
Other phytoseiids	0.932	0.563	0.780	

⁺ *P* < 0.1; * *P* < 0.05