17. McGavin CR, Gupta SP, Lloyd EL, McHardy GJ (1977) Physical rehabilitation for the chronic bronchitic: results of a controlled trial of exercises in the home. *Thorax* 32:307–311
18. Nord E (1993) Toward quality assurance in QALY calculations. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 9:37–45
19. Olsen JA (1993) "On what basis should health be discounted?" *J Health Econ* 12:39–53
20. Olsen JA (1993) Time preferences for health gains: an empirical investigation. *Health Econ* 2:257–265
21. Olsen JA (1994) Persons vs years: two ways of eliciting implicit weights. *Health Econ* 3:39–46
22. Olson M, Bailey MJ (1981) Positive time preference. *J Political Econ* 89:1–25
23. Quanjer PH (ed) (1983) Standardized lung function testing. Report working party for standardization of lung function tests. European Community for Coal and Steel, Luxembourg. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 19 Suppl 5:1–95
24. Pol MM van der, Cairns JA (2000) Negative and zero time preference for health. *Health Econ* 9:171–175
25. Redelmeier DA, Heller DN (1993) Time preference in medical decision making and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Med Decis Making* 13:212–217
26. Shepard DS, Thompson MS (1979) First principles of cost-effectiveness analysis in health. *Public Health Rep* 94:535–543
27. Stavem K (1999) Reliability, validity and responsiveness of two multiattribute utility measures in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Qual Life Res* 8:45–54
28. Stavem K, Boe J, Erikssen J (1999) Health status, dyspnea, lung function and exercise capacity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 3:920–926
29. Sutherland HJ, Llewellyn-Thomas H, Boyd NF, Till JE (1982) Attitudes toward quality of survival. "The concept of maximal endurable time." *Med Decis Making* 2:299–309
30. Torrance GW, Thomas WH, Sackett DL (1972) A utility maximization model for evaluation of health care programs. *Health Serv Res* 7:118–133
31. Weinstein MC, Stason WB (1977) Foundations of cost-effectiveness analysis for health and medical practices. *N Engl J Med* 296:716–721

DOI 10.1007/s10198-002-0108-7

H. Sigmund · F. B. Kristensen

Danish Centre for Evaluation and Health Technology Assessment, Copenhagen

Does health technology assessment benefit health services and politics?

The experiences of an established HTA institution: the Danish Centre for Evaluation and HTA

In the original publication of this article, Figure 2 was unfortunately wrong printed. We apologize for this mistake. The correct version of Figure 2 can be seen below.

© Springer-Verlag 2002

Helga Sigmund

Danish Centre for Evaluation and
Health Technology Assessment,
National Board of Health, Islands Brygge 67,
P.O. Box 1881, 2300 Copenhagen S,
Denmark, e-mail: hsi@sst.dk