Defining patch contribution in source-sink metapopulations: the importance of including dispersal and its relevance to marine systems

Will F. Figueira · Larry B. Crowder

Published online: 13 August 2009
© The Society of Population Ecology and Springer 2009

Erratum to: Popul Ecol (2006) 48:215–224
DOI 10.1007/s10144-006-0265-0

The authors made a coding error when obtaining results presented in Table 3 (p. 221) and Fig. 3 (p. 222) using Eq. 19. The correct results are shown here:

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10144-006-0265-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-006-0265-0).

W. F. Figueira · L. B. Crowder
Duke Center for Marine Conservation,
Beaufort, NC 28516, USA
e-mail: lcrowder@duke.edu

W. F. Figueira
Department of Environmental Sciences,
University of Technology, PO Box 123, Broadway,
Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

Present Address:

W. F. Figueira (✉)
Centre for Research on Ecological Impacts of Coastal Cities,
School of Biological Sciences, Marine Ecology Laboratories,
A11, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
e-mail: will.figueira@bio.usyd.edu.au

Table 3 (The table legend is correct as shown in the article.)

	Patch												λ_O/λ_M
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
Survival (s_i)	0.323	0.337	0.499	0.123	0.200	0.293	0.244	0.174	0.078	0.432	0.379	0.334	
Fecundity (f_i)	2.08	1.02	4.59	2.99	1.22	0.14	4.60	0.64	1.93	4.08	2.57	0.83	
λ_I	0.99	0.68	2.79	0.49	0.44	0.33	1.36	0.28	0.23	2.20	1.35	0.61	
λ_C													
Uniform	0.92	0.63	1.81	0.97	0.55	0.33	1.55	0.35	0.63	1.59	1.11	0.57	1.09
Local	1.01	0.70	2.36	0.73	0.46	0.33	1.33	0.29	0.39	1.90	1.32	0.62	1.97
Distant	0.88	0.62	1.80	1.04	0.56	0.34	1.66	0.37	0.63	1.49	1.07	0.56	1.03
Directional	0.92	0.62	1.76	0.87	0.52	0.33	1.50	0.35	0.67	1.99	1.29	0.61	1.03

Fig. 3 The last sentence of the Fig. 3 legend should read: R^2 values: uniform 0.84, local 0.98, distant 0.78, directional 0.86

Also in the second column on p. 221, lines 8 and 9 should read: ... uniform 0.84, local 0.98, distant 0.78 and directional 0.86.