Erratum: Discriminant analysis for estimation of groundwater age from hydrochemistry and well construction: application to New Zealand aquifers

Christopher J. Daughney · Uwe Morgenstern ·
Rob van der Raaij · Robert R. Reeves

Erratum to: *Hydrogeology Journal* (2009)
DOI 10.1007/s10040-009-0479-2

The rows are mislabelled in Table 3 of the original article.
The corrected version of Table 3 is shown below.

Table 3 Classification functions derived from DA and example calculations. Combined score in bold text corresponds to highest-probability prediction for age category

Variable	Units	Example input		Coefficients for each age category			
		Site 1	Site 2	≤10	11–40	41–100	>100
Ca	g m ⁻³	75.5	19	–39.213	–40.523	–37.816	–35.001
Cl	g m ⁻³	74.6	19	–31.147	–31.786	–30.825	–30.209
HCO ₃	g m ⁻³	347.8	63.5	–57.123	–55.442	–54.346	–48.367
K	g m ⁻³	1.7	1	–6.980	–7.071	–7.580	–6.278
Mg	g m ⁻³	31.3	3.8	–20.021	–20.240	–17.523	–16.701
Na	g m ⁻³	36.9	14	–70.347	–73.988	–68.016	–63.348
SO ₄	g m ⁻³	0.03	7.7	–4.383	–4.153	–4.416	–5.293
Electrical conductivity	uS/cm	687	210	248.281	255.339	244.472	232.823
Well depth	m bgs	150	9.1	6.236	9.180	9.520	12.996
Constant	–	–	–	–149.124	–165.608	–155.305	–156.422
Combined Score			Site 1	156.542	160.699	164.285	169.501
			Site 2	144.347	143.519	143.765	139.145

Published online: 19 November 2009

© Springer-Verlag 2009

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10040-009-0479-2>.

C. J. Daughney (✉) · U. Morgenstern · R. van der Raaij ·
R. R. Reeves
Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences,
P.O. Box 30368, Lower Hutt, New Zealand
e-mail: c.daughney@gns.cri.nz
Tel.: +64-4-5704751
Fax: +64-4-5704600