Erratum to: Three-year clinical evaluation of a two-step self-etch adhesive with or without selective enamel etching in non-carious cervical sclerotic lesions

Esra Can Say · Emre Özel · Haktan Yurdagüven · Mübin Soyman

Published online: 4 February 2014
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Erratum to: Clin Oral Invest
DOI 10.1007/s00784-013-1123-z

The original version of this article inadvertently contained a mistake. There has been some mistakes in Table 6 but already corrected here.

Table 6 Results at baseline and 1, 2 and 3 years (n;%)

Category		AdheSE non-etch				AdheSE etch			
		Baseline (n=52)	1 year (n=52)	2 years (n=50)	3 years (n=46)	Baseline (n=52)	1 year (n=52)	2 years (n=50)	3 years (n=46)
Retention		52 (100)	52 (100)	49 (98)	42 (91.5)	52 (100)	52 (100)	50 (100)	45 (97.9)
Marginal Discolouration	A	52 (100)	49 (94.2)	35 (71.4)	17 (40.48)	52 (100)	52 (100)	45 (90)	39 (86.67)
	B	0	3 (5.8)	14 (28.6)	25 (59.52)	0	0	5 (10)	6 (13.33)
	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marginal adaption (enamel side)	A	52 (100)	49 (94.2)	36 (73.47)	24 (57.14)	52 (100)	49 (96.16)	44 (88)	39 (86.67)
	B	0	3 (5.8)	13 (26.53)	18 (52.86)	0	3 (3.84)	6 (12)	6 (13.33)
	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marginal adaptation (dentin side)	A	52 (100)	51 (98.1)	44 (89.8)	36 (85.71)	52 (100)	49 (96.16)	47 (94)	40 (88.89)
	B	0	1 (1.9)	5 (10.2)	6 (14.29)	0	3 (3.84)	3 (6)	5 (11.11)
	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00784-013-1123-z>.

E. C. Say (✉) · H. Yurdagüven · M. Soyman
Department of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Yeditepe
University, İstanbul, Turkey
e-mail: esracansay@yahoo.com

E. Özel
Department of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Kocaeli
University, Kocaeli, Turkey