Erratum to: Causes of error in analytical chemistry: results of a web-based survey of proficiency testing participants

Stephen L. R. Ellison · William A. Hardcastle

Published online: 27 May 2012
© Springer-Verlag 2012

Erratum to: Accred Qual Assur DOI 10.1007/s00769-012-0894-2

In the original article, question 27 of Appendix 1 has been published incorrectly. The correct version of question 27 should read as given below:

Question	Response count		
Q27. Where taken, how effective was the corrective action? (mark any that apply)			
The responses each allowed response on the scale ineffective/moderately effective/fully effective, shown here as 0, M, F respectively			
	0	M	F
Additional staff training	5	19	36
Method revalidated	2	12	13
Change to method documentation	3	10	17
New procedures implemented	2	19	30
Additional equipment calibration	4	7	12
Change of reference material source	4	6	8
Change of analytical method	3	8	15
New equipment obtained	2	10	14
“Other” action (mark only if you have specified this previously)	2	6	4
Chi-squared test <i>p</i> value (two-way table, test for differences in proportion among responses) and significance	0.80		

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s00769-012-0894-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-012-0894-2).

S. L. R. Ellison (✉) · W. A. Hardcastle
LGC Limited, Queens Road, Teddington,
Middlesex TW11 0LY, UK
e-mail: s.ellison@lgcgroup.com