Erratum to: A comparison of the h -, p -, hp -, and rp -version of the FEM for the solution of the 2D Hertzian contact problem

David Franke · A. Düster · V. Nübel · E. Rank

Published online: 27 February 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Erratum to: Comput Mech (2010) 45:513–522
DOI 10.1007/s00466-009-0464-6

Unfortunately, the contents in the first column of Table 1 were published with some errors. The corrected version of Table 1 is given below:

Table 1 Degrees of freedom, calculation time and error in L^2 -norm for each model

Discretization	Degrees of freedom	Calc. time (s)	Error in L^2 -norm (%)
$p = 1$; 335 elements	710	87	6.5511
$p = 1$; 995 elements	2,060	512	0.2285
$p = 1$; 315 elements	690	240	0.1022
$p = 2$; 335 elements	2,760	173	0.1316
$p = 2$; 995 elements	8,100	896	0.0693
$p = 2$; 315 elements	2,640	400	0.0331
$p = 5$; 4 elements	220	17	0.1708
$p = 8$; 4 elements	544	132	0.1050
$p = 15$; 4 elements	1,860	2,945	0.0415
$rp = 5$; 4 elements	220	17	0.0633
$rp = 8$; 4 elements	544	132	0.0397
$rp = 15$; 4 elements	1,860	2,945	0.0337
$hp = 8$; final hp -mesh	2,144	1,069	0.0488

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s00466-009-0464-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-009-0464-6).

D. Franke (✉) · E. Rank
Lehrstuhl für Computation in Engineering, Technische Universität München, Arcisstrasse 21, 80290 Munich, Germany
e-mail: franke@bv.tum.de

A. Düster
Numerische Strukturanalyse mit Anwendungen in der Schiffstechnik (M-10), Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg, Schwarzenbergstrasse 95c, 21073 Hamburg, Germany

V. Nübel
Corporate Research and Technology, Hilti Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbH, Hiltistrasse 6, 86916 Kaufering, Germany