ERRATUM

Nathaniel E. Ostrom · Hunter J. Carrick
Michael R. Twiss · Leah Piwinski

Evaluation of primary production in Lake Erie by multiple proxies

Published online: 16 August 2005
© Springer-Verlag 2005

Oecologia (2005) 144:115–124

Due to an unfortunate error entries were incorrectly underlined in the final column of Table 3. The correct table is printed below.

Table 3 Results from a blocked, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing primary production estimated derived from three independent analytical methods in each of four lake regions

Blocked Factor			Tukey's pair-wise comparison (least to greatest)
Lake region, station	Depth (m)	<i>F</i> statistic	
Western, 1163	3	41.3**	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u>
Central (west), 43M	5	953.2**	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
	16	2.0	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u>
	20	12.5*	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
	20	12.5*	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
Central (east) 78M	5	65.0**	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
	10	0.5	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
	15	79.6**	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
	20	1.4	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u>
Eastern, 15M	10	88.5**	<u>¹⁸O-PP</u> <u>LD-PP</u> <u>¹⁴C-PP</u>

Treatments joined by underlining were not significantly different from one another when assessed using a Tukey's pair-wise comparison ($P < 0.05$). Where * $P < 0.01$, ** $P < 0.001$

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0032-5>

N. E. Ostrom (✉) · L. Piwinski
Department of Geological Sciences, Michigan State University,
East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

N. E. Ostrom
Center for Global Change and Earth Observations,
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

H. J. Carrick
School of Forest Resources, Pennsylvania State University,
8B Ferguson Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA

M. R. Twiss
Department of Biology, Clarkson University,
Potsdam, NY 13699-5805, USA