The chromosome content and genotype of two wheat cell lines and of their somatic fusion product with oat

Fengning Xiang · Junfeng Wang · Chunhui Xu · Guangmin Xia

Received: 22 September 2009 / Accepted: 1 February 2010 / Published online: 5 March 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Somatic hybridization seeks to genetically combine phylogenetically distant parents. An effective system has been established in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) involving protoplasts from a non-totipotent cell line adapted to in vitro culture (T_1) in combination with totipotent protoplasts harvested from embryogenic calli (T_2). Here, we report the karyotype and genotype of T_1 and T_2 . Line T_1 carries nine A (A-genome of wheat), seven B (B-genome of wheat) and eight D (D-genome of wheat) genome chromosomes, while T_2 cells have 12 A, 10 B and 12 D genome chromosomes. Rates of chromosome aberration in the B- and D-genomes were more than 25%, higher than in the A-genome. DNA deletion rates were 55.6% in T_1 and 19.4% in T_2 , and DNA variation rates were 8.3% in T_1 and 13.9% in T_2 . Rate of DNA elimination was B- > D- > A-genome in both T_1 and T_2 . The same set of cytological and genetic assays was applied to a derivative of the somatic fusion between protoplasts of T_1 , T_2 and oat (*Avena sativa* L.). The regenerant plants were near euploid with respect to their wheat complement. Six wheat chromosome arms—4AL, 3BS, 4BL, 3DS, 6DL and 7DL—carried small introgressed segments of oat chromatin. A genotypic analysis of the hybrid largely confirmed this cytologically-based diagnosis.

Keywords Chromosome content and genotype · Common wheat · Oat · Somatic hybrid

Abbreviations

T	<i>Triticum aestivum</i> cv. Jinan 177
T_1	Jinan 177 suspension cells
T_2	Jinan 177 embryogenic calli
SSR	Simple sequence repeats
GISH	Genomic in situ hybridization
FISH	Fluorescence in situ hybridization

Introduction

Numerous studies have been focused on analysis of somaclonal variation in vitro (Larkin et al. 1984; Lee and Phillips 1988; Pershina et al. 2003). The initial reports were concerned with determining changes in the number, structure, and morphology of the chromosomes, such as chromosome banding patterns (Larkin et al. 1984; Lee and Phillips 1988), and later studies examined variations in genomic DNA caused by mutations, the loss and rearrangement of genes, gene silencing and transposons or reverse transposons in subculture (Shaked et al. 2001; Polanco and Ruiz 2002; James and Reiner 2007). However, little was known about the content and genotype of cultured cells of allohexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) that had been grown for different periods of time.

Wheat (*T. aestivum* L., $2n = 42$) is an important cereal. The genetic variability for some important traits, such as high quality, diseases and stress resistance, is limited in the cultivated wheat germplasm. Related or distant species of wheat are an important reservoir of useful genes (Liu et al.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1113-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

F. Xiang · J. Wang · C. Xu · G. Xia (✉)
The Key Laboratory of Plant Cell Engineering and Germplasm Innovation, Ministry of Education, School of Life Sciences, Shandong University, 27 Shandan Road, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China
e-mail: xiagm@sdu.edu.cn

2005). There is thus an urgent need to broaden the wheat gene pool by introgressing genes for such traits from diverse cereal species. This kind of alien introgression line created can increase the genetic variation in wheat species. However, the sexual route with low crossability in achieving this limited the transfer of such genes (Wang et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2005). Somatic hybridization provides the possibility of overcoming sexual incompatibility of wheat with remote cereals (Xia et al. 2003). This technique has been used with some success as a means of introgressing genes from related grass and cereal species (Xia et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2005; Zhou and Xia 2005). However, when the donor species was only distantly related to wheat, fusions often failed to progress beyond undifferentiated calli or albino plants (Xiang et al. 1999; Li et al. 2001; Yue et al. 2001). In an attempt to overcome the difficulty of regenerating hybrids between distantly related species, a three-parent fusion system has been elaborated (Xiang et al. 2003a, 2004; Xu et al. 2003), based on two complementary wheat cell lines T₁ and T₂. The former is a non-totipotent cell line growing in long-term cell suspension, and the latter are totipotent cells taken from embryogenic calli (Xiang et al. 2003b). The third “parent” is an exotic donor species, the protoplasts of which are irradiated before fusion. Using this system, Xiang et al. (2003a) were able to regenerate a set of putative wheat/oat (*Avena sativa* L.) somatic hybrids and employed a combination of cytological and genetic assays to demonstrate the presence of a variable number of wheat/oat recombinant chromosomes. However, the contents and genotypes of the two wheat cell lines and their somatic fusion products with oat were unknown. This was critical for understanding the mechanism of the regeneration of hybrid plants.

In this work, our goal was to investigate the chromosome elimination and variation of T₁ and T₂, as well as the relationship between the genetic complementation of T₁, T₂, oat and somatic hybrid plant regeneration.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Wheat protoplasts derived from two types of cell lines T₁ and T₂ of *T. aestivum* L. cv. Jinan 177, and oat protoplasts came from embryogenic calli *A. sativa* L. cv. Mapur. The T₁ were harvested from a non-regenerable long-term (>10 years) cell suspension, and the T₂ from 2-year-old embryogenic calli with regeneration frequency of 75% (number of calli which can differentiate to plants/number of calli analysed, %) (Xiang et al. 2003a). The oat cell line was 1.5-year-old embryogenic calli (Xiang et al. 2003a).

The T₁ and T₂ lines were subcultured on MB liquid and solid media (Xia and Chen 1996) with 2.0 mg/L 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D). Protoplasts were isolated from T₁ suspension after 3 days of subculture, T₂ and oat embryogenic calli were isolated 7 days after subculture according to the method of Xia and Chen (1996). After washing in 0.6 M mannitol and 5 mM CaCl₂, oat protoplasts were transferred onto 3.5 cm Petri dishes in a thin layer and irradiated with UV light at an intensity of 300 µW/cm² for 1 min before they were fused with T₁ and T₂ (Xiang et al. 2003a). T₁ and T₂ protoplasts were combined at the ratio of 1:1 and mixed in equal volumes with UV-treated protoplasts of oat. The fusion was carried out according to the improved PEG method (Xia and Chen 1996). When the fusion clones grew to small cell lines of 1.5–2.0 mm in diameter, they were transferred to the MB medium with 1 mg/L 2,4-D for proliferation. After subculture for 1–2 months, proliferating calli from the cell lines were moved to the MB medium containing 0.5 mg/L IAA and 0.5 mg/L zeatin for regeneration. Regenerated plantlets were transferred to seedling-strengthening MB medium containing 1–2 mg/L multi-effect triazal (MET) and 0.5 mg/L NAA for strengthening and rooting. All the regenerated plants from four hybrid clones resembled wheat, but only plants of clone no. 94-1 can grow into soil, and then tassel normally (Xiang et al. 2003a). In this experiment, a larger number of roots from hybrid plants of clone no. 94-1 in different growth stages were analysed. Accessions of *Triticum urartu* Thum, *Aegilops speltoides* Tausch and *Aegilops tauschii* (Cross.) Schmal were kindly provided by the Quality and Resource Institute of the Agriculture Science Academy of China.

Karyotype analysis and in situ hybridization

Chromosome spreads were obtained from T₁ and T₂ cells and from the root tips of the regenerants and germinating seedlings, as described by Xiang et al. (2003b). Karyotypes of cv. Jinan 177 were derived from a sample of ten mitotic metaphase cell spreads. Karyotype classification followed the method of Sears (1969) and was based on the data for Chinese Spring wheat from Gill (1987). The parameters of the karyotypes were based on ten metaphase cell spreads. The arm ratios and the relative distances of the chromosomes from the hybrid cells selected were analysed. For in situ hybridization (ISH) purposes, genomic DNA of cv. Jinan 177, oat, *T. urartu*, *Ae. tauschii*, *Ae. speltoides* and the hybrid regenerants was isolated following Doyle and Doyle (1990). The pSc119.2 and pAs1 plasmids contain, respectively, B and D genome-specific repetitive sequences (Mukai et al. (1993). These were labelled for use as fluorescence ISH (FISH) probes with digoxigenin-11-dUTP, using a nick translation kit, according to the manufacturer's

instructions (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany), as described elsewhere (Wang et al. 2005). Total oat genomic DNA was labelled in the same way to generate a genomic ISH (GISH) probe. The GISH and FISH methodology followed Wang et al. (2005), the former using a ratio of 1:50 labelled oat genomic DNA to unlabelled wheat genomic DNA, and the latter 1:50 labelled pSc119.2 to unlabelled genomic (*T. urartu* + *Ae. tauschii*) DNA, or 1:50 pAs1 to unlabelled genomic (*T. urartu* + *Ae. speltooides*) DNA. In sequential GISH and FISH experiments (pAs1/pSc119.2 and GISH/pAs1/pSc119.2), the initial signal was rinsed before rehybridization with the subsequent probe.

Microsatellite (SSR) analysis

DNA extracted from T_1 cells, T_2 calli and leaf of somatic hybrids and parental lines (Doyle and Doyle 1990) was used as template for the analysis of allelic constitution at 101 SSR loci, following Röder et al. (1998). The marker set covered the centromeric and subtelocentric regions of all the chromosomes present in T_1 and T_2 , and the locations selected based on the previous GISH, FISH and karyotype analysis of hybrid. The relative distance (%; the distance from the centromere to SSR locus checked/the length of the arm involved $\times 100\%$) was measured according to the genetical map of Röder et al. (1998).

Results

The chromosome content of T_1 and T_2 and cv. Jinan 177

Of the 138 T_1 cells analysed, 119 (86.2%) contained 22–25 chromosomes (Fig. 1a), while 104/124 (83.9%) of the T_2 cells had 34–38 chromosomes (Fig. 1b). Some T_1 and T_2 cells included telocentric chromosomes, acrocentric chromosomes and dicentric chromosomes (see Suppl. Table 1, Fig. 1a, b). One or two chromosome fragments were present in $\sim 87\%$ of both the T_1 and T_2 cells. The karyotype of cv. Jinan 177 conformed to that of standard bread wheat (Gill 1987; Suppl. Table 2, Fig. 1c).

The genome content of T_1 and T_2 cells

FISH preparations based on hybridization with pSc119.2 and pAs1 succeeded in defining the B and D genome content of T_1 and T_2 . From a sample of 136 T_1 cells, 82 ($\sim 60\%$) contained seven B genome, and 83 ($\sim 61\%$) contained eight D genome chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 2a, b); similarly, of the 95 T_2 cells analysed, $\sim 60\%$ had ten B and $\sim 54\%$ 12 D genome chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 2c, d). The range in the number of B and D genome

Fig. 1 Mitotic metaphase spreads of T_1 (a), T_2 (b), cv. Jinan 177 (c) and the karyotype of cv. Jinan 177 (d). Telocentric and subtelocentric chromosomes indicated by an arrow, dicentric chromosomes by an arrowhead

chromosomes in T_1 was, respectively, 6–8 and 7–9, and in T_2 , 9–11 and 10–12. Chromosomes 2B, 3B, 7B, 3D and 6D were present in most T_1 and T_2 cells, but 4B, 5B and 4D were rare. Chromosome abnormalities were present in, respectively, 27 and 39% of the T_1 B and D genome chromosomes and 31 and 36% of T_2 chromosomes (Table 2). Therefore, many eliminations and rearrangements including duplication of B- and D-genome chromosomes in T_1 and T_2 presented in the calli subculture. Chromosome arm ratios and relative lengths were used to infer the A genome content of T_1 and T_2 cells. Comparing the statistics of karyotype data of T_1 , T_2 with cv. Jinan 177, it was revealed that A genome chromosomes of T_1 and T_2 were distributed mainly in 4A and 5A, whereas 3A was the lowest. About 61% of T_1 cells contained nine A genome chromosomes, while $\sim 57\%$ of the T_2 cells contained 12 (Table 1, Fig. 2a, b). The range in A genome chromosome number in T_1 was 7–11, and in T_2 11–15. Non-standard A genome chromosomes were present in 22% of T_1 and 16% of T_2 cells (Tables 1, 2).

Distinguishing between DNA deletion and modification in T_1 and T_2

Simple sequence repeats genotyping was employed to distinguish between DNA deletion and modification in T_1 and T_2 as a result of cell culture. Of the 58 loci assayed, 36 exposed genetic polymorphism between T_1 and T_2 and T

Table 1 Frequency of pSc119.2 and pAsI hybridization signals in T₁ and T₂ cell lines

DNA probe	Material	Main chromosome no.	Cell no. observed	Signal number and frequency (%)						
				6 (%)	7 (%)	8 (%)	9 (%)	10 (%)	11 (%)	12 (%)
pAsI	T ₁	23	45		7 (15.5)	29 (64.4)	9 (20.1)			
		24	58		11 (19.0)	36 (62.0)	11 (19.0)			
		25	33		6 (18.2)	19 (57.6)	7 (21.2)			
	T ₂	33	38					8 (21.1)	10 (26.3)	20 (52.6)
		34	25					4 (16.0)	7 (28.0)	14 (56.0)
		35	32					6 (18.2)	9 (28.1)	17 (53.1)
pSc119.2	T ₁	23	44	14 (31.8)	25 (56.8)	5 (11.4)				
		24	48	14 (29.2)	30 (62.5)	4 (8.3)				
		25	31	7 (22.6)	19 (61.3)	5 (16.1)				
	T ₂	33	19				5 (26.4)	11 (57.9)	3 (15.7)	
		34	23				5 (21.7)	14 (60.9)	4 (17.4)	
		35	15				4 (26.7)	9 (60.0)	2 (13.3)	

Fig. 2 FISH profile of the mitotic metaphase chromosomes. **a** and **b** B and D genome chromosomes of T₁ identified by their pSc119.2 and pAsI patterns. **c** and **d** B and D genome chromosomes of T₂ identified by their pSc119.2 and pAsI patterns. Scale bars 10 μ m

(cv. Jinan 177), and the remainder were non-informative (Table 3, Fig. 3). Of the 36 informative markers, 13 showed same patterns among T, T₁ and T₂, 15 between T₁

and cv. Jinan 177 and 25 between T₂ and cv. Jinan 177 (Table 3). The rates of DNA deletion were 55.6% (20/36) in T₁, such as in Xgwm160 and Xgwm428 (Fig. 3), and

Table 2 Chromosome constitution of the T₁ and T₂ lines

A-genome chromosome	T ₁ No. of chromosome (%)	T ₂ No. of chromosome (%)	B-genome chromosome	T ₁ No. of chromosome (%)	T ₂ No. of chromosome (%)	D-genome chromosome	T ₁ No. of chromosome (%)	T ₂ No. of chromosome (%)
1A	8 (8.89)	5 (9.09)	1B	10 (8.93)	7 (8.33)	1D	14 (11.86)	12 (6.78)
2A	9 (10.00)	7 (12.73)	2B	16 (14.29)	8 (9.52)	2D	9 (7.63)	26 (14.69)
3A	4 (4.44)	2 (3.64)	3B	16 (14.29)	16 (19.05)	3D	14 (11.86)	21 (11.86)
4A	21 (23.33)	9 (16.36)	4B	4 (3.57)	4 (4.76)	4D	5 (4.24)	6 (3.39)
5A	13 (14.44)	12 (21.82)	5B	8 (7.14)	3 (3.57)	5D	7 (5.94)	15 (8.47)
6A	7 (7.78)	5 (9.09)	6B	12 (10.71)	8 (9.52)	6D	19 (16.10)	18 (10.17)
7A	8 (8.89)	6 (10.91)	7B	16 (14.29)	12 (14.29)	7D	4 (3.39)	16 (9.05)
XA	20 (22.22)	9 (16.36)	XB	30 (26.79)	26 (30.95)	XD	46 (38.98)	63 (35.59)
Total	90 (100)	55 (100)	Total	112 (100)	84 (100)	Total	118 (100)	177 (100)

19.4% (7/36) in T₂, such as in Xgwm335 (Fig. 3) and Xgwm273, and 16.7% (6/36) in both T₁ and T₂ (Table 3). This indicated that the rate of DNA deletion of T₁ was higher than that of T₂. The ratio of DNA modification was 8.3% (3/36) in T₁ with examples such as Xgwm389 (Fig. 3) and Xgwm160 (Fig. 3). In T₂ the ratio of DNA modification was 13.9% (5/36), with examples of Xgwm389, Xgwm335 and Xgwm428 (Table 3, Fig. 3). B-genomic DNA was eliminated at a faster rate than D-genomic DNA, which was deleted faster than A-genomic DNA in T₁ and T₂. Anyway, the rate of DNA deletion was greater in T₁ than in T₂, while the rate of DNA modification was greater in T₂ than in T₁ (Table 3). Therefore, there were many instances of DNA variation at different positions in T₁ and T₂, and within the calli of subcultures of wheat.

Introgression of oat chromatin into wheat

About 71% of the cells of the hybrid regenerants contained 46–48 chromosomes (mean 47.2), with ~58% containing oat chromosome introgression segments distributed on six wheat chromosomes. Based on sequential ISH experiments, it was possible to identify 12–16 of the B genome and 11–13 of the D genome chromosomes (Table 4, Fig. 4a, b). By comparing their karyotype with that of cv. Jinan 177, 17 A genome chromosomes and three chromosomal abnormalities were detected (Table 4). Almost the whole wheat genome was represented in the hybrid nuclei, along with duplicated, deleted or re-arranged chromosomes. The GISH/FISH analysis identified the presence of oat chromatin on chromosomes 3B and 4B (Fig. 4a, c), and 3D, 6D and 7D (Fig. 4b, c). A further introgression site was identified indirectly on chromosome 4A (Fig. 4c). The arm locations of the six introgression sites were 4AL, 3BS, 4BL, 3DS, 6DL and 7DL (Table 5, Fig. 4). An analysis of the arm ratios of the introgressed chromosomes, and the

relative distances between the centromere and the introgression break point are given in Table 5.

Of the 58 SSR loci used to genotype the hybrid regenerants, 32 were amplified in all of the samples. Of the 32 informative markers, only 14 (43.8%) identified differences between hybrid plants and their parents. The alleles from both parents were present at nine of above 14 loci, only the oat allele was amplified at two loci, while at the remaining three loci, the alleles were biparental and novel (Table 6). The relative distance (%) between the centromeres and the SSR loci were measured. SSR bands were located on 4AL, 3BS, 4BL, 3DS, 6DL and 7DL chromosome arms, and the relative distances (%) of fragments ranged from 3.10 to 76.36% (Table 6), in agreement with the results of sequential GISH and FISH (Table 5). Oat alleles were also amplified by primers targeting SSR loci on chromosome arms 3AS, 3AL, 6AL and 7AL, none of which were identified by GISH as sites of introgression (Table 6). This observation is suggestive of the occurrence of many sub-microscopic introgression events.

Discussion

By using the pSc119.2 with high repetitive sequences of B-genome from rye (*Secale cereale* L.), and pAs1 with insertion of repetitive sequences of D-genome from *Ae. tauschii* for two-colour FISH, Mukai et al. (1993) established the ideogram of B- and D-genomes and a pair of 4A chromosomes of Chinese Spring wheat. Using N-, C-banding, GISH, FISH and SSR markers in combination with karyotypes data, heterogeneric chromatin in many wheat hybrids were localized into the wheat chromosomes (Jiang et al. 1993; Nagy et al. 2002; Malysheva et al. 2003; Silkova et al. 2006). Our previous result had also confirmed that FISH analysis with pSc119.2 and pAs1, in combination with karyotype data and GISH, could differentiate all

Table 3 SSR profiles of cv. Jinan 177 and T₁ and T₂ cell lines

Primer	Location	The amplification result of wheat and its calli			
		Jinan 177	T ₁	T ₂	Deletion and modification of genomic DNA in T ₁ and T ₂
Xgwm359	1AS	T	T	T	
Xgwm47	2AL	T	T	T	
Xgwm674	3AL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm369	3AS	a + b	a	a + b	D in T ₁
Xwmc258	4AL	a + b	0	0	D in T ₁ and T ₂
Xwmc262	4AL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm160	4AL	a + b	a + N	a + b	D in T ₁ , M in T ₁
Xgwm637	4AL	T	T	T	
Xgwm494	6AL	T	T	T	
Xgwm63	7AL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm140	1BL	T	T	T	
Xgwm273	1BS	a + b+c	a	N	D in T ₁ and T ₂ , M in T ₂
Xgwm297	3BL	T	T	T	
Xgwm247	3BL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm547	3BS	T	T	T	
Xpsp3030	3BS	a + b+c	a + b	a + b	D in T ₁ and T ₂
Xgwm285	3BS	T	T	T	
Xgwm231	3BS	a + b+c + d	a + b+c	a + b	D in T ₁ and T ₂
Xgwm389	3BS	a	a + N	a + N	M in T ₁ and T ₂
Xgwm77	3BS	T	T	T	
Xwmc69	3BS	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm107	4BL	a + b+c + d+e	a + b+c	a + b+c + d+e	D in T ₁
Xgwm165	4BL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm368	4BS	T	T	T	
Xgwm335	5BL	a	a	N	D in T ₂ , M in T ₂
Xgwm219	6BL	T	T	T	
Xgwm537	7BS	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm157	1 DL	T	T	T	
Xgwm337	1 DS	a + b+c	a + b	a + b+c	D in T ₁
Xgwm314	3DL	T	T	T	
Xgwm456	3DS	a + b	a + b	a + b+N + N	M in T ₂
Xgdm98	6DL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Xgwm428	7DL	a + b	0	a + b+N	D in T ₁ , M in T ₂
Xgdm46	7DL	a + b	b	a	D in T ₁ and T ₂
Xpsp3079	7DL	a + b+c + d	a + b+c + N	a + b+c	D in T ₁ and T ₂ , M in T ₁
Xgwm437	7DL	a + b	0	a + b	D in T ₁
Rate of D (%)	T ₁	55.6	Rate of M (%)		8.3
	T ₂	19.4			13.9

T non-informative; N novel allele

a, b, c the band amplified; 0 no amplification; D locus absent; M modification type

of the A-, B- and D-genome chromosomes of the cultivar wheat Jinan 177 and localize small donor chromosomes in somatic hybrid of Jinan 177 with *Agropyron elongatum* (Wang et al. 2005). Here, we have extended this analysis to the three-cell fusion system (Xiang et al. 2003a), which has uncovered chromosome content and genotype of two wheat cell lines and of their somatic hybrid plant with oat.

Chromosome loss and variation in the T₁ and T₂ cell lines

Variation in chromosome number and the formation of chromosomal aberrations are common in cells cultured in vitro over many generations (Larkin et al. 1984; Song et al. 2000). Here we have shown that the T₁ cell line has lost

Fig. 3 SSR profiles of cv. Jinan 177 (T) and cell lines T₁ and T₂, based on primers directed to loci on wheat chromosome arms 1BL, 3BS, 4AL, 5BL and 7DL. a, b: cv. Jinan 177 allele; n novel allele

about 18, while T₂ has lost only about eight chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 2), as would be expected given that T₁ has been in culture for a much longer period than T₂. The evidence is that particular chromosomes tend to be more highly prone to loss, while others are lost rather rarely, as has been noted in other studies (Lee and Phillips 1988;

Doğramaci-Altuntepe et al. 2001). The former group includes chromosomes 4A, 5A, 3B, 7B, 3D, 6D, and the latter chromosomes 3A, 6A, 4B, 5B and 4D (Table 2). As for the chromosomal abnormalities of T₁ and T₂, the frequencies in D-, B-groups were higher than that of the A-group, with the order of D- > B- > A-group in both T₁ and T₂ (Table 2).

Hybrid chromosome number and regeneration ability

Previous studies have indicated that the totipotency of a hybrid cell line was dependent on the number of chromosomes present, so those having a chromosome number close to the bread wheat somatic number of 42 tended to be more fit than those which were either hypo- or hyper-ploid (Xia et al. 1996; Xiang et al. 2003a, 2004). The majority of the regenerants from the wheat/oat fusion had a chromosome number in the range 46–48, far less than the sum of the three fusion parents' chromosomes (93–108). Thus, there must have been a massive and rapid phase of chromosome elimination following the fusion, particularly involving the oat chromosomes (aided by the pre-fusion irradiation treatment), but also involving chromosomes inherited from T₁ and T₂.

Table 4 Chromosome content of a bread wheat/oat somatic hybrid derivative

A-genome chromosome	No. of chromosome	B-genome chromosome	No. of chromosome	D-genome chromosome	No. of chromosome	Abnormal chromosome	No. of chromosome
1A	2	1B	2	1D	2		
2A	3	2B	2	2D	1		
3A	1	3B	3	3D	1		
4A	3	4B	1	4D	2		
5A	4	5B	1	5D	2		
6A	2	6B	1	6D	3		
7A	2	7B	3	7D	1		
		XB	1			X	2
		YB	1			Y	1
Total	17	Total	15	Total	12	Total	3

XB and YB unidentified likely B genome chromosome, based on its FISH profile

X, Y, unidentified chromosome

Fig. 4 Sequential FISH and GISH preparations of metaphase chromosomes of a bread wheat/oat somatic hybrid derivative. FISH profiling to identify B (a) and D (b) genome chromosomes. c GISH profile to identify chromosome segments introgressed from oat. Scale bars 10 μm

Table 5 Localization of introgressed oat chromatin in a wheat/oat somatic hybrid derivative

	Chromosome		Cell number	Relative distance (%) from centromere to the breaking point ^a	Arm ratio
	4A	L	27	60.55 ± 11.12	1.45 ± 0.09
<i>L</i> chromosome long arm;	3B	S	32	21.63 ± 10.19	1.50 ± 0.13
<i>S</i> chromosome short arm	4B	L	33	29.71 ± 11.25	1.02 ± 0.07
^a The distance from the centromere to the break point/the length of the arm involved × 100%	3D	S	38	40.57 ± 12.33	1.46 ± 0.12
	6D	L	31	58.58 ± 11.02	1.41 ± 0.13
	7D	L	40	27.29 ± 11.57	1.08 ± 0.08

Table 6 SSR position on the wheat chromosome of hybrid and the amplification marker

	Primer	Location	Band pattern	Relative distance (%) from the centromere	Primer	Location	Band pattern	Relative distance (%) from the centromere ^a
	Xgwm369	3AS	P. N	76.36	Xpsp3030	3BS	O	21.21
	Xgwm674	3AL	P	3.33	Xgwm165	4BL	P	19.50
<i>P</i> both parental alleles; <i>O</i> oat allele; <i>N</i> novel allele; <i>T</i> wheat allele	Xwmc258	4AL	O	56.00	Xgwm107	4BL	P	3.54
^a The distance from the centromere to SSR locus checked/the length of the arm involved × 100%	Xwmc262	4AL	P	59.33	Xgwm456	3DS	P	38.1
	Xgwm494	6AL	P	3.10	Xgdm98	6DL	P	67.05
	Xgwm63	7AL	P	68.97	Xpsp3079	7DL	P	29.31
	Xwmc231	3BS	P	11.95	Xgwm428	7DL	P. N	22.24

Genetic complementation of T₁, T₂ and *A. sativa* with hybrid plant regeneration

When bread wheat is pollinated by either *Hordeum bulbosum* or maize, the pollen parent's chromosomes are eliminated during the first few mitotic divisions of the hybrid zygote, a process which has been exploited for the production of dihaploids (Inagaki and Tahir 1990; Chen et al. 1999). Even in compatible wide crosses, which occur in nature and are maintained by polyploidization, a spectrum of genetic and cytological events leads to various modifications (Kashkush et al. 2003; Birchler et al. 2005; James and Reiner 2007; Ma and Gustafson 2008). Thus, it is unsurprising that such events also affect the somatic hybridization process. The T₁ cell line has lost the capacity to regenerate, while T₂ cells remain totipotent (Xiang et al. 2003a, 2004; Xu et al. 2003). T₂ protoplasts cannot divide in vitro, while T₁ cells readily form non-regenerable calli. In combination, these two lines are able to contribute both the ability to grow in vitro and totipotency. Thus, it is plausible to suggest that the loss of totipotency of the T₁ cell line is due to the absence of a critical chromosome(s) and that this absence can be complemented in the fusion nucleus by the contribution of the T₂ line genome, where the critical chromosome(s) is still represented. A similar argument can be made for the ability to divide in in vitro culture. In addition, GISH/FISH pattern indicated the introgression of oat chromatin to the 4AL, 3BS, 4BL, 3DS, 6DL and 7DL of the hybrid wheat (Table 5,

Fig. 5 SSR profiles of cv. Jinan 177 (lane T), oat (lane O) and a bread wheat/oat somatic hybrid derivative (lane H), based on primers directed to loci on wheat chromosome arms 3AS, 4AL, 3BS, 4BL, 6DL and 7DL. Oat alleles indicated by an arrow, wheat alleles by a thin arrowhead, novel alleles by a full arrowhead

Fig. 4). It is interesting that 10 SSR loci near to the introgression position showed the same profile between the hybrid and oat (Table 6). Therefore, we suggested that hybrid plant regenerated through genetic complementation of T₁ and T₂ and oat. Somatic hybrid derivatives have also been recovered from other combinations with wheat, including oat, foxtail millet and maize (Xiang et al. 2003a, 2004; Xu et al. 2003), which may be explained by the genetic

complementation between the T₁ and T₂ cell lines and the donor species. But no hybrid progenies were produced in these plants from the “Triple parents” (Xiang et al. 2003a, 2004; Xu et al. 2003). In our early reports, hybrid cells from high capacity for regeneration suspension of wheat cv. Jinan 177 with wheatgrass DNA were fertile and heredity stable (Wang et al. 2005). Thus, we suggest that besides the genetic complementation, some other factors also affect the fertility and genetic stability of these distant hybrid plants. This is worthy of further research (Fig. 5).

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Key Technology R&D Program (2007BAD59B06 to G. X.), the Chinese Ministry of Education New Century Training Program Foundation for Talents (NCET-05-0581 to F. X.) and the Shandong Scientific Committee Excellent Youth Foundation (JQ200810 to F. X.). We are grateful to Dr. Zhang Xueyong (Chinese Academy of Agriculture Sciences) for providing FISH probes pSc119.2 and pAs1.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Birchler JA, Riddle NC, Auger DL, Veitia RA (2005) Dosage balance in gene regulation: biological implications. *Trends Genet* 21:219–226
- Chen CX, Sun JS, Zhu LH (1999) RFLP variations of common wheat doubled haploid progenies from wheat × maize crosses. *Acta Bot Sin* 41:55–59
- Doğramaci-Altuntepe M, Peterson TS, Jauhar PP (2001) Anther culture-derived regenerants of durum wheat and their cytological characterization. *J Hered* 92:56–64
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus* 12:13–15
- Gill BS (1987) Chromosome banding methods standard chromosome nomenclature and applications in cytogenetic analysis. In: Heyne EG (ed) *Wheat and wheat improvement*, 2nd edn. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 243–254
- Inagaki M, Tahir M (1990) Comparison of haploid production frequency in wheat varieties crossed with *Hordeum bulbosum* L. and maize. *Jpn J Breed* 40:209–216
- James AB, Reiner AV (2007) The gene balance hypothesis: from classical genetics to modern genomics. *Plant Cell* 19:395–402
- Jiang J, Chen P, Fribe B, Raupp WJ, Gill BS (1993) Alloplasmic wheat—*Elymus ciliaris* chromosome addition lines. *Genome* 37:327–333
- Kashkush K, Feldman M, Levy AA (2003) Transcriptional activation of retrotransposons alters the expression of adjacent genes in wheat. *Nat Genet* 33:102–106
- Larkin PJ, Ryan SA, Brettel RIS, Scowcroft WR (1984) Heritable somaclonal variation in wheat. *Theor Appl Genet* 67:443–455
- Lee M, Phillips RL (1988) The chromosomal basis of somaclonal variation. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 39:413–437
- Li LL, Xia GM, Chen HM (2001) Asymmetric hybridization between wheat and Millet. *Acta Phytophysiol Sin* 27:455–460
- Liu JH, Xu XY, Deng XX (2005) Intergeneric somatic hybridization and its application to crop genetic improvement. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult* 82:19–44
- Ma XF, Gustafson JP (2008) Allopolyploidization-accommodated genomic sequence changes in triticale. *Ann Bot* 101:825–832
- Malysheva L, Sjakste T, Matzk F, Röder M, Ganai M (2003) Molecular cytogenetic analysis of wheat-barley hybrids using genomic in situ hybridization and barley microsatellite markers. *Genome* 46:314–322
- Mukai Y, Nakahara Y, Yamamoto M (1993) Simultaneous discrimination of the three genomes in hexaploid wheat by multicolor fluorescence in situ hybridization using total genomic and highly repeated DNA probes. *Genome* 36:489–494
- Nagy ED, Molnár-Láng M, Linc G, Láng L (2002) Identification of wheat-barley translocations by sequential GISH and two colour FISH in combination with the use of genetically mapped barley SSR markers. *Genome* 45:1238–1247
- Pershin LA, Dobrovolskaia OB, Rakovtseva TS, Kravtsova LA, Shchapova AI, Shumny VK (2003) The effect of rye chromosomes on callus induction and regeneration in callus cultures of immature embryos of wheat-rye substitution lines, *Triticum aestivum* L. cultivar *Saratovskaia* 29/*Secale cereale* L. cultivar *Onokhoiskaia*. *Genetika* 39:1073–1080
- Polanco C, Ruiz ML (2002) AFLP analysis of somaclonal variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* regenerated plants. *Plant Sci* 162:817–824
- Röder MS, Korzun V, Wendehake K (1998) A microsatellite map of wheat. *Genetics* 149:2007–2023
- Sears ER (1969) Wheat cytogenetics. *Annu Rev Genet* 3:451–468
- Shaked H, Kashkush K, Ozkan H, Feldman M, Levy AA (2001) Sequence elimination and cytosine methylation are rapid and reproducible responses of the genome to wide hybridization and allopolyploidy in wheat. *Plant Cell* 13:1749–1759
- Silkova OG, Dobrovolskaia OB, Dubovets NI, Adonina IG, Kravtsova LA, Roeder MS, Salina EA, Shchapova AI, Shumny VK (2006) Production of wheat-rye substitution lines and identification of chromosome composition of karyotypes using C-banding, GISH, and SSR markers. *Genetika* 42:793–802
- Song XQ, Xia GM, Chen HM (2000) Chromosomal variation in long-term cultures of several related plants in *Triticinae*. *Acta Phytophysiol Sin* 26:33–38
- Wang J, Xiang FN, Xia GM, Chen HM (2004) Transfer of small chromosome fragments of *Agropyron elongatum* to wheat chromosome via asymmetric somatic hybridization. *Sci Chin Ser C* 47:434–441
- Wang J, Xiang FN, Xia GM (2005) *Agropyron elongatum* chromatin localization on the wheat chromosomes in an introgression line. *Planta* 221:277–286
- Xia GM, Chen HM (1996) Plant regeneration from intergeneric somatic hybridization between *Triticum aestivum* and *Leymus chinensis*. *Plant Sci* 120:197–203
- Xia GM, Wang H, Chen HM (1996) Plant regeneration from intergeneric asymmetric hybridization between wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and Russian wildrye (*Psathyrostachys juncea* (Fisch.) Nevski) and wheat grass (*Agropyron elongatum* (Host) Nevski). *Chin Sci Bull* 41:1382–1386
- Xia GM, Xiang FN, Zhou AF, Wang H, Chen HM (2003) Asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and *Agropyron elongatum* (Host) Nevski. *Theor Appl Genet* 107:299–305
- Xiang FN, Xia GM, Zhou AF, Chen HM (1999) Asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and *Bromus inermis*. *Acta Bot Sin* 41:458–462
- Xiang FN, Xia GM, Chen HM (2003a) Asymmetric somatic hybridization between wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and *Avena sativa* L. *Sci Chin Ser C* 49:243–252

- Xiang FN, Xia GM, Chen HM (2003b) Effect of UV dosage on somatic hybridization between common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and *Avena sativa* L. *Plant Sci* 164:697–707
- Xiang FN, Xia GM, Zhi DY, Wang J, Nie H, Chen HM (2004) Regeneration of somatic hybrids in relation to the nuclear and cytoplasmic genomes of wheat and *Setaria italica*. *Genome* 47:680–688
- Xu CH, Xia GM, Zhi DY, Xiang FN, Chen HM (2003) Integration of maize nuclear and mitochondrial DNA into the wheat genome through somatic hybridization. *Plant Sci* 165:1001–1008
- Yue W, Xia GM, Zhi DY, Chen HM (2001) Transfer of salt tolerance from *Aeluropus littoralis* sinensis to wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) via asymmetric somatic hybridization. *Plant Sci* 161:259–266
- Zhou AF, Xia GM (2005) Introgression of the *Haynaldia villosa* genome into γ -ray-induced asymmetric somatic hybrids of wheat. *Plant Cell Rep* 24:289–296