Foraminifera recycling in worm reefs

Received: 7 May 2009 / Accepted: 4 June 2009 / Published online: 26 June 2009
© Springer-Verlag 2009

Fig. 1 **a** Worm reefs on laterite blocks in the intertidal zone at Meenkunnu Beach. **b** The build-ups are constructed by densely packed and loosely joined tubes 20 cm in length. **c** Close-up of agglutinated worm tubes dominated by *Ammonia beccarii* tests

References

- Badve RM (1996) Observations on polychaete genus *Sabellaria* along the south and west coast of India. *Curr Sci* 70:402–405
Kirtley DW (1994) A review and taxonomic revision of the family Sabellariidae Johnston, 1865 (Annelida; Polychaeta). Sabecon Press, Science Series vol 1, Vero Beach, Florida

M. Reuter (✉) · W. E. Piller
Institute of Earth Sciences, Graz University, Heinrichstr. 26, 8010 Graz, Austria
e-mail: markus.reuter@uni-graz.at

A. Kroh · M. Harzhauser
Paleontological and Geological Department, Natural History Museum Vienna, Burgring 7, 1010 Vienna, Austria

Reef sites

Coral Reefs (2010) 29:57
DOI 10.1007/s00338-009-0523-7

Sabellarid annelids accrete reefs in intertidal and subtidal zones of temperate to tropical seas at places where heavy wave- and current-action cause the suspension and transport of sand-sized particles (Kirtley 1994). The sand grains are utilized for the construction of rigid agglutinated tubes which form the so-called “sandstone reefs”. Tube construction involves a sorting process. *Idanthyrsus bihamatus* (Caullery, 1944) from Indonesia, for instance, is noted for preferring soritid foraminifera (Kirtley 1994), while *Neosabellaria clandestina* (Menon and Sareen, 1966) accumulate platy shell fragments and heavy minerals in their tubes at localities in southern India (Badve 1996).

Herein, we report on a case of foraminifera recycling from newly discovered worm reefs in SW-India (Meenkunnu Beach, N 11°54'38", E 075°19'09"; Fig. 1a). At this place *N. clandestina* build-up cushion-shaped reef on rocky substrates in the surf zone and *Sabellaria chandraae* de Silva, 1961 forms thin veneers above these build-ups at the high tide level. Even though the flanking sediment is heavy mineral rich quartz sand with only 3% CaCO₃, the tubes of both species (Fig. 1b) contain high quantities of detritic carbonate (65%). Remarkably more than 80% of these carbonate grains are tests of the rotaliid foraminifera *Ammonia beccarii* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Fig. 1c). In contrast, foraminifera (*A. beccarii*, *Nonion* sp., miliolids) are under-represented carbonate particles in the surrounding mobile beach sand where platy mollusc shell debris prevails.

This is the first observation of preferential foraminifera mounting in *N. clandestina* and *S. chandraae* tubes. It implies that the composition of worm reefs in high energetic settings is neither primarily controlled by the quantitative composition of the surrounding sediment nor specific to the biology of individual sabellarid species. In order to speculate about the concentration of foraminiferal tests in worm tubes, this may primarily be dependent on the local hydrodynamic regime that accounts for density fractionation of the suspended sand grains.

Acknowledgment Funding by the FWF through grant P-18189-N10 is gratefully acknowledged.