



VALIDATION STUDIES

The Finnish version of the Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report (JAMAR)

Pekka Lahdenne¹ · Kristiina Aalto¹ · Katariina Rebane¹ · Paula Vahasalo² · Anne Kristiina Putto-Laurila³ · Merja Malin⁴ · Liisa Kroger⁵ · Hanna Saila⁶ · Alessandro Consolaro^{7,8} · Francesca Bovis⁷ · Nicolino Ruperto⁷ · For the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO)

Received: 22 December 2017 / Accepted: 11 January 2018
© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

Abstract

The Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report (JAMAR) is a new parent/patient-reported outcome measure that enables a thorough assessment of the disease status in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA). We report the results of the cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the parent and patient versions of the JAMAR in the Finnish language. The reading comprehension of the questionnaire was tested in ten JIA parents and patients. Each participating centre was asked to collect demographic, clinical data and the JAMAR in 100 consecutive JIA patients or all consecutive patients seen in a 6-month period and to administer the JAMAR to 100 healthy children and their parents. The statistical validation phase explored descriptive statistics and the psychometric issues of the JAMAR: the three Likert assumptions, floor/ceiling effects, internal consistency, Cronbach's alpha, interscale correlations, test–retest reliability, and construct validity (convergent and discriminant validity). A total of 173 JIA patients (1.2% systemic, 46.2% oligoarticular, 39.9% RF-negative polyarthritis, 12.7% other categories) and 100 healthy children, were enrolled in five paediatric rheumatology centres. The JAMAR components discriminated well healthy subjects from JIA patients. All JAMAR components revealed good psychometric performances. In conclusion, the Finnish version of the JAMAR is a valid tool for the assessment of children with JIA and is suitable for use both in routine clinical practice and clinical research.

Keywords Juvenile idiopathic arthritis · Disease status · Functional ability · Health-related quality of life · JAMAR

Introduction

The aim of the present study was to cross-culturally adapt and validate the Finnish parent, child/adult version of the Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report (JAMAR) [1] in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis

(JIA). The JAMAR assesses the most relevant parent/patient-reported outcomes in JIA, including overall well-being, functional status, health-related quality of life (HRQoL), pain, morning stiffness, disease activity/status/course, articular and extra-articular involvement, drug-related side effects/compliance and satisfaction with illness outcome.

The local members of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO) participating in the project are listed in the dedicated tables no. 2 and 3 of "<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-018-3944-1> / Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report (JAMAR) in 54 languages across 52 countries: review of the general methodology".

This project was part of a larger multinational study conducted by the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO) [2] aimed to evaluate the epidemiology, outcome and treatment of childhood arthritis (EPOCA) in different geographic areas [3].

We report herein the results of the cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the parent and patient versions of the JAMAR in the Finnish language.

✉ Pekka Lahdenne
pekka.lahdenne@hus.fi

✉ Nicolino Ruperto
nicolaruperto@gaslini.org
<https://www.printo.it>

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Materials and methods

The methodology employed has been described in detail in the introductory paper of the supplement [4]. In brief, it was a cross-sectional study of JIA children, classified according to the ILAR criteria [5, 6] and enrolled from March 2012 to October 2013. Children were recruited after Ethics Committee approval and consent from at least one parent.

The JAMAR

The JAMAR [1] includes the following 15 sections:

1. Assessment of physical function (PF) using 15 items in which the ability of the child to perform each task is scored as follows: 0 = without difficulty, 1 = with some difficulty, 2 = with much difficulty, 3 = unable to do and not applicable if it was not possible to answer the question or the patient was unable to perform the task due to their young age or to reasons other than JIA. The total PF score ranges from 0 to 45 and has three components: PF-lower limbs (PF-LL); PF-hand and wrist (PF-HW) and PF-upper segment (PF-US) each scoring from 0 to 15 [7]. Higher scores indicate higher degree of disability [8–10];
2. rating of the intensity of the patient's pain on a 21-numbered circle Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) [11];
3. assessment of the presence of joint pain or swelling (present/absent for each joint);
4. assessment of morning stiffness (present/absent);
5. assessment of extra-articular symptoms (fever and rash) (present/absent);
6. rating of the level of disease activity on a 21-circle VAS;
7. rating of disease status at the time of the visit (categorical scale);
8. rating of disease course from previous visit (categorical scale);
9. checklist of the medications the patient is taking (list of choices);
10. checklist of side effects of medications;
11. report of difficulties with medication administration (list of items);
12. report of school/university/work problems caused by the disease (list of items);
13. assessment of HRQoL, through the physical health (PhH), and psychosocial health (PsH) subscales (5 items each) and a total score. The four-point Likert response, referring to the prior month, are 'never' (score = 0), 'sometimes' (score = 1), 'most of the time' (score = 2) and 'all the time' (score = 3). A 'not assessable' column was included in the parent version of the

questionnaire to designate questions that cannot be answered because of developmental immaturity. The total HRQoL score ranges from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating worse HRQoL. A separate score for PhH and PsH (range 0–15) can be calculated [12–14];

14. rating of the patient's overall well-being on a 21-numbered circle VAS;
15. a question about satisfaction with the outcome of the illness (Yes/No) [15].

The JAMAR is available in three versions, one for parent proxy-report (child's age 2–18), one for child self-report, with the suggested age range of 7–18 years, and one for adults.

Cross-cultural adaptation and validation

The process of cross-cultural adaptation was conducted according to the international guidelines with 2–3 forward and backward translations. In those countries for which the translation of JAMAR had been already cross-culturally adapted in a similar language (i.e., Spanish in South American countries), only the probe technique was performed. Reading comprehension and understanding of the translated questionnaires were tested in a probe sample of ten JIA parents and ten patients.

Each participating centre was asked to collect demographic, clinical data and the JAMAR in 100 consecutive JIA patients or all consecutive patients seen in a 6-month period and to administer the JAMAR to 100 healthy children and their parents.

The statistical validation phase explored the descriptive statistics and the psychometric issues [16]. In particular, we evaluated the following validity components: the first Likert assumption [mean and standard deviation (SD) equivalence]; the second Likert assumption or equal item–scale correlations (Pearson r : all items within a scale should contribute equally to the total score); third Likert assumption (item internal consistency or linearity for which each item of a scale should be linearly related to the total score that is 90% of the items should have Pearson $r \geq 0.4$); floor/ceiling effects (frequency of items at lower and higher extremes of the scales, respectively); internal consistency, measured by the Cronbach's alpha, interscale correlation (the correlation between two scales should be lower than their reliability coefficients, as measured by Cronbach's alpha); test–retest reliability or intra-class correlation coefficient (reproducibility of the JAMAR repeated after 1 or 2 weeks); and construct validity in its two components: the convergent or external validity which examines the correlation of the JAMAR subscales with the six JIA core set variables, with the addition of the parent

assessment of disease activity and pain by the Spearman's correlation coefficients (r) [17] and the discriminant validity, which assesses whether the JAMAR discriminates between the different JIA categories and healthy children [18]. Quantitative data were reported as medians with 1st and 3rd quartiles and categorical data as absolute frequencies and percentages.

The complete Finnish parent and patient versions of the JAMAR are available upon request to PRINTO.

Results

Cross-cultural adaptation

The Finnish JAMAR was fully cross-culturally adapted from the standard English version with three forward and two backward translations with concordance in 109/123 translation lines (88.6%) for the parent version and 108/120 lines (90%) for the child version.

Of the 123 lines in the parent version of the JAMAR, 122 (99%) were understood by at least 80% of the 10 parents tested (median = 100%; range 40–100%); 119/120 (99%) lines of the patient version of the JAMAR were understood by at least 80% of the children (median = 100%; range 70–100%). Line 114 of parent version of the Finnish JAMAR and line 111 of the child version were modified according to parents/children suggestions after the probe technique.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects

A total of 173 JIA patients and 100 healthy children (total of 273 subjects) were enrolled at five paediatric rheumatology centres.

In the 173 JIA subjects, the JIA categories were 1.2% with systemic arthritis, 46.2% with oligoarthritis, 39.9% with RF-negative polyarthritis, 0.6% with RF-positive polyarthritis, 0.6% with psoriatic arthritis, 7.5% with enthesitis-related arthritis and 4.0% with undifferentiated arthritis (Table 1).

A total of 265/273 (97.1%) subjects had the parent version of the JAMAR completed by a parent (165 from parents of JIA patients and 100 from parents of healthy children). The JAMAR was completed by 217/265 (81.9%) mothers and 48/265 (18.1%) fathers.

The child version of the JAMAR was completed by 222/273 (81.3%) children aged 6.8 or older. In addition, patients younger than 7 years, capable to assess their personal condition and able to read and write, were asked to fill in the patient version of the questionnaire.

Discriminant validity

The JAMAR results are presented in Table 1, including the scores (median (1st–3rd quartile)) obtained for the PF, the PhH, the PsH subscales and total score of the HRQoL scales. The JAMAR components discriminated well between healthy subjects and JIA patients.

In summary, the JAMAR revealed that JIA patients had a greater level of disability and pain, as well as a lower HRQoL than their healthy peers.

Psychometric issues

The main psychometric properties of both parent and child versions of the JAMAR are reported in Table 2. The following “Results” section refers mainly to the parent's version of findings, unless otherwise specified.

Descriptive statistics (first Likert assumption)

For all JAMAR items, the median number of missing responses were 0.6% (0.0%–0.6%).

The response pattern for both PF and HRQoL was positively skewed toward normal functional ability and normal HRQoL.

All response choices were used for the different HRQoL items except for items 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 9, whereas a reduced number of response choices were used for all the PF items except for item 4. The mean and SD of the items within a scale were roughly equivalent for the PF and for the HRQoL items, except for HRQoL item 5 (data not shown). The median number of items marked as not applicable was 0% (0%–0%) for the PF and 1% (1%–6%) for the HRQoL.

Floor and ceiling effect

The median floor effect was 92.7% (89.7–93.9%) for the PF items, 69.7% (61.2–75.2%) for the HRQoL-PhH items, and 68.5% (67.3–69.7%) for the HRQoL-PsH items. The median ceiling effect was 0% (0–0%) for the PF items, 0.6% (0–2.4%) for the HRQoL-PhH items, and 0% (0–0.6%) for the HRQoL-PsH items. The median floor effect was 40.6% for the pain VAS, 33.9% for the disease activity VAS and 34.5% for the well-being VAS. The median ceiling effect was 0% for the pain VAS, 0% for the disease activity VAS and 0% for the well-being VAS.

Equal item–scale correlations (second Likert assumption)

Pearson's items–scale correlations corrected for overlap were roughly equivalent for items within a scale for 93% of

Table 1 Descriptive statistics (medians, 1st 3rd quartiles or absolute frequencies and %) for the 173 JIA patients

	Systemic	Oligoarthritis	RF – Polyarthriti	RF + Polyarthriti	Psoriatic arthritis	Enthesitis-related arthritis	Undifferentiated arthritis	All JIA patients	Healthy
	<i>N</i> = 2	<i>N</i> = 80	<i>N</i> = 69	<i>N</i> = 1	<i>N</i> = 1	<i>N</i> = 13	<i>N</i> = 7	<i>N</i> = 173	<i>N</i> = 100
Female	1 (50%)	55 (68.8%)	51 (73.9%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	2 (15.4%)	4 (57.1%)	114 (65.9%)*	49 (49%)*
Age at visit	10 (9.8–10.1)	10.8 (5.6–13.9)	9.4 (6.9–13.6)	16.1 (16.1–16.1)	14.3 (14.3–14.3)	13.3 (12.9–15.1)	5.2 (4.3–13.1)	10.4 (6.8–13.9)*	12.3 (11.3–13.3)**
Age at onset	4.8 (4–5.6)	3.1 (1.9–5.3)	3.4 (2.3–6.3)	14.4 (14.4–14.4)	2.5 (2.5–2.5)	8.7 (7.1–9.4)	1.7 (1.3–6)	3.4 (2.1–6.8)**	
Disease duration	5.2 (4.2–6.2)	4.9 (2.6–9.2)	4.9 (3.1–7.8)	1.7 (1.7–1.7)	11.8 (11.8–11.8)	4.3 (3.4–6)	3.9 (2.6–7)	4.8 (2.7–8)	
ESR	6 (2–10)	5 (2–8)	5 (2–7)	14 (14–14)	2 (2–2)	5 (2–7)	6 (5–14)	5 (2–8)	
MD VAS (0–10 cm)	2 (0–4)	0 (0–0.8)	0 (0–1.5)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–1)	
No. of swollen joints	0.5 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	
No. of joints with pain	0.5 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–3)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	
No. of joints with LOM	0.5 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–0)	6 (6–6)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	
No. of active joints	0.5 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	
Active systemic features	1 (50%)	1 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.2%)	
ANA status	0 (0%)	8 (10%)	5 (7.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (7.5%)	
Uveitis	0 (0%)	26 (32.5%)	15 (22.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (28.6%)	43 (25%)	
PF total score	0 (0–0)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–3)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–0)	0.5 (0–2.5)	0 (0–0)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–0)#
Pain VAS	3.5 (0–7)	1 (0–3)	1 (0–3)	1 (1–1)	0.5 (0.5–0.5)	0.5 (0–5.5)	0 (0–5)	0.5 (0–3)	0 (0–0)#
Disease activity VAS	3.8 (0–7.5)	1 (0–2.5)	1 (0–3)	1 (1–1)	0.5 (0.5–0.5)	0.8 (0–5)	0.3 (0–2)	1 (0–3)	
Well-being VAS	3.8 (0–7.5)	1 (0–2)	1 (0–2)	1 (1–1)	1 (1–1)	0.5 (0–7)	0.3 (0–1)	1 (0–2)	0 (0–0)#
HRQoL PhH	1 (0–2)	1 (0–3)	1 (0–4)	1 (1–1)	0 (0–0)	0.5 (0–2.5)	2 (0–10)	1 (0–3)	0 (0–0)#
HRQoL-PsH	2 (1–3)	1 (0–2)	0 (0–2)	1 (1–1)	2 (2–2)	0 (0–3.5)	0.5 (0–3)	1 (0–2)	0 (0–1)#
HRQoL total score	3 (1–5)	3 (1–5)	2 (0–6)	2 (2–2)	2 (2–2)	1.5 (0–4.5)	3 (1–20)	3 (0–5)	0 (0–1)#
Pain/swell in > 1 joint	1 (50%)	32/78 (41%)	25/65 (38.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	5/12 (41.7%)	3/6 (50%)	67/165 (40.6%)	0 (0%)#
Morning stiffness > 15 min	0 (0%)	16/78 (20.5%)	12/64 (18.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4/12 (33.3%)	1/6 (16.7%)	33/164 (20.1%)	0 (0%)#
Subjective remission	1 (50%)	38/78 (48.7%)	27/64 (42.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4/12 (33.3%)	2/5 (40%)	72/163 (44.2%)	
In treatment	1 (50%)	65/78 (83.3%)	58/65 (89.2%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	10/12 (83.3%)	5/6 (83.3%)	140/165 (84.8%)	
Reporting side effects	1/1 (100%)	20/62 (32.3%)	24/58 (41.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4/10 (40%)	3/5 (60%)	52/137 (38%)	
Taking medication regularly	1/1 (100%)	55/65 (84.6%)	50/58 (86.2%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	8/10 (80%)	5/5 (100%)	120/140 (85.7%)	
With problems attending school	0 (0%)	6/43 (14%)	6/32 (18.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2/11 (18.2%)	0 (0%)	14/91 (15.4%)	0 (0%)#
Satisfied with disease outcome	2 (100%)	70/77 (90.9%)	57/65 (87.7%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)	8/11 (72.7%)	6/6 (100%)	145/163 (89%)	

Data related to the JAMAR refers to the 165 JIA patients and to the 100 healthy subjects for whom the questionnaire has been completed by the parents

JAMAR Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report, ESR erythrocyte sedimentation rate, MD medical doctor, VAS Visual Analogue Scale (score 0–10; 0=no activity, 10=maximum activity), LOM limitation of motion, ANA anti-nuclear antibodies, PF physical function (total score ranges from 0 to 45), HRQoL health-related quality of life (total score ranges from 0 to 30), PhH physical health (total score ranges from 0 to 15), PsH psychosocial health (total score ranges from 0 to 15)

p values refers to the comparison of the different JIA categories or to JIA versus healthy. **p* < 0.05 ***p* < 0.001 #*p* < 0.0001

Table 2 Main psychometric characteristics between the parent and child version of the JAMAR

	Parent <i>N</i> = 165/265	Child <i>N</i> = 122/222
Missing values (1st–3rd quartiles)	0.6% (0.0–0.6%)	0.0% (0.0–2.0%)
Response pattern	PF and HRQoL positively skewed	PF and HRQoL positively skewed
Floor effect, median		
PF	92.7%	95.1%
HRQoL-PhH	69.7%	77.0%
HRQoL-PsH	68.5%	68.9%
Pain VAS	40.6%	41.0%
Disease activity VAS	33.9%	36.1%
Well-being VAS	34.5%	36.9%
Ceiling effect, median		
PF	0.0%	0.0%
HRQoL-PhH	0.6%	0.0%
HRQoL-PsH	0.0%	0.0%
Pain VAS	0.0%	0.0%
Disease activity VAS	0.0%	0.0%
Well-being VAS	0.0%	0.8%
Items with equivalent item–scale correlation	93% for PF, 80% for HRQoL	100% for PF, 80% for HRQoL
Items with item–scale correlation ≥ 0.4	73% for PF, 100% for HRQoL	53% for PF, 90% for HRQoL
Cronbach's alpha		
PF-LL	0.82	0.74
PF-HW	0.81	0.88
PF-US	0.45	0.46
HRQoL-PhH	0.83	0.72
HRQoL-PsH	0.81	0.78
Items with item–scale correlation lower than the Cronbach's alpha	100% for PF, 100% for HRQoL	100% for PF, 100% for HRQoL
Test–retest intraclass correlation		
PF total score	0.98	0.23
HRQoL-PhH	0.94	0.98
HRQoL-PsH	0.91	0.91
Spearman's correlation with JIA core set variables, median		
PF	0.4	0.3
HRQoL-PhH	0.4	0.5
HRQoL-PsH	0.1	0.4
Pain VAS	0.3	0.2
Disease activity VAS	0.3	0.3
Well-being VAS	0.4	0.3

JAMAR Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report, JIA juvenile idiopathic arthritis, VAS Visual Analogue Scale, PF physical function, HRQoL health-related quality of life, PhH physical health, PsH psychosocial health, PF-LL PF-lower limbs, PF-HW PF-hand and wrist, PF-US PF-upper segment

the PF items, with the exception of item 4 and for 80% of the HRQoL items, with the exception of items 1 and 7.

Items internal consistency (third Likert assumption)

Pearson's item–scale correlations were ≥ 0.4 for 73% of items of the PF (except for PF items 11, 12, 13 and 15) and 100% of items of the HRQoL.

Cronbach's alpha internal consistency

Cronbach's alpha was 0.82 for PF-LL, 0.81 for PF-HW, 0.45 for PF-US. Cronbach's alpha was 0.83 for HRQoL-PhH and 0.81 for HRQoL-PsH.

Interscale correlation

The Pearson's correlation of each item of the PF and the HRQoL with all items included in the remaining scales of the questionnaires was lower than the Cronbach's alpha.

Test–retest reliability

Reliability was assessed in eight JIA patients, by re-administering both versions (parent and child) of the JAMAR after a median of 6 days (range 6–7 days). The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) for the PF total score showed an almost perfect reproducibility (ICC = 0.98). The ICC for the HRQoL-PhH and the ICC for the HRQoL-PsH showed an almost perfect reproducibility (ICC = 0.94 and ICC = 0.91, respectively).

Convergent validity

The Spearman's correlation of the PF total score with the JIA core set of outcome variables ranged from 0.2 to 0.5 (median = 0.4). The PF total score best correlation was observed with the parent assessment of pain ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.001$). For the HRQoL, the median correlation of the PhH with the JIA core set of outcome variables ranged from 0.2 to 0.7 (median = 0.4), whereas for the PsH ranged from 0.04 to 0.4 (median = 0.1). The HRQoL-PhH showed the best correlation with the parent's assessment of pain ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.001$), while the HRQoL-PsH showed the best correlation with the parent global assessment of well-being ($r = 0.49$, $p < 0.001$). The median correlations between the pain VAS, the well-being VAS, and the disease activity VAS and the physician-centred and laboratory measures were 0.3 (0.2–0.4), 0.3 (0.2–0.4), 0.4 (0.2–0.5), respectively.

Discussion

In this study, the Finnish version of the JAMAR was cross-culturally adapted from the original standard English version with three forward and two backward translations. According to the results of the validation analysis, the Finnish parent and patient versions of the JAMAR possess satisfactory psychometric properties. The disease-specific components of the questionnaire discriminated well between patients with JIA and healthy controls.

Psychometric performances were good for all domains of the JAMAR with few exceptions: 4 PF items ("Stretch out arms", "Put hands behind neck", "Turn head and look over shoulders" and "Bite into a sandwich or an apple") showed a lower item internal consistency. However, the overall

internal consistency was good for all the domains, except for PF-US that is poor.

In the external validity evaluation, the Spearman's correlations of the PF and HRQoL scores with JIA core set parameters ranged from weak to moderate.

The results obtained for the parent version of the JAMAR are very similar to those obtained for the child version, which suggests that children are equally reliable proxy-reporters of their disease and health status as their parents. The JAMAR is aimed to evaluate the side effects of medications and school attendance, which are other dimensions of daily life that were not previously considered by other HRQoL tools. This may provide useful information for intervention and follow-up in health care. In conclusion, the Finnish version of the JAMAR was found to have satisfactory psychometric properties and it is, thus, a reliable and valid tool for the multidimensional assessment of children with JIA.

Acknowledgements We thank all families who participated in the project, the team that prepared and reviewed the forward and backward translations, and all members of PRINTO in Finland. We thank the staff of the PRINTO International Coordinating Centre in Genoa (Italy) and in particular Marco Garrone for the overall coordination of the translation process, Silvia Scala and Elisa Patrone for data collection and quality assurance, Luca Villa, Giuseppe Silvestri and Mariangela Rinaldi for the database development and management and the remaining PRINTO team for data entry. The principal investigator of the study was Prof. Angelo Ravelli, MD. The scientific coordinator and study methodologist was Nicolino Ruperto, MD, MPH. The project coordinators were Alessandro Consolaro, MD, PhD, Francesca Bovis, BsA. We also thank Prof. Alberto Martini, PRINTO Chairman. Funding was provided by the Istituto G. Gaslini, Genoa (Italy). Permission for use of JAMAR and its translations must be obtained in writing from PRINTO, Genoa, Italy. All JAMAR-related inquiries should be directed to printo@gaslini.org. Permission for use of CHAQ- and CHQ-derived material is granted through the scientific cooperation of the copyright holder ICORE of Woodside CA and HealthActCHQ Inc. of Boston, Massachusetts USA. All CHQ-related inquiries should be directed to licensing@healthactchq.com. All CHAQ-related inquiries should be directed to gsingh@stanford.edu.

Funding This study was funded and coordinated by Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Dr. Ruperto has received Grants from BMS, Hoffman-La Roche, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Sobi, during the conduct of the study and personal fees and speaker honorarium from Abbvie, Ablynx, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Baxalta Biosimilars, Biogen Idec, Boehringer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli-Lilly, EMD Serono, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, Medimmune, Novartis, Pfizer, Rpharm, Roche, Sanofi, Servier and Takeda. Dr Lahdenne, Dr Vahasalo and Dr Kroger report funding support from Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Genoa, Italy, for the translation and data collection performed at their sites within the EPOCA project. Dr. Consolaro, Dr. Bovis, Dr Malin, Dr Aalto, Dr Rebane, Dr Putto-Laurila and Dr Saila have nothing to disclose.

Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study as per the requirement of the local ethical committee.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Filocamo G, Consolaro A, Schiappapietra B, Dalpra S, Lattanzi B, Magni-Manzoni S et al (2011) A new approach to clinical care of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: the Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report. *J Rheumatol* 38(5):938–953
- Ruperto N, Martini A (2011) Networking in paediatrics: the example of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO). *Arch Dis Child* 96(6):596–601
- Consolaro A, Ruperto N, Filocamo G, Lanni S, Bracciolini G, Garrone M et al (2012) Seeking insights into the Epidemiology, treatment and Outcome of Childhood Arthritis through a multinational collaborative effort: Introduction of the EPOCA study. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J* 10(1):39
- Bovis F, Consolaro A, Pistorio A, Garrone M, Scala S, Patrone E et al (2018) Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Juvenile Arthritis Multidimensional Assessment Report (JAMAR) in 54 languages across 52 countries: review of the general methodology. *Rheumatol Int*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-018-3944-1> (in this issue)
- Petty RE, Southwood TR, Baum J, Bhattay E, Glass DN, Manners P et al (1998) Revision of the proposed classification criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Durban, 1997. *J Rheumatol* 25(10):1991–1994
- Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P, Baum J, Glass DN, Goldenberg J et al (2004) International League of Associations for Rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. *J Rheumatol* 31(2):390–392
- Filocamo G, Sztajnbock F, Cespedes-Cruz A, Magni-Manzoni S, Pistorio A, Viola S et al (2007) Development and validation of a new short and simple measure of physical function for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 57(6):913–920
- Lovell DJ, Howe S, Shear E, Hartner S, McGirr G, Schulte M et al (1989) Development of a disability measurement tool for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. The juvenile arthritis functional assessment scale. *Arthritis Rheum* 32:1390–1395
- Howe S, Levinson J, Shear E, Hartner S, McGirr G, Schulte M et al (1991) Development of a disability measurement tool for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. The juvenile arthritis functional assessment report for children and their parents. *Arthritis Rheum* 34:873–880
- Singh G, Athreya BH, Fries JF, Goldsmith DP (1994) Measurement of health status in children with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 37:1761–1769
- Filocamo G, Davi S, Pistorio A, Bertamino M, Ruperto N, Lattanzi B et al (2010) Evaluation of 21-numbered circle and 10-centimeter horizontal line visual analog scales for physician and parent subjective ratings in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 37(7):1534–1541
- Duffy CM, Arseneault L, Duffy KN, Paquin JD, Strawczynski H (1997) The Juvenile Arthritis Quality of Life Questionnaire—development of a new responsive index for juvenile rheumatoid arthritis and juvenile spondyloarthritis. *J Rheumatol* 24(4):738–746
- Varni JW, Seid M, Knight TS, Burwinkle T, Brown J, Szer IS (2002) The PedsQL(TM) in pediatric rheumatology—Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory(TM) generic core scales and rheumatology module. *Arthritis Rheum* 46(3):714–725
- Landgraf JM, Abetz L, Ware JE (1996) The CHQ user's manual, 1st edn. The Health Institute, New England Medical Center, Boston
- Filocamo G, Consolaro A, Schiappapietra B, Ruperto N, Pistorio A, Solari N et al (2012) Parent and child acceptable symptom state in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 39(4):856–863
- Nunnally JC (1978) Psychometric theory, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Giannini EH, Ruperto N, Ravelli A, Lovell DJ, Felson DT, Martini A (1997) Preliminary definition of improvement in juvenile arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 40(7):1202–1209
- Ware JE Jr, Harris WJ, Gandek B, Rogers BW, Reese PR (1997) MAP-R for Windows: Multitrait/multi-item analysis program—revised user's guide. Version 1.0 ed. Health Assessment Lab, Boston

Affiliations

Pekka Lahdenne¹ · Kristiina Aalto¹ · Katariina Rebane¹ · Paula Vahasalo² · Anne Kristiina Putto-Laurila³ · Merja Malin⁴ · Liisa Kroger⁵ · Hanna Saila⁶ · Alessandro Consolaro^{7,8} · Francesca Bovis⁷ · Nicolino Ruperto⁷ · For the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO)

Kristiina Aalto
kristiina.aalto@hus.fi

Katariina Rebane
katariina.rebane@hus.fi

Paula Vahasalo
paula.vahasalo@ppshp.fi

Anne Kristiina Putto-Laurila
anne.putto-laurila@tyks.fi

Merja Malin
merja.malin@pshp.fi

Liisa Kroger
liisa.kroger@kuh.fi

Hanna Saila
Hanna.Saila@terveystalo.com

Alessandro Consolaro
alessandroconsolaro@gaslini.org

Francesca Bovis
francescabovis@gaslini.org

- ¹ Pediatric Rheumatology, Children's Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Stenbackink, 11, 00290 Helsinki, Finland
- ² Department of Pediatrics, PEDEGO Research Unit, Medical Research Center, Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland
- ³ Department of Pediatrics, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

- ⁴ Department of Pediatrics, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland
- ⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland
- ⁶ Department of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Orton Research Institute, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁷ Clinica Pediatrica e Reumatologia, Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO), Istituto Giannina Gaslini, Via Gaslini 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy
- ⁸ Dipartimento di Pediatria, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy