Letter to the Editor

Fiona McCloskey, Raghuram Sathyanarayana

Imaging Department, Royal Victoria Hospital, 274 Grosvenor Road, Belfast BT12 6BA, UK

To the Editor,

We find the articles on “Signs in Radiology” interesting and educational. Pertinent imaging illustrations make them easier to recall and we really appreciate the efforts of the authors.

One such article by Thotakura et al. [1] described the “Nubbin” sign in relation to the imaging appearance of atrophied diminutive lower moiety in complete ureteral duplication, and made specific reference to the Weigert–Meyer rule.

We would like to point out that Meyer was misspelt as ‘Myer’ in the article.

Weigert [2] and Meyer [3] described the ureteral anatomical disposition in complete ureteric duplication, whereby the ureter from the upper moiety inserts inferior and medial to the ureter of lower moiety.

As with any rule, there are, of course exceptions (Stephens “Ectopic Pathway”) [4]; however, these are remarkably rare and this rule, primarily based on embryology [5], has stood the test of time after being initially described in the nineteenth century.

Compliance with ethical standards

Human and animal rights This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Conflict of interest Neither author has a conflict of interest.

References

1. Thotakura P, Dyer RB (2015) The “Nubbin” sign. *Abdom Radiol*. doi:10.1007/s00261-015-0554-1
2. Weigert C (1877) Übereinige Bildungsfehler der Ureteren. *Virch Arch* 70:490
3. Meyer R (1907) *Zur Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte der Ureterverdoppelung*. *Virch Arch* 87:408
4. Ganz A, Roloff J, Walz PH (1996) The “Ectopic Pathway”—fact or fiction. *Aktuel Urol* 27(6):419–421. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1055631
5. Haddad FS, Griffin EE (1996) The Weigert-Meyer law demystified. *JIMA* 26:16–19