## ERRATUM

B.E. Fisher · C.J. Winstein · M.R. Velicki

## Deficits in compensatory trajectory adjustments after unilateral sensorimotor stroke

Published online: 14 April 2000 © Springer-Verlag 2000

In Fig. 2 of the above article, the onset lines were omitted. Therefore, the correct version of the figure, including legend, is presented below.



The online version of the original article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002219900316

B.E. Fisher (♥) · C.J. Winstein · M.R. Velicki Department of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern California, 1540 East Alcazar St., CHP 155, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA e-mail: bfisher@hsc.usc.edu Tel.: 323-4421196, Fax: 323-4421515 **Fig. 2** A Example of an acceptable, single-peaked velocity profile for a 45°-flexion movement. **B** Flexion (45°) movement with "premovement" extension movement related to movement preparation. Onset of movement was defined as a change in velocity from zero in the *appropriate* direction of movement or beginning negative velocity. Offset was defined as return to zero velocity. **C** Flexion (45°) movement in which the subject initiated a second response prior to zero velocity. This "double-response" trial was accepted for analysis as velocity returned to less than 10% of peak velocity prior to the initiation of the second response. **D** Flexion (45°) movement in which the subject initiated a second response prior to reaching zero velocity. This "double-response" trial was rejected because velocity did not return to less than 10% of peak velocity prior to the initiation of the second response of the second response prior to reaching zero velocity. This "double-response" trial was rejected because velocity did not return to less than 10% of peak velocity prior to the initiation of the second response.