“Commercial pressures and professional ethics: troubling revisions to the recent ACOG Practice Bulletins on surgery for pelvic organ prolapse”: response to Weber

L. Lewis Wall • Douglas Brown

Received: 31 August 2009 / Accepted: 4 September 2009 / Published online: 25 September 2009
© The International Urogynecological Association 2009

We thank Dr. Weber [1] for her interest in our article [2]. We are deeply saddened by her detailed confirmation of our worst suspicions concerning the removal of any reference to the ‘experimental’ nature of the trocar-and-mesh kit operations for prolapse in ACOG Practice Bulletin #85. We agree with her that the original wording should be restored. We further suggest that ACOG affirm in print its commitment to promoting evidence-based practice that is truly in the best interests of patients, even if doing so potentially threatens the financial interests of members of the College.

References

1. Weber A (2009) Response to Wall and Brown: “Commercial pressures and professional ethics: troubling revisions to the recent ACOG Practice Bulletins on surgery for pelvic organ prolapse”. Int Urogynecol J doi:[10.1007/s00192-009-0985-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-009-0985-8)
2. Wall LL, Brown D (2009) Commercial pressures and professional ethics: troubling revisions to the recent ACOG practice bulletins on surgery for pelvic organ prolapse. Int Urogynecol J 20:765–767. doi:[10.1007/s00192-009-0864-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-009-0864-3)

Related correspondence can be found at doi:[10.1007/s00192-009-0983-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-009-0983-x)
and doi:[10.1007/s00192-009-0984-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00192-009-0984-9)

L. L. Wall (✉)
Obstetrics & Gynecology, Washington University St. Louis,
Campus Box 8064, WUSM 660 South Euclid Avenue,
Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA
e-mail: WALLLL@wustl.edu

D. Brown
Barnes-Jewish Hospital Ethics Program, Barnes-Jewish Hospital,
St. Louis, MO, USA
e-mail: ddb4677@bjc.org