Asymptotic analysis of a non-linear non-local integro-differential equation arising from bosonic quantum field dynamics

Sébastien Breteaux

Abstract. We introduce a one parameter family of non-linear, non-local integro-differential equations and its limit equation. These equations originate from a derivation of the linear Boltzmann equation using the framework of bosonic quantum field theory. We show the existence and uniqueness of strong global solutions for these equations, and a result of uniform convergence on every compact interval of the solutions of the one parameter family towards the solution of the limit equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 34G20; Secondary 45J05.

Keywords. Integro-differential equation, Nonlinear equation, Nonlocal equation, Equation with memory.

1. Introduction

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, h a strictly positive parameter, f a function in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ and ξ_0 a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

The spaces of bounded and continuous functions from $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ to $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X; Y)$. For a function $\vec{u} \in \mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ we write $\|\vec{u}\|_{\infty, X'} = \sup\{|\vec{u}(x)|, x \in X'\}$ for $X' \subset X$.

The applications $\mathcal{F}^{(h)}$ and $F^{(0)}$ from $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ are defined for $\vec{u} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{u})(t) &:= -2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{t/h} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \int_{t-hr}^t \vec{u}_\sigma d\sigma)} \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2 dr d\vec{\eta} \\ F^{(0)}(\vec{u})(t) &:= -2\Re \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}_t)} \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2 d\vec{\eta} dr\end{aligned}$$

where $f_a^b \vec{u}(\sigma) d\sigma := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \vec{u}(\sigma) d\sigma$.

Theorem 1. *For every $h > 0$, the non-linear integro-differential equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} = \mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) \\ \vec{\xi}_{t=0}^{(h)} = \vec{\xi}_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

admits a unique solution $\vec{\xi}^{(h)}$ in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_t^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

The limit equation as $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} = F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}) \\ \vec{\xi}_{t=0}^{(0)} = \vec{\xi}_0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

admits a unique maximal solution $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ in $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T_{max}); \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $T_{max} > 0$.

- *The norm of $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ decreases with time.*
- *If $\min\{|f(\vec{\eta})|, \vec{\eta} \in \bar{B}(0, 2|\vec{\xi}_0^{(0)}|)\}$ is strictly positive then $T_{max} = +\infty$, and $\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

For any $T \in (0, T_{max})$, $\vec{\xi}^{(h)}$ converges uniformly to $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ on $[0, T]$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2. The non-locality feature of Eq. (1) disappears in the limit Eq. (2).

Origin of the equations. These equations come from a problem of derivation of the linear Boltzmann equation in dimension d from a model with a particle in a Gaussian random field (centered and invariant by translation), in the weak density limit, as presented in [4]. Note that such a random field is characterized by its covariance, and we assume that the Fourier transform of the covariance is of the form $|f|^2$ for some function f in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$. The parameter h has then the interpretation of the ratio of the microscopic typical length over the macroscopic one, and it is thus natural to be interested in the behaviour of the equations as $h \rightarrow 0$. Note that the same scaling is used to rescale the time. It is *not* the Planck constant (here taken equal to one by a suitable choice of units). It turns out that this stochastic problem can be translated in a deterministic one with more geometric features by using an isomorphism between the $L^2(\Omega)$ space associated with the Gaussian random field and the symmetric Fock space $\Gamma L^2 = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (L^2)^{\otimes n}$ over $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ (see [6] for information about this isomorphism). Up to some isomorphisms the Schrödinger equation can be rewritten as

$$ih \partial_t u = Q(z)^{Wick} u,$$

where

- The function u has values in ΓL^2 .
- The polynomial Q in the variable $z \in L^2$ is defined by

$$Q(z) = \vec{\xi}^2 + \langle z, (\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{\eta})z \rangle + \langle z, \vec{\eta}z \rangle^2 + 2\sqrt{h}\Re\langle z, f \rangle,$$

for a fixed $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, with $\vec{a}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j^2$ for every vector $\vec{a}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the scalar product in L^2 , $\Re$ denotes the real part. $\vec{\eta}$ denotes the multiplication operator which to a function v of the variable $\vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ associates the function with d components $\vec{\eta} \mapsto \vec{\eta}v(\eta)$. We do here as if this operator

was bounded on L^2 since the small improvements needed to handle this case are irrelevant for this article.

- The Wick quantization is defined for a monomial $b(z) = \langle z^{\otimes q}, \tilde{b} z^{\otimes p} \rangle$ with variable in $z \in L^2$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{L}((L^2)^{\otimes_s p}, (L^2)^{\otimes_s q})$ by the formula

$$b^{Wick} \Big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes_s p+n}} = \frac{\sqrt{(n+p)!(n+q)!}}{n!} \tilde{b} \otimes_s Id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes_s n}}.$$

In this framework the Hamilton equations associated with the polynomial $Q(z)$ (see [1–3]) are $ih\partial_t z_t^{(h)} = \partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z_t^{(h)})$ with $\partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z) = (\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{\eta})z + 2\langle z, \vec{\eta} z \rangle \cdot \vec{\eta} z + \sqrt{h}f$ which can again be written as

$$ih\partial_t z_t^{(h)} = (\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\xi}_t \cdot \vec{\eta})z_t^{(h)} + \sqrt{h}f$$

once we defined a new impulsions $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} = \vec{\xi} - \langle z_t^{(h)}, \vec{\eta} z_t^{(h)} \rangle$. We are interested in the solution of this equation with initial data $z_{t=0}^{(h)} = 0$ which is

$$z_t^{(h)} = -\frac{i}{h} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{i}{h} \int_s^t (\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\xi}_s^{(h)} \cdot \vec{\eta}) d\sigma} \sqrt{h}f ds.$$

This solution is fully determined by $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ which satisfies Eq. (1). The study of equation of $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ is thus justified by the study of the hamiltonian equation associated with the polynomial $Q(z)$ which is itself useful to give an approximate solution to the Schrödinger equation above in terms of coherent states (see also the Hepp method, introduced in [5] and presented in [1] whose viewpoint we use in this article).

In [4] (whose results are independent of those of this article) the quartic part $\langle z, \vec{\eta} z \rangle^2$ of $Q(z)$ is neglected and thus the term $\langle z, \vec{\eta} z \rangle$ appearing in $\partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z)$ is neglected. Consequently the term $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ in the expression of z_t is just equal to its initial value $\vec{\xi}_0$ and the expression of $z_t^{(h)}$ is totally explicit. We wanted to improve the results of [4] by taking into account the quartic part of $Q(z)$, and were thus led to the problem studied in this article. The parameter dependent Eq. (1) is difficult to handle because of the non-locality and the h -dependence, we considered the limit of the parameter $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ as h goes to 0 hoping it would preserve the important information.

Intrinsic significance of the equations. Eventhough this approach did not until now allow us to improve our results on the derivation of the linear Boltzmann equation, these equations are interesting in themselves since it is a case of non-linear, non-local equations and thus an already non trivial problem for which a thorough study is possible.

Organisation of the paper. We show in Sect. 2 the existence and uniqueness of a global solution to the parameter dependent Eq. (1), along with some estimates about the solutions. Section 3 is devoted to the Eq. (2). We first compute a more geometric form of the application $F^{(0)}$ and then use it to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to Eq. (2) through the usual theory of differential equations. Then we compare both solutions in Sect. 4 using a Grönwall type argument.

Notation.

1. For any time $T > 0$ the applications $\mathcal{F}^{(h)}$ and $F^{(0)}$ induce applications from $\mathcal{B}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$.
2. We sometime write $F^{(0)}(\vec{u})$ with $\vec{u}$ a fixed vector in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ for

$$-2\Re \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u})} \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2 d\vec{\eta} dr.$$

3. We introduce the function $g : \vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2$ to simplify the notations.

2. Parameter-dependent equation

Proposition 3. *In dimension $d \geq 1$, for any $h > 0$ Eq. (1) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Suppose we know that Eq. (1) has a unique solution $\vec{\xi}^{(h)}$ on an interval $[0, T]$ and want to extend this solution to a larger interval $[0, T + \delta]$. We thus consider the application Φ from $\mathcal{C}^1([T, T + \delta]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to itself defined by

$$\Phi(\vec{u})(t) = \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(T) + \int_T^t \mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{u})(s) ds,$$

where the function $\vec{u}$ is defined on $[0, T + \delta]$ and coincide with $\vec{\xi}^{(h)}$ on $[0, T]$ and $\vec{u} = \vec{u}$ on $[T, T + \delta]$. We want to prove that for δ small enough Φ is a contraction.

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(\vec{u})'(t) - \Phi(\vec{v})'(t)| &= |\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{u})(t) - \mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{v})(t)| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{t/h} \left| e^{ir2\vec{\eta} f_{t-hr}^t \vec{u}_\sigma d\sigma} - e^{ir2\vec{\eta} f_{t-hr}^t \vec{v}_\sigma d\sigma} \right| |g(\vec{\eta})| d\vec{\eta} dr \\ &\leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{t/h} |r\vec{\eta} \cdot \oint_{t-hr}^t (\vec{u}_\sigma - \vec{v}_\sigma) d\sigma| dr |g(\vec{\eta})| d\vec{\eta} \\ &\leq 4C_g \int_0^{t/h} r \frac{t-T}{hr} dr \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_\infty \\ &\leq 4 \frac{t}{h^2} (t-T) C_g \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_\infty \\ &\leq 4 \frac{T+\delta}{h^2} (t-T) C_g \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

with $C_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\vec{\eta}| |g(\vec{\eta})| d\vec{\eta}$. Integrating $\Phi(\vec{u})'(t) - \Phi(\vec{v})'(t)$ in time and taking the modulus we also get the estimate

$$\|\Phi(\vec{u}) - \Phi(\vec{v})\|_\infty \leq 2 \frac{T+\delta}{h^2} \delta^2 C_g \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_\infty.$$

We can control the norm of $\Phi(\vec{u}) - \Phi(\vec{v})$ by using $\|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_\infty \leq \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}$

$$\|\Phi(\vec{u}) - \Phi(\vec{v})\|_{\mathcal{C}^1} \leq (4\delta + 2\delta^2) \frac{T+\delta}{h^2} C_g \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|_{\mathcal{C}^1},$$

for $\delta(T + \delta) \leq \frac{h^2}{8C_g}$ we get a contraction, and we can apply a classical fixed point theorem.

Thus to prove a global existence result it is enough to observe that, for any positive constant C , the sequence $(t_n)_n$ such that $t_0 = 0$ and $(t_{n+1} - t_n)^2 + t_n(t_{n+1} - t_n) = C$ with positive increments is such that

$$t_{n+1} - t_n = \frac{\sqrt{t_n^2 + 4C} - t_n}{2} = \frac{2C}{\sqrt{t_n^2 + 4C} + t_n} \geq \frac{C}{t_n + \sqrt{C}}.$$

using $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$ for a and b non-negative. A sum over n gives $t_{N+1} \geq \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{C}{t_n + \sqrt{C}}$ and this shows that (t_n) is necessarily unbounded. We thus obtain the existence and the uniqueness of a solution on $\mathbb{R}^+$. $\square$

Proposition 4. *In dimension $d \geq 3$, the family $(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})_{h>0}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e.*

$$\|\vec{\xi}^{(h)}\|_{\infty, [0, +\infty)} \leq 2C_g^1,$$

with $C_g^1 = \|g\|_{L^1} + (\frac{d}{2} - 1)^{-1} \pi^{d/2} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}$ (The Fourier transform is defined by $\hat{u}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \eta} u(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta}$).

Proof. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{F}^{(h)}$ is bounded. Indeed, for $d \geq 3$, using Parseval equality we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(u)(t)| &\leq 2 \int_0^{t/h} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \int_{t-hr}^t \vec{u}_\sigma d\sigma)} g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} \right| dr \\ &\leq 2 \|g\|_{L^1} + 2 \int_1^{t/h} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir\vec{\eta}^2} g\left(\vec{\eta} + \int_{t-hr}^t \vec{u}_\sigma d\sigma\right) d\vec{\eta} \right| dr \\ &\leq 2 \|g\|_{L^1} + 2 \int_1^{t/h} \left(\frac{\pi}{r}\right)^{d/2} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1} dr \\ &\leq 2 \|g\|_{L^1} + (d-2)\pi^{d/2} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

3. Limit equation

Proposition 5. *For any $\vec{u}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, with $\hat{\vec{u}} = \frac{\vec{u}}{|\vec{u}|}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(0)}(\vec{u}) &= -\pi |\vec{u}|^{d-1} \int_{[0,2]} \int_{S^{d-2}} \left(\rho \hat{\vec{u}} + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2 \vec{\omega}'} \right) \\ &\quad \times |f(|\vec{u}|(\rho \hat{\vec{u}} + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2 \vec{\omega}'}))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\vec{\omega}') \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}^{d-2} d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{\omega}'$ is in the orthogonal of $\vec{u}$.

Proof. We introduce a parameter ε to permute the integrals

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{(0)}(\vec{u}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -2\Re \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\eta^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u})} g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} dr \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -2\Re \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}_\eta^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) - r\varepsilon} g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} dr \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}_\eta^d} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) - r\varepsilon} dr g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_\eta^d} \left(\Re \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r[i(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) + \varepsilon]} dr \right) g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The time integral can be explicitly computed

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r[i(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) + \varepsilon]} dr = \frac{\varepsilon - i(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u})}{(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u})^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$

and taking the real part and the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Re \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-r[i(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) + \varepsilon]} dr = \pi \delta(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{(0)}(\vec{u}) &= -2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}_\eta^d} \delta(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}) g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} \\
&= -2\pi \int_{\vec{u} + |\vec{u}|S^{d-1}} g(\vec{\eta}) \frac{d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta})}{|\nabla(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u})|} \\
&= -\pi |\vec{u}|^{-1} \int_{\vec{u} + |\vec{u}|S^{d-1}} g(\vec{\eta}) d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta})
\end{aligned}$$

with $d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$ the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Then, using $\hat{\vec{u}} = \vec{u}/|\vec{u}|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{(0)}(\vec{u}) &= -\pi |\vec{u}|^{-1} \int_{\vec{u} + |\vec{u}|S^{d-1}} \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta}) \\
&= -\pi |\vec{u}|^{-1} \int_{\hat{\vec{u}} + S^{d-1}} |\vec{u}| \vec{\eta}' |f(|\vec{u}| \vec{\eta}')|^2 |\vec{u}|^{d-1} d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta}') \\
&= -\pi |\vec{u}|^{d-1} \int_{\hat{\vec{u}} + S^{d-1}} \vec{\eta} |f(|\vec{u}| \vec{\eta})|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta}) \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

we can now parametrize the sphere in a fashion which shows the particular importance of the direction of $\vec{u}$. We define the change of variable

$$\begin{aligned}
[0, 2] \times S^{d-2} &\rightarrow \hat{\vec{u}} + S^{d-1} \\
(\rho, \vec{\omega}') &\mapsto \rho \hat{\vec{u}} + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2} \vec{\omega}'
\end{aligned}$$

whose inverse transformation is given by

$$\rho = \vec{\eta} \cdot \hat{\vec{u}} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\omega}' = \frac{\vec{\eta} - (\vec{\eta} \cdot \hat{\vec{u}}) \hat{\vec{u}}}{|\vec{\eta} - (\vec{\eta} \cdot \hat{\vec{u}}) \hat{\vec{u}}|}.$$

The Jacobian under this change of variable is $\sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}^{d-2}$ as $|\vec{\eta} - (\vec{\eta} \cdot \hat{u})\hat{u}| = \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}$ and thus we get the result. $\square$

Proposition 6. *In dimension $d \geq 3$ the limit differential Eq. (2) has a unique maximal solution $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$.*

The norm of this solution decreases with the time.

If $\min\{|f(\vec{\eta})|, \vec{\eta} \in \bar{B}(0, 2|\vec{\xi}_0^{(0)}|)\}$ is strictly positive then this solution is defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, and $\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}$ converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. We first show that on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ the map $F^{(0)}$ is Lipschitz so that the theorem of Cauchy–Lipschitz applies. Indeed on $C(r, R) \times \bar{B}(0, 2)$, with $C(r, R) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \leq |\vec{x}| \leq R\}$ and $0 < r < R$, the application

$$(\vec{u}, \vec{\eta}) \mapsto \vec{\eta} |f(|\vec{u}| \vec{\eta})|^2 |\vec{u}|^{d-1}$$

is smooth and thus Lipschitz with a constant L , and

$$\begin{aligned} |F^{(0)}(\vec{u}) - F^{(0)}(\vec{v})| &= \pi \left| |\vec{u}|^{d-1} \int_{\hat{\vec{u}} + S^{d-1}} \vec{\eta} |f(|\vec{u}| \vec{\eta})|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - |\vec{v}|^{d-1} \int_{\hat{\vec{v}} + S^{d-1}} \vec{\eta} |f(|\vec{v}| \vec{\eta})|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\vec{\eta}) \right| \\ &\leq \pi L (|\hat{\vec{u}} - \hat{\vec{v}}| + |\vec{u} - \vec{v}|) \\ &\leq L' |\vec{u} - \vec{v}| \end{aligned}$$

where we used an equivalent formulas for $F^{(0)}$ derived in Eq. (3) in the proof of Proposition 5. Thus there exists a unique solution $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ defined on a maximal interval $[0, T_{\max})$.

We then prove that the norm of this solution is decreasing, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^2 &= \vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} \cdot \vec{\xi}_t^{(0)'} \\ &= \vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} \cdot F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}) \\ &= -\pi |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^{d-1} \int_{[0,2]} \int_{S^{d-2}} \rho (\hat{\vec{\xi}}_t^{(0)} + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2} \vec{\omega}') \cdot \vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} \\ &\quad \times |f(|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|(\rho \vec{u}' + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2} \vec{\omega}'))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\vec{\omega}') \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}^{d-2} d\rho \\ &= -\pi |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^d \int_{[0,2]} \int_{S^{d-2}} \rho |f(|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|(\rho \vec{u}' + \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2} \vec{\omega}'))|^2 \\ &\quad \times d\mathcal{H}^{d-2}(\vec{\omega}') \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}^{d-2} d\rho \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ is necessarily bounded and cannot blow up. The maximal interval is thus necessarily $[0, +\infty)$.

If f does not vanish we have even more information, we know that

$$-C_{M,f} |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^d \leq \frac{d}{dt} |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^2 \leq -C_{m,f} |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^d$$

where $C_{M,f} = C_d \max_{\bar{B}(0,2|\vec{\xi}^{(0)}|)} |f|$ and $C_{m,f} = C_d \min_{\bar{B}(0,2|\vec{\xi}^{(0)}|)} |f|$ with $C_d = 2\pi \int_{[0,2]} \rho \sqrt{2\rho - \rho^2}^{d-2} d\rho \mathcal{H}^{d-2}(S^{d-2})$. By an integration we conclude that $|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}|^2$ is in the interval bounded by the quantities

$$\left(|\vec{\xi}_0|^2 - \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1 \right) C t \right)^{-\frac{2}{d-2}}$$

for $C = C_{M,f}$ and $C_{m,f}$. Thus $\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}$ does not exit from any compact set of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ in finite time, and this *a priori* estimate implies $T_{\max} = +\infty$. $\square$

Remark 7. We have the control, for $0 < hr < t$,

$$\left| \int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(\sigma) d\sigma - \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{\xi}^{(h)'}\|_{\infty, [t-hr, t]} hr \leq C_g^1 hr.$$

Proof. Indeed

$$\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(\sigma) d\sigma - \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(t) = \int_{t-hr}^t \int_t^\sigma \vec{\xi}^{(h)'}(v) dv d\sigma,$$

and by taking the norm we get

$$\left\| \int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(\sigma) d\sigma - \vec{\xi}^{(h)}(t) \right\| \leq \|\vec{\xi}^{(h)'}\|_{\infty, [t-hr, t]} \int_{t-hr}^t (t - \sigma) d\sigma$$

which gives the result. $\square$

4. Control of the difference between the two equations

We set the application $F^{(h)}$ from $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$, defined for $\vec{u} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$F^{(h)}(\vec{u})(t) = -2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{t/h} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{u}_t)} \vec{\eta} |f(\vec{\eta})|^2 d\mathbf{r} d\vec{\eta}.$$

Lemma 8. In dimension $d \geq 3$,

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)}) - F^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})\|_{\infty} \leq C_g^2 h^{\nu(d)-\delta}$$

with $0 < \delta < \nu(d) = \frac{d-2}{4}$ and $C_g^2 = 4\|g\|_{L^1} + 2\pi^{d/2}(C_g^1 \|\hat{g}'\|_{L^1} + \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1})$.

Proof. Let t be in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\Lambda > 0$, by a change of variable

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})(t) - F^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})(t)| \\
 & \leq 4 \int_0^\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(\vec{\eta})| d\vec{\eta} dr \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_\Lambda^{t/h} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir(\vec{\eta}^2 - 2\vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)})} \left(e^{2ir\vec{\eta} \cdot \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)} - 1 \right) g(\vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} \right| dr \\
 & \leq 4\Lambda \|g\|_{L^1} \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_\Lambda^{t/h} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir\vec{\eta}' \cdot 2} \left(e^{2ir(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) \cdot \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)} - 1 \right) g(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) d\vec{\eta}' \right| dr
 \end{aligned}$$

as $|e^{ir|\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}|^2}| = 1$. We then control the internal integral using Parseval's equality. Indeed we have the Fourier transforms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(e^{-ir\vec{\eta}' \cdot 2})(y) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{-ir} \right)^{d/2} e^{i\frac{y^2}{4r}}, \\
 \mathcal{F}(g(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}))(y) &= e^{i\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \cdot \vec{y}} \hat{g}(\vec{y})
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F}\left(e^{2ir(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) \cdot \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)} g(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)})\right)(\vec{y}) \\
 &= e^{i\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \cdot \vec{y}} \hat{g}\left(\vec{y} - 2r \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The difference between the two last Fourier transforms can be estimated using Remark 7, and thus

$$\left\| \hat{g}\left(\vec{y} - 2r \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)\right) - \hat{g}(\vec{y}) \right\|_{L^1} \leq 2 \min \{C_g^1 \|\hat{g}'\|_{L^1} r^2 h, \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}\}.$$

The internal integral is then controlled as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ir\vec{\eta}' \cdot 2} \left(e^{2ir(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) \cdot \left(\int_{t-hr}^t \vec{\xi}_\sigma^{(h)} d\sigma - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \right)} - 1 \right) g(\vec{\eta}' + \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}) d\vec{\eta}' \right| \\
 & \leq 2\pi^{d/2} (C_g^1 \|\hat{g}'\|_{L^1} + \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}) \min(r^2 h, 1) r^{-d/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By interpolation, $\min(r^2 h, 1) r^{-d/2} \leq h^\theta r^{2\theta - \frac{d}{2}}$, and we then obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)}) - F^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})\|_\infty \leq 4\Lambda \|g\|_{L^1} + 2\pi^{d/2} (C_g^1 \|\hat{g}'\|_{L^1} + \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}) h^\theta \Lambda^{2\theta - \frac{d}{2} + 1}.$$

An optimization of the parameter θ and of μ in $\Lambda = h^\mu$ shows that we can take μ as high as $\frac{d-2}{4} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$ (We then get $\theta = \frac{\mu d}{2(1+2\mu)}$). This concludes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 9. In dimension $d \geq 3$, for $h, t > 0$,

$$\|F^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)}) - F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})\|_{\infty, [T, +\infty)} \leq C_g^3 \left(\frac{h}{T} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}-1},$$

with $C_g^3 = \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1 \right)^{-1} \pi^{d/2} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1}$.

Proof. Indeed

$$|F^{(h)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})(t) - F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)})(t)| \leq \pi^{d/2} \|\hat{g}\|_{L^1} \int_{t/h}^{+\infty} \frac{dr}{r^{d/2}}$$

which gives the result. $\square$

As a corollary we get:

Lemma 10. *In dimension $d \geq 3$, for $h, T > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{d-2}{4}$*

$$\|F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}^{(h)}) - \vec{\xi}^{(h)'}\|_{\infty, [\sqrt{h}, +\infty)} \leq C_g^2 h^{\frac{d-2}{4}-\delta} + C_g^3 h^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \leq \delta(h),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_t^{(h)} &= \int_0^t |F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_s^{(h)}) - \vec{\xi}_s^{(h)'}| ds \\ &\leq \sqrt{h} + t\delta(h) = \delta_1(h). \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

We now compare $\vec{\xi}^{(0)}$ and $\vec{\xi}^{(h)}$.

Proposition 11. *In dimension $d \geq 3$, let $T \in (0, T_{max})$ then $(\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ converges uniformly to $(\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Let $r, R > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $r < |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}| - \varepsilon$ and $|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}| + \varepsilon < R$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus the open tubular neighbourhood

$$A_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} B(\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}, \varepsilon)$$

of the trajectory $(\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ is included in the compact ring

$$C(r, R) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \leq |\vec{x}| \leq R\}.$$

On this ring the application $F^{(0)}$ is Lipschitz with the Lipschitz constant L . We show that for h small enough $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ remains in A_ε . We first observe that by continuity, for small t , $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ remains in A_ε . And that if, on an interval $[0, t_0)$, $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ remains in $C(r, R)$ then we can compute a Gronwall type estimate. Let $G(t) = \int_0^t |\vec{\xi}_s^{(0)} - \vec{\xi}_s^{(h)}| ds$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= |\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}| \\ &= \left| \int_0^t (F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_s^{(0)}) - F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_s^{(h)}) + F^{(0)}(\vec{\xi}_s^{(h)}) - \vec{\xi}_s^{(h)'}) ds \right| \\ &\leq LG(t) + \Gamma_t^{(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $G(0) = 0$ we get

$$G(t) \leq \int_0^t e^{L(t-s)} \Gamma_s^{(h)} ds \leq \int_0^t e^{Lt} \delta_1(h) ds \leq t e^{Lt} \delta_1(h)$$

and

$$|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}| = G'(t) \leq LG(t) + \Gamma_t^{(h)} \leq (Lte^{Lt} + 1)\delta_1(h).$$

for $t \in [0, t_0]$. Let h_0 such that, for h smaller than h_0 , $(LTe^{LT} + 1)\delta_1(h) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Suppose there exists a time t in $[0, T]$ such that $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)} \notin A_\varepsilon$ we define t_0 the inferior bound of such times. As A_ε is open and $\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)}$ and $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ are continuous then $\vec{\xi}_{t_0}^{(h)} \notin A_\varepsilon$. But as $|\vec{\xi}_t^{(0)} - \vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}| \leq (LTe^{LT} + 1)\delta_1(h) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ on $[0, t_0]$, by continuity $|\vec{\xi}_{t_0}^{(0)} - \vec{\xi}_{t_0}^{(h)}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and so $\vec{\xi}_{t_0}^{(h)} \in A_\varepsilon$ we thus get a contradiction and, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $h \leq h_0$, $\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)}$ remains in A_ε . And thus we get the uniform convergence of $(\vec{\xi}_t^{(h)})_{t \in [0, T]}$ to $(\vec{\xi}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Francis Nier for proposing the problem which led to the study of those equations.

References

- [1] Ammari, Z., Nier, F.: Mean field limit for bosons and infinite dimensional phase-space analysis. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **9**(8), 1503–1574 (2008)
- [2] Ammari, Z., Nier, F.: Mean field limit for bosons and propagation of Wigner measures. *J. Math. Phys.* **50**(4), 042107–042116 (2009)
- [3] Ammari, Z., Nier, F.: Mean field propagation of Wigner measures and BBGKY hierarchies for general bosonic states. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **95**(6), 585–626 (2011)
- [4] Breteaux, S.: A geometric derivation of the linear Boltzmann equation for a particle interacting with a gaussian random field. *arXiv:1107.0788* (2012)
- [5] Hepp, K.: The classical limit for quantum mechanical correlation functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **35**, 265–277 (1974)
- [6] Simon, B.: *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean (quantum) field theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974) (Princeton Series in Physics)

Sébastien Breteaux
 Institut für Analysis und Algebra
 Technische Universität Braunschweig
 Rebenring 31, A 14
 38106 Braunschweig
 Germany
 e-mail: sebastien.breteaux@ens-cachan.org

Received: 18 December 2012.

Accepted: 17 April 2013.