RECEIVED: April 23, 2014

REVISED: May 30, 2014

ACCEPTED: June 3, 2014

PUBLISHED: July 7, 2014

B meson decay constants f_{B_c} , f_{B_s} and f_B from QCD sum rules

M.J. Baker,^a J. Bordes,^a C.A. Dominguez,^b J. Peñarrocha^a and K. Schilcher^{b,c}

^a*Departament Física Teòrica and IFIC, Centro Mixto CSIC, Universitat de València, Calle Dr. Moliner 50, E-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain*

^b*Centre for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics and Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7700, South Africa*

^c*Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Staudingerweg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*

E-mail: baker.michael.james@gmail.com, bordes@uv.es,
cesareo.dominguez@uct.ac.za, Jose.A.Penarrocha@uv.es,
karl.schilcher@uni-mainz.de

ABSTRACT: Finite energy QCD sum rules with Legendre polynomial integration kernels are used to determine the heavy meson decay constant f_{B_c} , and revisit f_B and f_{B_s} . Results exhibit excellent stability in a wide range of values of the integration radius in the complex squared energy plane, and of the order of the Legendre polynomial. Results are $f_{B_c} = 528 \pm 19$ MeV, $f_B = 186 \pm 14$ MeV, and $f_{B_s} = 222 \pm 12$ MeV.

KEYWORDS: Sum Rules, QCD

ARXIV EPRINT: [1310.0941](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.0941)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
3	Phenomenological contribution and $P(s)$	3
4	Perturbative contribution	5
5	Light quark mass corrections	6
6	Non-perturbative contribution	6
7	Results	8
8	Conclusion	11

1 Introduction

The decay constant of a pseudoscalar meson B_q consisting of a heavy b -quark and a lighter q -quark, with $q = u, d, s, c$, is defined through the matrix element of the pseudoscalar current

$$\langle \Omega | (m_b + m_q) (\bar{q} i \gamma_5 b) (0) | B_q \rangle = f_{B_q} M_{B_q}^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where $|\Omega\rangle$ is the physical vacuum, M_{B_q} is the mass of the B_q meson and f_{B_q} the corresponding leptonic decay constant. These decay constants are of great phenomenological interest since they enter as input in non-leptonic B decays, in the hadronic matrix elements of $B - \bar{B}$ mixing, and in the extraction of CKM matrix elements $|V_{cb}|$, $|V_{ub}|$ from the leptonic decay widths of B mesons. The so-called hadronic B parameter, which is directly related to the deviation from the vacuum saturation hypothesis, also requires knowledge of these leptonic decay constants, which are of central interest to the ongoing experiments carried out at B factories. Since their determination involves non-perturbative QCD effects, one has to rely on essentially two approaches, QCD sum rules (QCDSR) and lattice QCD (LQCD) simulations. Since the pioneering work of Shifman, Vainshtein and Zakharov [1] the sum rule method has been used successfully to calculate various low energy parameters in QCD [2]. Specific sum rules are based on Borel transforms, Hilbert transforms, positive moments or inverse moments. Sum rule calculations of the decay constants have been performed since the 1980's, with results in the range $f_B = 160 - 230 \text{ MeV}$, $f_{B_s}/f_B = 1.1 - 1.4$, and $f_{B_c} = 160 - 360 \text{ MeV}$ [3]–[11]. A heavy quark effective theory calculation [12] gives $f_B = 206 \pm 20 \text{ MeV}$, while historical LQCD determinations fall in the wide range $f_B = 161 - 218 \text{ MeV}$, and $f_{B_s}/f_B = 1.11 - 1.16$ [13–15]. Recent

QCDSR calculations have narrowed the range of results to $f_B = 189 - 207$ MeV, and $f_{B_s} = 216 - 242$ MeV [16, 17]–[19], while LQCD calculations, claiming high accuracy, give $f_B = 186 - 205$ MeV, and $f_{B_s} = 224 - 244$ MeV [20–25]. For B_c a compilation of many LQCD determinations [26] gives values in the wide range $f_{B_c} = 380 - 680$ MeV. The experimental situation remains unclear. For instance, using the recent Belle result for f_B [27],

$$f_B |V_{ub}| = (7.4 \pm 0.8(\text{stat}) \pm 0.5(\text{syst})) \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV} , \quad (1.2)$$

and $|V_{ub}| = (4.01 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-3}$ [28], one obtains

$$f_B = 185 \pm 35 \text{ MeV} \quad (1.3)$$

However, a recent value of the branching fraction of $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ from BaBar [29] gives $f_B = 295$ MeV ($f_B = 221$ MeV), depending on their value of V_{ub} from exclusive (inclusive) charmless semileptonic B-decays.

In a previous calculation of f_B and f_{B_s} by some of the present authors [9], a method was used based on finite energy QCDSR (FESR) which equates positive moments of data with QCD theory. On the theoretical side, a large momentum expansion in powers of m_b^2/s was taken from reference [30], where m_b is the mass of the bottom quark and s the square of the center-of-mass energy. The perturbative expansion was considered up to second order in the strong coupling constant, and up to seventh order in m_b^2/s . On the phenomenological side, a combination of positive moments involving Legendre polynomials was used to optimize the experimental data, enhancing the lowest lying B_q meson. The contribution of the unknown continuum data was shown to be negligible, after a suitable choice of Legendre polynomials [9].

The present paper is devoted to determining f_{B_c} , and updating results for f_B and f_{B_s} . We use more recent information on the QCD side of the sum rule, and employ a new criterion for optimizing the stability of the result. For the QCD correlator we use an $O((m_b^2/s)^7)$ expansion of the pseudoscalar two-point function up to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ [30]. For f_{B_c} and f_{B_s} we supplement this with $O(m_q^4)$ light quark mass corrections up to $O(\alpha_s)$ [8]. To account for non-perturbative corrections we include terms up to dimension six in the operator product expansion (OPE). Rather importantly, the correlator is expressed in terms of the running quark mass, rather than the pole mass [31], as it is well known that this improves the convergence of the perturbative series [8].

2 Preliminaries

To study the decay constants of B_q mesons, with $q \in \{u, d, s, c\}$, we consider the pseudoscalar two-point correlator

$$\Pi(q^2) = i \int dx e^{iqx} \langle \Omega | T(j_5(x) j_5(0)^\dagger) | \Omega \rangle , \quad (2.1)$$

where $j_5(x)$ is the divergence of the axial-vector current

$$j_5(x) = (m_b + m_q) : \bar{q}(x) i \gamma_5 b(x) : , \quad (2.2)$$

and m_b and m_q are the masses of the bottom quark b and the lighter quark q , respectively. We then analytically continue $\Pi(q^2)$ over the complex squared energy s -plane and invoke Cauchy's theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} P(s) \Pi(s) ds = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

valid for all holomorphic functions $P(s)$, and all closed curves Γ which do not encircle a singularity of $\Pi(s)$. The correlator has singularities only on the positive real axis for $|s| > s_{\text{thr}}$, the physical threshold. Choosing Γ to correspond to a circle of radius $|s| = s_0$, along both sides of the cut on the real axis, and using the Schwarz reflection principle, one finds

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{\text{thr}}}^{s_0} \text{Im} [P(s) \Pi(s)] ds = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=s_0} P(s) \Pi(s) ds. \quad (2.4)$$

This leads to a relation between QCD parameters and experimental observables, after invoking quark-hadron duality, i.e. assuming that $\Pi(s)$ in the contour integral is given by QCD if s_0 is large enough, i.e.

$$\Pi(s)|_{|s|=s_0} = \Pi^{\text{pQCD}}(s) + \Pi^{\text{npQCD}}(s), \quad (2.5)$$

where we have explicitly separated the perturbative, $\Pi^{\text{pQCD}}(s)$, and the non-perturbative, $\Pi^{\text{npQCD}}(s)$ QCD contributions. In this case one obtains the FESR

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{\text{thr}}}^{s_0} \text{Im} [P(s) \Pi^{\text{HAD}}(s)] ds = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=s_0} P(s) \Pi^{\text{QCD}}(s) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

The use of non-trivial integration kernels $P(s)$ in FESR was pioneered in [32, 33] in order to account for potential quark-hadron duality violations, e.g. in the Weinberg sum rules. Since then they have been frequently and successfully used in a variety of QCDSR applications. In particular, Legendre polynomial kernels subject to global constraints have been employed in extractions of the chiral condensates from τ -decay data [34], and on the chiral corrections to the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relations [35, 36]. The current most precise determinations of the charm- and bottom-quark masses [37]–[38] are also based on FESR involving these kernels. The general purpose of these kernels is to tune the FESR so as to emphasize or quench energy regions where the information is well or poorly known, respectively. In this way systematic uncertainties can be considerably reduced.

3 Phenomenological contribution and $P(s)$

As usual we parametrize the phenomenological correlator with a single pole for the B_q meson. For the unknown hadronic continuum we define the physical threshold

$$s_{\text{phys}} = (M_{B^*} + M_{P_q})^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where B^* is a vector meson and P_q is the lightest pseudoscalar meson with $q = u, d, s, c$ quantum numbers, namely, $P_q = \pi, K, D$, respectively. The spectral density can then be

written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(s) &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text{HAD}}(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text{pole}}(s) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text{cont}}(s) \theta(s - s_{\text{phys}}) \\
&= M_{B_q}^4 f_{B_q}^2 \delta(s - M_{B_q}^2) \\
&\quad + \rho^h(s) \theta[(s - (M_{B^*} + M_{P_q})^2)] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\rho^h(s)$ is given by the sum over all hadronic intermediate states with the quantum numbers of B_q . To minimize the contribution of the unknown hadronic continuum we shall make a judicious choice of $P(s)$. We choose a polynomial

$$P_n(s) = a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_n s^n , \tag{3.3}$$

and determine the coefficients, a_i , subject to the global constraint

$$\int_{s_{\text{cont}}}^{s_0} s^k P_n(s) ds = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} . \tag{3.4}$$

To fix the last coefficient we use an arbitrary overall normalization condition. The functions $P_n(s)$ are then Legendre polynomials defined in the interval $[s_{\text{cont}}, s_0]$, where we fix $s_{\text{cont}} \sim s_{\text{phys}}$ by demanding maximum duality in the sense explained below. The introduction of this polynomial kernel in the sum rule minimizes the continuum contribution to the phenomenological side. In fact, to the extent that $\operatorname{Im} \Pi^{\text{cont}}(s)$ can be approximated by an $(n-1)$ -th degree polynomial, these conditions lead to an exact cancellation of the continuum contribution on the left hand side of eq. (2.6). At the same time, the role of the B_q pole will be enhanced. Increasing n increases the OPE truncation error, but this is compensated by increasing the integration radius $|s_0|$. It will turn out that the latter is of reasonable magnitude on the relevant scale, i.e., m_B^2 . If, on the other hand, the radius of integration is chosen too high, the polynomial fit will deteriorate. It is hoped, and actually confirmed, that there is a wide intermediate region of stability. To find this region of stability we begin by choosing a value of $n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ and then increasing s_0 until stability is reached in each case. The introduction of the parameter s_{cont} is close in spirit to an *effective continuum threshold* proposed in [16, 17]. In order to make the duality region more pronounced we allow the value of the threshold s_{cont} to vary around the physical threshold s_{phys} , as in [16, 17], and in such a way that the dependence of f_{B_q} on s_0 shows maximum stability. This means requiring that the first and second derivatives vanish at the same point. Reassuringly, we find that the value of s_{cont} which maximizes this stability is very close to s_{phys} , thus validating this procedure. The contribution of the threshold region is then estimated separately. We have reasons to believe that if the resulting f_{B_q} is independent of n and s_0 in some finite region, then the unknown theoretical and hadronic contributions effectively cancel there. This follows from general properties of Legendre polynomials [39], the details of which will appear in a separate work. We are then left with

the key relation

$$M_{B_q}^4 f_{B_q}^2 P_n(M_{B_q}^2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=s_0} P_n(s) \Pi^{\text{QCD}}(s) ds. \quad (3.5)$$

4 Perturbative contribution

We now turn to the perturbative part of the QCD correlator, $\Pi^{\text{pQCD}}(s)$. To calculate f_{B_s} and f_{B_c} we need to take into account the effect of the lighter quark. We separate the correlator into its expression in the $m_q = 0$ limit, and add to it the lighter quark mass corrections

$$\Pi^{\text{pQCD}}(s) = \Pi_{m_q=0}^{\text{pQCD}}(s) + \Pi_{m_q}^{\text{pQCD}}(s) \quad (4.1)$$

For $\Pi_{m_q=0}^{\text{pQCD}}(s)$ we use the high-energy expansion given in [30]. This is an expansion to order $O(\alpha_s^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{m_q=0}^{\text{pQCD}}(s) &= \Pi_{m_q=0}^{(0)}(s) + \Pi_{m_q=0}^{(1)}(s) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} \right) \\ &\quad + \Pi_{m_q=0}^{(2)}(s) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{\pi} \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and is given to $O(z^{-7})$ in $z = s/\hat{m}_b^2$, where $\hat{m}_b$ is the pole mass of the b quark. For example, to leading order in α_s [30]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{m_q=0}^{(0)\text{pQCD}}(s) &= \frac{3}{16\pi^2} (\hat{m}_b + \hat{m}_q)^2 s \left\{ 3 + 4L_z - 8L_z \frac{1}{z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-3 + 4L_z) \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{z^4} + \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{z^5} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{30} \frac{1}{z^6} + \frac{2}{105} \frac{1}{z^7} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $L_z = -(\ln(-z))/2$ and $\hat{m}_q$ is the pole mass of the light quark (note that we keep the light quark mass in the pre-factor arising from the divergence of the axial-vector current). It is well known that the convergence of the QCD correlator is improved if it is expressed in terms of the running quark masses [8, 9]. We thus write the pole masses in terms of the running masses, $m_b(\mu)$ and $m_q(\mu)$, expand the final expression as a series in $\alpha_s(\mu)$, and truncate at $O(\alpha_s^2)$. As the perturbative expansion is not known to all orders in $\alpha_s(\mu)$ the final result will have some dependence on the renormalization scale, μ . To improve the convergence we take $\mu = m_b$, which re-sums the $\ln(\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2})$ terms appearing in the running quark mass [9]. We later investigate the effect of varying μ , retaining the $\ln(\frac{m_b^2}{\mu^2})$ terms. The $O(z^{-7})$ truncation has a very good convergence over the range of s used here, and it introduces a negligible error.

To calculate at $\mu = m_b$, and to investigate the μ -dependence, we use the running coupling $\alpha_s(\mu)$ to four-loop order [40, 41], and running quark masses to four-loops [42]. We

performed the contour integral in two complementary ways, i.e. analytically and numerically. Analytically, we followed the method outlined in [9]. Numerically, we used standard computational methods to perform the contour integral. The final results agree to a few MeV, and the error estimates are entirely compatible.

5 Light quark mass corrections

The light quark mass corrections were originally obtained to $O(\alpha_s)$ in [43, 44]. These corrections to the full correlator are somewhat unwieldy, but the imaginary part to $O(m^4)$ is presented in a compact form in [8]. For example, the $O(\alpha_s^0)$ term is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \Pi_{m_q}^{(0)\text{pQCD}}(s) = & \frac{3}{8\pi^2} (m_b + m_q)^2 \left\{ 2(1-x)m_b m_q \right. \\ & - 2m_q^2 - 2 \frac{(1+x)}{(1-x)} \frac{m_b m_q^3}{s} \\ & \left. + \frac{(1-2x-x^2)}{(1-x)^2} \frac{m_q^4}{s} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $x = m_b^2/s$. The $O(\alpha_s)$ term can be found in the appendix of [8]. In the case of f_{B_c} , where the lighter (charm) quark mass correction is almost 10 %, the $O(m^4)$ terms contribute less than 1 %, so we are justified in making this approximation. Of the 10 % correction, around 4 % comes from the $O(\alpha_s)$ term, indicating that the convergence is not ideal. It is, however, good enough for the present level of precision, and in any case it is somewhat included in the determination of the error from varying μ in the range 3 - 6 GeV. In the case of f_{B_s} the light quark mass correction is around 5 %. For f_B we take $m_u = m_d = 0$. To evaluate the integral of $P_n(s)\Pi_{m_q}^{\text{pQCD}}(s)$ we again use the Cauchy integral theorem to rewrite it as the integral over the imaginary part along the real axis, now starting from $s = (m_b + m_q)^2$, the start of the cut, and use eq. (2.4). This is purely a mathematical device, unrelated to the discussion leading to eq. (2.6), so the result is exact and no error is introduced due to the poor convergence of the QCD expansion at low s .

6 Non-perturbative contribution

The non-perturbative contributions to the correlator can be parametrized through Wilson's operator product expansion. In our calculation we include the effects of quark and gluon

n	f_B (MeV)	f_{B_s} (MeV)	f_{B_c} (MeV)
3	188.7	222.2	521.9
4	186.9	221.7	525.1
5	186.4	221.8	526.7
6	186.2	221.9	527.6

Table 1. Results for the decay constants for different values of n .

condensates up to dimension six [43]–[44]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi^{\text{npQCD}}(s) = (\hat{m}_b + \hat{m}_q)^2 & \left\{ \frac{\hat{m}_b \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{s - \hat{m}_b^2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \right. \\
 & - \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)} \left\langle \frac{\alpha_s G^2}{\pi} \right\rangle - \frac{\hat{m}_b \langle \bar{q}\sigma Gq \rangle}{2} \left[\frac{1}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)^2} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\hat{m}_b^2}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)^3} \right] - \frac{8\pi\alpha_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{27} \left[\frac{2}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)^2} \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{\hat{m}_b^2}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)^3} - \frac{\hat{m}_b^4}{(s - \hat{m}_b^2)^4} \right] \right\} \quad (6.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we include the effect of the light quark mass only in the pre-factor. The non-perturbative part contributes around 10 % to f_B , and it is dominated by the lowest dimensional condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$. Its value is usually given at 2 GeV, and determined e.g. from the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation, with the result [35, 36] $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = (-267 \pm 5 \text{ MeV})^3$. To run this condensate to the renormalization scale μ we use the fact that $m_q(\mu) \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ is renormalization group invariant. For the strange quark condensate, and the mixed condensates we use

$$\langle \bar{s}s \rangle = R_{sq} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\langle \bar{q}\sigma Gq \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\langle \bar{s}\sigma Gs \rangle = m_0^2 R_{sq} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle, \quad (6.4)$$

where $R_{sq} = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ is from [46, 47], and $m_0^2 = 0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ from [48]. The gluon condensate has been determined from data on the hadronic decays of the τ -lepton [45], i.e. $\left\langle \frac{\alpha_s G^2}{\pi} \right\rangle = 0.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^4$. The terms involving $\left\langle \frac{\alpha_s G^2}{\pi} \right\rangle$ and $\langle \bar{q}\sigma Gq \rangle$ both contribute around 0.1 %, while the term involving $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2$ contributes around 0.001 %, so we are justified in ignoring higher dimensional operators. In f_{B_s} the non-perturbative part plays a smaller role, while for f_{B_c} it is negligible. The $O(\alpha_s)$ correction to the $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ condensate term contributes around 1 % to f_B , so this approximation is accurate enough. As with the perturbative part, we rewrite the pole mass in terms of the running mass [31], expand in powers of α_s and truncate the series at $O(\alpha_s^2)$. The resulting integral is easily evaluated using Cauchy's residue theorem.

INPUT	VALUE	Uncertainties (MeV)		
		Δf_B	Δf_{B_s}	Δf_{B_c}
$O(\alpha_s^2)$	doubling/removing	∓ 7	∓ 4	± 13
$\alpha_s(M_Z)$	0.1184 ± 0.0007	∓ 0.5	∓ 0.3	± 0.6
$\overline{m}_b(m_b)$	$4.18 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}$	∓ 10	∓ 10	∓ 9
$\overline{m}_s(2 \text{ GeV})$	$94.0 \pm 9.0 \text{ MeV}$	$\emptyset$	± 1.5	$\emptyset$
$\overline{m}_c(m_c)$	$1.278 \pm 0.009 \text{ GeV}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	± 0.6
$-\langle \bar{q}q \rangle(2 \text{ GeV})$	$(267 \pm 5)^3 \text{ MeV}^3$	∓ 2.1	∓ 1.1	-
$\langle \alpha_s G^2 / \pi \rangle$	$0.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^4$	± 0.5	± 0.3	± 0.2
R_{sq}	0.6 ± 0.2	$\emptyset$	± 1.7	$\emptyset$
m_0^2	0.6 ± 0.2	∓ 0.1	-	-
M_B	$5279.58 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV}$	-	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
M_{B_s}	$5366.77 \pm 0.24 \text{ MeV}$	$\emptyset$	-	$\emptyset$
M_{B_c}	$6277 \pm 6 \text{ MeV}$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	± 1.7

Table 2. Input values of parameters (see text for references) and their contribution to the uncertainties in the decay constants. A $\emptyset$ indicates not applicable.

7 Results

Given the complete QCD expansion, and the correct hadronic continuum, the final results would be independent of the two parameters n and s_0 . In practice, though, there is a finite region in this parameter space where results are stable. The wider this region, the more accurate the results. As explained earlier, we allow s_{cont} to vary so that the first and second derivatives of f_{B_q} with respect to s_0 vanish at some point. We then extract our prediction for each n from this inflection point. In figures 1–3 we show f_{B_c} , f_B and f_{B_s} for different choices of n . It can be seen from these figures that for each n there is range of s_0 where the decay constant is virtually independent of s_0 . The extension of this range increases with increasing n , as the unknown contributions are better modeled by higher order polynomials. These plateau agree very well for different n and exhibit very good converge with increasing n , as shown in table I, and in the figures. The excellent stability in s_0 , combined with the good convergence in n , indicates that the contributions from the unknown hadronic

Figure 1. The decay constant f_{B_c} as a function of the integration contour radius s_0 , for different values of n . The crosses denote the inflection point where the prediction is extracted.

Figure 2. The decay constant f_B as a function of the integration contour radius s_0 , for different values of n . The crosses denote the inflection point where the prediction is extracted.

continuum, and the unknown part of the QCD correlator should be small. These unknown contributions could potentially introduce some systematic uncertainty, thus questioning the stability criterion. Hence, we conservatively estimate systematic errors as the difference between the $n = 3$ and $n = 6$ result, giving 3 MeV, 1 MeV and 6 MeV for f_B , f_{B_s} and f_{B_c} , respectively. From the stability criterion we find e.g. with $n = 6$, and for B , B_s and B_c , respectively, the values $s_{\text{cont}} = 31.3 \text{ GeV}^2$, 33.0 GeV^2 , 50.6 GeV^2 . These are very close to the values of the actual physical thresholds $s_{\text{phys}} = 29.9 \text{ GeV}^2$, 33.8 GeV^2 , 51.7 GeV^2 . To understand the effect of the variation of s_{cont} we estimated the contribution of the continuum near the physical threshold in the determination of f_B , where some

Figure 3. The decay constant f_{B_s} as a function of the integration contour radius s_0 , for different values of n . The crosses denote the inflection point where the prediction is extracted

phenomenological information is available. We considered the phase space contribution of the $B\pi\pi$ and $B^*\pi$ intermediate states near threshold, with form factors taken from chiral perturbation theory [49]–[50] and LQCD [51], respectively. In both cases the contributions are negligible compared with the dominant pole contribution of the B meson. We also investigated the contribution of a possible excited B state such as the $B_1(5721)$ predicted in [52] from heavy quark effective theories. The contribution of such a resonance will increase f_B by 4 – 5 MeV, which must be considered as part of the systematic error. We expect a similar situation for f_{B_s} and f_{B_c} . The final result should be independent of the renormalization scale, μ . However, due to the various truncations of expansions in $\alpha_s(\mu)$ some μ dependence is introduced, which is roughly related to the convergence of the asymptotic expansion. To estimate the error we either remove or double the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ correction. This is shown in the first line of table II. As an alternative one could vary μ in the range 3 - 6 GeV, which changes f_{B_c} , f_B and f_{B_s} by up to 10 MeV, 8 MeV, and 10 MeV, respectively. As these two errors are highly correlated we use only the error estimated from the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ correction.

Of the various inputs, the largest error comes from the running mass of the bottom quark, $\overline{m}_b(\overline{MS})$. We use the Particle Data Group world average $\overline{m}_b(m_b) = 4.18 \pm 0.03$ GeV [53], very close to the most recent and accurate value $\overline{m}_b(m_b) = 4.171 \pm 0.009$ GeV [38, 54–57]. In table II we show the change in the decay constants when $m_b(\overline{MS})$ is changed by $\pm 1\sigma$. Despite the relatively large uncertainty in the s -quark mass [50, 54–57], $\overline{m}_s(2 \text{ GeV}) = 94 \pm 9$ MeV, it only has a small effect on f_{B_s} , reflecting the relative smallness of this correction. The uncertainty in the larger c -quark mass $\overline{m}_c(m_c) = 1.278 \pm 0.009$ GeV [54–59] has also a small impact on f_{B_c} .

Although the non-perturbative part contributes only around 10 % to f_B , and less to f_{B_s} , the errors of the condensates are not all negligible. The uncertainty in the quark

condensate, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle (2 \text{ GeV})$, affects f_B and f_{B_s} by around 2 MeV and 1 MeV, respectively (the effect on f_{B_c} is negligible). The relatively large uncertainty in $\langle \alpha_s G^2 / \pi \rangle$ has a small effect, while the errors in the higher dimensional condensates have a negligible effect, reflecting the fact that the quark condensate dominates the non-perturbative contribution. The uncertainty in m_0^2 has a non-negligible effect only for f_B . There is some disagreement in the literature on the value of R_{sq} . A recent LQCD result [60] claims $R_{sq} = 1.08 \pm 0.16$, which is in conflict with almost all determinations leading to $R_{sq} < 1$ (for an exception see [61]). This value translates into $f_{B_s} = 230 \text{ MeV}$. The uncertainty in the strong coupling constant, $\alpha_s(M_Z)$, has a small effect on the decay constants. The masses of the lowest lying pseudoscalar resonances are now very well known [53], and the only non-negligible error arises from the uncertainty in M_{B_c} . Adding these errors in quadrature we find

$$f_{B_c} = 528 \pm 9 \pm 17 \text{ MeV} , \quad (7.1)$$

$$f_B = 186 \pm 11 \pm 9 \text{ MeV} , \quad (7.2)$$

$$f_{B_s} = 222 \pm 11 \pm 4 \text{ MeV} , \quad (7.3)$$

where the first error comes from the inputs and the second is systematic, arising from the dependence on n , the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ truncation, and the light quark mass expansion. The ratio of decay constants $\frac{f_{B_s}}{f_B}$ (which is unity in the chiral limit) can be determined quite accurately since many of the errors are correlated. We find

$$\frac{f_{B_s}}{f_B} = 1.19 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04 , \quad (7.4)$$

where again the first error comes from the inputs and the second is systematic. The effect of including the light quark mass terms in the perturbative expansion, $\Pi_{m_q}^{(0)\text{pert}}(s)$, is similar in size to the $O(\alpha_s^2)$ correction for f_{B_s} but is considerably larger for f_{B_c} . The mass corrections increase f_{B_s} by 11 MeV, whereas f_{B_c} is increased by 45 MeV.

8 Conclusion

In this paper we discussed a new QCDSR determination of the heavy meson decay constant f_{B_c} , and an update of f_B and f_{B_s} . We used Legendre polynomial integration kernels, and proposed a new technique allowing the continuum threshold, s_{cont} , to vary. This variation leads to an effective suppression of the unknown hadronic continuum, and the unknown terms in the QCD correlator. For the latter we used an $O(\alpha_s^2)$, and $O((\hat{m}_b^2/s)^7)$ expansion of the pseudoscalar two-point function, supplemented by $O(\alpha_s)$, and $O(m_q^4)$ lighter quark mass corrections. Non-perturbative terms were incorporated through the OPE up to dimension six. For all three decay constants we found excellent stability over a wide range of s_0 and good convergence in n , the order of the Legendre polynomials.

The result for f_{B_c} agrees with some LQCD, and a few determinations in other frameworks reviewed in [26], but it is around 20 % higher than that obtained in [62] from LQCD. However, it has been argued [63] that LQCD results for this constant may underestimate its value by some 23%. It must be pointed out that results for f_{B_q} are very sensitive to the

mass of the q -quark. For instance, in the case of f_{B_s} the impact of a strange-quark mass $m_s \simeq 100$ MeV results in a 20% increase in f_{B_s} relative to f_B . For f_{B_c} , with $m_c \simeq 1.3$ GeV, this rough argument would imply an increase over f_B of some 200 %. New and more accurate determinations should resolve this issue. It should be stressed in closing that for f_B and f_{B_s} our results are perfectly consistent within errors with LQCD and recent experimental results.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Germany), NRF (South Africa), an MECD Salvador de Madariaga Program grant, by MEC and FEDER (EC) under grant FPA2011-23596 and GV under grant PROMETEO2010-056.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *QCD and Resonance Physics. The rho-omega Mixing*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147** (1979) 519 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] P. Colangelo and A. Khodjamirian, in *At the Frontier of Particle Physics / Handbook of QCD*, M. Shifman ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2001, vol. 3, pg. 1495.
- [3] C.A. Dominguez and N. Paver, *Leptonic Decay Constants of Charm and Beauty Mesons in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **197** (1987) 423 [*Erratum ibid.* **B 199** (1987) 596] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] L.J. Reinders, *The Leptonic Decay Constant f_B of the $B(b\bar{d})$ Meson and the Beauty Quark Mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* **38** (1988) 947 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] E. Bagan, P. Ball, V.M. Braun and H.G. Dosch, *QCD sum rules in the effective heavy quark theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **278** (1992) 457 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] M. Neubert, *Heavy meson form-factors from QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **45** (1992) 2451 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] A. Khodjamirian, R. Rückl, *QCD Sum Rules for Exclusive Decays of Heavy Mesons*, in *Heavy Flavors II*, A.J. Buras and M. Lindner eds., World Scientific, 1998.
- [8] M. Jamin and B.O. Lange, *f_B and f_{B_s} from QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 056005 [[hep-ph/0108135](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Bordes, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *B and $B(s)$ decay constants from QCD duality at three loops*, *JHEP* **12** (2004) 064 [[hep-ph/0410328](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] C.A. Dominguez, K. Schilcher and Y.L. Wu, *QCD determination of the leptonic decay constant of the $B(c)$ meson*, *Phys. Lett. B* **298** (1993) 190 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli and N. Paver, *QCD sum rules calculation of $B(c)$ decays*, *Z. Phys. C* **57** (1993) 43 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] A.A. Penin and M. Steinhauser, *Heavy light meson decay constant from QCD sum rules in three loop approximation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 054006 [[hep-ph/0108110](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [13] A. Abada, D. Becirevic, P. Boucaud, J.P. Leroy, V. Lubicz et al., *Decays of heavy mesons*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **83** (2000) 268 [[hep-lat/9910021](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] UKQCD collaboration, K.C. Bowler et al., *Decay constants of B and D mesons from nonperturbatively improved lattice QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **619** (2001) 507 [[hep-lat/0007020](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] V. Lubicz and C. Tarantino, *Flavour physics and Lattice QCD: Averages of lattice inputs for the Unitarity Triangle Analysis*, *Nuovo Cim. B* **123** (2008) 674 [[arXiv:0807.4605](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, *Decay constants of heavy pseudoscalar mesons from QCD sum rules*, *J. Phys. G* **38** (2011) 105002 [[arXiv:1008.2698](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, *Accurate bottom-quark mass from Borel QCD sum rules for f_B and f_{B_s}* , *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 056011 [[arXiv:1305.7099](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] Z.-G. Wang, *Analysis of the decay constants of the heavy pseudoscalar mesons with QCD sum rules*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 208 [[arXiv:1301.1399](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] P. Gelhausen, A. Khodjamirian, A.A. Pivovarov and D. Rosenthal, *Decay constants of heavy-light vector mesons from QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 014015 [[arXiv:1305.5432](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] FERMILAB LATTICE COLLABORATION, MILC collaboration, A. Bazavov et al., *B- and D-meson decay constants from three-flavor lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 114506 [[arXiv:1112.3051](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] HPQCD collaboration, R.J. Dowdall, C.T.H. Davies, R.R. Horgan, C.J. Monahan and J. Shigemitsu, *B-Meson Decay Constants from Improved Lattice Nonrelativistic QCD with Physical u, d, s and c Quarks*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 222003 [[arXiv:1302.2644](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] H. Na, C.J. Monahan, C.T.H. Davies, R. Horgan, G.P. Lepage et al., *The B and B_s Meson Decay Constants from Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 034506 [[arXiv:1202.4914](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] C. McNeile, C.T.H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G.P. Lepage, *High-Precision f_{B_s} and HQET from Relativistic Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 031503 [[arXiv:1110.4510](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] N. Carrasco, P. Dimopoulos, R. Frezzotti, V. Giménez, P. Lami et al., *A $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ “twisted” determination of the b-quark mass, f_B and f_{B_s}* , [arXiv:1311.2837](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] P. Fritzsche et al., *M_b and f_B from non-perturbatively renormalized HQET with $N_f = 2$ light quarks* *PoS(Lattice 2011)280*.
- [26] B.D. Jones and R.M. Woloshyn, *Mesonic decay constants in lattice NRQCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 014502 [[hep-lat/9812008](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] BELLE collaboration, I. Adachi et al., *Evidence for $B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \bar{\nu}_\tau$ with a Hadronic Tagging Method Using the Full Data Sample of Belle*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 131801 [[arXiv:1208.4678](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] J.L. Rosner and S. Stone, *Leptonic Decays of Charged Pseudoscalar Mesons — 2013*, [arXiv:1309.1924](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [29] BABAR collaboration, J.P. Lees et al., *Evidence of $B \rightarrow \tau\nu$ decays with hadronic B tags*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 031102 [[arXiv:1207.0698](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] K.G. Chetyrkin and M. Steinhauser, *Heavy - light current correlators at order $\alpha-S^2$ in QCD and HQET*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **21** (2001) 319 [[hep-ph/0108017](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] N. Gray, D.J. Broadhurst, W. Grafe and K. Schilcher, *Three Loop Relation of Quark (Modified) M_s and Pole Masses*, *Z. Phys. C* **48** (1990) 673 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] K. Maltman, *Constraints on hadronic spectral functions from continuous families of finite energy sum rules*, *Phys. Lett. B* **440** (1998) 367 [[hep-ph/9901239](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] C.A. Dominguez and K. Schilcher, *Chiral sum rules and duality in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **448** (1999) 93 [[hep-ph/9811261](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Chiral condensates from tau decay: A Critical reappraisal*, *JHEP* **02** (2006) 037 [[hep-ph/0511293](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, P. Moodley, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Chiral corrections to the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 064 [[arXiv:1003.3358](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, P. Moodley, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Corrections to the $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation and chiral couplings L_8^r and H_2^r* , *JHEP* **10** (2012) 102 [[arXiv:1208.1159](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] S. Bodenstein, J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Charm-quark mass from weighted finite energy QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 114013 [[arXiv:1009.4325](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] S. Bodenstein, J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Bottom-quark mass from finite energy QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 034003 [[arXiv:1111.5742](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] G. Sansone, *Orthogonal Functions*, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1959.
- [40] S. Bethke, *The 2009 World Average of α_s* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **64** (2009) 689 [[arXiv:0908.1135](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] T. van Ritbergen, J.A.M. Vermaseren and S.A. Larin, *The Four loop β -function in quantum chromodynamics*, *Phys. Lett. B* **400** (1997) 379 [[hep-ph/9701390](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] J.A.M. Vermaseren, S.A. Larin and T. van Ritbergen, *The four loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass*, *Phys. Lett. B* **405** (1997) 327 [[hep-ph/9703284](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] D.J. Broadhurst, *Chiral Symmetry Breaking and Perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **101** (1981) 423 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] S.C. Generalis, *QCD sum rules. 1: Perturbative results for current correlators*, *J. Phys. G* **16** (1990) 785 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] C.A. Dominguez and K. Schilcher, *QCD vacuum condensates from tau-lepton decay data*, *JHEP* **01** (2007) 093 [[hep-ph/0611347](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] C.A. Dominguez, A. Ramlakan and K. Schilcher, *Ratio of strange to nonstrange quark condensates in QCD*, *Phys. Lett. B* **511** (2001) 59 [[hep-ph/0104262](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] C.A. Dominguez, N.F. Nasrallah and K. Schilcher, *Strange quark condensate from QCD sum rules to five loops*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 072 [[arXiv:0711.3962](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [48] A.A. Ovchinnikov and A.A. Pivovarov, *QCD sum rule calculation of the quark gluon condensate*, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **48** (1988) 721 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] C.A. Dominguez, L. Pirovano and K. Schilcher, *The Strange quark mass from QCD sum rules in the pseudoscalar channel*, *Phys. Lett. B* **425** (1998) 193 [[hep-ph/9712369](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] S. Bodenstein, C.A. Dominguez and K. Schilcher, *Strange quark mass from sum rules with improved perturbative QCD convergence*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 138 [[arXiv:1305.3796](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] J.M. Flynn, P. Fritzsche, T. Kawanai, C. Lehner, C.T. Sachrajda et al., *The $B^*B\pi$ coupling with relativistic heavy quarks*, [arXiv:1311.2251](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, *New meson spectroscopy with open charm and beauty*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 054024 [[arXiv:1207.6940](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, J. Beringer et al., *Review of Particle Physics (RPP)*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] C.A. Dominguez, *Quark masses in QCD: a progress report*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26** (2011) 691 [[arXiv:1103.5864](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] K. Schilcher, *Light Quark Masses from QCD Sum Rules*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1360016.
- [56] J.H. Kuhn, *Precise Heavy Quark Masses*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1360019.
- [57] S. Bodenstein, *Precise Determinations of the Charm and Bottom Quark Masses*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1360020.
- [58] S. Bodenstein, J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *Charm-quark mass from weighted finite energy QCD sum rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 114013 [[arXiv:1009.4325](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] S. Bodenstein, J. Bordes, C.A. Dominguez, J. Penarrocha and K. Schilcher, *QCD sum rule determination of the charm-quark mass*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 074014 [[arXiv:1102.3835](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] C. McNeile, A. Bazavov, C.T.H. Davies, R.J. Dowdall, K. Hornbostel et al., *Direct determination of the strange and light quark condensates from full lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 034503 [[arXiv:1211.6577](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] K. Maltman, *A Mixed Tau-Electroproduction Sum Rule for $|V_{us}|$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **672** (2009) 257 [[arXiv:0811.1590](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] C. McNeile, C.T.H. Davies, E. Follana, K. Hornbostel and G.P. Lepage, *Heavy meson masses and decay constants from relativistic heavy quarks in full lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 074503 [[arXiv:1207.0994](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] R. Benbrik and C.-H. Chen, *Leptoquark on $P \rightarrow \ell^+\nu$, FCNC and LFV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **672** (2009) 172 [[arXiv:0807.2373](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].