RECEIVED: April 9, 2013

REVISED: December 21, 2013

ACCEPTED: December 23, 2013

PUBLISHED: January 23, 2014

Muon $g - 2$ vs LHC in supersymmetric models

Motoi Endo, Koichi Hamaguchi, Sho Iwamoto¹ and Takahiro Yoshinaga

*Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo,
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

E-mail: endo@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp,
hama@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp, sho.iwamoto@ipmu.jp,
t.yoshinaga@hep-th.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: There is more than 3σ deviation between the experimental and theoretical results of the muon $g - 2$. When interpreted in SUSY extensions of the SM, this anomaly suggests that some of the SUSY particles have a mass of order 100 GeV. We study searches for those particles at the LHC with particular attention to the muon $g - 2$. In particular, the recent results on the searches for the non-colored SUSY particles are investigated in the parameter region where the muon $g - 2$ is explained. The analysis is independent of details of the SUSY models. Future prospects of the collider searches are also discussed.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetry Phenomenology

ARXIV EPRINT: [1303.4256](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4256)

¹Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Muon $g - 2$	3
3	Mass spectrum	4
4	LHC phenomenology	6
4.1	J-search	8
4.2	L-search	8
5	Results	9
6	Future prospects	12
7	Conclusion	15

1 Introduction

The ATLAS and the CMS collaborations have reported observations of a new particle with a mass of about 126 GeV, which is considered to be the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson [1, 2]. If the particle is indeed the Higgs boson, the SM inevitably involves the hierarchy problem. This unnaturalness indicates that there lies physics beyond the SM.

There is another indication for physics beyond the SM. The precise measurement of the muon anomalous magnetic moment (the muon $g - 2$) [3, 4] has shown discrepancy from the SM prediction [5–10]. With dedicated efforts to determine hadronic contributions precisely, the latest result is

$$\Delta a_\mu \equiv a_\mu(\text{exp}) - a_\mu(\text{SM}) = (26.1 \pm 8.0) \times 10^{-10}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the hadronic vacuum polarization is quoted from ref. [5–7], and the hadronic light-by-light contribution is from ref. [11]. The muon $g - 2$ anomaly indicates physics beyond the SM at more than 3σ level. Moreover, the difference is as large as the SM electroweak contribution, $a_\mu(\text{EW}) = (15.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10}$ [12]. If new physics is responsible for the discrepancy, its contribution is naively estimated as $\delta a_\mu \sim (\alpha_{\text{NP}}/4\pi) \times (m_\mu^2/m_{\text{NP}}^2)$, where α_{NP} is a coupling constant of new particles to the muon, and m_{NP} is a typical scale of their masses. Thus, new physics around the TeV scale is required to involve strong couplings with the muon in order to solve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly.

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is a promising candidate for the TeV-scale new physics. The minimal SUSY Standard Model (MSSM) not only solves the hierarchy problem but also

yields sizable contributions to the muon $g - 2$ [13–15]. The SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$ are naturally enhanced by $\tan\beta \equiv \langle H_u \rangle / \langle H_d \rangle$. As we will see in section 2, the muon $g - 2$ anomaly is solved if the superparticles (smuons, neutralinos, and charginos) are around $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV for $\tan\beta = \mathcal{O}(10)$.

Superparticles are searched for at the LHC. Since none of them has been discovered, colored superparticles are considered to be heavier than $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV [16–18]. Moreover, the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV indicates the scalar tops to be as heavy as $\mathcal{O}(1\text{--}10)$ TeV [19–22] and/or to have a large trilinear coupling to the up-type Higgs boson [23]. These two results naively contradict with the indication of the muon $g - 2$ anomaly that superparticles have a mass of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV. In fact, some of the representative SUSY-breaking mediation mechanisms such as the minimal supergravity and the gauge mediation cannot satisfy the above three phenomenological requirements simultaneously, (i) providing the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV, (ii) avoiding the constraints from the direct searches at the LHC, and (iii) solving the muon $g - 2$ anomaly (see ref. [24] for a study on the minimal supergravity). From the viewpoint of model building, the muon $g - 2$ is reconciled with the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV by extending the MSSM so that extra contributions to the Higgs potential appear [24–30].

The above inconsistency implies a split mass spectrum of the superparticles as

$$m_{\tilde{q}} \gg m_{\tilde{\ell}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}^\pm}, m_{\tilde{\chi}^0}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the squarks are favored to be relatively heavy to explain the Higgs boson mass and to satisfy the LHC bounds, while the sleptons, neutralinos and charginos are to be light to explain the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy. This scenario forces us to change strategy of SUSY searches. The searches based on production of colored superparticles, which have been the standard, are not always promising, because the particles can be away from the LHC reach without diminishing a virtue of SUSY as a solution to the muon $g - 2$ anomaly. In this paper, we investigate the LHC signatures assuming the mass hierarchy in eq. (1.2), with particular attention to the muon $g - 2$. We examine the direct production of the superparticles that are relevant for the muon $g - 2$.¹ The ATLAS and CMS collaborations respectively reported results of their searches for this production channel [32, 33]. We will apply the result by ATLAS to the above scenario, and will see that these searches are particularly important in the parameter regions where the muon $g - 2$ is explained.

In section 2, the SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$ are reviewed, and the parameter regions in which the discrepancy (1.1) is explained are clarified. The detailed mass spectra and parameter spaces are introduced in section 3, and relevant SUSY searches at the LHC are summarized in section 4. In section 5, current LHC bounds on the muon $g - 2$ parameter spaces are shown, and future prospects are discussed in section 6. The last section is devoted to the conclusion.

¹Those superparticles can also be probed indirectly via the precision measurements [31].

2 Muon $g - 2$

The SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$ are dominated by the chargino-sneutrino and the neutralino-smuon loop diagrams. At the leading order of m_W/m_{soft} and $\tan\beta$, where m_{soft} represents SUSY-breaking masses and the Higgsino mass μ , they are evaluated as [15]

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{W}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu}_\mu) = \frac{\alpha_2}{4\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_2\mu} \tan\beta \cdot f_C\left(\frac{M_2^2}{m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2}, \frac{\mu^2}{m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2}\right), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{W}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_L) = -\frac{\alpha_2}{8\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_2\mu} \tan\beta \cdot f_N\left(\frac{M_2^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2}, \frac{\mu^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{B}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_L) = \frac{\alpha_Y}{8\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_1\mu} \tan\beta \cdot f_N\left(\frac{M_1^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2}, \frac{\mu^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2}\right), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{B}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_R) = -\frac{\alpha_Y}{4\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_1\mu} \tan\beta \cdot f_N\left(\frac{M_1^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2}, \frac{\mu^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\mu}_R, \tilde{B}) = \frac{\alpha_Y}{4\pi} \frac{m_\mu^2 M_1\mu}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2 m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2} \tan\beta \cdot f_N\left(\frac{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2}{M_1^2}, \frac{m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2}{M_1^2}\right), \quad (2.5)$$

where m_μ is the muon mass, while α_Y and α_2 are the fine structure constants of the SM $U(1)_Y$ and the $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetries, respectively. The loop functions are defined as²

$$f_C(x, y) = xy \left[\frac{5 - 3(x+y) + xy}{(x-1)^2(y-1)^2} - \frac{2\log x}{(x-y)(x-1)^3} + \frac{2\log y}{(x-y)(y-1)^3} \right], \quad (2.6)$$

$$f_N(x, y) = xy \left[\frac{-3 + x + y + xy}{(x-1)^2(y-1)^2} + \frac{2x\log x}{(x-y)(x-1)^3} - \frac{2y\log y}{(x-y)(y-1)^3} \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

They satisfy $0 \leq f_{C,N}(x, y) \leq 1$ and are monotonically increasing for $x > 0$ and $y > 0$. In the limit of degenerate masses, they satisfy $f_C(1, 1) = 1/2$ and $f_N(1, 1) = 1/6$. The arguments in the left-hand side of eqs. (2.1)–(2.5) show the superparticles that propagate in each loop diagram. If one of them decouples, the corresponding SUSY contribution is suppressed. Eq. (2.1) comes from the chargino-sneutrino diagram, and eqs. (2.2)–(2.5) are the neutralino-smuon contributions. We can easily see that the relevant parameters are

$$M_1, M_2, \mu, m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}, m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}, \tan\beta, \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_{1,2}$ are the bino and wino masses, and $m_{\tilde{\mu}_{L,R}}$ are masses of the left- and right-handed smuons. Note that the sneutrino mass $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$ is related to $m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}$ by the $SU(2)_L$ symmetry as $m_{\tilde{\nu}}^2 = m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2 + m_W^2 \cos 2\beta$. Numerically, the SUSY contributions are evaluated as

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{W}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\nu}_\mu) \simeq 15 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{10} \right) \left(\frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{M_2\mu} \right) \left(\frac{f_C}{1/2} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{W}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_L) \simeq -2.5 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\tan\beta}{10} \right) \left(\frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{M_2\mu} \right) \left(\frac{f_N}{1/6} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

²The functions, f_C and f_N , are reduced from the functions, J_5 and I_4 , in ref. [15].

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{B}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_L) \simeq 0.76 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\tan \beta}{10} \right) \left(\frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{M_1 \mu} \right) \left(\frac{f_N}{1/6} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{B}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\mu}_R) \simeq -1.5 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\tan \beta}{10} \right) \left(\frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{M_1 \mu} \right) \left(\frac{f_N}{1/6} \right), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Delta a_\mu(\tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\mu}_R, \tilde{B}) \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{\tan \beta}{10} \right) \left(\frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2 m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2 / M_1 \mu} \right) \left(\frac{f_N}{1/6} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

The SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$ are enhanced when $\tan \beta$ is large and m_{soft} is small. For $m_{\text{soft}} = \mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV}$ and $\tan \beta = \mathcal{O}(10)$, they become $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$, which can explain eq. (1.1). Since the experimental value is larger than the SM prediction, $\text{sgn}(M_{1,2} \mu) > 0$ is favored in most of the parameter region. Throughout this paper, we use the convention in which the gaugino soft masses are positive.

Let us investigate the SUSY contributions in detail to specify the parameter regions which should be searched for at the LHC. We will study two representative cases when the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy (1.1) is explained. Other possibilities will be discussed in section 6.

The first case is the one when the chargino-sneutrino contribution (2.9) dominates the SUSY contributions. As can be seen in eqs. (2.9)–(2.13), this is valid when the relevant mass parameters of eq. (2.8) are nearly degenerate. In fact, in wide SUSY models which explain the anomaly with non-decoupling Higgsinos, the chargino-sneutrino contribution (2.9) dominates.

As the Higgsino mass μ increases, the chargino-sneutrino contribution decreases, while the neutralino-smuon contribution becomes relevant. This is because the pure-bino contribution eq. (2.5) is enhanced under the presence of a large μ resulting in a large left-right mixing in the smuon mass matrix. The other contributions in eqs. (2.1)–(2.4) are suppressed, where the Higgsino propagates in the diagrams. In this situation, which we will take as the second case, the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy (1.1) is explained when both $m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}$ and $m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$ are $\mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV}$ as will be seen in the next section.

In this paper, we will study the SUSY searches at the LHC for the parameter regions of these two cases. The soft SUSY-breaking parameters are set at the SUSY scale, and we will not specify the models to realize them. It is interesting to mention that such parameter regions are realized in wide SUSY models which solve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly (see e.g. [24–30, 34]), in which much ingenuity is exercised in enhancing the Higgs boson mass. As we take model independent approach, the following LHC analysis will be independent of the mechanisms which realize the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV .

3 Mass spectrum

Let us specify the mass spectrum to study LHC phenomena. We consider two representative cases in light of the muon $g - 2$: (i) the case when the chargino-sneutrino contribution to the muon $g - 2$ dominates, and (ii) that with a large μ parameter where the neutralino-smuon diagram is relevant. The former contribution is controlled by $(M_2, \mu, m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2, \tan \beta)$, and the latter is by $(M_1, \mu, m_{\tilde{\mu}_L}^2, m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}^2, \tan \beta)$, according to eqs. (2.1) and (2.5), respectively.

In particular, the μ parameter is favored to be relatively small in the former case, while it is fairly large in the latter.

The leading SUSY contributions to the muon $g-2$ are proportional to $\tan\beta$, while the LHC sensitivities in the following sections do not depend much on it.³ It is fixed as $\tan\beta = 40$ throughout the LHC analysis. As $\tan\beta$ increases, although the SUSY contributions to the muon $g-2$ are enhanced, the bottom and tau Yukawa coupling constants are likely to blow up below the GUT scale. If $\tan\beta$ is lowered, the superparticle masses must be reduced to solve the muon $g-2$ anomaly. Then, the LHC searches become easier.

Soft masses of left- and right-handed selectrons are respectively set to be the same as those of smuons, which are denoted by m_L and m_R . The results in the following sections are almost independent of the selectron masses. The third-generation sleptons (staus and tau-sneutrino) are irrelevant for the SUSY contributions to the muon $g-2$. They are taken to be decoupled in the following analysis to simplify the LHC studies. Scenarios with light staus will be discussed in section 6.

For the gaugino masses, the following two scenarios are analyzed: (A) they satisfy an approximate GUT relation, $M_1 : M_2 : M_3 = 1 : 2 : 6$, which is realized in a wide variety of SUSY models, and (B) the gluino is decoupled, while the bino and wino masses satisfy the same relation as (A), i.e., $2M_1 = M_2 \ll M_3$. The SUSY contributions to the muon $g-2$ are sensitive to M_1 and M_2 , while M_3 barely affects the muon $g-2$, but the LHC study depends on it. In the case (A), superparticles can be searched for by analyzing events with hard-jets plus missing transverse energy, which come from the gluino pair-production, while electroweak production of superparticles is relevant in both cases (A) and (B). We will study both of (A) and (B) separately for the cases, (i) and (ii). The bino and wino masses are set to satisfy $2M_1 = M_2$ throughout the analysis, and general cases will be discussed in section 6.

It is assumed that the squarks are decoupled from the LHC sensitivities in the analysis. We set their soft masses as $(m^2)_Q = (m^2)_{\bar{U}} = (m^2)_{\bar{D}} = (7 \text{ TeV})^2$. They satisfy the current bounds on the superparticle masses obtained at the LHC [16–18], and also, heavy stops are preferred to realize the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV [19–22]. The following results do not change as long as the masses are large enough. Note that the LHC constraints become tighter if the squarks are lighter, since in such cases production of the squarks also contributes to the SUSY events.

The remaining SUSY parameters are scalar trilinear couplings (A -terms) and the CP-odd Higgs mass, m_A . They are relevant neither for the muon $g-2$ nor the SUSY searches at the LHC. In the analysis, we fixed $A_{t,b,\tau} = 0$ as a reference, and take the decoupling limit by setting $m_A = 3 \text{ TeV}$.

To summarize, the SUSY signals will be studied at the LHC in the following parameters. The parameters relevant to the muon $g-2$ and the LHC signatures are

$$[m^2]_{L_1} = [m^2]_{L_2} \equiv (m_L)^2, \quad [m^2]_{\bar{E}_1} = [m^2]_{\bar{E}_2} \equiv (m_R)^2, \quad \tan\beta = 40, \\ \text{(A) } M_1 : M_2 : M_3 = 1 : 2 : 6, \quad \text{or} \quad \text{(B) } 2M_1 = M_2 \ll M_3,$$

³When the staus are light, the branching ratio of the Higgs boson decay into the di-photon can be sensitive to $\tan\beta$ mainly through the left-right mixing of the stau mass matrix [35–38] (also [39, 40]).

and the other parameters are set as

$$\begin{aligned} [m^2]_Q &= [m^2]_{\bar{U}} = [m^2]_{\bar{D}} = (7 \text{ TeV})^2, & [m^2]_{L_3} &= [m^2]_{\bar{E}_3} = (3 \text{ TeV})^2, \\ m_A &= 3 \text{ TeV}, & A_t &= A_b = A_\tau = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, there are four free parameters left: (M_2, μ, m_L, m_R) . In order to search for the two scenarios, (i) the chargino-sneutrino dominance and (ii) the neutralino-smuon dominance with large μ , we will take (M_2, m_L) to be free, and the other two parameters are chosen as

$$(\mu, m_R) = \{(M_2, 3 \text{ TeV}), (2M_2, 3 \text{ TeV}), (0.5M_2, 3 \text{ TeV}), (2 \text{ TeV}, 1.5m_L)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

In the first three sets where $m_R = 3 \text{ TeV}$, the contribution (i) dominates over the SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$. The last set corresponds to the case (ii).

In the above parameter space, the lightest superparticle (LSP) is either the bino-like neutralino, the neutral Higgsino, or the sneutrino. The LSP is a candidate of the dark matter if the R-parity is conserved. In the last set of (3.1), m_R is set to be slightly larger than m_L in order to avoid a charged LSP in the whole parameter region, since the charged dark matter is excluded [41]. The sneutrino LSP is also strongly disfavored by the direct searches [42]. In the analysis, we will focus on the cosmologically favored regions. Note that other superparticles such as the gravitino can be lighter than them, and such cases will be discussed in section 6.

4 LHC phenomenology

In order to solve the muon $g - 2$ anomaly, the bino and wino masses are as small as $\mathcal{O}(100) \text{ GeV}$. Then, if we respect the approximate GUT relation, the gluino has a mass less than a few TeV, which is within the reach of the LHC. The gluinos are produced at the pp collisions, and decay into neutralinos or charginos with hard jets,

$$pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi} qq\tilde{\chi} \rightarrow \cdots. \quad (4.1)$$

Similarly to the minimal supergravity models, searches for hard jets plus missing transverse energy are one of the most promising channels. Currently, the ATLAS collaboration yields the most stringent bound in this category [16] with analyzing their data corresponding to $\int \mathcal{L} = 5.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ obtained at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$. We interpret this result in this paper, which will be referred to as “J-search.”

The electroweak gaugino production (e.g., $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$) is also searched for at the LHC. When sleptons are relatively light, the gauginos can produce hard leptons as

$$pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\ell} \ell\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\chi} \ell\tilde{\ell}\tilde{\chi}. \quad (4.2)$$

As the muon $g - 2$ prefers such lighter sleptons, the multi-lepton signatures are another promising channel. The ATLAS collaboration recently reported searches for events with three leptons plus missing transverse energy in the data of $\int \mathcal{L} = 13.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} =$

8 TeV [32]. We interpret the result, which will be referred to as “L-search.” The multi-lepton signatures are particularly important, because they are yielded by the superparticles relevant for the muon $g - 2$, i.e., sleptons, charginos, and neutralinos, and appear even in the decoupled gluino scenario (B).

Those two ATLAS results are interpreted in the analysis with Monte Carlo simulation. On each model point, the mass spectra generated with **SOFTSUSY 3.4** [43] are passed to **SUSY-HIT 1.3** [44] to calculate decay tables of the superparticles. Here, the soft SUSY-breaking parameters are set at 500 GeV. The kinematical distributions of SUSY events are simulated by **Pythia 6.4** [45] with the ATLAS MC09 tune [46]. The parton distribution functions (PDFs) are obtained from the CTEQ6L1 set [47]. As the squarks are assumed to be decoupled, superparticles are produced via the gluino pair-production ($pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$) and the electroweak production of neutralinos, charginos and sleptons ($pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}\tilde{\chi}, \tilde{\ell}\tilde{\ell}^*$). For the gluino production, the cross sections are normalized by NLO K -factors obtained with **Prospino 2** [48, 49], where the CTEQ6L1 and CTEQ6.6M PDFs [47] are used. For the electroweak channels, the normalization factor is set as $K = 1.2$, which is a typical value in the parameter space studied in the next section. In addition, we calculate the SUSY contributions to the muon $g - 2$ at the one-loop level.

Detector simulation is employed with **Delphes 2.0** [50]. We assume trigger efficiencies to be 100% for simplicity. As for calorimeter configuration and resolutions, **Delphes** original parameter card for the ATLAS detector is used.

Following the ATLAS analysis, jet reconstruction is done with the anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm [51] with the distance parameter $R = 0.4$. **FASTJET** [52, 53] is utilized. We also simulate b -tagging, used as b -veto in the L-search, with simplified efficiency and fake rates estimated from refs. [54, 55]. The missing transverse energy is read from **Delphes** output without modification.

We take lepton detection efficiencies into account, which are important particularly for the L-search. For electrons, the ATLAS collaboration utilizes three identification criteria. We consult ref. [56], and set the efficiency of the “medium” criterion as 0.89 for $p_T > 20$ GeV and 0.75 for $10 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 20 \text{ GeV}$, and that of the “tight” criterion as 0.74 for $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and 0.61 for $10 \text{ GeV} < p_T < 20 \text{ GeV}$. $|\eta|$ dependence of the efficiency is ignored. For muons, we interpret the results in ref. [57], and set the efficiency (for $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$) as 0.82 for $|\eta| < 0.25$ and 0.96 for $|\eta| > 0.25$. Note that we further impose the lepton isolation criteria in the L-search, and the overlap removal in the J- and L-searches, in the same way as the ATLAS analysis.

The signal regions (SRs) are defined to be the same as those in the original ATLAS analyses. The details are summarized in the following subsections. Throughout the analysis, we ignore criteria on the primary vertex, origins of lepton tracks, and jet qualities, which are designed to reduce noise backgrounds. The CL_s method is used to derive exclusions for each model point. The numbers of the signal events in SRs are compared to the corresponding upper bounds obtained in the ATLAS reports.

The analysis procedures are validated by comparing the simulations with the ATLAS results. We checked that calculated effective mass distributions of the signal events agree with those of the ATLAS in the J-search, and those of the missing transverse energy are

	Signal Regions		
	C (≥ 4 -jets)	D (≥ 5 -jets)	E (≥ 6 -jets)
# leptons	= 0		
$\cancel{E}_T$ [GeV] >	160		
$p_T(j_1)$ [GeV] >	130		
$p_T(j_{2,3,4})$ [GeV] >	60		
$p_T(j_5)$ [GeV] >	—	60	60
$p_T(j_6)$ [GeV] >	—	—	60
$\Delta\phi(j_i, \cancel{E}_T)_{\min}$ >	0.6 ($i \leq 3$); 0.4 (other jets with $p_T > 40$ GeV)		
$\cancel{E}_T/m_{\text{eff}}^{(n)}$ >	0.25 / 0.3 / 0.3 ($n = 4$)	0.15 / — / — ($n = 5$)	0.15 / 0.25 / 0.3 ($n = 6$)
$m_{\text{eff}}^{\text{inc}}$ [TeV] >	1.9 / 1.3 / 1.0	1.7 / — / —	1.4 / 1.3 / 1.0

Table 1. Definition of SRs of the J-search. Among the original 12 SRs [16], the SRs which are relevant for our exclusions are shown. The effective mass $m_{\text{eff}}^{(n)}$ ($m_{\text{eff}}^{\text{inc}}$) is defined as a scalar sum of $\cancel{E}_T$ and p_T 's of the leading n -jets (all jets with $p_T > 40$ GeV). In the last two rows of C and E, the three values mean different SRs, called as ‘tight’, ‘medium’, and ‘loose’, respectively.

reproduced for the L-search. Exclusion plots are also simulated by using the upper bounds on the signal events obtained in the ATLAS analysis, and become consistent with those in the ATLAS papers.

4.1 J-search

The J-search is designed to search for pair-production of the colored superparticles [16]. The event selections are summarized in table 1, where the SRs which are found relevant for the following analysis are shown. Events are required to have at least 2–6 hard jets with a large missing transverse energy $\cancel{E}_T$. The efficiencies of triggering, which requires the missing transverse energy of ≥ 100 GeV or hard jets with $p_T > 80$ GeV, are ignored.

4.2 L-search

The L-search is designed to search for electroweak production of charginos and neutralinos via the s-channel exchange of a virtual SM gauge boson (e.g., $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$). The event selections are summarized in table 2. Events are required to have exactly three hard leptons. Trigger efficiencies are not taken into account for simplicity. Note that b -jet veto and m_T -cut are necessary to suppress events of SM electroweak backgrounds.

	SR1a	SR1b	SR2
# leptons	= 3 ($p_T > 10$ GeV)	= 3 ($p_T > 30$ GeV)	= 3 ($p_T > 10$ GeV)
# SFOS with $m_{\text{SFOS}} < 12$ GeV	= 0		
# SFOS with $m_{\text{SFOS}} > 12$ GeV	≥ 1		
$ m_{\text{SFOS}} - m_Z _{\min}$	> 10 GeV		< 10 GeV
# b -jets	0		any
$\cancel{E}_T$	> 75 GeV		> 120 GeV
m_T	any	> 110 GeV	> 110 GeV

Table 2. Definition of the SRs of the L-search [32]. SR2 targets events of the on-shell Z -boson production from decays of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$, while SR1a and SR1b are for $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decaying into leptons (or off-shell Z -bosons). SR1a and SR1b are inclusively defined. m_{SFOS} is the invariant mass of a pair of same-flavor opposite-sign leptons (SFOS), and m_T is defined as the transverse mass of the missing energy and the lepton that does not form the SFOS pair which minimizes $|m_{\text{SFOS}} - m_Z|$.

5 Results

The LHC constraints and the muon $g - 2$ are shown in figure 1. In the orange (yellow) regions, the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy (1.1) is explained by the SUSY contributions at the 1σ (2σ) level. The chargino-sneutrino contribution dominates in the figures (a), (b) and (c), where the parameters are set as $(\mu, m_R) = (M_2, 3 \text{ TeV})$, $(2M_2, 3 \text{ TeV})$ and $(0.5M_2, 3 \text{ TeV})$, respectively. It is found that the contribution is suppressed as the wino mass increases. On the other hand, the neutralino-smuon contribution dominates in the figure (d) with $(\mu, m_R) = (2 \text{ TeV}, 1.5m_L)$. The muon $g - 2$ is explained even with large M_2 , while the SUSY contribution decreases as the left- and right-handed slepton masses increase.

The LHC exclusion limits are displayed in figure 1 with the red-solid and blue-dotted lines at the 95% confidence level (CL). Including 30% errors of PDF and scale uncertainties, the limits distribute in the red/blue hatched regions. Assuming the approximate GUT relation, the regions left to the red lines are excluded by the J-search, and those to the blue lines are constrained by the L-search. If the gluino is decoupled, the red lines disappear and only the L-search provides a constraint, because the J-search targets the gluino pair-production. The bounds from the L-search with the approximate GUT relation are almost identical to those in the gluino decoupling limit. It is found that the SUSY searches at the LHC start to constrain the muon $g - 2$ (orange or yellow) regions.

The muon $g - 2$ regions are also constrained by cosmology. Noting that the bino mass is set to be a half of the wino mass, the sneutrino becomes the LSP below the black solid lines in figure 1. Such parameter regions are disfavored by the dark matter searches [42]. On the other hand, the LSP in the regions above the lines is the lightest neutralino. They are constrained by the J- and L-searches at the LHC.

Figure 1. Current LHC bounds on the SUSY $g - 2$ explanations. The orange (yellow) band shows the region where the SUSY contributions explain the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy at the 1σ (2σ) level. The dark gray regions in (a) and (c) are excluded by LEP searches for the neutralinos and charginos. The regions left to the blue dotted lines are excluded by the L-search. Assuming the approximate GUT relation for the gaugino masses, the regions left to the red lines are excluded by the J-search. These exclusions are at 95% CL, and the theoretical uncertainty of $\pm 30\%$ is included in the hatched regions. The LSP is the lightest neutralino in the regions above the black thick lines, while the sneutrino is lightest below them. Sleptons become lighter than neutralinos below the black dash-dotted or dashed lines (see the text for details).

The LHC constraints are understood as follows. The J-search targets the gluino pair-production. Among the 12 SRs defined in ref. [16], those with higher multiplicity of jets are relevant for the exclusion (see table 1). Some of the jets originate in the gluino decay

into charginos and neutralinos (4.1). Others come from decays of the SM bosons that are decay products of the charginos and neutralinos. Also, the processes are often associated with initial/final state radiations. Assuming the approximate GUT relation, the gluino mass is related to the wino mass, and the red lines are almost independent of the other model parameters in figure 1. The J-search limit $M_2 \gtrsim 280 \text{ GeV}$ means $M_3 \gtrsim 840 \text{ GeV}$, leading to $m_{\tilde{g}} \gtrsim 1.0 \text{ TeV}$, where $m_{\tilde{g}}$ is the gluino pole mass. This is almost the same as the constraint in the minimal supergravity models with heavy squarks [16]. If the ratio M_3/M_2 is varied, the exclusion lines shift correspondingly.

The L-search targets the electroweak superparticle production. In particular, the wino production is significant in the parameter regions in figure 1. The search works only when hard leptons are produced at the wino decay. In the regions below the black dash-dotted lines, where sleptons are lighter than the wino-like chargino/neutralino, the wino can decay into an on-shell slepton with a lepton, and the slepton produces another lepton when it decays. Then, the SR1b provides a tight constraint. Above the lines, the wino mainly decays into the SM bosons as long as the channels are open. In such regions, no limit is obtained by the L-search. The search becomes effective again if the mass splitting between the bino and the wino is small, where the wino decays into three-body final states, including leptons. Since M_1 is set to be $M_2/2$, the limit is determined by the wino mass, as can be seen from the vertical blue lines in figure 1. Here, the SR1a gives a limit. On the other hand, the search becomes less effective if sleptons are degenerate with the lightest neutralino, because the lepton at the slepton decay becomes soft. The charged slepton is degenerate with the lightest neutralino on the black dashed lines. Note that the regions below the black solid lines are disfavored by cosmology as discussed above. It is emphasized that the L-search constraints are determined by the superparticles that are relevant for the muon $g-2$.

In figures 1 (a)–(c), the muon $g-2$ regions are partly excluded by the J- and/or L-searches. Below the black dash-dotted lines, tight constraints are obtained by the latter. Above them, the limits are determined by the wino mass, i.e., the gluino mass for the J-search and the splitting between M_1 and M_2 for the L-search. Comparing the figures to each other, it is found that the muon $g-2$ regions with larger μ are easily detected at the LHC. As μ increases, M_2 is required to be smaller to explain the muon $g-2$. If the sleptons are lighter than the wino, a wide region of the 1σ parameter space of the muon $g-2$ is already excluded in (a) and (b). In contrast, almost all the muon $g-2$ regions are allowed by the LHC in (c). In particular, the lepton production is relatively suppressed for $\mu < M_2$ even below the black dash-dotted line, because the winos can decay into the Higgsinos, and the Higgsinos rarely produce leptons due to tiny Yukawa couplings. The sensitivity is slightly improved below the black dotted line in (c), where the sleptons are lighter than the second lightest neutralino. Since $\mu = M_1$, the second lightest neutralino does not decay into the SM bosons, but can produce the sleptons. Here, the SR1a provides a limit from the Higgsino direct production.

In figures 1 (a)–(c), m_R is set to be 3 TeV . Since the chargino-sneutrino contribution is insensitive to m_R , the muon $g-2$ regions do not change so much even if m_R is varied. The LHC constraints do not depend much on m_R either. Even when it is as low as m_L , the right-handed selectron and smuon are rarely produced in the cascade decays of the

wino and Higgsino, because they are singlet under the SM $SU(2)_L$ symmetry and have tiny Yukawa interactions. If the mass is too small, the right-handed slepton becomes the LSP, and thus, excluded by the cosmology.

The LHC constraints in figure 1 (d) are similar to those in (b), where the wino composes the second lightest neutralino. Above the black dash-dotted line, since sleptons are limited to be light by the muon $g - 2$, a large part of the 1σ region is already excluded by the J-search, while the L-search bound is determined similarly to (a)–(c). On the other hand, the muon $g - 2$ is explained even with large M_2 . Such a parameter region is challenging to be searched for at the LHC. (See also the discussion in the next section.)

In figure 1 (d), μ is set to be 2 TeV. As μ increases, the muon $g - 2$ is enhanced, and thus, larger M_1 is allowed. However, the upper limit on the slepton masses is not relaxed so much, as noticed from eq. (2.5). Moreover, if μ becomes too large, the electroweak vacuum can be destabilized on the smuon-Higgs plane. By naively scaling a bound on μ from the stau-Higgs stability condition [58], the limit is estimated as $|\mu| \lesssim 10$ TeV for $m_L = m_R = 200$ GeV and $\tan\beta = 40$. In contrast, the LHC constraints do not change, because the Higgsinos are decoupled. On the other hand, if μ is set to be smaller, the upper bound on the wino mass gets tightened, and the LHC detection becomes easier.

6 Future prospects

The LHC will be upgraded and run at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ or 14 TeV with the target luminosity of $\mathcal{O}(100)\text{fb}^{-1}$, and further upgrades are proposed to realize $\int\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1000)\text{fb}^{-1}$. There are several studies on future sensitivities of the SUSY searches. In this section, we discuss future searches for the SUSY parameter regions of the muon $g - 2$.

Multi-jet signature. Multi-jet searches are important for the approximate GUT relation on the gaugino masses. According to the future sensitivity in ref. [59] based on the minimal supergravity models, the gluino lighter than 1.8 TeV and 2.3 TeV can be discovered at the 14 TeV LHC with $\int\mathcal{L} = 300\text{fb}^{-1}$ and 3000fb^{-1} , respectively. These gluino masses correspond to $M_2 = 520$ GeV and 680 GeV under the approximate GUT relation, which means a large parameter regions in figure 1 can be covered. Since the sleptons are expected to be light particularly in a large wino mass region, signatures of multi-jets plus leptons may provide a better sensitivity than the expectations based on the minimal supergravity.

Multi-lepton signature. Multi-lepton searches works very well for the two cases: when sleptons are lighter than charginos and neutralinos, and when the wino mainly decays into three bodies. The former case is typically realized in the regions below the black dash-dotted lines with $M_2 \lesssim \mu$ as we saw in figures 1 (a), (b), and (d), where the multi-leptons are provided from the cascade decays of winos. The latter case corresponds to the left-most regions in (a)–(d), where the three-body decay provides the leptons. It is important to study future sensitivities of this channel.

$W + h$ signature from gaugino/Higgsino decays. If charginos and neutralinos are lighter than sleptons and can decay into the SM bosons, multi-lepton searches are

not promising, but rather, we should explore searches for the SM bosons from the gauginos and/or Higgsinos. For $W + h$ signature from gaugino pair-production, a study within the minimal supergravity framework in ref. [59] shows that the wino masses of 400 GeV and 900 GeV can be covered at the 14 TeV LHC with $\int \mathcal{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ and 3000 fb^{-1} , respectively, in one lepton plus multi-bottoms signature. With this kind of searches, most of the muon $g - 2$ regions above the black dash-dotted lines in figure 1 are expected to be examined at the LHC.

The $W + h$ signature is also expected from higgsino decays as long as Higgsino is heavier than, but not degenerate to, the LSP. They are produced by the s -channel exchange of a virtual electroweak gauge boson, and their production cross sections are comparable to those of the winos. Thus, the above sensitivity on the wino mass can be naively applied to the Higgsino mass.

$Z + Z$ or $h + h$ signature. It may be possible to discover charginos and neutralinos via the ZZ or hh channels with a large missing transverse energy. Since the bosons can be reconstructed by visible decay products or have sizable branching ratios of the bottom quark production, the events could be discriminated from the SM backgrounds. We need further studies to explore such possibilities.

Same-sign leptons. Very recently, the authors in ref. [60] studied the events with a same-sign lepton pair and no other leptons, obtained from same-sign W -bosons. They found that the signature is useful to search for the electroweak superparticle events. In our setup, this signature appears more frequently because the sleptons are lighter, and thus, may cover the muon $g - 2$ regions.

di-lepton channel search. Even with combining the above techniques, the bottom right corners of figures 1 (c) and (d) are still challenging. Future multi-lepton searches at the LHC may not be sensitive enough to cover the regions completely since M_2 is very large. Here, since sleptons are required to be light, di-lepton channels via the pair-production of sleptons might be promising. The search at the LHC is challenging because it suffers from huge SM backgrounds and their production cross sections are much smaller than those of the charginos and the neutralinos in this parameter region. The ILC is suitable to search for the di-lepton signatures.

So far, we considered two representative scenarios to explain the muon $g - 2$ discrepancy: with dominance of the chargino-sneutrino contribution, and of the pure-bino-smuon diagram. We have also set that the stau is heavy, and the LSP is within the MSSM. Let us touch on other possibilities.

We have imposed $M_1 = M_2/2$, but this is not mandatory. As long as M_1 is smaller than, but not degenerate to, M_2 , and the winos are produced at the LHC, the above conclusions and discussions hold valid. On the other hand, if M_2 is too large with M_1 fixed, the muon $g - 2$ regions disappear in figures 1 (a)–(c), whereas those in figure 1 (d) remain. Searches for di-lepton signatures are necessary as discussed above. For the case when $M_2 < M_1$, the muon $g - 2$ regions in figures 1 (a)–(c) does not depend much on M_1 , while the

LHC signatures are changed. Signatures from the cascade decays of binos are less promising than those of winos due to a smaller cross section of the bino production. Rather, signatures from the production of Higgsinos and sleptons are hopeful. Moreover, a disappearing track at the LHC is expected to be observed. The track comes from the charged wino, which is almost degenerate with the neutral wino and decays into a neutral wino with a soft pion [61].

So far, we investigated the parameter regions where the SUSY contribution to the muon $g - 2$ is dominated either by the chargino-sneutrino contribution (2.1) or the pure-bino-smuon contribution (2.5). Let us mention other possibilities. First of all, the contribution of eq. (2.2) cannot take dominance, since it is always smaller than that of eq. (2.1). Next, if the left-handed smuon is decoupled, only eq. (2.4) contributes to the muon $g - 2$, where the sign of $M_1\mu$ is favored to be negative. Lastly, eq. (2.3) becomes dominant if the wino and the right-handed smuon are decoupled. However, the contributions of eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) are quantitatively small as shown in eqs. (2.11) and (2.12), respectively. Thus, the superparticles must be very light, and the scenarios are expected to be examined by searching for the SM boson or di-lepton channels.

The staus and the tau sneutrino were supposed to be decoupled from the LHC phenomenology. If their masses are comparable to those of the selectron and smuon, physics becomes much involved. Attention must be paid to the vacuum stability conditions on the stau-Higgs plane especially when μ is large, i.e., in figure 1 (d) [37, 58]. The decay branching ratio of the Higgs boson into the di-photon may be affected in such parameter spaces (see the footnote 3). As for the direct searches for the superparticles, since a stau tends to be light due to a large left-right mixing in the stau mass matrix, taus are likely to be produced in the decay chains of the superparticles. Then the tau reconstruction becomes a central subject, which is one of the challenging topics of the LHC. Because of the rich phenomenology, future investigation of these scenarios is important.

Finally let us relax our restriction of the LSP. We focused on the neutralino LSP to avoid the cosmological constraints. However, they can be circumvented if the LSP is a superparticle which is not in the MSSM, such as the gravitino and the axino. In those cases, charged particles or sneutrino can be the LSP among the MSSM superparticles (MSSM-LSP), where the LHC signatures change correspondingly. If a sneutrino is the MSSM-LSP, hard leptons are less produced because the left-handed charged sleptons are degenerate to the sneutrinos. Although sneutrino decays into the LSP are not visible, the pair-production of the sleptons could be found by the mono-jet or mono-photon searches. In addition, this case might be checked by reconstructing soft particles that are produced by the quasi-degenerate charged slepton when it decays into the sneutrino. This search could be done at the ILC. On the other hand, when the MSSM-LSP is a charged slepton, it leaves a track in the detectors if it is long-lived, or generates a hard lepton when it decays. Finally, if the lightest neutralino is the MSSM-LSP and decays into the LSP in the detectors, the signature can be distinguished from the backgrounds by searching for events with multiple (displaced) photons. All of these possibilities and future sensitivities on them will be studied elsewhere.

7 Conclusion

SUSY not only solves the hierarchy problem between the Planck and electroweak scales, but also explain the $3\text{--}4\sigma$ deviation of the muon $g - 2$. If the deviation is actually due to SUSY, superparticles should have masses of $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV, whereas the Higgs boson mass of 126 GeV and the LHC results on the direct SUSY searches indicate that they exist in $\mathcal{O}(1\text{--}10)$ TeV. In this paper, we examined the parameter regions where the muon $g - 2$ is explained with squarks decoupled. The muon $g - 2$ anomaly is solved when electroweak superparticles are light and $\tan\beta = \mathcal{O}(10)$. They are searched for by the LHC J- and L-searches. We found that the wino is constrained to be heavier than 260–300 GeV by the J-search under the approximate GUT relation on the gaugino masses, and than 150–200 GeV by the L-search irrespectively of the gluino mass. In addition, if sleptons are produced by decays of charginos and neutralinos, the L-search provides a severe constraint, and the bound can be as tight as $M_2 \gtrsim 600$ GeV. Consequently, the muon $g - 2$ parameter space starts to be constrained by the LHC independently of details of the SUSY models.

We also discussed future prospects on the collider searches for the superparticles that are relevant for the muon $g - 2$. A wide parameter region is expected to be examined at the 13–14 TeV LHC. In particular, the SM boson channels of the electroweak superparticle decays such as the Wh production are promising for the study. However, the searches are challenging when the Higgsinos are degenerate with the lightest neutralino, or if both of the wino and the Higgsino are heavy. We need further studies to explore the whole parameter space where the muon $g - 2$ anomaly is solved.

The study in this paper is motivated by the muon $g - 2$ anomaly. The $\gtrsim 3\sigma$ deviation may originate in the uncertainties. Currently they are dominated by those from the measurement and from theoretical calculation of the hadronic contributions. The former will be improved by the experiments at Fermilab [62] and J-PARC [63]. The reduction of the uncertainty in the hadronic vacuum polarization contribution requires more experimental data for the cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$, e.g., at VEPP-2000 [64]. The evaluations of the hadronic light-by-light contribution have been improving (see ref. [65] for a recent review), and lattice calculations are in development [66–71]. If the anomaly is confirmed in future, SUSY is one of the most attractive candidates for the solution. This paper is a first step towards the LHC searches for the scenario, and we hope it is useful for further studies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 23740172 (M.E.), No. 21740164 (K.H.), No. 22244021 (K.H.) and No. 22-8132 (S.I.). The work of T.Y. was supported by an Advanced Leading Graduate Course for Photon Science grant.

Note added. One week after we submitted this manuscript to arXiv, the ATLAS collaboration updated their result on the “L-search”, i.e., SUSY searches in the channel with three leptons plus missing transverse energy, with the data of $\int \mathcal{L} = 20.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ [72]. They observed no excess above the standard model expectations, and provided tighter exclusion limits on models beyond the Standard Model than they did in ref. [32]. Our limit

in figure 1 is expected to be tightened, but the discussion and the conclusion are kept unchanged.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 1 [[arXiv:1207.7214](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] CMS collaboration, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 30 [[arXiv:1207.7235](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] MUON G-2 collaboration, G. Bennett et al., *Final report of the muon E821 anomalous magnetic moment measurement at BNL*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 072003 [[hep-ex/0602035](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] B.L. Roberts, *Status of the Fermilab muon $(g - 2)$ experiment*, *Chin. Phys. C* **34** (2010) 741 [[arXiv:1001.2898](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] K. Hagiwara, A. Martin, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, *Improved predictions for $g - 2$ of the muon and $\alpha_{\text{QED}}(M_Z^2)$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **649** (2007) 173 [[hep-ph/0611102](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] T. Teubner, K. Hagiwara, R. Liao, A. Martin and D. Nomura, *Update of $g - 2$ of the muon and delta alpha*, *Chin. Phys. C* **34** (2010) 728 [[arXiv:1001.5401](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] K. Hagiwara, R. Liao, A.D. Martin, D. Nomura and T. Teubner, *$(g - 2)_\mu$ and $\alpha(M_Z^2)$ re-evaluated using new precise data*, *J. Phys. G* **38** (2011) 085003 [[arXiv:1105.3149](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, G. Lopez Castro, B. Malaescu, X. Mo et al., *The discrepancy between τ and e^+e^- spectral functions revisited and the consequences for the muon magnetic anomaly*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **66** (2010) 127 [[arXiv:0906.5443](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu, C. Yuan and Z. Zhang, *Reevaluation of the hadronic contribution to the muon magnetic anomaly using new $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ cross section data from BABAR*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **66** (2010) 1 [[arXiv:0908.4300](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] M. Davier, A. Hoecker, B. Malaescu and Z. Zhang, *Reevaluation of the hadronic contributions to the muon $g - 2$ and to $\alpha(M_Z^2)$* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1515 [Erratum *ibid.* **C 72** (2012) 1874] [[arXiv:1010.4180](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] J. Prades, E. de Rafael and A. Vainshtein, *Hadronic light-by-light scattering contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment*, [arXiv:0901.0306](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] A. Czarnecki, W.J. Marciano and A. Vainshtein, *Refinements in electroweak contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 073006 [Erratum *ibid.* **D 73** (2006) 119901] [[hep-ph/0212229](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] J.L. Lopez, D.V. Nanopoulos and X. Wang, *Large $(g - 2)_\mu$ in $\text{SU}(5) \times \text{U}(1)$ supergravity models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 366 [[hep-ph/9308336](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [14] U. Chattopadhyay and P. Nath, *Probing supergravity grand unification in the Brookhaven $g - 2$ experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 1648 [[hep-ph/9507386](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] T. Moroi, *The muon anomalous magnetic dipole moment in the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 6565 [Erratum *ibid.* **D 56** (1997) 4424] [[hep-ph/9512396](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for squarks and gluinos with the ATLAS detector using final states with jets and missing transverse momentum and 5.8 fb^{-1} of $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ proton-proton collision data*, *ATLAS-CONF-2012-109*, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [17] CMS collaboration, *Search for supersymmetry in hadronic final states using MT2 in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$* , *JHEP* **10** (2012) 018 [[arXiv:1207.1798](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] CMS collaboration, *Search for new physics in the multijet and missing transverse momentum final state in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 171803 [[arXiv:1207.1898](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] Y. Okada, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, *Upper bound of the lightest Higgs boson mass in the minimal supersymmetric Standard Model*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **85** (1991) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] J.R. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, *Radiative corrections to the masses of supersymmetric Higgs bosons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **257** (1991) 83 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] H.E. Haber and R. Hempfling, *Can the mass of the lightest Higgs boson of the minimal supersymmetric model be larger than m_Z ?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 1815 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] J.R. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, *On radiative corrections to supersymmetric Higgs boson masses and their implications for LEP searches*, *Phys. Lett. B* **262** (1991) 477 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] Y. Okada, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, *Renormalization group analysis on the Higgs mass in the softly broken supersymmetric Standard Model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **262** (1991) 54 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, S. Iwamoto, K. Nakayama and N. Yokozaki, *Higgs mass and muon anomalous magnetic moment in the U(1) extended MSSM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 095006 [[arXiv:1112.6412](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, S. Iwamoto and N. Yokozaki, *Higgs mass and muon anomalous magnetic moment in supersymmetric models with vector-like matters*, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 075017 [[arXiv:1108.3071](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, S. Iwamoto and N. Yokozaki, *Higgs mass, muon $g - 2$ and LHC prospects in gauge mediation models with vector-like matters*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 095012 [[arXiv:1112.5653](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, S. Iwamoto and N. Yokozaki, *Vacuum stability bound on extended GMSB models*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 060 [[arXiv:1202.2751](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] M. Endo, K. Hamaguchi, K. Ishikawa, S. Iwamoto and N. Yokozaki, *Gauge mediation models with vectorlike matters at the LHC*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 181 [[arXiv:1212.3935](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] J.L. Evans, M. Ibe and T.T. Yanagida, *Relatively heavy Higgs boson in more generic gauge mediation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **705** (2011) 342 [[arXiv:1107.3006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] J.L. Evans, M. Ibe, S. Shirai and T.T. Yanagida, *A 125 GeV Higgs boson and muon $g - 2$ in more generic gauge mediation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 095004 [[arXiv:1201.2611](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [31] G.-C. Cho, K. Hagiwara, Y. Matsumoto and D. Nomura, *The MSSM confronts the precision electroweak data and the muon $g - 2$* , *JHEP* **11** (2011) 068 [[arXiv:1104.1769](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct production of charginos and neutralinos in events with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in 13.0 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector*, *ATLAS-CONF-2012-154*, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [33] CMS collaboration, *Search for direct EWK production of SUSY particles in multilepton modes with 8 TeV data*, *CMS-PAS-SUS-12-022*, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [34] M. Ibe, S. Matsumoto, T.T. Yanagida and N. Yokozaki, *Heavy squarks and light sleptons in gauge mediation — from the viewpoint of 125 GeV Higgs boson and muon $g - 2$* , *JHEP* **03** (2013) 078 [[arXiv:1210.3122](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] M. Carena, I. Low and C.E. Wagner, *Implications of a modified Higgs to diphoton decay width*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 060 [[arXiv:1206.1082](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] T. Kitahara, *Vacuum stability constraints on the enhancement of the $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ rate in the MSSM*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 021 [[arXiv:1208.4792](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M. Carena, S. Gori, I. Low, N.R. Shah and C.E. Wagner, *Vacuum stability and Higgs diphoton decays in the MSSM*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 114 [[arXiv:1211.6136](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] T. Kitahara and T. Yoshinaga, *Stau with large mass difference and enhancement of the Higgs to diphoton decay rate in the MSSM*, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 035 [[arXiv:1303.0461](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] M. Carena, S. Gori, N.R. Shah and C.E. Wagner, *A 125 GeV SM-like Higgs in the MSSM and the $\gamma\gamma$ rate*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 014 [[arXiv:1112.3336](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] J.-J. Cao, Z.-X. Heng, J.M. Yang, Y.-M. Zhang and J.-Y. Zhu, *A SM-like Higgs near 125 GeV in low energy SUSY: a comparative study for MSSM and NMSSM*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 086 [[arXiv:1202.5821](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] A. Kudo and M. Yamaguchi, *Inflation with low reheat temperature and cosmological constraint on stable charged massive particles*, *Phys. Lett. B* **516** (2001) 151 [[hep-ph/0103272](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] T. Falk, K.A. Olive and M. Srednicki, *Heavy sneutrinos as dark matter*, *Phys. Lett. B* **339** (1994) 248 [[hep-ph/9409270](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] B. Allanach, *SOFTSUSY: a program for calculating supersymmetric spectra*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **143** (2002) 305 [[hep-ph/0104145](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] A. Djouadi, M. Muhlleitner and M. Spira, *Decays of supersymmetric particles: the program SUSY-HIT (SUSpect-SdecaY-HDECAY-InTerface)*, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **38** (2007) 635 [[hep-ph/0609292](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026 [[hep-ph/0603175](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Monte Carlo tunes for MC09*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-002*, CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2010).
- [47] J. Pumplin, D. Stump, J. Huston, H. Lai, P.M. Nadolsky et al., *New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012 [[hep-ph/0201195](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [48] *Prospino 2.1 webpage*,
<http://www.thphys.uni-heidelberg.de/~plehn/index.php?show=prospino&visible=tools>.
- [49] W. Beenakker, R. Hopker, M. Spira and P. Zerwas, *Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **492** (1997) 51 [[hep-ph/9610490](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] S. Ovin, X. Rouby and V. Lemaitre, *DELPHES, a framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment*, [arXiv:0903.2225](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet user manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896 [[arXiv:1111.6097](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] M. Cacciari and G.P. Salam, *Dispelling the N^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder*, *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 57 [[hep-ph/0512210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the mistag rate with 5 fb^{-1} of data collected by the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2012-040](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [55] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the b -tag efficiency in a sample of jets containing muons with 5 fb^{-1} of data from the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2012-043](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2012).
- [56] ATLAS collaboration, *Electron performance measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2010 LHC proton-proton collision data*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1909 [[arXiv:1110.3174](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] ATLAS collaboration, *Muon reconstruction efficiency in reprocessed 2010 LHC proton-proton collision data recorded with the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2011-063](#), CERN, Geneva Switzerland (2011).
- [58] J. Hisano and S. Sugiyama, *Charge-breaking constraints on left-right mixing of stau's*, *Phys. Lett. B* **696** (2011) 92 [Erratum *ibid.* **B 719** (2013) 472] [[arXiv:1011.0260](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] H. Baer, V. Barger, A. Lessa and X. Tata, *Discovery potential for SUSY at a high luminosity upgrade of LHC14*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 117701 [[arXiv:1207.4846](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] H. Baer, V. Barger, P. Huang, D. Mickelson, A. Mustafayev et al., *Same sign diboson signature from supersymmetry models with light higgsinos at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 151801 [[arXiv:1302.5816](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct chargino production in anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking models based on a disappearing-track signature in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 131 [[arXiv:1210.2852](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] FERMILAB P989 collaboration, B. Lee Roberts, *The Fermilab muon ($g - 2$) project*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **218** (2011) 237 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] J-PARC NEW G-2/EDM EXPERIMENT collaboration, H. Iinuma, *New approach to the muon $g - 2$ and EDM experiment at J-PARC*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **295** (2011) 012032 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] Y.M. Shatunov et al., *Project of a new electron positron collider VEPP-2000*, in *Proceedings of the 7th European Particle Accelerator Conference*, J.L. Laclare et al. eds., *Conf. Proc. C* **0006262** (2000) 439 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] E. de Rafael, *Update of the electron and muon g -factors*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **234** (2013) 193 [[arXiv:1210.4705](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [66] T. Blum, *Lattice calculation of the lowest order hadronic contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 052001 [[hep-lat/0212018](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] QCDSF collaboration, M. Gockeler et al., *Vacuum polarization and hadronic contribution to muon $g - 2$ from lattice QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **688** (2004) 135 [[hep-lat/0312032](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] C. Aubin and T. Blum, *Calculating the hadronic vacuum polarization and leading hadronic contribution to the muon anomalous magnetic moment with improved staggered quarks*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 114502 [[hep-lat/0608011](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] X. Feng, K. Jansen, M. Petschlies and D.B. Renner, *Two-flavor QCD correction to lepton magnetic moments at leading-order in the electromagnetic coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 081802 [[arXiv:1103.4818](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] P. Boyle, L. Del Debbio, E. Kerrane and J. Zanotti, *Lattice determination of the hadronic contribution to the muon $g - 2$ using dynamical domain wall fermions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 074504 [[arXiv:1107.1497](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] M. Della Morte, B. Jager, A. Juttner and H. Wittig, *Towards a precise lattice determination of the leading hadronic contribution to $(g - 2)_\mu$* , *JHEP* **03** (2012) 055 [[arXiv:1112.2894](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for direct production of charginos and neutralinos in events with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in 21 fb⁻¹ of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [ATLAS-CONF-2013-035](#), CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2013).