We feel this case demonstrates that awake fiberoptic intubation can be used safely in patients with carcinoid syndrome, and that aprotinin still has a role to play in its acute management together with octreotide.

David J.A. Vaughan FRCA
Michael D. Brunner FRCA
Middlesex, England

REFERENCES

- 1 Veall GRQ, Peacock JE, Bax NDS, Reilly CS. Review of the anaesthetic management of 21 patients undergoing laparotomy for carcinoid syndrome. *Br J Anaesth* 1994; 72: 335-41.
- 2 Brunner MD. Carcinoid syndrome. In: Goldstone JC, Pollard BJ (Eds.). *Handbook of Clinical Anaesthesia*. London: Churchill Livingstone, 1996: 132-4.
- 3 Roy RC, Carter RF, Wright PD. Somatostatin, anaesthesia, and the carcinoid syndrome. Peri-operative administration of a somatostatin analogue to suppress carcinoid tumour activity. *Anaesthesia* 1987; 42: 627-32.
- 4 Ovassapian A, Krejcie TC, Telich SJ, Dykes MHM. Awake fiberoptic intubation in patients at high risk of aspiration. *Br J Anaesth* 1989; 62: 13-6.
- 5 Hutton P, Cooper G. *Guidelines in Clinical Anaesthesia*, 1st ed. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1985: 209-47.

Use and misuse of syringes

To the Editor:

Lessard and Trépanier ask why 60% of Canadian anaesthetists reuse at least some syringes. They present evidence suggesting that any reuse of syringes is misuse since it exposes patients to the risk of cross-infection. Correctly interpreting one of the reasons for reuse, i.e., wastage of drugs, they suggest that this can be minimised by modifications of technique. I suggest that this is a relatively unimportant reason for re-use. I suspect that there are at least two other reasons. First, the evidence of a high risk to patients is not compelling. The same data may be interpreted to suggest that the risk is low and can be made to approach zero with simple modifications of technique that are rigorously applied. Avoiding "old" *iv* infusions, using sets with remote injection ports (more than 1 m from the *iv* cannula) and functional one-way valves, using the opposite arm for BP measurements, and maintaining high index of suspicion for contamination are all simple adaptations.

The second reason, I suspect, is environmental responsibility. If the reuse of syringes by anaesthetists

is eliminated, there could be a 2-fold increase in the volume of contaminated waste generated by our specialty. Most contaminated medical waste ends up in land-fill sites of which every province has a diminishing supply.

I am not convinced that the answer is "use once and throw away." Surely there is an opportunity here to Reduce, Reuse and Recycle.

D. Imrie MBBS FRCA FRCPC
Halifax, Nova Scotia

REPLY

Dr. Imrie brings a surprising perspective to the issue. Although laudable, these ecological concerns must be weighted against patient safety. We believe that, given a choice, most of our patients would ask for a sterile set of syringes and accept the extra waste. Only patients sharing Imrie's convictions might accept potentially contaminated syringes. Patients should be informed that such working habits are not supported by neither the CDC, ASA, APSE, or Health Canada. We reiterate that "although the estimated risk of transmission of blood borne pathogens associated with the practice of reusing syringes in anaesthesia is low, it is real and not trivial" and that "the practice of reusing a syringe to administer medication to more than one patient is unacceptable and must be abandoned."¹

Martin Lessard MD FRCPC
Claude Trépanier MD FRCPC
Québec, Canada

REFERENCES

- 1 Lessard MR, Trépanier CA. Use and misuse of syringes in anaesthesia (Editorial). *Can Anaesth* 1997; 44: 793-7.

Transfusion practices among Mexican anaesthesiologists

To the Editor:

Because the practice of anaesthesia often involves the administration of blood in the perioperative period, we performed a survey to determine the transfusion practices among Mexican anaesthesiologists. A written questionnaire was given to every anaesthesiologist attending the major course organised in 1996 by the *Sociedad Mexicana de Anestesiología*. Of 669 questionnaires, 259 (38.7%) were returned. Questionnaires from residents, 45, and from respondents performing procedures only in children, 9, were excluded. We analysed 205 questionnaires expressing answers in relation to adult patient only. The majority, 69.8%, required patients to have a