a light surgical plane of anaesthesia has been reached" shows a poor grasp of their pharmacology. Muscle relaxants do not wait 120 sec before working. There is a gradual onset of muscle relaxation which reaches its maximum at around 120 sec for vecuronium. To give an awake infant this muscle relaxant and expect him to breathe sufficiently to deepen anaesthesia prior to intubation is unrealistic.

We routinely induce anaesthesia with an inhalational agent, such as halothane in 50% O₂/N₂O and know that it takes several minutes to achieve surgical anaesthesia.

We would expect inhalational induction to be impossible with the infant partially paralysed as he would not be able to breathe enough to inhale the anaesthetic.

So we can assume that the infants are being paralysed and intubated whilst awake. We would consider this ethically unacceptable as alternative methods of inducing and maintaining anaesthesia safely are available.

U. Hodges BScHons MBBS FCAnaes

E. Sumner MA BM BCh FFARCS

Anaesthetic Department

Great Ormond Street Hospital

Great Ormond Street

London WC1N 3JH

England.

REFERENCE

- 1 Warner LO, Martino JD, Davidson PJ, Beach, TP. Negative pressure pulmonary oedema: a potential hazard of muscle relaxants in awake infants. *Can J. Anaesth* 1990, 37: 580-3.

REPLY

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the letter by Drs. Hodges and Sumner, which is, we hope, an isolated case of misinterpretation of the modified inhalational induction technique utilized in our case report on negative pressure oedema referred to above.

It was not our intent to recommend the sequence of administering non-depolarizing muscle relaxants to awake infants, but merely to point out a potential serious problem (note to word "hazard" in the title) associated with it. Our discussion recounted most induction techniques in common use and stated that "an inhalational technique with gradually increasing concentrations of gaseous agents is preferred at our institution." The administration of non-depolarizing muscle relaxants at the beginning of an inhalational induction rather than after anaesthesia has been partially or totally induced is simply one variation, the object being to "facilitate control of the airway and ventilation during the early stages of induction" by allowing the insertion of an oral airway earlier than could otherwise be accomplished. At this time, gentle assistance of respiration can either be assumed or continued, and respiration controlled as paralysis sets in. (Obviously, a partially paralyzed infant will

need assistance in breathing!) When a light surgical plane of anaesthesia has been reached, intubating conditions should be favourable. If the technique is properly executed, the infants are not "being paralysed and intubated whilst awake." In our two cases, problems arose because of lack of recognition and correction of airway obstruction. As the different onset times of diaphragmatic paralysis and paralysis of muscles protecting the upper airway were not well-described we did not anticipate an airway problem developing within seconds after the administration of a non-depolarizing relaxant.

Actually, the above-described technique is seldom used at our institution, since most infants either do not present with an intravenous line in place, or are at risk for gastric aspiration, and thus are not candidates for this approach. As physicians in a teaching hospital, we feel an obligation to expose trainees to a variety of induction techniques.

It is unfortunate that our London colleagues chose to criticize an induction technique that they did not fully comprehend, while ignoring the broader educational aspects of the discussion.

Louise O. Warner MD

Department of Anesthesiology

Children's Hospital

700 Children's Drive

Columbus OH 43205

TURP syndrome

To the Editor:

I was pleased to read the excellent review article on TUR syndrome by Dr. Jensen in the *Journal* (*Can J Anaesth* 1991; 38: 90-7).

I would like to know if Dr. Jensen encountered any patients exhibiting aberrant symptoms during TUR. Over the past six years I have seen six patients who had TURP under tetracaine spinal with small doses of diazepam for sedation. Twenty minutes after start of resection the patients complained of "burning in the ears" (two also complained of burning on the face). They had no other complaints. I discussed this with the surgeon and he said that he had heard similar complaints which went on to a full blown TUR syndrome.

Blood samples were drawn and analyzed in two of the patients and were found to have serum sodium concentration of 120 mM · L⁻¹. All the patients were treated with 20 mg of furosemide. There were no further complications.

Chidambaram Ananthanarayan MD FRCP

University of Toronto

Department of Anaesthesia

Mount Sinai Hospital

REPLY

I wish to thank Dr. Ananthanarayan for introducing the topic of aberrant symptoms during transurethral resection