Leafwise hyperbolicity; a correction

JOHN CANTWELL* AND LAWRENCE CONLON**

In [1], a proof of the following theorem was proposed.

THEOREM 1. *Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a C^2 -foliated manifold of codimension 1, transversely orientable and such that M is compact, every leaf is proper, and $\mathcal{F}$ is tangent to ∂M . If no leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a torus or a sphere, then there is a Riemannian metric on M relative to which each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has constant curvature -1 .*

This theorem is correct, but there was an erroneous step in the proof, namely [1, Lemma (2.2)]. We are grateful to S. Matsumoto and N. Tsuchiya for pointing this out to us.

We fix the hypotheses of Theorem 1. A metric g with the property in that theorem will be called leafwise hyperbolic.

Let $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_k \subseteq M_{k+1} \subseteq \cdots$ denote the level filtration [2]. Each M_k is a compact, nonempty, $\mathcal{F}$ -saturated set, the leaves in $M_k \setminus M_{k-1}$ being the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ at level k . When all leaves are proper, it has become customary to use the term “depth” rather than “level”. Since all leaves are proper and the foliation is of class C^2 , every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has finite depth, hence $M = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M_k denote the union of leaves at depths at most k . Then there is a nest $W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_k \subseteq W_{k+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$, where W_k is an open neighborhood of M_k , and there is a Riemannian metric g_k on M such that $g_k|_{W_k}$ is leafwise hyperbolic for $\mathcal{F}|_{W_k}$, $\forall k \geq 0$.*

Theorem 1 follows. Indeed, $\{W_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is an open, nested cover of the compact manifold M , hence passing to a finite subcover yields a value of k for which $W_k = M$. It remains, then, to prove Proposition 1.

We fix a smooth, 1-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$, everywhere transverse to $\mathcal{F}$. Projections along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^{\perp}$ can be used to define local diffeomorphisms between leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

* Research partially supported by N.S.F. Contract DMS-8900127

** Research partially supported by N.S.F. Contract DMS-8822462

If $U \subseteq M$ is an open, connected, $\mathcal{F}$ -saturated set, we use the notations $\hat{U}, \hat{i}: \hat{U} \rightarrow M$, $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \hat{i}^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$, and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp = \hat{i}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^\perp)$ from [1], [2], *et al.*, for the completion of U , its natural immersion into M , and the induced foliations of $\hat{U}$, respectively. Recall that U and $\hat{U}$ are called *foliated products* if $\hat{U}$ is diffeomorphic to $L \times [0, 1]$ in such a way that the leaves of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ are the $[0, 1]$ -fibers. Recall that, if U is a foliated product, then $\hat{i}(\partial\hat{U})$ is either a single leaf or a pair of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

DEFINITION 1. A closed subset $X \subset M$ that is a finite union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ will be called a *skeleton* if each component of $M \setminus X$ is a foliated product. If k is the highest depth of the leaves in X , the skeleton has depth k . We will say that X (of depth k) is a *full skeleton* if, for each component U of $M \setminus X$, at least one of the following holds.

- (1) Every leaf L of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ has image $\hat{i}(L)$ at the same depth $k_0 \leq k$.
- (2) If $L \subset \partial\hat{U}$ is a boundary leaf, then $\hat{i}(L)$ is a leaf at depth k .

If X is a skeleton, it was proven in [1, (1.2)] that there is an open neighborhood $W \supset X$ and a Riemannian metric g on M such that $g|_W$ is leafwise hyperbolic for $\mathcal{F}|_W$. Furthermore, projection along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ defines local isometries between the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_W$. Finally, $\hat{U} \setminus \hat{i}^{-1}(W)$ is compact, for each component U of $M \setminus X$.

LEMMA 1. *If there is a full skeleton X of depth N , then there is a neighborhood $W_N \supset M_N$ and a Riemannian metric g_N on M which is leafwise hyperbolic on W_N .*

Proof. Let U be a component of $M \setminus X$. There are two cases, corresponding to possibilities (1) and (2) of Definition 1.

(1) In this case, the proof of [1, Lemma (2.1)] shows how to extend the metric smoothly over all of U so as to make the curvature of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_U$ constantly -1 . Indeed, the metric was already appropriately defined on all but a compact submanifold $A \times [0, 1] \subset \hat{U}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ induces the product foliation on this submanifold. A deformation argument, using the Teichmüller space of A , created the extension. (The error in [1] was to claim that, even in the second case, where the foliation of $A \times [0, 1]$ was not a product, the above metric on the product could be “tilted” to give a hyperbolic metric along the leaves.)

(2) We assume that the situation in (1) does not also occur. In this case, the argument is actually easier. Since M_N is compact [2, (4.6)], $\hat{i}^{-1}(M_N) \cap \hat{U} = L \times C$, where $C \subset [0, 1]$ is a closed subset containing $\{0, 1\}$. Since $U \setminus M_N \neq \emptyset$, $[0, 1] \setminus C$ has at least one component (a, b) . Let $a < a' < b' < b$. The metric g is already defined on $W \cap \hat{i}(\hat{U})$ in such a way that projections along $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ are local isometries between leaves. Using the projections $p^+: L \times (b', 1] \rightarrow L \times \{1\}$ and $p^-: L \times [0, a') \rightarrow$

$L \times \{0\}$, one lifts this metric smoothly to $L \times [0, a') \cup L \times (b', 1]$. This metric agrees with g wherever both are defined.

Finite repetition of this argument, as U ranges over the components of $M \setminus X$, completes the proof. $\square$

LEMMA 2. *For some integer $N \geq 0$, there exists a full skeleton of depth N .*

Proof. As in [1, (1.1)], one constructs a skeleton X . Let N be the depth of X . If X is not full, consider a component U of $M \setminus X$ with boundary component(s) at depth $k < N$. If every leaf of $\mathcal{F} \mid U$ is at depth k , there is nothing to do. Otherwise, there is a leaf $L \subset U$ at depth $k + 1 \leq N$. It is elementary that $X' = X \cup L$ is again a skeleton of depth N . If X' is not full repeat the process for X' . Finite repetition will ultimately produce a full skeleton of depth N . $\square$

For $0 \leq k \leq N$, we set $W_k = W_N$ and $g_k = g_N$. We also set $X = X_N$.

Each component U_i of $M \setminus X_N$ that has not been engulfed by W_N must contain a leaf L_i at depth $N + 1$. Throwing these finitely many leaves in with X_N provides a full skeleton X_{N+1} of depth $N + 1$. An application of Lemma 1 produces W_{N+1} and g_{N+1} as desired. It is not hard to see that W_{N+1} can be chosen to engulf W_N . Proceeding in this way, we construct the nest of open sets and the metrics as in Proposition 1.

REMARK. Projection along the leaves of $\mathcal{F}^\perp$ does not always define local isometries between the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. In the pieces $A \times [0, 1]$, where the metric is extended by a deformation in Teichmüller space, these projections will not be isometric. If it were possible to avoid introducing these regions, it would follow that the leafwise hyperbolic metric for $\mathcal{F}$ is a bundlelike metric for $\mathcal{F}^\perp$, hence that the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are totally geodesic in this metric. But totally geodesic foliations of compact 3-manifolds by surfaces are relatively rare.

REFERENCES

- [1] CANTWELL J. and CONLON L. *Leafwise hyperbolicity of proper foliations*. Comment. Math. Helv., 64 (1989) 329–337.
- [2] CANTWELL J. and CONLON L. *Poincaré-Bendixson theory for leaves of codimension one*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 265 (1981) 181–209.

St. Louis University
Department of Mathematics
Ritter Hall
St. Louis, MO 63103, USA

Washington University
Department of Mathematics
Campus Box 1146
St. Louis, MO 63130-4899, USA

Received October 20, 1990