Errata

Impact picture predictions for $\bar{p}p$ and pp elastic scattering at CERN collider, FNAL collider, LHC and SSC

C. Bourrely¹, J. Soffer¹, Tai Tsun Wu²

¹ Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France

² CERN CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland, and Gordon McKay Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Z. Phys. C – Particles and Fields 37 (1988) 369

In Table 3, the figures quoted for the imaginary part of the scattering amplitude do not include the Coulomb contribution. The first five values of the differential cross sections for each energy have to be changed by less than 3%.

We thank J. Pumplin for having checked our calculations and drawing our attention to some discrepancy.

An accurate, analytical description of the full multiplicity distribution for charged hadrons created in e^+e^- collisions at 35 GeV and 91 GeV

S. Barshay, P. Heiliger

III. Physikalisches Institut Technische Hochschule Aachen, W-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

Z. Phys. C – Particles and Fields 51 (1991) 399

In the added note, $(1+\Delta)$ should read $(1+2\Delta)$. In a recent publication, M. Suzuki et al.: Phys. Lett. B 268 (1991) 447, an attempt to mathematically justify a negative value for Δ , ends up in giving up the physical picture of fragmenting quark jets (their parameter $\xi=0$)!