ERRATA

In Nickerson, C. A., & McClelland, G. H. (1987). Beliefs and values and the sterilization decision. *Population and Environment*, 9, 74–95, these errata should be noted:

p. 87, 1st full paragraph, line 1: “cross (Figure 3)” should read “cross-classification (Figure 3)”

p. 93, 2nd full paragraph should read:

Similarly, many researchers using the Fishbein model to predict intentions for various behaviors suppose that belief and value items ought not to be included in their measurement instruments unless pilot studies have shown that these items discriminate between appropriate criterion groups (e.g., between respondents intending to use the pill and respondents intending not to use the pill). The elimination of such items, however, neglects to consider the fact that belief and value items that are separately nondiscriminating may nonetheless affect behavioral intentions because of their interactions (Fishbein & Raven, 1962). The present study demonstrated that knowledge of respondents’ contraceptive values did not predict their contraceptive choices until combined with knowledge of their contraceptive beliefs. The implication is that neither value nor belief items should be eliminated from measurement instruments simply because they do not discriminate between criterion groups.