criterion in the adapted scale, it is first necessary to change the corresponding requirements in the regulatory and technical documents. If the authors of the letter, as specialists in radiation protection, are convinced that the emissions designated in the INES scale as level 6 are not dangerous to the population of large cities, then they should direct their efforts to changing the corresponding regulatory and technical documents. Only after that can changes be made in the national scale.

In conclusion, let us note a mistake which occurred in the translation into Russian of the INES scale: the description of criterion 1 should read "below the level of the scale" rather than "off the scale" - these concepts are completely different.

ERRATA

In the article by G. P. Zaruchevskaya, L. M. Nosova, and V. M. Sedov, "Safety of Surface burial of Radioactive Waste," the first sentence of the paragraph before Eq. (1) on p. 385 should read:

"On the basis of the methodical approach to protective barrier parameter evaluation, the position is taken that burial site safety should be guaranteed, not by calculating the radionuclide dispersal into the surroundings, but by preventing this dispersal by limiting the migration zone to the dimensions of the burial pit shield barriers."

The unnumbered equation after Eq. (8) on p. 387 should read

$$\partial c / \partial t = q/v - [(w/v) + \lambda]c,$$