Erratum

Boundary Assessment Under Uncertainty: A Case Study

The deletion of an illustration from our manuscript during the review process unfortunately resulted in a few errors (Pawlowsky, Olea, and Davis, 1993). Fig. 8 in our paper shows water saturation, S_w , and should have been omitted. The correct Fig. 8 is given here and is a map of average porosity, as described in the original figure caption.

The two following figure captions also are incorrect. The captions should read:

Fig. 9. Contour map of average oil saturation obtained as the difference between a map S_w and a map of uniform values of 100. Contours in percent of pore volume.

Fig. 10. Contour map of average oil saturation expressed as percent of rock volume obtained as the product of Figs. 8 and 9.

REFERENCE

- Pawlowsky, V., Olea, R. A., and Davis, J. C., 1993, Boundary Assessment Under Uncertainty: A Case Study: *Math. Geol.*, v. 25, n. 2, p. 125-144.

Vera Pawlowsky
Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada III
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
Avda. Gran Capità, s/n
E-08034 Barcelona
Spain

Ricardo A. Olea and John C. Davis
Kansas Geological Survey
1930 Constant Avenue
Lawrence, Kansas 66047