Addenda and Corrigenda

Formal Semantics of a Class of High-Level Primitives for Coordinating Concurrent Processes

P. E. Lauer and R. H. Campbell

Acta Informatica 5, 297–332 (1975)

- p. 301 Line 17 from bottom
replace from “every elementary circuit of the net ...”
to end of sentence by
“it have exactly one marker on it”.

- p. 305 Line 5 of 3rd paragraph from top
delete “uniquely”.

- p. 307 Line 2 from top should be
“tain sequences ($S_o, \dots, S_n$)

$$\begin{array}{l} S_o = a_{o1} \dots; \dots a_{o2} \dots \\ \vdots \\ S_n = a_{n1} \dots; \dots a_{n2} \dots \end{array}$$

satisfying $a_{i2} = a_{\text{mod}_n(i+1)}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ ”.

- p. 308 The resulting multiple transition of TR6(c) should be labelled by “ x ”.

- p. 309 The footnote should have read:

Recently, H. Schmid [10] communicated to us his belief that some of our conjectures (namely C1 and C2) can be shown to be valid from recent results in Petri net theory by Commoner, Hack [3], Lautenbach [6, 11], Schmid and Best [12, 13].

11. Lautenbach, K., Schmid, H. A.: Use of Petri nets for proving correctness of concurrent process systems. Proc. IFIP Congress 74, Stockholm, pp. 187–191. Amsterdam: North-Holland 1974
12. Best, E., Schmid, H. A.: Systems of open paths in Petri nets. In: J. Bečvář (ed.), Mathematical foundations of computer science 1975. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 32. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1975
13. Schmid, H. A., Best, E.: A further step towards a solution of the liveness problem in Petri nets. Submitted at the Conference on Petri Nets and Related Methods, MIT, Boston, July 1975

- p. 311 R7 should be R5 on line 18 from bottom.

- p. 313 Line 3 from top: P10 should be P11, and classes and instantiations should be italics.

Line 4 from top: P11 should be P12.

Line 5 from top: P1–P11 should be P1–P12.

Line 17 from top: T4 should be C1.