Erratum: Androgyny and Sex-Typing: Differences in Beliefs Regarding Gender Polarity in Ratings of Ideal Men and Women (Vol. 9, No.4)

Karla S. McPherson¹ and Susan K. Spetrino²

The College of Wooster

Regarding the Spence and Helmreich entry in Table IV on page 449, it was erroneously printed that the *n* for males and females separately was 715 each. 715 should have appeared as the combined *n* for males and females. A corrected Table IV is reproduced on the following page.

¹ Correspondence should be sent to the first author, Department of Psychology, The College of Wooster, Wooster, Ohio 44691.

² Now at the University of North Carolina School of Law.

Table IV. A Comparison of Subjects' Sex-Role Categorization in Three Studies (Percent)^a

	Feminine	Androgynous	Masculine	Undifferentiated
Present study – short BSRI				
Women (<i>n</i> = 102)	68	21	6	6
Men (<i>n</i> = 98)	51	20	22	6
Bem (1977) – long BSRI ^b				
Women (<i>n</i> = 290)	34	29	16	20
Men (<i>n</i> = 375)	16	21	37	27
Spence and Helmreich (1978) – PAQ ^c				
Women	32	27	14	28
Men (<i>n</i> = 715)	8	32	34	25

^aFigures are rounded to the nearest whole percentage.^bAdapted from Bem (1977, Table 1, p. 198).^cAdapted from Spence and Helmreich (1978, Table 5-4, p. 53).