Erratum

The meaning of “fundamental preferences”

S.-C. Kolm

Institute for Advanced Studies in the Social Sciences, Paris, France

In: Soc Choice Welfare (1994) 11: 193–198

On page 193 of the above paper the “eudemonistic” in the first sentence was misspelled. The sentence should read: “We present the definition and meaning of “fundamental preferences” that are interpersonally comparable, ordinal and eudemonistic.”

In addition, the abstract was not separated from the first paragraph of the main text. The abstract should read as follows:

Abstract. We present the definition and meaning of “fundamental preferences” that are interpersonally comparable, ordinal and eudemonistic. We also dispel a number of misunderstandings concerning them.