

On Graphs Supported by Line Sets^{*}

Vida Dujmović¹, William Evans², Stephen Kobourov³, Giuseppe Liotta⁴,
Christophe Weibel⁵, and Stephen Wismath⁶

¹ School of Computer Science, Carleton University
cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~vida

² Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of British Columbia
www.cs.ubc.ca/~will

³ Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Arizona
www.cs.arizona.edu/~kobourov

⁴ Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Perugia
www.diei.unipg.it/~liotta

⁵ Dept. of Mathematics, McGill University
www.math.mcgill.ca/~weibel

⁶ Dept. of Computer Science, Univ. of Lethbridge
www.cs.uleth.ca/~wismath

Abstract. For a set S of n lines labeled from 1 to n , we say that S supports an n -vertex planar graph G if for every labeling from 1 to n of its vertices, G has a straight-line crossing-free drawing with each vertex drawn as a point on its associated line. It is known from previous work [4] that no set of n parallel lines supports all n -vertex planar graphs. We show that intersecting lines, even if they intersect at a common point, are more “powerful” than a set of parallel lines. In particular, we prove that every such set of lines supports outerpaths, lobsters, and squids, none of which are supported by any set of parallel lines. On the negative side, we prove that no set of n lines that intersect in a common point supports all n -vertex planar graphs. Finally, we show that there exists a set of n lines in general position that does not support all n -vertex planar graphs.

1 Introduction

We consider the effect of restricting the placement of vertices in a planar, straight-line, crossing-free embedding of a planar graph. Every vertex has an associate region of the plane where it can be placed. If each region is the whole plane then the regions support all planar graphs. If the regions are points then they fail to support even such a simple class of graphs as paths. Our interest is in what classes of planar graphs are supported by particular families of vertex regions. Specifically, in this paper we focus on vertex regions that are lines.

A set of segments is *crossing-free* if no two segments intersect in their interiors. A *vertex labeling* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a bijection $\pi : V \rightarrow [n]$. A set R of n regions

^{*} Research supported in part by: NSERC, MIUR under project AlgoDEEP prot. 2008TFBWL4. The research in this paper started during the *McGill/INRIA Workshop at Bellairs*. The authors thank the organizers and the other participants for useful discussions.

(subsets of \mathbb{R}^2) labeled from 1 to n supports a graph G with vertex labeling π if there exists a set of distinct points p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n such that p_i lies in region i for all i and the segments $\overline{p_{\pi(u)}p_{\pi(v)}}$ for $(u, v) \in E$ are crossing-free. The set R of n labeled regions supports a graph G if R supports G with vertex labeling π for every vertex labeling π . As an example of the use of this terminology, we show that every n -pinwheel (set of n labeled lines that share a common point) supports any n -squid (see definition below).

While we focus on embeddings that prescribe a specific region for each vertex, the problem is also interesting if each vertex may be placed in any one of the regions. In this variant, a set of regions R supports a graph $G = (V, E)$ without mapping if there exists a bijection π from V to R such that R supports G with vertex labeling π . Rosenstiehl and Tarjan [8] posed the question of whether there exists a point set of size n that supports without mapping all n -vertex planar graphs: a *universal* point set for all planar graphs. De Fraysseix *et al.* [3] resolved the question in the negative by presenting a set of n -vertex planar graphs that requires a point set of size $\Omega(n + \sqrt{n})$. For some classes of n -vertex planar graphs, universal point sets of size n have been found. In particular, Gritzmann *et al.* [6] showed that any set of n points in general position forms a universal point set for trees and indeed for all outerplanar graphs, for which Bose [2] gave an efficient drawing algorithm.

Embedding with mapping is an even more restricted version of the problem. For example, any set of n points supports without mapping all n -vertex paths. Whereas, for large enough n , no set of n points supports all n -vertex paths. For $n \geq 5$, every set of n points contains a subset of three collinear points or four points in convex position.¹ In both cases, it is easy to devise a vertex mapping of three (respectively four) consecutive vertices of any n -vertex path to that subset of points that forces an edge crossing.

If we remove the straight-line edge condition in this mapped setting, Pach and Wenger [7] showed that any set of n points supports all n -vertex planar graphs, however $\Omega(n)$ bends per edge may be necessary in any crossing-free drawing. Even if the mapping constraint is relaxed to just two colors: the red vertices must be mapped to any red point and the blue vertices to any blue point, Badent *et al.* [1] proved that $\Omega(n)$ bends per edge are sometimes necessary.

Estrella-Balderrama *et al.* [4] show that any set of n parallel lines supports exactly the class of *unlabeled level planar (ULP) graphs*. This class of graphs contains several sub-classes of trees (namely caterpillars, radius-2 stars and degree-3 spiders) [4] and a restricted set of graphs with cycles (such as generalized caterpillars) [5]. The simplest class of trees not supported by parallel lines is the class of lobsters.

We show that any set of n lines that intersect at a common point supports a larger sub-class of n -vertex trees than the ULP graphs. We further show that no set of n lines that intersect at a common point supports all n -vertex planar graphs. Whether such a set of lines supports all trees is a natural open question. We also show that there exists a set of n lines in general position that does not support all n -vertex planar graphs. Here, a set of lines is considered in general position if no two lines are parallel and no three lines intersect in a common point. The main open question remaining is whether there exists a set of lines in general position that supports all planar graphs.

¹ Eszter Klein's Happy Ending problem.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1. A *pinwheel* is an arrangement of n distinct lines that intersect the origin and are labeled from 1 to n in clockwise order. Each line in the pinwheel is called a *track*.

As the next lemma shows, pinwheels are an interesting family of line sets to consider when investigating whether more general families support planar graphs.

Lemma 1. Any class of graphs supported by every n -line pinwheel is also supported by every arrangement of n lines, no two of which are parallel.

Proof: Determine a circle that contains all line intersections. By scaling the arrangement down we can make the radius of this circle arbitrarily small, rendering it effectively into a pinwheel. ■

3 Graphs Supported by Arrangements of Lines

In this section we describe non-ULP families of planar graphs that are supported by every arrangement of lines, no two of which are parallel. We use pinwheels as supporting sets for the graphs in these families since by Lemma 1 the results will then apply to the more general arrangements. We begin by studying lobsters, then extend the result to squids and finally consider outerpaths.

- A *caterpillar* is a graph in which the removal of all degree one vertices and their incident edges results in a path. This path is called the *spine* of the graph.
- A *lobster* is a graph in which the removal of all degree one vertices and their incident edges results in a caterpillar.
- A *squid* is a subdivision of a lobster.
- An *outerpath* is an outerplanar graph whose weak dual is a path (where the weak dual is obtained from the dual by removing the vertex corresponding to the outerface and its adjacent edges).

Lemma 2. Every n -line pinwheel supports any n -vertex lobster.

Proof: Let L be a lobster with n vertices and spine vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k . We compute a straight-line embedding of L on any labeled n -pinwheel such that no two edges cross for any vertex labeling of L . We place the vertices in order of a preorder traversal of L , where L is considered as a tree rooted at v_1 and such that each spine vertex is the last descendant of its parent. At any step, there is a set of vertices not all of whose children have been drawn – call these the *active* vertices. We maintain the invariant that all active vertices can “see” the origin (*i.e.*, the segment from the embedded active vertex to the origin does not intersect a segment of the drawing). As a consequence, all active vertices can see an empty disk (*i.e.*, a disk that does not intersect a segment of the current drawing) of nonzero radius centered at the origin and intersecting every track twice; see Fig. 1. At each step, the current vertex is placed at one of the two intersection




Fig. 1. (a) A labeled lobster with spine vertices $v_1 = 1, v_2 = 8$. (b) An embedding using the algorithm. The dotted circles indicate the empty discs at each step.

points of its track and the boundary of the largest empty disk centered at the origin that is seen by all active vertices. The intersection point that is chosen is the one that is encountered first in counter-clockwise radial order from the track of its already-placed parent. (The first vertex, v_1 , is initially placed on its corresponding track at an arbitrary point that is not the origin.)

The correctness of the drawing algorithm is proved by induction on the length of the spine. While a spine vertex is active, only vertices at distance at most two from it are drawn. Since the radial distance between a vertex and its parent is less than 180 degrees, we maintain the invariant that each active vertex sees the origin. ■

Lemma 3. *Every n -line pinwheel supports any n -vertex squid.*

Proof: We extend the algorithm of Lemma 2 to the drawing of squids. A squid G' can be obtained from a lobster G by subdividing edges of G . For each vertex v created by subdividing an edge (u, w) of G , we define v 's *lobster parent* as the closer of u or w to the root v_1 . We draw the vertices in order of a preorder traversal of the graph. But at each step, the position chosen for a vertex is on the track that is encountered first in counter-clockwise radial order from the track of its lobster parent instead of its parent. As a result, the whole path obtained by subdividing an edge is drawn at the radial distance of at most 180 degrees from its lobster parent. As in the proof of Lemma 2, every active vertex can see the origin. ■

With similar techniques as those of Lemmas 2 and 3, the following can be proved.

Lemma 4. *Every n -line pinwheel supports any n -vertex outerpath.*

Lemmas 3, 4, and 1 imply the following.

Theorem 1. *Every arrangement of n lines, no two of which are parallel, supports any n -vertex squid and any n -vertex outerpath.*

4 Non-supporting Line Sets

In this section, we show that there is a labeled planar graph that is not supported by any pinwheel. We also show that there exists a family of n -line sets, where each set is in




Fig. 2. (a) Graph G_6 can be realized only if the origin of the pinwheel is contained in an internal face. (b) Graph G_6^3 consists of three copies of G_6 connected by three edges.

general position, that does not support all n -vertex planar graphs. Note that this does not rule out the possibility that some family of n -line sets in general position could support all n -vertex planar graphs.

Both arguments rely on graphs that use as a building block the graph G_6 in Fig. 2(a). It is not difficult to show that any straight-line, crossing-free embedding of G_6 with the given labeling requires that the origin of the pinwheel (with tracks labeled in clockwise order) is in an internal face. We prove a slightly stronger statement since we will need it in the proof of Theorem 3. For a set, S , of lines, no two of which are parallel, define the *core*, $C(S)$, of S to be the union of the intersections, finite edges, and bounded cells of the arrangement of S .

Lemma 5. *Let S be any set of lines, no two of which are parallel, labeled so that they intersect some line at infinity in the order 1,2,3,4,5,6. In any straight-line, crossing-free embedding of G_6 (labeled as in Fig. 2(a)) on S , the core $C(S)$ intersects some internal face of the embedding.*

Proof: Suppose for the sake of contradiction that the core $C(S)$ lies in the external face of some embedding of G_6 . Thus each vertex of G_6 lies on a half-line (of the arrangement of S) that does not intersect $C(S)$ and these six half-lines intersect a line at infinity in some order. Let us assume initially that this order is 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.

Consider edge $(1, 5)$ in the embedding of G_6 , and the line ℓ that contains edge $(1, 5)$. For any pair of vertices $a, b \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ of G_6 , if a and b are in the distinct half-planes bounded by ℓ then a does not see b , that is, the segment between a and b intersects edge $(1, 5)$. Since both 3 and 4 are adjacent to 2 in G_6 , all three of these vertices are in the same half-plane determined by ℓ . Moreover, 2 is contained inside of a triangle, T , determined by either 1, 3, 5 or 1, 4, 5. Since 6 is adjacent to 2 in G_6 , 6 is inside of T as well. However, line 6 does not intersect T , which provides a desired contradiction.

A similar argument holds for the other possible half-line orders. ■

To construct the graph that is not supported by any pinwheel, we make three copies of G_6 and label them so that the vertex labeled k in the original graph is labeled $6(i - 1) + k$ in the i th copy. Finally, the graph G_6^3 is created by connecting the three labeled copies of G_6 with the help of three additional edges, as shown in Fig. 2(b).

Theorem 2. *Planar graph G_6^3 is not supported by any pinwheel.*

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a straight-line, crossing-free drawing of the labeled graph G_6^3 on the pinwheel. By Lemma 5, each of the copies of G_6 can be realized crossing-free and with straight line edges only if the origin of the pinwheel is contained in an internal face. Without loss of generality, that implies that the first copy of G_6 is inside an internal face of the second copy of G_6 , and both are inside an internal face of the third copy of G_6 . That provides a desired contradiction, since the edge connecting the first copy with the third copy must cross some edge of the second copy. ■

We now turn our attention to lines in general position. One might hope that lines in general position (*i.e.*, no two lines are parallel and no three lines intersect in a common point) provide enough freedom in the placement of vertices to support any planar graph. We show that the general position assumption alone is not sufficient. Specifically, we can prove that there exists a family of n -line sets such that each set is in general position and not all planar graphs are supported by a line set in the family. Using a parabolic grid of n lines and a graph that contains multiple copies of G_6 we can obtain the following theorem (due to space constraints, we leave the proof out of this abstract):

Theorem 3. *For every $n \geq 24$, the parabolic grid on n lines does not support all n -vertex planar graphs.*

5 Conclusion and Open Problems

Whether there exists some set of n lines that does support all n -vertex planar graphs is a natural question that is still open. It is also not known whether pinwheels support all trees.

References

1. Badent, M., Giacomo, E.D., Liotta, G.: Drawing colored graphs on colored points. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 408(2-3), 129–142 (2008)
2. Bose, P.: On embedding an outer-planar graph in a point set. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* 23(3), 303–312 (2002)
3. de Fraysseix, H., Pach, J., Pollack, R.: How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica* 10(1), 41–51 (1990)
4. Estrella-Balderrama, A., Fowler, J.J., Kobourov, S.G.: Characterization of unlabeled level planar trees. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications* 42(7), 704–721 (2009)
5. Fowler, J.J., Kobourov, S.G.: Characterization of unlabeled level planar graphs. In: Hong, S.-H., Nishizeki, T., Quan, W. (eds.) *GD 2007*. LNCS, vol. 4875, Springer, Heidelberg (2008)
6. Gritzmann, P., Mohar, B., Pach, J., Pollack, R.: Embedding a planar triangulation with vertices at specified points. *American Math. Monthly* 98, 165–166 (1991)
7. Pach, J., Wenger, R.: Embedding planar graphs at fixed vertex locations. *Graphs and Combinatorics* 17, 717–728 (2001)
8. Rosenstiehl, P., Tarjan, R.E.: Rectilinear planar layouts and bipolar orientations of planar graphs. *Discrete Computational Geometry* 1(4), 343–353 (1986)