Image Thresholding via a Modified Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm

Yong Yang, Chongxun Zheng, and Pan Lin

Key Laboratory of Biomedical Information Engineering of Education Ministry,
Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, P. R. China
greatyyy765@sohu.com

Abstract. In this paper, a modified fuzzy c-means (FCM) algorithm named weighted fuzzy c-means (WFCM) algorithm for image thresholding is presented. The algorithm is developed by incorporating the spatial neighborhood information into the standard FCM clustering algorithm. The weight indicates the spatial influence of the neighboring pixels on the centre pixel, which is derived from the k-nearest neighbor (k-NN) algorithm and is modified in two aspects so as to improve its property in the WFCM algorithm. To speed up the algorithm, the iteration in FCM algorithm is carried out with the statistical gray level histogram of image instead of the conventional whole data of image. The performance of the algorithm is compared with those of an existing fuzzy thresholding algorithm and widely applied between variance and entropy methods. Experimental results on both synthetic and real images are given to demonstrate the proposed algorithm is effective and efficient. In addition, due to the neighborhood model, our method is more tolerant to noise.

1 Introduction

Thresholding is an important technique for image segmentation based on the assumption that the objects can be distinguished and extracted from the background by their gray levels. The output of the thresholding operation is a binary image whose gray level 0 (black) will indicate the foreground and gray level 255 (white) will indicate the background, and vice versa. Many thresholding methods have been developed. A detailed survey can be found in references [1] and [2]. In general, threshold selection can be categorized into two classes, local methods and global methods. The global thresholding methods segment an entire image with a single threshold using the gray level histogram of image, while the local methods partition the given image into a number of sub-images and select a threshold for each of sub-images. The global thresholding techniques are easy to implement and computationally less involved, therefore they are superior to local methods in terms of many real image processing applications. The global thresholding methods select the threshold based on different criterions, such as Otsu's method [3], minimum error thresholding [4], and entropic method which was first proposed by Pun [5] and then modified and extended by Kapur et al. [6], etc.

Generally, all the above conventional one-dimensional (1D) histogram thresholding techniques work well when the two consecutive gray levels of the image are dis-

tinct. However, all these 1D thresholding techniques did not combine the spatial information and the gray-level information of the pixels into the process for image segmentation. This drawback will lead to serious misclassification in the case of image thresholding, for the data in the image are inherently correlated. In addition, when the image is interrupted by noise and other artifacts the performance of these thresholding techniques will be poor or even fail.

Another important issue for image thresholding is that in real life situations great deals of images are ambiguous and usually have indistinguishable histogram. In these cases, the above classical thresholding techniques can not easy to find a criterion of similarity or closeness for thresholding. Since the fuzzy set theory was introduced, it has become a powerful tool to tackle this difficulty in image thresholding. Fuzzy set theory has been successfully applied to image thresholding to partition the image space into meaningful regions [7], [8], [9]. However, all the mentioned algorithms still do not include the contextual information in image thresholding.

In this paper, we proposed a new global image thresholding technique named weighted fuzzy c-means (WFCM) algorithm. It is formulated by incorporating the spatial neighboring information into the FCM algorithm. Experimental results support its effectiveness and efficiency.

2 Fast Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm

The Fuzzy C-means (FCM) algorithm is an iterative clustering method that produces an optimal c partition, which minimizes the weighted within group sum of squared error objective function $J_m(U, V)$ [10]:

$$J_m(U, V) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^c (u_{ik})^m d^2(x_k, v_i) \quad (1)$$

where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq R^p$, n is the number of data items, c is the number of clusters with $2 \leq c < n$, u_{ik} is the degree of membership of x_k in the i^{th} cluster, m is a weighting exponent on each fuzzy membership, v_i is the prototype of the centre of cluster i , $d^2(x_k, v_i)$ is a distance measure between object x_k and cluster centre v_i . A solution of the object function J_m can be obtained via an iterative process, which is carried as follows:

$$v_i^{(b)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (u_{ik}^{(b)})^m x_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n (u_{ik}^{(b)})^m} \quad (2)$$

$$u_{ik}^{(b+1)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^c \left(\frac{d_{ik}}{d_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}} \quad (3)$$

where b is the loop counter. Since FCM algorithm is an iterative operation, it is very time consuming which makes the algorithm impractical used in image segmentation. To cope with this problem, the statistical gray level histogram of image is applied to the algorithm. Define the non-negative integrate set $G = \{L_{\min}, L_{\min}+1, \dots, L_{\max}\}$ as gray level, where $L_{\min}$ is the minimum gray level, $L_{\max}$ is the maximum gray level, so the grayscale is $L_{\max} - L_{\min}$. For image size $S \times T$, at point (s, t) , $f(s, t)$ is the gray value with $0 \leq s \leq S-1$, $0 \leq t \leq T-1$. Let $His(g)$ denote the number of pixels having gray level g , $g \in G$. The statistical histogram function is as follows:

$$His(g) = \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \delta(f(s, t) - g) \quad (4)$$

where $g = \{L_{\min}, L_{\min}+1, \dots, L_{\max}\}$, $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(g \neq 0) = 0$. With the statistical gray level histogram the membership function is still by (3), while the cluster centers are updated by:

$$v_i^{(b)} = \frac{\sum_{g=L_{\min}}^{L_{\max}} (u_{ig}^{(b)})^m His(g)g}{\sum_{g=L_{\min}}^{L_{\max}} (u_{ig}^{(b)})^m His(g)} \quad (5)$$

It is important to note that k in (3) now denotes the gray level as g . Since the FCM algorithm now only operates on the histogram of the image, it is faster than the conventional version which processes the whole data.

3 Weighted Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm

The general principle of the techniques presented in this paper is to incorporate the neighborhood information into the FCM algorithm. Since in the standard FCM algorithm for a pixel $x_k \in I$ where I is the image, the clustering of x_k with class i only depends on the membership value u_{ik} , if we consider a noisy image, the FCM does not have a method to overcome this problem. Considering the influence of the neighboring pixels on the central pixel, the fuzzy membership function given in (3) can be extended to

$$u_{ik}^* = u_{ik} p_{ik} \quad (6)$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, n is the number of image data, and p_{ik} is the probability of data point k belonging to cluster i , further referred to as weight in this paper which can be determined by the following neighborhood model. Therefore the degrees of membership u_{ik}^* and the cluster centers v_i are now updated via:

$$u_{ik}^* = \frac{p_{ik}}{\sum_{j=1}^c \left(\frac{d_{ik}}{d_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}} \quad (7)$$

$$v_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (u_{ik}^*)^m x_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n (u_{ik}^*)^m} \quad (8)$$

The core idea now is to define the auxiliary weight variable p_{ik} , which is a priori information to guide the outcome of the clustering process. This paper proposes a method for determining the weight based on the neighborhood information inspired from k-nearest neighbor (k-NN) algorithm [11].

$$p_{ik} = \frac{\sum_{x_n \in N_k^i} \frac{1}{d^2(x_n - k)}}{\sum_{x_n \in N_k} \frac{1}{d^2(x_n - k)}} \quad (9)$$

where N_k is the data set of the nearest neighbors of central pixel k , and N_k^i is the subset of N_k composed of the data belonging to class i . In order to give an appropriate method to describe the probability of a data point belonging to any cluster, two improved implementations of the k-NN algorithm are introduced. First, the equation (9) is extended by considering the potential function of each feature vector [12].

$$K(x, x_k) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \|x - x_k\|^2} \quad (10)$$

where α is a positive constant, and $\|x - x_k\|^2$ is the norm of the vector $(x - x_k)$. Then the potential is modified by assigning the proximity of feature vector to each prototype instead of the potential for feature vector to feature vector. Hence the new equation for the weight value is defined as:

$$p_{ik} = \frac{\sum_{x_n \in N_k^i} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \cdot d^2(x_n - v_i)}}{\sum_{x_n \in N_k} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \cdot d^2(x_n - v_i)}} \quad (11)$$

where v_i is the prototype of cluster i . After the a-priori weight is determined, a new iteration step starts with this auxiliary variable p_{ik} . To prevent that the WFCM gets trapped in a local minima, the WFCM algorithm is initialized with the above fast FCM algorithm. Once the FCM is stopped, the WFCM algorithm continues with the values for the prototypes and membership values obtained from the fast FCM algorithm. When the algorithm has converged, a defuzzification process then takes place

in order to convert the fuzzy partition matrix U to a crisp partition. A number of methods have been developed to defuzzify the partition matrix U , in which the maximum membership procedure is the most important. The procedure assigns object k to the class C with the highest membership:

$$C_k = \arg_i \{ \max(u_{ik}) \}, i = 1, 2, \dots, c. \quad (12)$$

With this procedure, the fuzzy images are then converted to crisp image. For image thresholding, $c = 2$ in equation (12). We call this method is a soft thresholding scheme contrary to conventional hard threshold scheme which has been proven to be associated with loss of structure details on thresholding [7]. Although Jawahar et al. [7] has proposed a fuzzy thresholding method with FCM algorithm by finding the hard threshold in the intersection of both membership distributions (see equation (10) in [7]), it is easily verified that this technique is almost equivalent to thresholding the image using the maximum membership procedure.

4 Experimental Results

In this section, the results of the application of the WFCM algorithm are presented. The performance of the proposed method is compared with fuzzy thresholding method introduced by Jawahar et al. (see Section 3) and two well-known thresholding methods, including Otsu and Kapur et al. algorithms. For all cases, unless otherwise stated, the weighting exponent $m = 2.0$ and $\varepsilon = 0.0001$ in the FCM algorithm. We tried several values for α and found that a value of $\alpha = 1$ gives a convenient result. A 3×3 window of image pixels is considered in this paper, thus the spatial influence on the centre pixel is through its 8-neighborhood pixels. All the algorithms are coded in Microsoft Visual C++ Version 6.0 and are run on a 1.7GHz Pentium IV personal computer with a memory of 256 MB. Since we use the fast FCM algorithm, all the algorithms are implemented within 1 seconds.

In the first example, we generate a synthetic image with gray levels 0 and 255 for background and foreground respectively. The image was then corrupted by additive Gaussian noise such that the $SNR = 5$. Fig. 1 (a) is the original image and Fig. 1(b) is the degraded noisy images. Fig.1 (f) shows the result of proposed method. The results of Jawahar's method, Otsu's method and Kapur's method are displayed in Fig. 1 (c), (d) and (e), respectively. The results show the proposed method is an effective method and outperforms the other methods in the noisy situation. The number of misclassified pixels for different thresholding methods is counted during the experiments and is listed in Table 1. It can be seen from Table 1. that the total number of misclassification pixels for the proposed method is the least in the four different methods, and the total misclassification number for Jawahar's method, Otsu's method and Kapur's method is nearly the same which is about 18 times than that of the proposed method.

The second example is a T1-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) image as shown in Fig2 (a). Since the MR scanners usually produces normally distributed white noise [13], in order to extract the head from the background, the noise should be removed firstly that is often the first stage for segmentation of MR images. The results of

Fig. 1. Results of thresholding: (a) the original image; (b) noisy image with SNR=5; (c) by the Jawahar's method; (d) by the Otsu's method; (e) by the Kapur's method; (f) by the proposed method

Table 1. Number of misclassified pixels

Methods	Jawahar	Otsu	Kapur	Our mehtod
Foreground	61	83	141	0
Background	118	96	39	10
Total	179	179	180	10

thresholding by applying the different algorithms to the image appear in Fig. 2 (b)-(e). From these images, we can see that the Kapur's method was unable to correctly threshold the image. The Jawahar's method, Otsu's method and our method can extract the head from background, however with the first two methods some noise still exists especially in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of the image.

In the last example, there is a standard test image named *camerman*, which is illustrated in Fig.3 (a). The result of the proposed method is presented in Fig.3 (e). The results for comparison are given in Fig.3 (b)-(d). As can be seen, the Jawahar's method and Otsu's method give almost the same result, while the Kapur's method can not accurately extract the object from the background as in the second example. However, it can be seen the proposed method performs best for segmenting the object from background with least spurious components and noise.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we present a novel approach for image thresholding based on a modified FCM algorithm, i.e., WFCM algorithm. The method not only takes into account of the advantage of the fuzzy framework, but also considers the spatial relation

Fig. 2. Results of thresholding: (a) the original image; (b) by the Jawahar's method; (c) by the Otsu's method; (d) by the Kapur's method; (e) by the proposed method

Fig. 3. Results of thresholding: (a) the original image; (b) by the Jawahar's method; (c) by the Otsu's method; (d) by the Kapur's method; (e) by the proposed method

among pixels. The weight plays a key role in this algorithm, which is derived from k-NN algorithm and is modified to improve its property in the WFCM algorithm. The performance of the proposed method is compared with those of the Otsu's method, Kapur's method, and a fuzzy thresholding method proposed by Jawahar et al. Experiments with synthetic and real image show that WFCM algorithm can effectively extract object from background. Since the algorithm is initialized with fast FCM

algorithm, the presented approach is as fast as the conventional 1D techniques. Also, owing to the incorporation of spatial information, the WFCM algorithm is less prone to noise. In fact, if the result of thresholding is an image with two gray values, the process can also be called bilevel segmentation. Future work will extend the algorithm to multi-level thresholding or segmentation.

References

1. S. K. Fu and J. K. Mu: A survey on image segmentation. *Pattern Recognit.*, Vol. 13, (1981) 3–16.
2. P. K. Sahoo, S. Soltani, and A. K. C. Wong: A survey of thresholding techniques. *Comput. Vis. Graph. Image Process.*, Vol. 41, (1988) 233–260.
3. N. Otsu: A threshold selection method from gray level histogram. *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.*, Vol. SMC-9, (1979) 62–66.
4. J. Kittle and J. Illingworth: Minimum error thresholding. *Pattern Recognit.*, Vol.19, (1986) 41–47.
5. T. Pun: A new method for gray level picture thresholding using the entropy of the histogram. *Signal Process.*, Vol. 2, (1980) 223–237.
6. J. N. Kapur, P. K. Sahoo, and A. K. C. Wong: A new method for gray level picture thresholding using the entropy of the histogram. *Comput. Vis. Graph. Image Process.*, Vol. 29, (1985) 273–285.
7. C. V. Jawahar, P. K. Biswas, and A. K. Ray: Investigations on fuzzy thresholding based on fuzzy clustering. *Pattern Recognit.*, Vol. 30, (1997)1605–1613.
8. H. D. Cheng, J. Chen, and J. Li: Thresholding selection based on fuzzy c-partition entropy approach. *Pattern Recognit.*, Vol. 31, (1998) 857–870.
9. M. S. Zhao, A. M. N. Fu, and H. Yan: A technique of three level thresholding based on probability partition and fuzzy 3-partition. *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, Vol. 9, (2001) 469–479.
10. J. C. Bezdek: *Pattern Recognition with Fuzzy Objective Function Algorithms*. Plenum Press, New York, 1981.
11. T. M. Cover and P. E. Hart: Nearest neighbor pattern classification. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. IT-13, (1967) 21–27.
12. T. Tou Julius: *Pattern Recognition Principles*. Addison–Wesley Company, 1974.
13. W. A. Edelstein, P. A. Bottomley, and L. M. Pfeifer: A signal-to-noise calibration procedure for NMR imaging systems. *Med. Phys.*, Vol.11, (1984) 180–185.