A Ramsey-Type Result for Geometric ℓ -Hypergraphs

Dhruv Mubayi^{1,*} and Andrew Suk^{2,**}

¹ University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL
mubayi@math.uic.edu

² Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA
asuk@math.mit.edu

Abstract. Let $n \geq \ell \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$. We consider the minimum N such that whenever we have N points in the plane in general position and the ℓ -subsets of these points are colored with q colors, there is a subset S of n points all of whose ℓ -subsets have the same color and furthermore S is in convex position. This combines two classical areas of intense study over the last 75 years: the Ramsey problem for hypergraphs and the Erdős-Szekeres theorem on convex configurations in the plane. For the special case $\ell = 2$, we establish a single exponential bound on the minimum N such that every complete N -vertex geometric graph whose edges are colored with q colors, yields a monochromatic convex geometric graph on n vertices.

For fixed $\ell \geq 2$ and $q \geq 4$, our results determine the correct exponential tower growth rate for N as a function of n , similar to the usual hypergraph Ramsey problem, even though we require our monochromatic set to be in convex position. Our results also apply to the case of $\ell = 3$ and $q = 2$ by using a geometric variation of the Stepping-up lemma of Erdős and Hajnal. This is in contrast to the fact that the upper and lower bounds for the usual 3-uniform hypergraph Ramsey problem for two colors differ by one exponential in the tower.

1 Introduction

The classic 1935 paper of Erdős and Szekeres [12] entitled *A Combinatorial Problem in Geometry* was the starting point of a very rich discipline within combinatorics: Ramsey theory (see, e.g., [15]). The term *Ramsey theory* refers to a large body of deep results in mathematics which have a common theme: “Every large system contains a large well-organized subsystem.” Motivated by the observation that any five points in the plane in general position¹ must contain four members in convex position, Esther Klein asked the following.

Problem 1. For every integer $n \geq 2$, determine the minimum $f(n)$ such that any set of $f(n)$ points in the plane in general position contains n members in convex position.

* Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0969092 and DMS-1300138.

** Supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship and by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021-125287/1.

¹ A planar point set P is in *general position* if no three members are collinear.

Celebrated results of Erdős and Szekeres [12,13] imply that

$$2^{n-1} + 1 \leq f(n) \leq \binom{2n-4}{n-2} = 2^{2n(1+o(1))}. \quad (1)$$

They conjectured that $f(n) = 2^{n-1} + 1$, and Erdős offered a \$500 reward for a proof. Despite much attention over the last 75 years, the constant factors in the exponents have not been improved.

In the same paper, Erdős and Szekeres [12] gave another proof of a classic result due to Ramsey [23] on hypergraphs. An ℓ -uniform hypergraph H is a pair (V, E) , where V is the vertex set and $E \subset \binom{V}{\ell}$ is the set of edges. We denote $K_n^\ell = (V, E)$ to be the complete ℓ -uniform hypergraph on an n -element set V , where $E = \binom{V}{\ell}$. When $\ell = 2$, we write $K_n^2 = K_n$. Motivated by obtaining good quantitative bounds on $f(n)$, Erdős and Szekeres looked at the following problem.

Problem 2. For every integer $n \geq 2$, determine the minimum integer $r(K_n, K_n)$ such that any two-coloring on the edges of a complete graph G on $r(K_n, K_n)$ vertices yields a monochromatic copy of K_n .

Erdős and Szekeres [12] showed that $r(K_n, K_n) \leq 2^{2n}$. Later, Erdős [9] gave a construction showing that $r(K_n, K_n) > 2^{n/2}$. Despite much attention over the last 65 years, the constant factors in the exponents have not been improved.

Generalizing Problem 2 to q -color and ℓ -uniform hypergraphs has also be studied extensively. Let $r(K_n^\ell; q)$ be the least integer N such that any q -coloring on the edges of a complete N -vertex ℓ -uniform hypergraph H yields a monochromatic copy of K_n^ℓ . We will also write

$$r(K_n^\ell; q) = r(\underbrace{K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell, \dots, K_n^\ell}_{q \text{ times}}).$$

Erdős et al. [10,11] showed that there are positive constants c and c' such that

$$2^{cn^2} < r(K_n^3, K_n^3) < 2^{2^{c'n}}. \quad (2)$$

They also conjectured that $r(K_n^3, K_n^3) > 2^{2^{cn}}$ for some constant $c > 0$, and Erdős offered a \$500 reward for a proof. For $\ell \geq 4$, there is also a difference of one exponential between the known upper and lower bounds for $r(K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell)$, namely,

$$\text{twr}_{\ell-1}(cn^2) \leq r(K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(c'n), \quad (3)$$

where c and c' depend only on ℓ , and the tower function $\text{twr}_\ell(x)$ is defined by $\text{twr}_1(x) = x$ and $\text{twr}_{i+1} = 2^{\text{twr}_i(x)}$. As Erdős and Rado [11] have shown, the upper bound in equation (3) easily generalizes to q colors, implying that $r(K_n^\ell; q) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(c'n)$, where $c' = c'(\ell, q)$. On the other hand, for $q \geq 4$ colors, Erdős and Hajnal (see [15]) showed that $r(K_n^\ell; q)$ does indeed grow as a ℓ -fold exponential tower in n , proving that $r(K_n^\ell; q) = \text{twr}_\ell(\Theta(n))$. For $q = 3$ colors, Conlon et al. [6] modified the construction of Erdős and Hajnal to show that $r(K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell) > \text{twr}_\ell(c \log^2 n)$.

Interestingly, both Problems 1 and 2 can be asked simultaneously for geometric graphs, and a similar-type problem can be asked for geometric ℓ -hypergraphs. A *geometric ℓ -hypergraph H in the plane* is a pair (V, E) , where V is a set of points in the plane in general position, and $E \subset \binom{V}{\ell}$ is a collection of ℓ -tuples from V . When $\ell = 2$ ($\ell = 3$), edges are represented by straight line segments (triangles) induced by the corresponding vertices. The sets V and E are called the *vertex set* and *edge set* of H , respectively. A geometric hypergraph H is *convex*, if its vertices are in convex position.

Geometric graphs ($\ell = 2$) have been studied extensively, due to their wide range of applications in combinatorial and computational geometry (see [17,18,22]). Complete convex geometric graphs are very well understood, and are some of the most *well-organized* geometric graphs (if not the most). Many long-standing problems on complete geometric graphs, such as its crossing number [2], number of halving-edges [26], and size of crossing families [3], become trivial when its vertices are in convex position. There has also been a lot of research on geometric 3-hypergraphs in the plane, due to its connection to the *k-set problem* in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see [7,21,24]). In this paper, we study the following problem which combines Problems 1 and 2.

Problem 3. Determine the minimum integer $g(K_n^\ell; q)$ such that any q -coloring of the edges of a complete geometric ℓ -hypergraph H on $g(K_n^\ell; q)$ vertices yields a complete monochromatic convex ℓ -hypergraph on n vertices.

We will also write

$$g(K_n^\ell; q) = g(\underbrace{K_n^\ell, \dots, K_n^\ell}_{q \text{ times}}).$$

Clearly we have $g(K_n^\ell; q) \geq \max\{r(K_n^\ell; q), f(n)\}$. An easy observation shows that by combining equations (1) and (3), we also have

$$g(K_n^\ell; q) \leq f(r(K_n^\ell; q)) \leq \text{twr}_{\ell+1}(cn),$$

where $c = c(\ell, q)$. Our main results are the following two exponential improvements on the upper bound of $g(K_n^\ell; q)$.

Theorem 1. *For geometric graphs, we have*

$$2^{q(n-1)} < g(K_n; q) \leq 2^{8qn^2 \log(qn)}.$$

The argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 above extends easily to hypergraphs, and for each fixed $\ell \geq 3$ it gives the bound $g(K_n^\ell; q) < \text{twr}_\ell(O(n^2))$. David Conlon pointed out to us that one can improve this slightly as follows.

Theorem 2. *For geometric ℓ -hypergraphs, when $\ell \geq 3$ and fixed, we have*

$$g(K_n^\ell; q) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(cn),$$

where $c = O(q \log q)$.

By combining Theorems 1, 2, and the fact that $g(K_n^\ell; q) \geq r(K_n^\ell; q)$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For fixed ℓ and $q \geq 4$, we have $g(K_n^\ell; q) = \text{twr}_\ell(\Theta(n))$.*

As mentioned above, there is an exponential difference between the known upper and lower bounds for $r(K_n^3, K_n^3)$. Hence, for two-colorings on geometric 3-hypergraphs in the plane, equation (2) implies

$$g(K_n^3, K_n^3) \geq r(K_n^3, K_n^3) \geq 2^{cn^2}.$$

Our next result establishes an exponential improvement in the lower bound of $g(K_n^3, K_n^3)$, showing that $g(K_n^3, K_n^3)$ does indeed grow as a 3-fold exponential tower in a power of n . One noteworthy aspect of this lower bound is that the construction is a geometric version of the famous Stepping-up lemma of Erdős and Hajnal [10] for sets. While it is a major open problem to apply this method to $r(K_n^3, K_n^3)$ and improve the lower bound in equation (2), we are able to achieve this in the geometric setting as shown below.

Theorem 3. *For geometric 3-hypergraphs in the plane, we have*

$$g(K_n^3, K_n^3) \geq 2^{2^{cn}},$$

where c is an absolute constant. In particular, $g(K_n^3, K_n^3) = \text{twr}_3(\Theta(n))$.

2 Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Before proving Theorems 1 and 2, we will first define some notation. We let $V = \{p_1, \dots, p_N\}$ be a set of N points in the plane in general position ordered from left to right according to x -coordinate, that is, for $p_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for all i . For $i_1 < \dots < i_t$, we say that $X = (p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_t})$ forms an t -cup (t -cap) if X is in convex position and its convex hull is bounded above (below) by a single edge. See Figure 1. When $t = 3$, we will just say X is a cup or a cap.

Fig. 1. A 4-cup and a 5-cap

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove the upper bound. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a complete geometric graph on $N = 2^{8qn^2 \lceil \log(qn) \rceil}$ vertices such that the vertices $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ are ordered from left to right according to x -coordinate. Let χ be a q -coloring on the edge set E . We will recursively construct a sequence of vertices $p_1, \dots, p_t$ from V and a subset $S_t \subset V$, where $t = 0, 1, \dots, qn^2$ (when $t = 0$ the sequence is empty), such that the following holds.

1. for any vertex $p_i, i = 1, \dots, qn^2$, all pairs (p_i, p) where $p \in \{p_j : j > i\} \cup S_t$ have the same color, which we denote by $\chi'(p_i)$,
2. for every pair of vertices p_i and p_j , where $i < j$, either (p_i, p_j, p) is a cap for all $p \in \{p_k : k > j\} \cup S_t$, or (p_i, p_j, p) is a cup for all $p \in \{p_k : k > j\} \cup S_t$,
3. the set of points S_t lies to the right of the point p_t , and
4. $|S_t| \geq \frac{N}{q^t t!} - t$.

We start with no vertices in the sequence ($t = 0$), and set $S_0 = V$. After obtaining vertices $\{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$ and S_t , we define p_{t+1} and S_{t+1} as follows. Let $p_{t+1} = (x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the smallest indexed element in S_t (the left-most point), and let H be the right half-plane $x > x_{t+1}$. We define t lines $l_1, \dots, l_t$ such that l_i is the line going through points p_i, p_{t+1} . Note that the arrangement $\bigcup_{i=1}^t l_i$ partitions the right half-plane H into $t + 1$ cells. See Figure 2. Since V is in general position, by the pigeonhole principle, there exists a cell $\Delta \subset H$ that contains at least $(|S_t| - 1)/(t + 1)$ points of S_t .

Fig. 2. Lines $l_1, \dots, l_t$ partitioning the half-plane H

Let us call two elements $v'_1, v'_2 \in \Delta \cap S_t$ *equivalent* if $\chi(p_{t+1}, v'_1) = \chi(p_{t+1}, v'_2)$. Hence, there are at most q equivalence classes. By setting S_{t+1} to be the largest of those classes, we have the recursive formula

$$|S_{t+1}| \geq \frac{|S_t| - 1}{(t + 1)q}.$$

Substituting in the lower bound on $|S_t|$, we obtain the desired bound

$$|S_{t+1}| \geq \frac{N}{(t + 1)!q^{t+1}} - (t + 1).$$

This shows that we can construct the sequence $p_1, \dots, p_{t+1}$ and the set S_{t+1} with the desired properties. For $N = 2^{8qn^2 \lceil \log(qn) \rceil}$, we have

$$|S_{qn^2}| \geq \frac{2^{8qn^2 \log(qn)}}{(qn^2)!q^{qn^2}} - qn^2 \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

Hence, $P_1 = \{p_1, \dots, p_{qn^2}\}$ is well defined. Since χ' is a q -coloring on P_1 , by the pigeonhole principle, there exists a subset $P_2 \subset P_1$ such that $|P_2| = n^2$, and every vertex has the same color. By construction of P_2 , every pair in P_2 has the same color. Hence these vertices induce a monochromatic geometric graph.

Now let $P_2 = \{p'_1, \dots, p'_{n^2}\}$. We define partial orders $\prec_1, \prec_2$ on P_2 , where $p'_i \prec_1 p'_j$ ($p'_i \prec_2 p'_j$) if and only if $i < j$ and the set of points $P_2 \setminus \{p'_1, \dots, p'_j\}$ lies above (below) the line going through points p'_i and p'_j . See Figure 3. By construction of P_2 , $\prec_1, \prec_2$ are indeed partial orders and every two elements in P_2 are comparable by either $\prec_1$ or $\prec_2$. By Dilworth's theorem [8] (see also Theorem 1.1 in [14]), there exists a chain $p^*_1, \dots, p^*_n$ of length n with respect to one of the partial orders. Hence $(p^*_1, \dots, p^*_n)$ forms either an n -cap or an n -cup. Therefore, these vertices induce a complete monochromatic convex geometric graph.

Fig. 3. Partial orders $\prec_1, \prec_2$

For the lower bound, we proceed by induction on q . The base case $q = 1$ follows by taking the complete geometric graph on 2^{n-1} vertices, whose vertex set does not have n members in convex position. This is possible by the construction of Erdős and Szekeres [13]. Let G_0 denote this geometric graph. For $q > 1$, we inductively construct a complete geometric graph $G = (V, E)$ on $2^{(q-1)(n-1)}$ vertices, and a coloring $\chi : E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ on the edges of G such that G does not contain a monochromatic convex geometric graph on n vertices. Now we replace each vertex $v_i \in G$ with a very small copy² of G_0 , which we will denote as G_i , where all edges in G_i are colored with the color q , and all edges between G_i and G_j have color $\chi(v_i v_j)$. Then we have a complete geometric graph G' on

$$2^{(q-1)(n-1)} 2^{n-1} = 2^{q(n-1)}$$

vertices, such that G' does not contain a monochromatic convex graph on n vertices. $\square$

By following the proof above, one can show that $g(K_n^\ell; q) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(O(n^2))$. However, the following short argument due to David Conlon gives a better bound. The proof uses an old idea of Tarsi (see [21] Chapter 3) that yields an upper bound on $f(n)$.

Lemma 1. *For geometric 3-hypergraphs, we have $g(K_n^3; q) \leq r(K_n^3; 2q) \leq 2^{2^{cn}}$, where $c = O(q \log q)$.*

² Obtained by an affine transformation.

Proof. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a complete geometric 3-hypergraph on $N = r(K_n^3; 2q)$ vertices, and let χ be a q coloring on the edges of H . By fixing an ordering on the vertices $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$, we say that a triple $(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3})$, $i_1 < i_2 < i_3$, has a *clockwise (counterclockwise) orientation*, if $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}$ appear in clockwise (counterclockwise) order along the boundary of $\text{conv}(v_{i_1} \cup v_{i_2} \cup v_{i_3})$. Hence by Ramsey's theorem, there are n points from V for which every triple has the same color and the same orientation. As observed by Tarsi (see Theorem 3.8 in [25]), these vertices must be in convex position. $\square$

Lemma 2. For $\ell \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4^\ell$, we have $g(K_n^\ell; q) \leq r(K_n^\ell; q+1) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(cn)$, where $c = O(q \log q)$.

Proof. Let $H = (V, E)$ be a complete geometric ℓ -hypergraph on $N = r(K_n^\ell; q+1)$ vertices, and let χ be a q coloring on the ℓ -tuples of V with colors $1, 2, \dots, q$. Now if an ℓ -tuple from V is not in convex position, we change its color to the new color $q+1$. By Ramsey's theorem, there is a set $S \subset V$ of n points for which every ℓ -tuple has the same color. Since $n \geq 4^\ell$, by the Erdős-Szekeres Theorem, S contains ℓ members in convex position. Hence, every ℓ -tuple in S is in convex position, and has the same color which is not the new color $q+1$. Therefore S induces a monochromatic convex geometric ℓ -hypergraph. $\square$

Theorem 2 now follows by combining Lemmas 1 and 2.

3 A Lower Bound Construction for Geometric 3-hypergraphs

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3, which follows immediately from the following lemma.

Lemma 3. For sufficiently large n , there exists a complete geometric 3-hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ in the plane with $2^{2^{n/2}}$ vertices, and a two-coloring χ' on the edge set E , such that H does not contain a monochromatic convex 3-hypergraph on $2n$ vertices.

Proof. Let G be the complete graph on $2^{n/2}$ vertices, where $V(G) = \{1, \dots, 2^{n/2}\}$, and let χ be a red-blue coloring on the edges of G such that G does not contain a monochromatic complete subgraph on n vertices. Such a graph does indeed exist by a result of Erdős [9], who showed that $r(K_n, K_n) > 2^{n/2}$. We will use G and χ to construct a complete geometric 3-hypergraph H on $2^{2^{n/2}}$ vertices, and a coloring χ' on the edges of H , with the desired properties.

Set $M = 2^{n/2}$. We will recursively construct a point set P_t of 2^t points in the plane as follows. Let P_1 be a set of two points in the plane with distinct x -coordinates. After obtaining the point set P_t , we define P_{t+1} as follows. We inductively construct two copies of P_t , $L = P_t$ and $R = P_t$, and place L to the left of R such that all lines determined by pairs of points in L go below R and all lines determined by pairs of points of R go above L . Then we set $P_{t+1} = L \cup R$. See Figure 4.

Let $P_M = \{p_1, \dots, p_{2^M}\}$ be the set of 2^M points in the plane, ordered by increasing x -coordinate, from our construction. Note that P_M contains 2^{M-t} disjoint copies of P_t . For $i < j$, we define

$$\delta(p_i, p_j) = \max\{t : p_i, p_j \text{ lies inside a copy of } P_t = L \cup R, \text{ and } p_i \in L, p_j \in R\}.$$

Fig. 4. Constructing P_{t+1} from P_t

Note that

Property A: $\delta(p_i, p_j) \neq \delta(p_j, p_k)$ for every triple $i < j < k$,

Property B: for $i_1 < \dots < i_n$, $\delta(p_{i_1}, p_{i_n}) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \delta(p_{i_j}, p_{i_{j+1}})$.

Now we define a red-blue coloring χ' on the triples of P_M as follows. For $i < j < k$,

$$\chi'(p_i, p_j, p_k) = \chi(\delta(p_i, p_j), \delta(p_j, p_k)).$$

Now we claim that the geometric 3-hypergraph $H = (P_M, E)$ does not contain a monochromatic convex 3-hypergraph on $2n$ vertices. For sake of contradiction, let $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_{2n}\}$ be a set of $2n$ points from P_M , ordered by increasing x -coordinate, that induces a red convex 3-hypergraph. Set $\delta_i = \delta(q_i, q_{i+1})$.

Case 1. Suppose that there exists a j such that $\delta_j, \delta_{j+1}, \dots, \delta_{j+n-1}$ forms a monotone sequence. First assume that

$$\delta_j > \delta_{j+1} > \dots > \delta_{j+n-1}.$$

Since G does not contain a red complete subgraph on n vertices, there exists a pair $j \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq j + n - 1$ such that $(\delta_{i_1}, \delta_{i_2})$ is blue. But then the triple $(q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, q_{i_2+1})$ is blue. Indeed, by Property B,

$$\delta(q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}) = \delta(q_{i_1}, q_{i_1+1}) = \delta_{i_1}.$$

Therefore, since $\delta_{i_1} > \delta_{i_2}$ and $(\delta_{i_1}, \delta_{i_2})$ is blue, the triple $(q_{i_1}, q_{i_2}, q_{i_2+1})$ must also be blue which is a contradiction. A similar argument holds if $\delta_j < \delta_{j+1} < \dots < \delta_{j+n-1}$.

Case 2. Suppose we are not in Case 1. For $2 \leq i \leq 2n$, we say that i is a *local minimum* if $\delta_{i-1} > \delta_i < \delta_{i+1}$, a *local maximum* if $\delta_{i-1} < \delta_i > \delta_{i+1}$, and a *local extremum* if it is either a local minimum or a local maximum. This is well defined by Property A.

Observation 4. For $2 \leq i \leq 2n$, i is never a local minimum.

Proof. Suppose $\delta_{i-1} > \delta_i < \delta_{i+1}$ for some i , and suppose that $\delta_{i-1} \geq \delta_{i+1}$. We claim that $q_{i+1} \in \text{conv}(q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+2})$. Indeed, since $\delta_{i-1} \geq \delta_{i+1} > \delta_i$, this implies that $q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2}$ lies inside a copy of $P_{\delta_{i-1}} = L \cup R$, where $q_{i-1} \in L$ and $q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2} \in R$. Since $\delta_{i+1} > \delta_i$, this implies that q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2} lie inside a copy $P_{\delta_{i+1}} = L' \cup R' \subset R$, where $q_i, q_{i+1} \in L'$ and $q_{i+2} \in R'$.

Fig. 5. Point $q_{i+1} \in \text{conv}(q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+2})$

Notice that all lines determined by q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2} go above the point q_{i-1} . Therefore q_{i+1} must lie above the line that goes through the points q_{i-1}, q_{i+2} , and furthermore, q_{i+1} must lie below the line that goes through the points q_{i-1}, q_i . Since $\delta_{i+1} > \delta_i$, the line through q_i, q_{i+1} must go below the point q_{i+2} , and therefore $q_{i+1} \in \text{conv}(q_{i-1}, q_i, q_{i+2})$. See Figure 5. If $\delta_{i-1} < \delta_{i+1}$, then a similar argument shows that $q_i \in \text{conv}(q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2})$. □

Since $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2n}$ does not have a monotone subsequence of length n , it must have at least two local extrema. Since between any two local maximums there must be a local minimum, we have a contradiction by Observation 4. This completes the proof. □

4 Concluding Remarks

For $q \geq 4$ colors and $\ell \geq 2$, we showed that $g(K_n^\ell; q) = \text{twr}_\ell(\Theta(n))$. Our bounds on $g(K_n^\ell; q)$ for $q \leq 3$ can be summarized in the following table.

	$q = 2$	$q = 3$
$\ell = 2$	$2^{\Omega(n)} < g(K_n, K_n) \leq 2^{O(n^2 \log n)}$	$2^{\Omega(n)} < g(K_n; 3) \leq 2^{O(n^2 \log n)}$
$\ell = 3$	$g(K_n^3, K_n^3) = 2^{2^{\Theta(n)}}$	$g(K_n^3; 3) = 2^{2^{\Theta(n)}}$
$\ell \geq 4$	$g(K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell) \geq \text{twr}_{\ell-1}(\Omega(n^2))$ $g(K_n^\ell, K_n^\ell) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(O(n))$	$g(K_n^\ell; 3) \geq \text{twr}_\ell(\Omega(\log^2 n))$ $g(K_n^\ell; 3) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(O(n))$

Off-diagonal. The Ramsey number $r(K_s, K_n)$ is the minimum integer N such that every red-blue coloring on the edges of a complete N -vertex graph G , contains either

a red clique of size s , or a blue clique of size n . The off-diagonal Ramsey numbers, $r(K_s, K_n)$ with s fixed and n tending to infinity, have been intensively studied. For example, it is known [1,4,5,20] that $R_2(3, n) = \Theta(n^2 / \log n)$ and, for fixed $s > 3$,

$$c_1(\log n)^{1/(s-2)} \left(\frac{n}{\log n} \right)^{(s+1)/2} \leq r(K_s, K_n) \leq c_2 \frac{n^{s-1}}{\log^{s-2} n}. \quad (5)$$

Another interesting variant of Problem 3 is the following off-diagonal version.

Problem 4. Determine the minimum integer $g(K_s, K_n)$, such that any red-blue coloring on the edges of a complete geometric graph G on $g(K_s, K_n)$ vertices, yields either a red convex geometric graph on s vertices, or a blue convex geometric graph on n vertices.

For fixed s , one can show that $g(K_s, K_n)$ grows single exponentially in n . In particular

$$2^{n-1} + 1 \leq g(K_s, K_n) \leq 4^{4^s n}.$$

The lower bound follows from the fact that $g(K_s, K_n) \geq f(n)$. The upper bound follows from the inequalities

$$g(K_s, K_n) \leq r(K_{4^s}, K_{4^n}) \leq (4^n)^{4^s}.$$

Indeed if G contains a red-clique of size 4^s , then by the Erdos-Szkeres Theorem there must be a red convex geometric graph on s vertices. Likewise, If G contains a blue clique of size 4^n , then there must be a blue convex geometric graph on n vertices.

Higher Dimensions. Generalizing Problem 1 to higher dimensions has also been studied. Let $f_d(n)$ be the smallest integer such that any set of at least $f_d(n)$ points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in general position³ contains n members in convex position. The following upper and lower bounds were obtained by Károlyi [16] and Károlyi and Valtr [19] respectively,

$$2^{cn^{1/(d-1)}} \leq f_d(n) \leq \binom{2n-2d-1}{n-d} + d = 2^{2n(1+o(1))}.$$

A *geometric ℓ -hypergraph H in d -space* is a pair (V, E) , where V is a set of points in general position in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $E \subset \binom{V}{\ell}$ is a collection of ℓ -tuples from V . When $\ell \leq d+1$, ℓ -tuples are represented by $(\ell-1)$ -dimensional simplices induced by the corresponding vertices.

Problem 5. Determine the minimum integer $g_d(K_n^\ell; q)$, such that any q -coloring on the edges of a complete geometric ℓ -hypergraph H in d -space on $g_d(K_n^\ell; q)$ vertices, yields a monochromatic complete convex ℓ -hypergraph on n vertices.

When $d = 2$, we write $g_2(K_n^\ell; q) = g(K_n^\ell; q)$. Clearly

$$g_d(K_n^\ell; q) \geq \max\{f_d(n), R(K_n^\ell; q)\}.$$

³ A point set P in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is in general position, if no $d+1$ members lie on a common hyperplane.

One can also show that $g_d(K_n^\ell; q) \leq g(K_n^\ell; q)$. Indeed, for any complete geometric ℓ -hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ in d -space with a q -coloring χ on $E(H)$, one can obtain a complete geometric ℓ -hypergraph in the plane $H' = (V', E')$, by projecting H onto a 2-dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that V' is in general position in L . Thus we have

$$g_d(K_n; q) \leq g(K_n; q) \leq 2^{cn^2 \log n},$$

where $c = O(q \log q)$, and for $\ell \geq 3$

$$g_d(K_n^\ell; q) \leq g(K_n^\ell; q) \leq \text{twr}_\ell(c'n^2),$$

where $c' = c'(q, \ell)$.

Acknowledgment. We thank David Conlon for showing us an improved version of Theorem 2, shown in Section 2.

References

1. Ajtai, M., Komlós, J., Szemerédi, E.: A note on Ramsey numbers. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 29, 354–360 (1980)
2. Ábrego, B.M., Fernández-Merchant, S., Salazar, G.: The rectilinear crossing number of K_n : Closing in (or are we?), Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory. In: Pach, J. (ed.) *Algorithms and Combinatorics*, vol. 29, pp. 5–18. Springer (2012)
3. Aronov, B., Erdős, P., Goddard, W., Kleitman, D.J., Klugerman, M., Pach, J., Schulman, L.J.: Crossing families. *Combinatorica* 14, 127–134 (1994)
4. Bohman, T.: The triangle-free process. *Adv. Math.* 221, 1653–1677 (2009)
5. Bohman, T., Keevash, P.: The early evolution of the H -free process. *Invent. Math.* 181, 291–336 (2010)
6. Conlon, D., Fox, J., Sudakov, B.: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 23, 247–266 (2010)
7. Dey, T., Pach, J.: Extremal problems for geometric hypergraphs. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 19, 473–484 (1998)
8. Dilworth, R.P.: A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets. *Ann. of Math.* 51, 161–166 (1950)
9. Erdős, P.: Some remarks on the theory of graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53, 292–294 (1947)
10. Erdős, P., Hajnal, A., Rado, R.: Partition relations for cardinal numbers. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 16, 93–196 (1965)
11. Erdős, P., Rado, R.: Combinatorial theorems on classifications of subsets of a given set. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 3, 417–439 (1952)
12. Erdős, P., Szekeres, G.: A combinatorial problem in geometry. *Compos. Math.* 2, 463–470 (1935)
13. Erdős, P., Szekeres, G.: On some extremum problems in elementary geometry. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* 3(4), 53–62 (1960–1961)
14. Fox, J., Pach, J., Sudakov, B., Suk, A.: Erdős-Szekeres-type theorems for monotone paths and convex bodies. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 105, 953–982 (2012)
15. Graham, R.L., Rothschild, B.L., Spencer, J.H.: *Ramsey Theory*, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York (1990)
16. Károlyi, G.: Ramsey-remainder for convex sets and the Erdős-Szekeres theorem. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 109, 163–175 (2001)

17. Károlyi, G., Pach, J., Tóth, G.: Ramsey-type results for geometric graphs, I. *Disc. Comp. Geom.* 18, 247–255 (1997)
18. Károlyi, G., Pach, J., Tóth, G., Valtr, P.: Ramsey-type results for geometric graphs, II. *Disc. Comp. Geom.* 20, 375–388 (1998)
19. Károlyi, G., Valtr, P.: Point configurations in d -space without large subsets in convex position. *Disc. Comp. Geom.* 30, 277–286 (2003)
20. Kim, J.H.: The Ramsey number $R(3, t)$ has order of magnitude $t^2 / \log t$. *Random Structures Algorithms* 7, 173–207 (1995)
21. Matoušek, J.: *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. (2002)
22. Pach, J., Agarwal, P.: *Combinatorial geometry*. Wiley-Interscience, New York (1995)
23. Ramsey, F.P.: On a problem in formal logic. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 30, 264–286 (1930)
24. Suk, A.: A note on geometric 3-hypergraphs, *Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory*. In: Pach, J. (ed.) *Algorithms and Combinatorics*, vol. 29, pp. 489–498. Springer (2012)
25. Van Lint, J.H., Wilson, R.M.: *A Course in Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press (2001)
26. Wagner, U.: k -sets and k -facets, *Discrete and Computational Geometry - 20 Years Later*. In: Goodman, E., Pach, J., Pollack, R. (eds.) *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 453, pp. 443–514. American Mathematical Society (2008)