HEYDE C. C.
1964
THE JOURNAL OF THE AUSTRALIAN
MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Vol. IV, Part. 2, p.p. 214-222

TWO PROBABILITY THEOREMS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SOME FIRST PASSAGE PROBLEMS

BY

C. C. HEYDE

Reprinted from
THE JOURNAL OF THE AUSTRALIAN
MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume IV, Part 2, p.p. 214-222
1964

TWO PROBABILITY THEOREMS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SOME FIRST PASSAGE PROBLEMS

C. C. HEYDE

(received 23 July 1963)

1. Introduction

Let X_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables and write $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. If the mean of X_i is finite and positive, we have $Pr(S_n \leq x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all x , $-\infty < x < \infty$ using the weak law of large numbers. It is our purpose in this paper to study the rate of convergence of $Pr(S_n \leq x)$ to zero. Necessary and sufficient conditions are established for the convergence of the two series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k Pr(S_n \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

where k is a non-negative integer, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{rn} Pr(S_n \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

where $r > 0$. These conditions are applied to some first passage problems for sums of random variables. The former is also used in correcting a queueing Theorem of Finch [4].

2. Two Probability Theorems

Let X_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables. We write $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$, $X_i^- = \min(0, X_i)$ and $X_i^+ = X_i + X_i^-$.

We shall establish the following two Theorems:

THEOREM A¹. *Suppose $E|X| < \infty$, $EX > 0$ and let k be a non-negative*

¹ My attention has been drawn to a statement of Theorem A without proof in Smith, W. L. "On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed random variables" Univ. North Carolina Mimeographed Notes No. 352 (Feb. 1963). Professor Smith states that a proof of this result will appear in a paper entitled "On functions of characteristic functions and their applications to some renewal-theoretic random walk problems".

integer. A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \Pr(S_n \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

is that $E|X|^{k+2} < \infty$.

THEOREM B. Suppose $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{rn} \Pr(S_n \leq x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

for some $r > 0$ is that X^- has an analytic characteristic function².

(It is clear that analogous Theorems will hold in the case $EX < 0$). We defer the proofs of Theorems A and B until some Lemmas have been established.

LEMMA 1. If $E|X|^r < \infty$ for some integer $r \geq 1$ and $EX > 0$, then

$$\sum n^{r-2} \Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

PROOF: Write $EX = \mu$. Using Katz [5], Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum n^{r-2} \Pr\{|S_n - n\mu| \geq n\varepsilon\} < \infty, \text{ every } \varepsilon > 0$$

from which we obtain, in particular

$$(1) \quad \sum n^{r-2} \Pr(S_n \leq (\mu - \varepsilon)n) < \infty, \text{ every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Now we choose ε so small that $\varepsilon < \mu$. We then have, for n sufficiently large,

$$\Pr(S_n \leq x) \leq \Pr(S_n \leq (\mu - \varepsilon)n)$$

and the result follows immediately from (1).

LEMMA 2. Let $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$ or else $E|X| = \infty$ and, in either case, $E|X|^{-r} < \infty$ for some integer $r \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum n^{r-2} \Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

PROOF. We define a new random variable Y as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= X \text{ if } X < K \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $K(> 0)$ is chosen so that $EY > 0$. Then, $Y \leq X$ and $E|Y|^r < \infty$. It follows from Lemma 1 that

² The term "analytic characteristic function" is used for a characteristic function which is analytic in a strip containing the origin as an interior point.

$$\sum n^{r-2} Pr(Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_n \leq x) < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Also, if $X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n \leq x$, then $Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_n \leq x$ so that

$$Pr(Y_1 + Y_2 + \cdots + Y_n \leq x) \geq Pr(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n \leq x) = Pr(S_n \leq x)$$

and hence

$$\sum n^{r-2} Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let $E|X| < \infty$, $EX = \mu > 0$ and

$$\sum n^k Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty, \quad -\infty < x < \infty$$

for some non-negative integer k . Then $E|X|^{k+2} < \infty$.

Our proof relies heavily on techniques used by Erdős [3].

PROOF. Write $X_i^* = X_i - \mu$ and $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^*$. We then have

$$Pr(S_n \leq x) = Pr(Z_n \leq x - n\mu) \geq Pr(Z_n \leq -nc)$$

for $c > \mu$ and n sufficiently large.

Now from the fact that $E|X| < \infty$, it follows by a simple rearrangement that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Pr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n) < \infty,$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Also, since the terms in this series are non-increasing, we have

$$(2) \quad nPr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Write $\{E\}$ for the event E and $\{\bar{E}\}$ for the complement of $\{E\}$. We have

$$\{Z_n \leq -nc\} \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n [\{X_i^* < -n(c+\varepsilon)\} \cap \{|X_1^* + \cdots + X_{i-1}^* + X_{i+1}^* + \cdots + X_n^*| < (n-1)\varepsilon\}],$$

and for the sake of brevity, we put

$$A_i = \{X_i^* < -n(c+\varepsilon)\},$$

$$B_i = \{|X_1^* + \cdots + X_{i-1}^* + X_{i+1}^* + \cdots + X_n^*| < (n-1)\varepsilon\},$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr(Z_n \leq -nc) &\geq Pr[\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \cap B_i)] \\
&= Pr[\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{(\overline{A_1 \cap B_1}) \cap (\overline{A_2 \cap B_2}) \cap \cdots \cap (\overline{A_{i-1} \cap B_{i-1}}) \cap (A_i \cap B_i)\}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n Pr[(\overline{A_1 \cap B_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (\overline{A_{i-1} \cap B_{i-1}}) \cap (A_i \cap B_i)] \\
(3) \quad &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n Pr(\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \bar{A}_{i-1} \cap A_i \cap B_i) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n [Pr(A_i \cap B_i) - Pr\{(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_{i-1}) \cap A_i\}] \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n [Pr(B_i) - (i-1)Pr(A_1)]Pr(A_i) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n [Pr(B_i) - n Pr(A_1)]Pr(A_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Now take arbitrary ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $1 - 2\delta \geq \rho$. It follows from the weak law of large numbers that we can find an integer N_1 such that

$$Pr(B_i) > 1 - \delta \text{ for } n \geq N_1.$$

Also, from (2), we can find an integer N_2 such that

$$n Pr(A_1) < \delta \text{ for } n \geq N_2.$$

Thus, for $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$, we have from (3)

$$(4) \quad Pr(Z_n \leq -nc) \geq n\rho Pr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n),$$

and hence

$$\sum n^{k+1} Pr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n) < \infty.$$

We now introduce the random variable Y defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y &= X^* \text{ if } X^* < 0 \\
&= 0 \text{ otherwise,}
\end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\sum n^{k+1} Pr(Y < -(c+\varepsilon)n) < \infty.$$

It follows from this, by a simple rearrangement, that $E|Y|^{k+2} < \infty$ and hence that $E|X|^{k+2} < \infty$. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Theorem A follows immediately from Lemmas 2 and 3.

We now go on to give two Lemmas leading up to a proof of Theorem B.

The development of the proof is similar to that used above in the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 4. *Suppose X^- has an analytic characteristic function and either $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$ or $E|X| = \infty$. There exists a constant $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sum e^{rn} \Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty$$

for every x , $-\infty < x < \infty$ and every r , $0 < r < R$.

PROOF. Since X^- has an analytic characteristic function, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(\theta) = E(e^{-\theta X}) < \infty$$

for all θ in $0 \leq \theta < K$. Now for such a θ , a well-known Chebyshev type inequality gives

$$\Pr(S_n \leq x) \leq e^{\theta x} E(e^{-\theta S_n}) = e^{\theta x} \{\Phi(\theta)\}^n.$$

Also, in view of our assumption that $E|X^-| < \infty$ and either $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$ or $E|X| = \infty$, we must have $\Phi(\theta) < 1$ for sufficiently small positive θ . We then choose R so small that $e^R \Phi(\theta) < 1$ and for all r , $0 < r < R$,

$$\sum e^{rn} \Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. I am indebted to the referee for this direct proof. My original proof was based on Baum, Katz and Read [1], Theorem 2, 190.

Lemma 4 is a generalization of the well-known result of Stein [9] which deals with the case $X^- = 0$. It should be noted that although Stein's result is correct, his proof is invalidated by a misinterpretation of the Markov chain property of the sequence $\{S_n\}$ of sums.

LEMMA 5. *Let $E|X| < \infty$. Suppose $EX = \mu > 0$ and*

$$\sum e^{rn} \Pr(S_n \leq x) < \infty$$

for all r , $0 < r < R$ and all x , $-\infty < x < \infty$. Then X^- has an analytic characteristic function.

PROOF. We retain the notation of Lemma 3. Proceeding precisely as in Lemma 3, we obtain (4)

$$\Pr(Z_n \leq -nc) \geq n\rho \Pr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n),$$

so that certainly

$$\sum e^{rn} \Pr(X_i^* < -(c+\varepsilon)n) < \infty.$$

We now introduce the random variable Y defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= X^* \text{ if } X^* < 0 \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\sum e^{rn} \Pr(Y < -(c+\varepsilon)n) < \infty.$$

It follows immediately, using Lukacs [7], Theorem 7.2.1, 137, that Y and hence X^- has an analytic characteristic function. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM B: Theorem B follows immediately from Lemmas 4 and 5.

It is worth remarking that it is quite likely that in Theorems A and B the condition $E|X| < \infty$, $EX > 0$ can be replaced by the condition $E|X| < \infty$, $EX > 0$ or $E|X^-| < \infty$, $E|X| = \infty$.

3. Application to some first passage problems

Let X_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be independent and identically distributed random variables and write $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Consider a single boundary at $A (\geq 0)$ so that if

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0, \end{cases} \\ F_1(x) &= \Pr(S_1 \leq x), \\ F_n(x) &= \Pr(S_n \leq x; \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} S_k \leq A), \quad n > 1, \end{aligned}$$

the probability p_n that the first passage time out of the interval $(-\infty, A]$ for the process S_n is n is given by

$$p_n = F_{n-1}(A) - F_n(A), \quad n \geq 1.$$

This passage problem in the case $A = 0$ arises, for example, in the busy period distribution of the queue $GI/G/1$ which has been considered by various authors such as Finch [4].

We introduce the probability generating function $P(\lambda) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \lambda^r p_r$ for the first passage time distribution (henceforth abbreviated F.P.T.D.) $\Pr(N = n) = p_n$. We have formally

$$\begin{aligned} P'(1) &= E(N) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} F_r(A) \\ P''(1) &= \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} r(r-1)p_r = E(N^2) - E(N) = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r F_r(A), \end{aligned}$$

and in general for $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(k)}(1) &= (\alpha)_k \text{ (the } k\text{-th factorial moment of } N) \\ &= k \sum_{r=k-1}^{\infty} (r)_k F_r(A) = \sum_{r=0}^k s(k, r) E(N^r) \end{aligned}$$

where $(r)_k = r(r-1)(r-2) \cdots (r-k+1)$ and $s(k, r)$ are the Stirling numbers of the first kind. It is thus clear that $E(N^r) < \infty$ for some positive integer r if and only if $\sum n^{r-1} F_n(A) < \infty$. Also, the random variable N has an analytic characteristic function if and only if the radius of convergence of $P(\lambda)$ is greater than unity or equivalently if $\sum e^{rn} F_n(A) < \infty$ for some $r > 0$.

Now we write

$$q_n = \Pr \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \leq 0 \right), \quad n \geq 1; \quad q_0 = 1.$$

Spitzer [8], 332, shows that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n t^n = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n} \Pr(S_n \leq 0) \right\},$$

a result originally due, in a slightly different form, to E. Sparre Andersen. From this we obtain

$$q_n \geq \frac{1}{n} \Pr(S_n \leq 0).$$

Thus,

$$\Pr(S_n \leq A) \geq \Pr \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \leq A \right) = F_n(A) \geq q_n \geq \frac{1}{n} \Pr(S_n \leq 0),$$

and we readily obtain from Theorem B:

THEOREM 1. *The F.P.T.D. generated by the random variable X with $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$ has an analytic characteristic function if and only if X^- has an analytic characteristic function.*

Further, we obtain immediately from Theorem A:

THEOREM 2. *Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer. Consider the F.P.T.D. generated by the random variable X with $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$. If the F.P.T.D. has a finite r -th moment, then $E|X^-|^r < \infty$. If, on the other hand, $E|X^-|^r < \infty$, then the F.P.T.D. has finite moments at least up to the $(r-1)$ th.*

In the particular case where $A = 0$, we can improve this Theorem by virtue of the relation (6). In fact, formally differentiating (6) $(r-1)$ times, we see that

$$\sum n^{r-2} \Pr(S_n \leq 0) < \infty \text{ if and only if } \sum n^{r-1} q_n < \infty.$$

Thus, in view of our comments above, the r -th moment of the F.P.T.D. exists if and only if

$$\sum n^{r-2} \Pr(S_n \leq 0) < \infty.$$

We therefore obtain immediately from Theorem A:

THEOREM 3. *Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer. The zero-barrier F.P.T.D. generated by the random variable X with $E|X| < \infty$ and $EX > 0$ has a finite r -th moment if and only if X^- has a finite r -th moment.*

Before ending this section, it is worth remarking that Derman and Robbins [2] show that it is possible to have $E|X^+| = \infty$, $E|X^-| = \infty$ and $\Pr(S_n > 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$, $\Pr(S_n \leq 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ and hence, following Kemperman [6], Theorem 15.2, 81, $\sum 1/n \Pr(S_n > 0) = \infty$, $\sum 1/n \Pr(S_n \leq 0) < \infty$. This provides us with a limitation on eventual improvements of the Theorems given above.

4. Correction to a theorem of Finch [4]

Let η be the difference between the inter-arrival and service time in a $GI/G/1$ queue. We refrain from stating the usual queueing assumptions for the sake of brevity. Let Π_n be the probability that n customers are served in a busy period. Then, as is well known,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= \Pr(\eta_1 > 0) \\ \Pi_n &= \Pr\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_k \leq 0, \eta_n > 0\right), \quad n > 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\Pr(T = n) = \Pi_n$ is a zero-barrier F.P.T.D.

Finch [4] gives the following Theorem (his Theorem 2, 223).

THEOREM *Suppose that $E|\eta| < \infty$. Write $\Pi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Pi_j$, $N = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\Pi_j$, and $a_n = \Pr(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_n > 0)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E\eta \geq 0 \\ 1 - \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} a_n\right\} & \text{if } E\eta < 0 \end{cases} \\ N &= \begin{cases} \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}(1-a_n)\right\} & \text{if } E\eta > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } E\eta = 0 \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} a_n\right\} & \text{if } E\eta < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is the final part of the statement of this Theorem that is incorrect, namely that

$$N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} a_n \right\} < \infty \text{ if } E\eta < 0.$$

In fact, under the condition $E\eta < 0$, we see from a negative mean analogue of Theorem A that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty$ if and only if $E|\eta^+|^2 < \infty$. Thus, $N = \infty$ if $E\eta < 0$ and $E|\eta^+|^2 < \infty$. Finch's error arises from an invalid application of the Borel zero-one criterion which yields $Pr(S_n > 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ or 1 according as $\sum a_n < \infty$ or $= \infty$. Actually, using Kemperman [6], Theorem 15.2, 81, $Pr(S_n > 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ or 1 according as $\sum n^{-1} a_n < \infty$ or $= \infty$. Finch's Theorem and his proof of it can easily be repaired in terms of these comments. A correct statement of the Theorem is as follows:

THEOREM. Suppose that $E|\eta| < \infty$. Write $\Pi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Pi_j$, $N = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\Pi_j$, and $a_n = Pr(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_n) > 0$. Then

$$\Pi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E\eta \geq 0 \\ 1 - \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} a_n \right\} & \text{if } E\eta < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$N = \begin{cases} \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} (1 - a_n) \right\} & \text{if } E\eta > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } E\eta = 0 \text{ or } E\eta < 0 \text{ and } E|\eta^+|^2 = \infty \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp \left\{ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} a_n \right\} & \text{if } E\eta < 0 \text{ and } E|\eta^+|^2 < \infty. \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] Baum, L. E., Katz, M. L., and Read, R. R., Exponential convergence rates for the law of large numbers, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **102** (1962), 187–199.
- [2] Derman, C., and Robbins, H., The strong law of large numbers when the first moment does not exist, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **41** (1955), 586–587.
- [3] Erdős, P., On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **20** (1949), 286–291.
- [4] Finch, P. D., On the busy period in the queueing system $GI/G/1$, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **2** (1961), 217–228.
- [5] Katz, M. L., The probability in the tail of a distribution, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **34** (1963), 312–318.
- [6] Kemperman, J. H. B., *The passage problem for a stationary Markov chain*, Univ. of Chicago Press (1961).
- [7] Lukacs, E., *Characteristic functions*, Griffin, London (1960).
- [8] Spitzer, F., A combinatorial lemma and its applications to probability theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **82** (1956), 323–339.
- [9] Stein, C., A note on cumulative sums, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **17** (1946), 498–499.

The Australian National University,
Canberra