Small Molecule Inhibitors Targeting Chikungunya Virus

Nicole Haese, John Powers and Daniel N. Streblow

Contents

1	Introduction.....	108
2	CHIKV Genome Organization and Replication Cycle.....	109
3	Methods for Identifying Small Molecule Inhibitors Against CHIKV	111
4	Small Molecule Inhibitor Strategies for Targeting CHIKV	113
4.1	Inhibitors of CHIKV Entry	113
4.2	Inhibitors of CHIKV Structural Proteins	116
4.3	Inhibitors of CHIKV Non-structural Proteins.....	117
4.4	Inhibitors of CHIKV RNA Genome Replication	121
5	Targeting Host Factors Involved in CHIKV Replication.....	122
5.1	Protease Inhibitors	123
5.2	Pyrimidine and Purine Synthesis Inhibitors.....	123
5.3	Cellular Kinase Inhibitors.....	124
5.4	Inhibitors of Protein Chaperones	125
6	Conclusions.....	126
	References	129

Abstract Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) infection in humans is rarely fatal but is often associated with chronic joint and muscle pain. Chronic CHIKV disease is highly debilitating and is associated with viral persistence. To date, there are no approved vaccines or therapeutics to prevent or treat CHIKV infections once they are established. Current palliative treatments aim to reduce joint inflammation and pain associated with acute and chronic CHIKV disease. Development of novel

---

N. Haese · J. Powers · D. N. Streblow (✉)

Vaccine and Gene, Therapy Institute and Oregon National Primate Research Center, Oregon Health & Science University, 505 NW 185th Avenue, 97006 Beaverton, OR, USA
e-mail: streblow@ohsu.edu

Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology (2022) 435: 107–139

https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2020_195

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020

Published online: 24 January 2019

therapeutics that reduces viral loads should positively impact virus inflammatory disease and improve patient outcomes following CHIKV infection. Therapies that target multiple aspects of CHIKV replication cycle should be developed since the virus is capable of rapidly mutating around any single therapeutic. This review summarizes the current status of small molecule inhibitor development against CHIKV.

1 Introduction

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) is an alphavirus of the *Togaviridae* family transmitted to humans by *Aedes* mosquitoes. Since CHIKV was first isolated, the virus has spread causing multiple endemic and large epidemic outbreaks. Virus sequencing has identified the emergence of four different virus lineages driven, in part, by adaptation of the virus to *A. albopictus* mosquitoes during the 2000s outbreak. This adaptation allowed virus transmission into more temperate climates (Schuffenecker et al. 2006; Tsetsarkin et al. 2007). Interest in CHIKV has been driven by the recent re-emergence of the virus. CHIKV emerged on a global level starting on the coast of Kenya in 2004, in a wave that continued to spread across the islands of the Indian Oceans. This spread continued to Asia before arriving in the Caribbean region in 2013, Brazil in 2014 and then the rest of the American continents (Charrel et al. 2007; Weaver and Lecuit 2015; Yang et al. 2017). During this time, CHIKV outbreaks were also reported in Italy and France due to the emergence of the ability to use *A. albopictus* mosquitoes as a transmission vector (Cassadou et al. 2014; Rezza et al. 2007; Venturi et al. 2017). While the most recent reported outbreak of CHIKV was in Mombasa, Kenya in February 2018 (WHO Web site), this cycle of emergence and global spread is likely to repeat as antiviral immunity wanes at the population level.

Infection with CHIKV causes a febrile illness that is highly associated with severe joint/muscle pain and is often accompanied by a rash. For some individuals, the infection is self-limiting and symptoms resolve within a few weeks following infection. However, about 40–80% of those infected will continue to experience chronic joint and muscle pain that can last for months to years after CHIKV infection (Borgherini et al. 2008; Gerardin et al. 2008). Viral RNA can be detected long term in these patients, but the precise mechanisms that contribute to the development of chronic CHIKV disease are still unclear (Chopra et al. 2012; Simon et al. 2015; Sissoko et al. 2009). Atypical complications of CHIKV infection may occur in the elderly and in individuals with comorbidities, such as encephalitis (Lebrun et al. 2009). Fetal CHIKV infections during pregnancy are rare, but perinatal infection of newborns occurs during the intrapartum period, resulting in a high mortality rate and those surviving may suffer severe, lifelong neurological outcomes (Bandeira et al. 2016; Gopakumar et al. 2012; Lyra et al. 2016).

Currently, there is no licensed virus-specific treatment to prevent or treat CHIKV infection and disease. Extensive time and effort have gone into the development of

multiple anti-CHIKV treatment platforms including vaccines, gene and immunotherapeutic strategies, as well as small molecule inhibitors. Herein, we focus on recent advances in the development of small molecule inhibitors directed against CHIKV replication as treatments for viral infection and disease.

2 CHIKV Genome Organization and Replication Cycle

CHIKV contains a single-stranded, positive-sense linear genome that is approximately 11.8 kb in length (Fig. 1) (Solignat et al. 2009). The genome contains two open reading frames (ORFs), and unique polypeptides are generated from two individual RNA species (Simmons and Strauss 1972; Strauss et al. 1984). The non-structural proteins (nsP1-4) are created from full-length, genomic mRNA, whereas the structural polypeptide containing capsid, E3, E2, 6K, and E1 are synthesized from a subgenomic mRNA (sgmRNA) species. Both genomic and sgmRNAs are flanked by a 5' 7-methylguanosine cap and a 3' polyadenylated tail (Dubin and Stollar 1975; Dubin et al. 1977). There are three untranslated regions (UTRs) within the genome; individual UTRs present at the 5' and 3' ends, and the third UTR is located between the ORFs, which contains the promoter sequence present on the sgmRNA that is required for translation of the structural polypeptide.

The CHIKV replication cycle is shown in Fig. 2. The Chikungunya virion contains 80 trimeric spikes of E1 and E2 glycoprotein heterodimers on the surface (Voss et al. 2010). E2 facilitates attachment to host cells followed by internalization through clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME). Within the early endosomes, the E1 protein facilitates pH-dependent fusion of the viral envelope with the endosomal membrane (van Duijl-Richter et al. 2015). This process is followed by disassembly of the virus nucleocapsid and release of the viral genome into the cytosol. The CHIKV nsPs are synthesized by the host cell translation machinery creating the precursor polyproteins P123 and P1234. The majority of the translation products are

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the Chikungunya virus genome. The CHIKV genomic and subgenomic RNAs are depicted. Following translation, the two polypeptides are cleaved by viral- or host-specific proteases to release the mature forms of the viral proteins

Fig. 2 Chikungunya virus replication cycle. CHIKV enters via receptor-mediated endocytosis following the binding of the envelope protein to cellular receptors (i.e., Mxra8). Low pH triggers virus particle release from the endosome. Within the cytoplasm, the virus capsid is disassembled, which allows translation of the nonstructural ORF from the viral genomic RNA. Processed nonstructural proteins form a replication complex that synthesizes both the negative strand genomic length RNA that is used as a template for the production of genomic and subgenomic RNA species. Translation of the subgenomic RNA produces the structural proteins that are processed into their mature forms required for encapsidation of the genomic RNA strand and nucleocapsid assembly. Viral envelope proteins are processed and modified in the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus. At the plasma membrane, the glycoproteins are loaded onto viral nucleocapsids during envelopment and release at the plasma membrane. A subset of known small molecule antivirals are depicted in red lettering at their putative site of effect

P123 with P1234 only being expressed following read-through of the opal stop codon at the end of nsP3 (Strauss and Strauss 1994). Following translation, P1234 is cleaved in *cis* by the viral protease, nsP2, into P123+nsP4. These early replication complexes (RCs) formed by P123+nsP4 are responsible for synthesizing the negative strand genomic RNA using the positive strand as a template (Barton et al. 1991; de Groot et al. 1990; Shirako and Strauss 1994). Eventually, the P123+nsP4 RCs accumulate to a concentration threshold leading to further processing of P123. At this point, nsP1 is cleaved in *trans* forming a complex made up of nsP1+P23+nsP4 that for a short time, is capable of both negative and positive strand synthesis. Subgenomic viral RNA synthesis (Jose et al. 2009; van der Heijden and Bol 2002; Vasiljeva et al. 2003) occurs following cleavage of the P2/3 intermediate resulting in

fully processed nsPs, forming stable late RCs capable of synthesizing both the full-length positive-sense genomic RNA and the sgRNA (Kim et al. 2004). CHIKV replication induces the formation of host cell membrane invaginations called spherules. Spherules are connected to the cytoplasm by a narrow neck wherein early RCs are thought to localize with newly synthesized negative strand RNA. Double-stranded RNA intermediates are located in the interior of the spherule where they are protected from degradation and detection by innate immune sensors (Frolova et al. 2010; Utt et al. 2016). As the infection progresses a portion of the spherules will become internalized to form a virus-induced type 1 cytopathic vacuole (CPV) near the endoplasmic reticulum. The formation of CPVs is triggered by activation of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and is made up of membranes from both the endosome and lysosome (Spuul et al. 2010; Thaa et al. 2015).

The viral sgRNA is translated into the structural polyprotein (capsid-E3-E2-6k-E1). Once formed the capsid protein undergoes immediate autocleavage, while the other proteins are still in the process of translation (Thomas et al. 2010). The cleaved capsid binds newly synthesized genomic viral RNA and facilitates the formation of the nucleocapsid core. The remaining structural protein polypeptide is cleaved by host proteases into pE2 (E3-E2), 6K, and E1. E1 and pE2 form non-covalent heterodimers that travel through the Golgi secretory pathway where they are post-translationally modified. Within the Golgi, the host enzyme furin cleaves pE2 into mature viral E2 and E3 (Ozden et al. 2008). The processed glycoproteins are transported to the plasma membrane where they are inserted into the host cell plasma membrane. At the same time, nucleocapsid cores containing infectious viral RNA genomes are recruited to the host cell plasma membrane for envelopment as the particles bud from the cell, thus completing the replication cycle (Garoff et al. 2004; Jose et al. 2012; Strauss and Strauss 1994).

3 Methods for Identifying Small Molecule Inhibitors Against CHIKV

The first step in many workflows for the identification of small molecule antivirals is the development and validation of a high-throughput screening (HTS) platform. A variety of CHIKV antiviral screening methods have been developed such as those based on a reduction in cellular cytopathic effect (CPE), changes in phenotype, reduction in replicon/minigenome readouts, as well as in silico virtual screening that requires solved viral protein structures.

Most conventional antiviral HTS campaigns utilize cell-based screening with readouts to measure CPE. Similar to other viruses, CHIKV infection causes robust CPE that can be easily measured as an increase in cell survival for those compounds demonstrating antiviral activity. Since some compounds in libraries can exhibit cytostatic and/or cytotoxicity, CPE-reduction-based antiviral screens provide two simultaneous readouts by identifying compounds with antiviral activity and those

with low inherent cytotoxicity. Several parameters dictate the overall effectiveness and reproducibility of CPE-based HTS assays including cell type and passage number, virus strain, multiplicity of infection, and time point of development as well as the specific readout. Modifying these culture and assay conditions allows for optimal virus growth and identifiable CPE. In CHIKV CPE assays, cell types such as Vero, *A. albopictus* clone (C6/36), telomerized human fibroblasts, and Baby Hamster Kidney fibroblasts (BHK-21) cells have been successfully utilized to identify antiviral compounds (Bhat et al. 2019). Cell viability assay readouts previously used for CHIKV HTS include measuring cellular dehydrogenase activity using the colorimetric dyes MTT, MTS, or XTT; mitochondrial membrane potential using fluorescent mitochondrial tracker dyes; intracellular esterase cleavage fluorescent dyes; ATP-based luciferase reagents; and those assays that measure oxygen consumption or glycolysis. While these assays indirectly measure viral infection through cell viability, direct detection of viral protein production using viral-specific antibodies or tagged viruses allows one to directly assess viral replication. Replicon-based screens that incorporate quantifiable reporters have also been very useful for testing under reduced biosafety level conditions, although modifications to the viral genome typically need to be introduced to reduce replicon cytotoxicity (Pohjala et al. 2011; Tamm et al. 2008; Frolov et al. 1999; Dryga et al. 1997). While not always straightforward for HTS development and implementation, quantification of virus production as plaque reduction can be accomplished by staining of infected cells or through quantification of produced infectious virus in the supernatants of infected cells. Since they typically require more involved techniques, these types of assays are often used to validate hits and determine EC₅₀ values.

Other phenotypic/molecular target-based screens have been designed to measure compound effects on functions of CHIKV proteins, molecular pathways, or disease-related outcomes. These types of approaches generally start with target identification, which is based on knowledge of a known protein or pathway function, and requires the ability to design assays around the desired targets. A well-described phenotypic screen for CHIKV is based on transcriptional shutoff induced by CHIKV nsP2. In this screen, CHIKV nsP2-induced host transcriptional shutoff is connected to various luciferase-based reporter gene assays, including a *trans*-reporter system that employs a Gal4 DNA-binding domain fused to Fos transcription factor (Bhat et al. 2019). This has been adapted to the HTS platform and used to identify many compounds that block nsP2 mediated host shutoff (Lucas-Hourani et al. 2013b).

A rapidly growing area in antiviral development is the development of *in silico* virtual screens. These types of screens are knowledge-driven approaches that combine structural information about a viral or host protein of interest for target-based screens. *In silico* computer-based screening of bioactive ligands ranks molecules based on their likelihood of having affinity for a certain target through a multistep process of virtual docking, scoring, validation, and simulation steps (Ekins et al. 2007a, b). Compounds identified by this type of screening method can be further optimized *in silico* using computer-based structural models through an understanding of the compound's structure–activity relationship (Bhat et al. 2019).

Any compound hits that come out of this type of screen should be further validated by empirical methods. Co-crystallization, differential scanning fluorimetry, and isothermal titration calorimetry are just a few. Most of these techniques require highly purified proteins. Because high-resolution structures of many CHIKV nsPs and glycoproteins are solved, they are ideal targets for the development of virtual screens and computer-aided design. Further into this chapter, we present examples of compounds identified through *in silico* screening methods and the methods used to validate their *in vivo* efficacy.

4 Small Molecule Inhibitor Strategies for Targeting CHIKV

4.1 *Inhibitors of CHIKV Entry*

Attachment of CHIKV particles to a host cell involves the binding of the viral E2 glycoprotein with a host cell receptor protein. CHIKV E2 has two surface-exposed domains (known as domains A and B) that are capable of binding to cells (Cho et al. 2008; Voss et al. 2010). Multiple factors are thought to be involved in CHIKV attachment including numerous proposed entry receptors including prohibitin-1, TIM-1, glycosaminoglycans, and others; thus far most of these proposed receptors act mainly as attachment factors to capture virus and facilitate entry (Hoorneweg et al. 2016; Moller-Tank et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2014; van Duijl-Richter et al. 2015; Wintachai et al. 2012). Mapping studies suggest E2 domain A contains a charged heparin sulfate-binding groove that may overlap with other cellular attachment protein-binding sites (Sahoo and Chowdary 2019). Most recently, a genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9-based host gene deletion screen identified the cell adhesion molecule Mxra8 as an entry mediator for CHIKV and other alphaviruses (Zhang et al. 2018). Mxra8 binds a surface-exposed region across the A and B domains of E2, which are also speculated attachment sites (Zhang et al. 2018). Studies with Mxra8 and other potential CHIKV entry receptors presented similar conclusions that no single receptor/factor is critical for CHIKV attachment/entry, and therefore, it is a combination of host factors that likely contribute to cell attachment and entry (Moller-Tank et al. 2013; Silva et al. 2014; van Duijl-Richter et al. 2015; Wintachai et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2018). This makes inhibition of viral entry difficult because blocking one factor of CHIKV entry will likely not be adequate to inhibit CHIKV replication. One place to start is the development of small molecule screens that target the Mxra8-binding region of E2 and the interaction sites of other putative CHIKV attachment/entry components. Treatment of mice with either an anti-Mxra8 antibody or a Mxra8-Fc fusion protein reduced CHIKV infection and associated foot swelling, suggesting that Mxra8-specific small molecule inhibitors may be an effective strategy to inhibit CHIKV infection. This strategy has yet to be successfully exploited against CHIKV, and the combination of multiple entry process inhibitors is one way to inhibit CHIKV infection during the early stages.

After attachment, CHIKV is internalized largely through clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), although clathrin-independent entry has also been reported (Ooi et al. 2013; Bernard et al. 2010; van Duijl-Richter et al. 2015). Although micropinocytosis has been identified as a major route of entry in muscle cells, which was inhibited by 5-(*N*-ethyl-*N*-isopropyl)amiloride (EIPA) (Lee et al. 2019). Inside the early acidic endosome, the low pH environment triggers CHIKV E1-mediated fusion of the viral envelope and endosomal membranes in a process that requires the presence of cholesterol (van Duijl-Richter et al. 2015). Membrane fusion is followed by virus disassembly and release of the nucleocapsid, uncoating, and release of the viral genome into the cytosol. Interfering with the formation of clathrin-coated pits and membrane fusion by adjusting endosome pH are successful strategies to inhibit CHIKV entry.

In 2012, the first CHIKV receptor prohibitin-1 (PHB1) was described, acting as a CHIKV-binding factor in human microglia cells (Wintachai et al. 2012). In addition, a class of naturally occurring plant compounds, flavaglines (FL), as well as their synthetic analogs (FL3 and FL23), and sulfonyl amides, are able to interact with PHB1 (Chang et al. 2011; Ribeiro Morais et al. 2011), representing a class of potential CHIKV inhibitors. Replication of CHIKV in HEK293 cells was maximally inhibited when cells were treated with the synthetic flavaglines FL3 and FL23 prior to CHIKV infection (Wintachai et al. 2015). However, little inhibitor activity was detected following a post-entry treatment regimen, suggesting FL3 and FL23 are capable of only inhibiting virus entry. In PHB-CHIKV E2 co-localization, there was a significant reduction in binding interactions between PHB1 and CHIKV E2 glycoprotein in the presence of FL3 or FL23, with reduction also occurring in the presence of the sulfonyl amide 1 m inhibitor. Approximately fifty percent of treated cells still displayed signs of infection, again indicating the role of additional coreceptors or CHIKV entry mechanisms.

Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) is another naturally occurring compound that inhibited CHIKV infection (Weber et al. 2015). A major component of green tea, EGCG has displayed antiviral abilities through an interaction with viral surface proteins that inhibits cellular attachment (Kaihatsu et al. 2018). While concurrent administration of EGCG with infectious CHIKV was able to reduce the rate of infection, this was not observed when EGCG was added following infection with CHIKV (Lu et al. 2017). This finding supports the proposed mechanism that EGCG functions as an antiviral through the inhibition of entry rather than inhibiting viral replication (Weber et al. 2015). This inhibition has been documented to affect the infectivity of both sialic acid and heparan-sulfate-binding viruses, inhibiting attachment of adenovirus, vesicular stomatitis virus, and vaccinia virus among others (Colpitts and Schang 2014).

Chloroquine is another broadly acting antiviral compound with efficacy against CHIKV. Initially developed as an antimalarial drug, chloroquine acts as a broad-spectrum antiviral through disruption of endosomal entry of viruses and inhibiting replication. Along with these activities, accumulation of chloroquine in lymphocytes and macrophages disrupts the secretion of proinflammatory molecules, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) and the receptor for TNF α

(Savarino et al. 2003). When tested for CHIKV inhibition, chloroquine was found to act in a time- and dose-specific manner. Pre-treatment inhibited viral binding to cells, likely by altering cell–virus interactions. Chloroquine was previously identified to alter the terminal glycosylation of angiotensin I converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), a receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). It is likely that chloroquine may act in a similar function to disrupt cellular receptors important for CHIKV infection (Khan et al. 2010). When chloroquine was provided concurrently with infectious CHIKV, or up to 1-hour post-infection, CHIKV infection was reduced, likely through the pH modulating effects of chloroquine on endosomes. This alteration in endosomal pH presumably inhibited virus–endosome interactions required for conformational changes in unpackaging (Khan et al. 2010). While the effects of chloroquine are quite dramatic in vitro, treatment efficacy in non-human primates and humans has been limited (Roques et al. 2018).

Arbidol or umifenovir is marketed as a prophylactic antiviral treatment against influenza A and B in both Russia and China but inhibits a wide breadth of viruses including Ebola, hepatitis C, and Tacaribe virus. Maximum inhibition of CHIKV occurred when arbidol was added prior to infection with IC_{50} values ranging from 5 to 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (Delogu et al. 2011). CHIKV resistance selected against arbidol occurs following a single amino acid substitution at G407R of the viral E2 glycoprotein. Mechanistically, the effects of arbidol are hypothesized to occur through disrupting the formation and integrity of cytopathic vacuoles attached to endosomes and lysosome membranes due to arbidol incorporation into these membranes (Blaising et al. 2014). Derivatives of arbidol with increased potency and selectivity index have been synthesized (Di Mola et al. 2014), but additional studies are required to elucidate the mechanism of action for arbidol against CHIKV and to further develop analogs that can extend the antiviral treatment window.

Suramin is a multifunctional polysulfonated small molecule with antiviral, -neoplastic, and -nematodal activities and is currently FDA approved for the treatment of trypanosomiasis in humans. Suramin has antiviral activities against a wide variety of viruses including CHIKV (Ho et al. 2015). While multiple mechanisms for suramin activity against CHIKV have been proposed, the compound inhibits viral entry (Albulescu et al. 2015). Interestingly, molecular docking studies indicate that suramin may embed itself into the cavity present in the E1/E2 heterodimer and interfere with their function (Ho et al. 2015). Other lead compounds have been identified using computational docking using the structure for the viral envelop, but testing for antiviral activity of these leads is still pending (Agarwal et al. 2019).

CHIKV fusion and budding are influenced by the lipid composition of the viral envelope and the host cell membrane. This is particularly the case for levels and composition of cholesterol and sphingolipids. Therefore, abnormalities in lipid metabolism can affect CHIKV infection outcomes. For example, depletion of cholesterol with methyl- β -cyclodextrin prior to CHIKV infection of cells reduces infection by up to 63% (Bernard et al. 2010). Treatment of human foreskin fibroblasts with either of two cholesterol trafficking inhibitors, U18666A and imipramine, results in a dose-dependent accumulation of intracellular cholesterol and

inhibition of CHIKV replication (Wichit et al. 2017). Imipramine was demonstrated to inhibit the CHIKV entry/fusion step and impair post-fusion viral RNA replication, suggesting the compound is able to interfere with two different stages of the CHIKV infection process. These results suggest other cholesterol inhibitors may have potential antiviral activities against CHIKV.

4.2 Inhibitors of CHIKV Structural Proteins

The outer envelope surface of CHIKV is made up of 80 trimeric spikes created by heterodimers of the E1 and E2 glycoproteins (Voss et al. 2010). E2 facilitates the binding of CHIKV to the surface of the host cell receptors (Weber et al. 2017) and contains a cytoplasmic tail that interacts directly with the viral capsid proteins (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2006). Upon entry, E1, a class II viral fusion protein, mediates fusion of the viral envelope and host cell endosomal membranes (Kielian et al. 2010; Uchime et al. 2013). E3, the third glycoprotein, binds exclusively to E2 forming pE2 (Li et al. 2010). E3 is cleaved from E2 by the host protease furin (Ozden et al. 2008) exposing a *N*-terminal signal peptide that targets the structural polyprotein toward the ER for initial processing (Strauss and Strauss 1994). E3 E1-E2 dimers can then form in the trans-Golgi network, with proper folding mediated by E3 (Metz and Pijlman 2016a; Wong and Chu 2018). After cleavage, E3 remains non-covalently linked to the dimers until the neutral pH of the plasma membrane causes its dissociation. This conformational change exposes the acid-sensitive region between E1 and E2, priming E1 for activation when exposed to low pH (Metz and Pijlman 2016a, b; Uchime et al. 2013).

CHIKV capsid proteins have three domains including: (1) a highly basic region that mediates non-specific RNA interactions while containing nuclear localization and export sequences; (2) a viral genomic RNA-binding region that also promotes capsid oligomerization; and (3) a serine protease capable of *cis* and *trans* cleavage of the viral capsid proteins (Metz and Pijlman 2016a; Weiss et al. 1994; Linger et al. 2004; Sokoloski et al. 2017; Aliperti and Schlesinger 1978; Choi et al. 1991; Melancon and Garoff 1987; Thomas et al. 2010). The capsid nuclear localization and export signals allow the protein to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm (Thomas et al. 2013). Mutation of the capsid NES causes nuclear retention and blockage of the nuclear import system, whereas mutation of NLS attenuates the virus (Jacobs et al. 2017; Taylor et al. 2017; Thomas et al. 2013). A hydrophobic domain present in the capsid also directly interacts with the C-terminal tail of E2 to promote assembly and to facilitate budding of CHIKV through the host cell plasma membrane (Sharma et al. 2018). The capsid hydrophobic-binding pocket binds the proline-405 residue of E2, a highly conserved residue in alphaviruses (Aggarwal et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2005). Picolinic acid (PCA), a potent inhibitor of CHIKV, closely resembles the molecular structure of proline. The compound is capable of binding to the hydrophobic pocket of CHIKV capsid (Sharma et al. 2016). Treatment with PCA results in a significant reduction in vRNA levels and infectious

virus, suggesting possible inhibitory effects on viral disassembly, replication, or nucleocapsid assembly (Sharma et al. 2016). Although the exact mechanism by which it functions remains unclear, the observed antiviral properties of PCA against CHIKV demonstrate the importance of blocking the hydrophobic domain of capsid. CHIKV capsid and protease activity continue to be an under-utilized target for inhibitor development with the potential to be a critical target for CHIKV inhibitors.

4.3 *Inhibitors of CHIKV Non-structural Proteins*

4.3.1 nsP1

The nsP1 protein is a viral mRNA capping enzyme with both methyltransferase and guanylyltransferase (GTase) activities. The protein is responsible for capping and methylation of new synthesized viral RNA protecting it from degradation by host exonucleases and directing efficient translation of viral mRNAs (Rupp et al. 2015; Wong and Chu 2018). Additionally, nsP1 plays an important role in RC formation and localization, which is directed by an alpha-helical amphipathic loop and palmitoylation site that allows nsP1 containing RCs to dock to the host cell plasma membrane. This process tethers RCs within spherules (Spuul et al. 2007). nsP1 is involved in the recruitment of other nsPs into spherules required for the formation of functional RCs (Abu Bakar and Ng 2018; Salonen et al. 2003). nsP1 is also involved in releasing budding particles from the cell membrane through interactions with the host protein tetherin (BST-2) (Jones et al. 2013). These functions of nsP1 make it an appealing target for drug discovery as blocking nsP1 functions disrupt RC formation and prevent vRNA synthesis (Abu Bakar and Ng 2018). However, the discovery and design of inhibitors targeting these functions have been difficult due to the lack of definitive structural knowledge about the interactions between nsPs and limited information about the structure of CHIKV spherules.

The most fully characterized inhibitors of CHIKV nsP1 target the GTase function of the capping machinery. MADTP-314 and MADTP-372 are CHIKV and VEEV nsP1 inhibitors that are part of the [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidin-7(6 h)-ones (MADTP) compound series identified using a cell-based CHIKV replication screen (Gigante et al. 2014, 2017). CHIKV resistance to MADTP-314 occurs through a P34S mutation in nsP1 GTase functional domain that was validated by reverse genetics. In vitro VEEV nsP1 GT assay studies determined that MADTP-372 disrupted GTase activity and downstream capping reactions, which are suggested to occur by either disruption of m⁷GTP-nsP1 complex formation or inhibition of guanylation (Delang et al. 2016; Gigante et al. 2017). Recently, an HTS was developed to identify compounds that inhibit the formation of the 5' cap by measuring competition for the GTP-binding site on CHIKV nsP1 using fluorescently labeled GTP (Bullard-Feibelman et al. 2016). This method identified the natural compound lobaric acid, as a GTP competitor for nsP1 binding and inhibitor of the guanylation step of the capping reaction (Feibelman et al. 2018).

4.3.2 nsP2

CHIKV nsP2 is a large protein with at least four enzymatic functions including: (1) helicase activity by unwinding double-stranded RNA in the 5' to 3' direction; (2) nucleotide triphosphatase (NTPase) activity; (3) RNA 5' triphosphatase activity; and (4) papain-like cysteine protease activity (Rupp et al. 2015; Wong and Chu 2018; Das et al. 2014b; Karpe et al. 2011; Ramakrishnan et al. 2017). Activity of the C-terminal protease domain is responsible for processing the viral nsP1234 polyprotein (Ramakrishnan et al. 2017). Additionally, a portion of nsP2 can localize to the nucleus where it plays a role in the shutoff of host transcription by mediating degradation of the RNA polymerase subunit II Rbp1 (Akhrymuk et al. 2012). nsP2 also mediates the shutoff of host translation by interacting with a number of ribosomal proteins (Strauss and Strauss 1994). Host cell transcriptional and translational shutoffs occur without any obvious negative effects to CHIKV replication. Although mutation of the nsP2 NLS of Semliki Forest virus (SFV) prevented the protein from entering the nucleus; this process also reduced SFV-induced cell death, likely due to a reduction in cytotoxicity associated with host shutoff (Tamm et al. 2008). Nuclear localized nsP2 is also capable of inhibiting innate immunity by suppression of JAK/STAT signaling. Therefore, multiple modes of action may be at play (Bhalla et al. 2016; Breakwell et al. 2007; Frolov et al. 2009; Gorchakov et al. 2005). These antiviral functions of nsP2 have earned the protein designation as a virulence factor as well. Thus, due to these effects on RNA replication and those directed against the host, nsP2 is a valid antiviral target for inhibiting CHIKV. For example, a high-throughput phenotypic screen to identify compounds that target virus-mediated host transcriptional shutoff induced by nsP2 was developed utilizing a trans-reporter where expression of a luciferase gene is driven by an artificial transcription factor (Lucas-Hourani et al. 2013b). This method successfully identified a natural compound that blocked nsP2 activity and inhibited CHIKV replication.

In recent years, the nsP2 protease function has become a major target of interest due to its essential role in viral replication and the success of FDA-approved inhibitors targeting HIV and HCV proteases (Manns and von Hahn 2013; Pokorna et al. 2009; De Clercq 2007). Peptidomimetic compounds that target nsP2 protease activity have been developed using a number of biochemical tools including a FRET-based protease assay (Singh et al. 2018). The crystal structures of CHIKV and VEEV nsP2 have been solved, and *in silico* screening for nsP2 protease inhibitors has begun. Millions of small molecule structures have been tested by a variety of virtual screening methods to identify compounds that interact with CHIKV nsP2 protease domain (Bassetto et al. 2013; Agarwal et al. 2015) and to explore the possible mechanism of action of compounds through *in silico* docking (Jadav et al. 2015). These types of *in silico* studies have generated a number of promising lead compounds; however, experiments that confirm antiviral activity and/or target specificity are needed to determine whether the *in silico* identified compounds inhibit by the predicted functions (Abu Bakar and Ng 2018; Dhindwal et al. 2017). Albeit, *in silico* predicted inhibitors targeting the catalytic site of the

nsP2 protease were active in viral inhibition assays and nsP2 protease function assays. Some of the predicted compounds decreased nsP2 protease function, viral RNA synthesis, and release of infectious viral particles (Das et al. 2016). This study supports the feasibility of virtual screens to identify target-specific viral inhibitors.

4.3.3 nsP3

The functional role of nsP3 during CHIKV replication is still unclear. Structurally the nsP3 protein is divided into three domains: (1) an N-terminal macrodomain; (2) an alphavirus unique domain (AUD) containing a zinc-binding region; and (3) a C-terminal hypervariable domain (Abu Bakar and Ng 2018). The highly conserved macrodomain is thought to regulate CHIKV replication through the binding of RNA and ADP-ribose and ADP-ribosyl hydrolase activities (Malet et al. 2009; McPherson et al. 2017; Abraham et al. 2018). These activities confer efficient CHIKV replication, indicating a need for the virus to evade host ADP-ribosylation of proteins or RNA but how this is important is still unclear. The highly conserved nature of the macrodomain and residues of the ADP-ribose-binding pocket within the alphavirus family and other RNA viruses makes it an ideal site for further development of macrodomain-specific antivirals. Using computer-aided design, we have screened a small fragment compound library to identify small molecules that bind within the ADP-ribose-binding pocket of the CHIKV macrodomain. We validated one fragment through crystal soaking and NMR. However, the small size of the fragments prohibits their utility as inhibitors in cell-based assays. We are currently utilizing computer-aided design to build larger fragments that increase their specificity and activity as CHIKV inhibitors. Other *in silico* studies aimed at similarly identifying small molecule inhibitors of the macrodomain ADP binding identified both naturally occurring small molecules (flavonoids) and pharmaceutical compounds with the potential to bind CHIKV nsP3 including the flavonoid naringenin (Nguyen et al. 2014; Pohjala et al. 2011; Seyedi et al. 2016).

Recent mutational studies of the CHIKV AUD suggest this domain determines species specificity and plays a key role in virus genome and RNA transcription assembly (Gao et al. 2019). Mutation of the AUD impaired subgenomic RNA synthesis, RNA-binding activity of the domain, and subcellular localization of nsP3 during CHIKV replication, in turn reducing virus production. This analysis highlights the potential for the nsP3 AUD as an antiviral target. nsP3 proteins from other alphaviruses reportedly interact with a diverse range of host factors including sphingosine kinase 2 (SK2), Hsp90B, PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway, and IkkB with mostly proviral outcomes (Lark et al. 2017), further supporting future investigations into their utility as antiviral targets by disruption of these virus–host protein interactions necessary to enhance virus replication. The C-terminal domain promotes CHIKV replication by interfering with stress granule formation through interactions with GTPase-activating protein (SH3 domain)-binding protein 1 (G3BP1) (Panas et al. 2012, 2014; Fros et al. 2012) and the mosquito version of G3BP1 called Rasputin (Fros et al. 2015). The heat shock protein Hsp-90 has also

been shown to interact with nsP3, but the role in virus replication is still unclear (Rathore et al. 2014). Despite the many potential target sites and key host and viral interactions of nsP3, only a limited number of studies have focused on developing antivirals targeting nsP3.

4.3.4 nsP4

The alphavirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) is encoded within nsP4, and this protein is the most highly conserved in the alphavirus family (Rupp et al. 2015; Weston et al. 2005; Pietila et al. 2017). Alphavirus RdRps are responsible for the replication of the viral genome and subgenomic transcripts. As part of the P123 early replication complex, nsP4 mediates the synthesis of the negative genomic strand from the incoming genome (Pietila et al. 2017). Once fully active, the RC complex containing the polymerase shifts to the synthesis of the 49S genomic RNA and 26S subgenomic RNA from these negative strand templates. nsP4 N-terminal domain also contains adenylyltransferase (TATase) activity, which was identified through mutational studies that indicated a role in adding or maintaining the 3' poly-A tail at the end of the genome (Rubach et al. 2009; Tomar et al. 2006). The N-terminal domain of nsP4 also contains an alphavirus-specific domain that is important for the interaction with the P123 complex and formation of RCs. Deletion of the 97 N-terminal residues prevents de novo RdRp activity regardless of the presence of P123 as well as the association with the P123 complex. This finding suggests that this region of nsP4 may be a valid target for small molecule inhibitor development (Rubach et al. 2009; Tomar et al. 2006). nsP4 also interacts with the host protein Hsp90 α via an unknown mechanism and, as mentioned above, inhibition of Hsp90 α decreases viral RNA and protein synthesis (Rathore et al. 2014).

Due to their unique structure, RNA virus RdRp is an important target for drug development. As such a number of compounds have been developed that block RNA virus replication. Initially developed as an anti-influenza virus inhibitor, favipiravir (T-705) has also shown good activity against many other divergent RNA virus polymerases including those encoded by alphaviruses (Furuta et al. 2017). However, T-705 is not active against DNA or DNA-dependent RNA polymerases making it selective to both plus and minus strand RNA viruses. Favipiravir is quickly converted into the triphosphate active form within cells, which is recognized as a substrate for the viral RdRp (Furuta et al. 2005). Functionally T-705 competitively inhibits the incorporation of ATP and GTP by the RdRp leading to chain termination (Delang et al. 2014; Furuta et al. 2013). T-1105 is a T-705 analog that effectively inhibits CHIKV nsP4 through interactions with the Lys-291 residue. T-705 is active against a wide variety of alphaviruses and other RNA viruses, potentially because the Lys-291 residue is conserved in the polymerases of positive-sense RNA viruses (Delang et al. 2014). β -D-N⁴-hydroxycytidine (NHC) is another nucleoside analog that targets viral RdRps. These types of nucleosides tend to work potently and for the development of even low-level resistance against NHC, the alphavirus VEEV requires the acquisition of multiple

cooperative mutations within the RdRp domain of nsP4 (Urakova et al. 2018). The FDA-approved drug Sofosbuvir is a UMP prodrug that gets converted to the active form in cells where it acts as a chain terminator for flavivirus RNA polymerases. Sofosbuvir was validated to also bind CHIKV nsP4 and inhibit RNA synthesis and virus replication in cultured cells and in vivo (Ferreira et al. 2019). NHC is also capable of inhibiting CHIKV replication (Ehteshami et al. 2017). In addition to these nucleoside analogs, HTS of chemical compound libraries identified a non-nucleoside benzimidazole compound possessing inhibitory activity against nsP4 (Wada et al. 2017). This compound inhibited the RdRp function of nsP4 by targeting residue Met-2295, potentially inhibiting the RdRp's ribonucleotide selection function (Wada et al. 2017). The potential is high for developing other nucleoside analogs or compounds that target alphavirus nsP4.

4.4 Inhibitors of CHIKV RNA Genome Replication

During replication, the viral RNA genome is first converted to the minus strand utilizing the P123/nsP4 complex. Once fully processed, the replication complex then mediates synthesis of the full-length genomic RNA (plus strand) as well as the subgenomic RNA. Targeting of many different nsP functions, as described above, can have pleiotropic effects on viral replication including vRNA synthesis. However, there are also a number of broad-spectrum RNA genome replication inhibitors described with activity against CHIKV. One of the first to be described is Ribavirin, which is a broad-spectrum antiviral that has already been approved for the treatment of RSV in infants and chronic hepatitis C infections (Pawlotsky 2014; Turner et al. 2014). Ribavirin has demonstrated antiviral activity against CHIKV and exhibited synergism with both doxycycline and IFN- α (Briolant et al. 2004; Rothan et al. 2015). Mechanistically, ribavirin is a guanosine analog, with the major proposed biomechanisms for inhibition of RNA viruses including interference with inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) function leading to depletion of GTP pools (Leyssen et al. 2006), as well as inhibition of viral RNA capping (Paeshuyse et al. 2011). Other possible mechanisms include an increased mutation rate as a result of the incorporation of ribavirin by the RdRp (Paeshuyse et al. 2011). Additional studies to determine the mechanism leading to ribavirin inhibition of CHIKV are ongoing, but it is important to note that it is effective only during the early stages of the CHIKV replication cycle (Mishra et al. 2016).

RNA viruses are highly mutable making them capable of developing resistance to small molecules. However, due to this relatively high mutation rate most RNA virus polymerases are unable to detect or repair damaged or altered nucleotides. This fact allows one to design nucleotide analogs as either chain terminators or ones that increase the mutation frequency above tolerable rates so that the virus becomes genetically unstable. A broad-spectrum viral genome replication inhibitor is the uridine analog 6-azauridine. Compared to ribavirin, 6-azauridine is more effective against CHIKV in infected cells (Briolant et al. 2004; Pohjala et al. 2011). Similar

to other nucleoside analogs, 6-azauridine most likely interferes with cellular UTP metabolism and the nucleoside analog incorporates into CHIKV RNA leading to genome error catastrophe (Rada and Dragun 1977; Scholte et al. 2013). While 6-azauridine has been approved for clinical use against psoriasis, further testing in animal models of viral infection is needed to examine *in vivo* antiviral activity (Deneau and Farber 1975; Crutcher and Moschella 1975).

5 Targeting Host Factors Involved in CHIKV Replication

The ability of viruses to rapidly evolve and select for resistant mutations to single antiviral treatments makes it necessary to identify antiviral drug cocktails containing individual compounds that lack overlapping resistance markers to increase therapeutic efficacy. Another way to reduce antiviral resistance is to target host proteins or processes required for virus replication. Development of host-targeting antivirals has another advantage in that they may have increased breadth of antiviral activity for viruses that share a cellular pathway. For example, harringtonine and its analogs homoharringtonine and cephalotaxine alkaloids were identified using an immunofluorescence-based screen of small molecule inhibitors derived from natural products to have potent anti-CHIKV activity (Kaur et al. 2013). Harringtonine functions as an inhibitor of eukaryotic translation by blocking the large ribosomal subunit (Fresno et al. 1977). The compound also inhibits translation of Epstein–Barr virus and influenza virus and has been used for translational profiling experiments (Bencun et al. 2018; Machkovech et al. 2019). Harringtonine blocked translation of CHIKV nsPs and inhibited viral RNA synthesis and subsequent production of structural proteins, indicating that the compound inhibits early viral translation events (Kaur et al. 2013). Resistance to harringtonine has yet to be reported for any virus. It is important to keep in mind the potential for off-target effects and isoform specificity that can limit host-directed antivirals especially for *in vivo* use.

Different screening methods have been used to identify CHIKV/host interactions important for the virus lifecycle. Linking the results from a human whole genome-wide loss-of-function siRNA screen with a drug repurposing database search was used to rapidly identify small molecule inhibitors of CHIKV (Karlás et al. 2016). In the CHIKV/HEK-293 cell loss-of-function screen, knockdown of 156 host genes increased virus replication, whereas 41 displayed antiviral activity. To identify potential antiviral small molecule inhibitors, the validated proviral factors were used to screen available databases that link their drugs to their experimentally validated target proteins. Using this process, 20 compounds were identified that interact with gene products of 14 CHIKV proviral factors that span six unique pathways including vacuolar-type H⁺ ATPase, CDD-like kinase 1 (CLK1), *fms*-related tyrosine kinase 4 (FLT4) calmodulin signaling, fatty acid synthesis, and lysine acetyltransferase 5 (KAT5). All 20 compounds inhibit CHIKV replication but, as expected, some display cytotoxicity. A combination regimen

containing TOFA, a fatty acid synthesis inhibitor, and the calmodulin inhibitor pimozide showed increased inhibition of CHIKV and significantly reduced CHIKV-induced footpad swelling (Karlas et al. 2016). As discussed below, research into host factors involved in CHIKV replication has identified additional potential inhibitors of virus replication and disease.

5.1 *Protease Inhibitors*

Host proteases are a diverse group of enzymes that catalyze the cleavage of the same or other proteins. The three large groupings of proteases include serine, cysteine, and metalloproteinases. The proteases recognize specific substrate amino acid sequences and perform cleavage of the scissile bond. Host furin is a serine-like protease that processes a number of different substrates including host-derived proalbumin and transforming growth factor beta. However, as a resident of the TGN, furin also cleaves a number of viral glycoproteins including tick-borne encephalitis virus, HIV gp160, and HCMV gB; and this process typically activates these proteins (Hallenberger et al. 1992; Stangherlin et al. 2017). Similarly, furin is involved in processing of the alphavirus envelope pE2/E3 precursor at short multibasic motifs during virion transport through the TGN (Klimstra et al. 1999; Ozden et al. 2008). This processing event is required for the formation of infectious particles for many alphaviruses including CHIKV, which validates furin as an antiviral target (Heidner et al. 1994, 1996; Klimstra et al. 1999). The irreversible furin inhibiting peptide decanoyl-RVKKR-chloromethyl-ketone (Dec-RVKKR-cmk) significantly inhibits CHIKV infection in human muscle satellite cells by impairing the formation of mature virus particles (Ozden et al. 2008). Interestingly, therapy combining dec-RVKKR-cmk with chloroquine had additive effects resulting in a near-complete suppression of virus spread and yield when added just prior to CHIKV infection. Obvious issues with selectivity and toxicity related to the plethora of cellular furin cleavage substrates as well as the large size of current furin inhibitors will need to be considered when assessing the antiviral therapeutic value for inhibitors of furin (Subudhi et al. 2018).

5.2 *Pyrimidine and Purine Synthesis Inhibitors*

RNA viruses rely heavily on the host pool of nucleosides for efficient replication. Changes in the concentration of ribonucleotide triphosphate pools can influence the ability of the RNA virus to replicate and possibly increase mutation frequency (Ortiz-Riano et al. 2014). A number of HTS campaigns have identified inhibitors of pyrimidine synthesis as potent antivirals with broad activity (Lucas-Hourani et al. 2013a; Chung et al. 2016; Hoffmann et al. 2011; Smee et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2011). The small molecule DD264 was identified through a cell-based HTS assay to

identify molecules that stimulate the interferon response, and through mechanism of action, experiments were identified as an inhibitor of de novo pyrimidine synthesis suggesting a unique link between the interferon response and pyrimidine biosynthesis (Lucas-Hourani et al. 2013a). DD264 inhibition of virus replication was dependent upon the activation of IRF1 suggesting an important role in innate immune activation. Interestingly, DD264 inhibition of CHIKV was blocked when the pyrimidine uridine but not purine guanosine was added to the culture medium also supporting that lowered pyrimidine levels are responsible for the activity of DD264. Dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), the fourth enzyme in the pyrimidine biosynthetic pathway, was identified as the target of DD264. DD264 has proven to be a useful tool to better understand the link between the innate immune response and pyrimidine biosynthesis during CHIKV replication. Targeting the mitochondrial electron transport with antimycin A also inhibits de novo pyrimidine synthesis resulting in a broad-spectrum antiviral effect (Raveh et al. 2013). Compounds that target purine biosynthesis have similar antiviral properties against CHIKV. Mycophenolic acid (MPA) inhibits the cellular enzyme inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase that is required for guanine synthesis. MPA inhibits CHIKV replication by blocking viral genome synthesis (Khan et al. 2011). The in vivo therapeutic application of pyrimidine biosynthesis inhibitors is complicated by the high uridine concentration in the body that can negate the antiviral effects, but there may be a utility as site-specific antiviral treatments.

5.3 Cellular Kinase Inhibitors

Viruses modify host kinase signaling pathways in order to adjust the host environment to promote their replication (Keating and Striker 2012). The PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway is involved in cell survival and alphaviruses activate this pathway. Semliki Forest virus nsP3 directly activates AKT at the plasma membrane where it is probably involved in the formation of the replication complex (Spuul et al. 2010). However, this effect might be virus-specific since CHIKV replication complex formation was not dependent upon this pathway (Thaa et al. 2015). CHIKV nsP3 is also involved in recruiting sphingosine kinase to the replication complex (Reid et al. 2015). There are a number of high-throughput methods that have been used to identify additional host kinases and signaling pathways involved in CHIKV replication and potential inhibitors. HTS screens utilizing kinase inhibitor libraries such as the BioFocus kinase inhibitor library identified six lead hit compounds with the most potent compound CND3514 a thiazole-4-carboxamide core scaffold inhibitor with an $EC_{50} = 2.2 \mu\text{M}$ (Cruz et al. 2013). Other approaches including genome wide or kinase focused siRNA library screens have also been used (Reid et al. 2015). The use of multiplexed inhibitor beads to profile changes in the kinome has also been employed to identify kinases relevant to CHIKV replication (Broeckel et al. 2019). Through this process, changes in the abundance/activity of the Src family kinase (SFK)-

phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT-mTORC signaling pathway during the course of CHIKV were discovered. Inhibition of this pathway with the SFK inhibitor dasatinib blocked replication of CHIKV and multiple other alphaviruses in human fibroblasts. In mechanism of action studies, dasatinib was found to block CHIKV subgenomic RNA translation, significantly reducing structural protein levels, without affecting synthesis of viral genomic or subgenomic RNA (Broeckel et al. 2019). A similar effect was observed with the mTORC1/2 inhibitor Torin. These results were in part due to a decreased amount of CHIKV RNA associated with polysomes during replication, suggesting CHIKV relies on SFKs for structural protein synthesis (Broeckel et al. 2019).

Protein kinase C (PKC) is a serine/threonine kinase that is recruited to the plasma membrane upon cellular activation in response to a number of stimuli. PKC may play a role in early viral entry steps that involve endosomal trafficking. Inhibition of PKC with H-7 blocked entry for a number of enveloped viruses including Sindbis virus (Constantinescu et al. 1991). PKC modulators have also been tested for their ability to inhibit CHIKV replication. Prostratin and 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) inhibited CHIKV replication in Vero cells but TPA may be CHIKV-specific as it fails to block other alphaviruses (Bourjot et al. 2012). Aplysiatoxin analogs, known PKC activators, debromoaplysiatoxin and 3-methoxydebromoaplysiatoxin were also reported to inhibit CHIKV (Gupta et al. 2014). The pan-PKC modulator byrostatin 21 also potently inhibited CHIKV replication without modulating the cellular PKC pathways, which suggest that these compounds may also work through PKC-independent pathways. (Staveness et al. 2016). Deciphering the mechanisms of how PKC modulates the CHIKV lifecycle requires further investigation. Similar to the other host targeted antivirals discussed, the clinical use of PKC modulators will be limited because of the importance of PKC in normal cell survival. Additional studies are required to fully elucidate the specific cellular kinase pathways involved in CHIKV replication in order to fully explore the development of novel small molecule antivirals.

5.4 *Inhibitors of Protein Chaperones*

Cytoplasmic proteins and those traversing through the cellular secretory compartment require chaperones for proper folding and disulfide bond formation that is important for proper trafficking, increased stability, and improved function. Two categories of chaperones have been shown to be involved in CHIKV replication including the Heat shock protein-90 (Hsp-90) and protein disulfide isomerases (PDI). For example, Hsp-90 is a highly abundant chaperone that is utilized by both cellular and viral proteins to ensure proper folding, maturation, localization, and turnover of substrate proteins. Hsp-90 plays an important role in the replication of many RNA and DNA viruses making it a possible target for broad-spectrum antiviral development. The Hsp-90 inhibitor geldanamycin and synthetic analogs of geldanamycin HS-10 and SNX-2112 all inhibit CHIKV replication

(Rathore et al. 2014). Geldanamycin inhibition has shown that Hsp-90 is essential during the early stages of CHIKV replication by promoting nsP2 stability (Das et al. 2014a). Hsp-90 also interacts with CHIKV nsP3 and nsP4, and Hsp-90 α may play an important role in the stabilization of nsP4 and formation of the replication complex (Rathore et al. 2014). HS-10 and SNX-2112 treatment significantly reduced serum viral titers at 48 hpi and decreased CHIKV-induced joint swelling disease and inflammatory cytokine production in SVA129 infected mice (Rathore et al. 2013). However, the development of Hsp-90 inhibitors for in vivo use has been difficult because the chaperone is involved in many cellular processes and signaling pathways that can cause a certain level of cytotoxicity when inhibited. A recently developed second-generation Hsp-90 inhibitor called Ganetespib has decreased cytotoxicity and in vivo safety (Jhaveri and Modi 2015).

Inhibitors of cellular PDIs block CHIKV infection by decreasing the infectivity per particle ratio of secreted viruses (Langsjoen et al. 2017). Since CHIKV E1 and E2 glycoproteins require specific disulfide bonding patterns between conserved cysteine residues, the reduction in infectivity is likely to require host PDI for envelope protein function. Consistent with this hypothesis, PDI inhibitors decreased cell–cell fusion events facilitated by E1. Auranofin, an FDA-approved thioredoxin reductase (TRX-R) inhibitor, was the most promising compound with a therapeutic index of 104.5 at 12 hpi, and was efficacious in mouse models of CHIKV infection and disease (Langsjoen et al. 2017).

6 Conclusions

The development of inhibitors against Chikungunya virus is critical for treating infected patients to prevent or reduce transmission and disease. CHIKV remains a clinically relevant human pathogen due to the severity and chronicity of disease and explosive nature of viral epidemics. Recent development of inhibitors against CHIKV has identified a number of viable viral and cellular targets that, when blocked, can robustly inhibit virus replication. However, there are a number of challenges that remain to overcome in order to successfully treat patients. For example, the virus rapidly mutates indicating that the virus can quickly develop resistance to most single-drug regimens. This would indicate that two or more drugs that target unique aspects of virus replication are required to limit resistance that would render the drug ineffective. Another major issue that needs to be addressed is the aspect of determining what the therapeutic window is for treating CHIKV infection and disease. Due to the chronicity of the viral infection and disease, when testing new antivirals, it is important to not only assess efficacy during the acute phase but also the chronic phase in order to establish the effective therapeutic window. The process of developing inhibitors and identifying the antiviral targets will continue to also improve our understanding of the virus lifecycle (Table 1).

Table 1 Viral and host-directed antivirals against Chikungunya virus

Compound	Target/MOA	Validation method	References
<i>Inhibitors of CHIKV entry</i>			
FL23/FL3	Entry	In vitro	Wintachai et al. (2015)
EGCG	Entry	In vitro	Weber et al. (2015)
Chloroquine	Entry	In vitro	Khan et al. (2010)
Arbidol/Emifenovir	Entry	In vitro	Delogu et al. (2011)
IIIe 7/IIIf (Arbidol derivatives)	Entry	In vitro	Di Mola et al. (2014)
Imipramine	Entry	In vitro	Wichit et al. (2017)
U18666A	Entry	In vitro	Wichit et al. (2017)
Suramin	Entry	In vitro	Ho et al. (2015)
<i>Inhibitors of CHIKV structural proteins</i>			
Picolinic acid	Capsid protein	In vitro	Sharma et al. (2016)
<i>Inhibitors of CHIKV non-structural proteins</i>			
<i>nsP1</i>			
MADTP	nsP1	In vitro	Delang et al. (2016), Gigante et al. (2014, 2017)
Lobaric acid	nsP1	In vitro	Feibelman et al. (2018)
<i>nsP2</i>			
2E	nsP2	In silico/ in vitro	Bassetto et al. (2013), Das et al. (2016)
IDI452-2	nsp2	In vitro	Lucas-Hourani et al. (2013b)
NCL1610	nsP2	In silico	Nguyen et al. (2015)
ZINC67680487	nsP2	In silico	Jadav et al. (2015)
ZINV0472520	nsP2	In silico/ in vitro	Jadav et al. (2017)
<i>nsP3</i>			
Naringenin	nsp3	In silico/ in vitro	Pohjala et al. (2011), Seyedi et al. (2016)
NCA_25457	nsp3	In silico	Nguyen et al. (2014)
NC_345647	nsp3	In silico	Nguyen et al. (2014)
<i>nsP4</i>			
Favipiravir (T705)	nsP4	In vitro/ in vivo	Delang et al. (2014)
B NHC	nsP4	In vitro	Ehteshami et al. (2017)
Compound A	nsP4	In vitro	Wada et al. (2017)

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)

Compound	Target/MOA	Validation method	References
<i>Inhibitors of CHIKV RNA genome replication</i>			
Ribavirin	Nucleoside analog	In vitro	Briolant et al. (2004), Pohjala et al. (2011)
6-Azauridine	Nucleoside analog	In vitro	Briolant et al. (2004), Pohjala et al. (2011)
<i>Host-targeting compounds</i>			
Pimozide	Calmodulin	In vitro/ in vivo	Karlas et al. (2016)
TOFA	Fatty acid synthesis	In vitro/ in vivo	Karlas et al. (2016)
Dec-RVVR-cmk	Furin	In vitro	Ozden et al. (2008)
<i>Pyrimidine and purine synthesis inhibitors</i>			
DD264	De novo pyrimidine biosynthesis	In vitro	Lucas-Hourani et al. (2013a)
Mycophenolic acid	Guanine synthesis	In vitro	Khan et al. (2011)
<i>Inhibitors of cellular kinases</i>			
CND3514	Kinase	In vitro	Cruz et al. (2013)
Dasatinib	Src family kinases	In vitro	Broeckel et al. (2019)
Torin	mTORC 1/2	In vitro	Broeckel et al. (2019)
Prostratin	PKC	In vitro	Bourjot et al. (2012)
12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate	PKC	In vitro	Bourjot et al. (2012)
Debromoaplysiatoxin	PKC	In vitro	Gupta et al. (2014)
3-Methoxydebromoaplysiatoxin	PKC	In vitro	Gupta et al. (2014)
Bryostatins-21	PKC	In vitro	Staveness et al. (2016)
<i>Inhibitors of protein chaperones</i>			
Geldanamycin	Hsp-90	In vitro	Rathore et al. (2014)
HS-10	Hsp-90	In vitro/ in vivo	Rathore et al. (2014)
17-AAG	Hsp-90	In vitro/ in vivo	Nayak et al. (2017)
SNX-2112	Hsp-90	In vitro/ in vivo	Rathore et al. (2014)
Auranofin	Thioredoxin reductase	In vitro/ in vivo	Langsjoen et al. (2017)
PACMA31	Protein disulfide isomerase	In vitro/ in vivo	Langsjoen et al. (2017)

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Andrew Townsend for graphical work included in Fig. 2 and Patricia Smith for editorial comments to the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (1U19AI109680-01).

References

- Abraham R, Hauer D, McPherson RL, Utt A, Kirby IT, Cohen MS et al (2018) ADP-ribosyl-binding and hydrolase activities of the alphavirus nsP3 macrodomain are critical for initiation of virus replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 115(44):E10457-E66. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812130115>
- Abu Bakar F, Ng LFP (2018) Nonstructural proteins of alphavirus-potential targets for drug development. *Viruses* 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10020071>
- Agarwal G, Gupta S, Gabrani R, Gupta A, Chaudhary VK, Gupta V (2019) Virtual screening of inhibitors against envelope glycoprotein of Chikungunya virus: a drug repositioning approach. *Bioinformation* 15(6):439–447. <https://doi.org/10.6026/97320630015439>
- Agarwal T, Asthana S, Bissoyi A (2015) Molecular modeling and docking study to elucidate novel Chikungunya virus nsP2 protease inhibitors. *Indian J Pharm Sci* 77(4):453–460
- Aggarwal M, Tapas S, Preeti, Siwach A, Kumar P, Kuhn RJ et al (2012) Crystal structure of aura virus capsid protease and its complex with dioxane: new insights into capsid-glycoprotein molecular contacts. *PLoS One* 7(12):e51288. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051288>
- Akhrymuk I, Kulemzin SV, Frolova EI (2012) Evasion of the innate immune response: the old world alphavirus nsP2 protein induces rapid degradation of Rpb1, a catalytic subunit of RNA polymerase II. *J Virol* 86(13):7180–7191. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00541-12>
- Albulescu IC, van Hoolwerff M, Wolters LA, Bottaro E, Nastruzzi C, Yang SC et al (2015) Suramin inhibits Chikungunya virus replication through multiple mechanisms. *Antiviral Res* 121:39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2015.06.013>
- Aliperti G, Schlesinger MJ (1978) Evidence for an autoprotease activity of sindbis virus capsid protein. *Virology* 90(2):366–369. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(78\)90321-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(78)90321-5)
- Bandeira AC, Campos GS, Sardi SI, Rocha VF, Rocha GC (2016) Neonatal encephalitis due to Chikungunya vertical transmission: first report in Brazil. *IDCases* 5:57–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2016.07.008>
- Barton DJ, Sawicki SG, Sawicki DL (1991) Solubilization and immunoprecipitation of alphavirus replication complexes. *J Virol* 65(3):1496–1506
- Bassetto M, De Burghgraeve T, Delang L, Massarotti A, Coluccia A, Zonta N et al (2013) Computer-aided identification, design and synthesis of a novel series of compounds with selective antiviral activity against Chikungunya virus. *Antiviral Res* 98(1):12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.01.002>
- Bencun M, Klinke O, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Klaus S, Tsai MH, Poirey R et al (2018) Translational profiling of B cells infected with the Epstein-Barr virus reveals 5' leader ribosome recruitment through upstream open reading frames. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46(6):2802–2819. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky129>
- Bernard E, Solignat M, Gay B, Chazal N, Higgs S, Devaux C et al (2010) Endocytosis of Chikungunya virus into mammalian cells: role of clathrin and early endosomal compartments. *PLoS ONE* 5(7):e11479. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011479>
- Bhalla N, Sun C, Metthew Lam LK, Gardner CL, Ryman KD, Klimstra WB (2016) Host translation shutoff mediated by non-structural protein 2 is a critical factor in the antiviral state resistance of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus. *Virology* 496:147–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2016.06.005>
- Bhat SM, Mudgal PP, Sudheesh N, Arunkumar G (2019) Spectrum of candidate molecules against Chikungunya virus—an insight into the antiviral screening platforms. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Theory* 17(4):243–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14787210.2019.1595591>

- Blaising J, Polyak SJ, Pecheur EI (2014) Arbidol as a broad-spectrum antiviral: an update. *Antiviral Res* 107:84–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2014.04.006>
- Borgherini G, Poubeau P, Jossaume A, Gouix A, Cotte L, Michault A et al (2008) Persistent arthralgia associated with Chikungunya virus: a study of 88 adult patients on reunion island. *Clin Infect Dis* 47(4):469–475
- Bourjot M, Delang L, Nguyen VH, Neyts J, Gueritte F, Leyssen P et al (2012) Prostratin and 12-*O*-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate are potent and selective inhibitors of Chikungunya virus replication. *J Nat Prod* 75(12):2183–2187. <https://doi.org/10.1021/np300637t>
- Breakwell L, Dosenovic P, Karlsson Hedestam GB, D'Amato M, Liljestrom P, Fazakerley J et al (2007) Semliki Forest virus nonstructural protein 2 is involved in suppression of the type I interferon response. *J Virol* 81(16):8677–8684
- Briolant S, Garin D, Scaramozzino N, Jouan A, Crance JM (2004) In vitro inhibition of Chikungunya and Semliki Forest viruses replication by antiviral compounds: synergistic effect of interferon-alpha and ribavirin combination. *Antiviral Res* 61(2):111–117
- Broeckel R, Sarkar S, May NA, Totonchy J, Kreklywich CN, Smith P et al (2019) Src Family kinase inhibitors block translation of alphavirus Subgenomic mRNAs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 63(4). <https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.02325-18>
- Bullard-Feibelman KM, Fuller BP, Geiss BJ (2016) A sensitive and robust high-throughput screening assay for inhibitors of the Chikungunya virus nsP1 capping enzyme. *PLoS ONE* 11(7):e0158923. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158923>
- Cassadou S, Boucau S, Petit-Sinturel M, Huc P, Leparç-Goffart I, Ledrans M (2014) Emergence of Chikungunya fever on the French side of Saint Martin island, October–December 2013. *Euro Surveill* 19(13). <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es2014.19.13.20752>
- Chang SY, Bae SJ, Lee MY, Baek SH, Chang S, Kim SH (2011) Chemical affinity matrix-based identification of prohibitin as a binding protein to anti-resorptive sulfonyl amidine compounds. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 21(2):727–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.11.123>
- Charrel RN, de Lamballerie X, Raoult D (2007) Chikungunya outbreaks—the globalization of vectorborne diseases. *N Engl J Med* 356(8):769–771. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp078013>
- Cho B, Jeon BY, Kim J, Noh J, Kim J, Park M et al (2008) Expression and evaluation of Chikungunya virus E1 and E2 envelope proteins for serodiagnosis of Chikungunya virus infection. *Yonsei Med J* 49(5):828–835. <https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2008.49.5.828>
- Choi HK, Tong L, Minor W, Dumas P, Boege U, Rossmann MG et al (1991) Structure of Sindbis virus core protein reveals a chymotrypsin-like serine proteinase and the organization of the virion. *Nature* 354(6348):37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1038/354037a0>
- Chopra A, Anuradha V, Ghorpade R, Saluja M (2012) Acute Chikungunya and persistent musculoskeletal pain following the 2006 Indian epidemic: a 2-year prospective rural community study. *Epidemiol Infect* 140(5):842–850. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268811001300>
- Chung DH, Golden JE, Adcock RS, Schroeder CE, Chu YK, Sotsky JB et al (2016) Discovery of a broad-spectrum antiviral compound that inhibits pyrimidine biosynthesis and establishes a type 1 interferon-independent antiviral state. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 60(8):4552–4562. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00282-16>
- Colpitts CC, Schang LM (2014) A small molecule inhibits virion attachment to heparan sulfate- or sialic acid-containing glycans. *J Virol* 88(14):7806–7817. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00896-14>
- Constantinescu SN, Cernescu CD, Popescu LM (1991) Effects of protein kinase C inhibitors on viral entry and infectivity. *FEBS Lett* 292(1–2):31–33. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(91\)80826-o](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(91)80826-o)
- Crutcher WA, Moschella SL (1975) Double-blind controlled crossover high-dose study of Azaribine in psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol* 92(2):199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.1975.tb03059.x>

- Cruz DJ, Bonotto RM, Gomes RG, da Silva CT, Taniguchi JB, No JH et al (2013) Identification of novel compounds inhibiting Chikungunya virus-induced cell death by high throughput screening of a kinase inhibitor library. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 7(10):e2471. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002471>
- Das I, Basantray I, Mamidi P, Nayak TK, Pratheek BM, Chattopadhyay S, Chattopadhyay S (2014a) Heat shock protein 90 positively regulates Chikungunya virus replication by stabilizing viral non-structural protein nsP2 during infection. *PLoS One*. 9(6):e100531. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100531>
- Das PK, Merits A, Lulla A (2014b) Functional cross-talk between distant domains of Chikungunya virus non-structural protein 2 is decisive for its RNA-modulating activity. *J Biol Chem* 289(9):5635–5653. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.503433>
- Das PK, Puusepp L, Varghese FS, Utt A, Ahola T, Kananovich DG et al (2016) Design and validation of novel Chikungunya virus protease inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 60(12):7382–7395. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01421-16>
- De Clercq E (2007) The design of drugs for HIV and HCV. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 6(12):1001–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2424>
- de Groot RJ, Hardy WR, Shirako Y, Strauss JH (1990) Cleavage-site preferences of Sindbis virus polyproteins containing the non-structural proteinase. Evidence for temporal regulation of polyprotein processing in vivo. *EMBO J* 9(8):2631–2638
- Delang L, Li C, Tas A, Querat G, Albulescu IC, De Burghgraeve T et al (2016) The viral capping enzyme nsP1: a novel target for the inhibition of Chikungunya virus infection. *Sci Rep* 6:31819. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31819>
- Delang L, Segura Guerrero N, Tas A, Querat G, Pastorino B, Froeyen M et al (2014) Mutations in the Chikungunya virus non-structural proteins cause resistance to favipiravir (T-705), a broad-spectrum antiviral. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 69(10):2770–2784. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku209>
- Delogu I, Pastorino B, Baronti C, Nougairede A, Bonnet E, de Lamballerie X (2011) In vitro antiviral activity of arbidol against Chikungunya virus and characteristics of a selected resistant mutant. *Antiviral Res* 90(3):99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2011.03.182>
- Deneau DG, Farber EM (1975) The treatment of psoriasis with azaribine. *Dermatologica* 151(3):158–163
- Dhindwal S, Kesari P, Singh H, Kumar P, Tomar S (2017) Conformer and pharmacophore based identification of peptidomimetic inhibitors of Chikungunya virus nsP2 protease. *J Biomol Struct Dyn* 35(16):3522–3539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2016.1261046>
- Di Mola A, Peduto A, La Gatta A, Delang L, Pastorino B, Neyts J et al (2014) Structure-activity relationship study of arbidol derivatives as inhibitors of Chikungunya virus replication. *Bioorg Med Chem* 22(21):6014–6025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2014.09.013>
- Dryga SA, Dryga OA, Schlesinger S (1997) Identification of mutations in a sindbis virus variant able to establish persistent infection in BHK cells: the importance of a mutation in the nsP2 gene. *Virology* 228(1):74–83. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1996.8364>
- Dubin DT, Stollar V (1975) Methylation of sindbis virus “26S” messenger RNA. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 66(4):1373–1379. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291x\(75\)90511-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291x(75)90511-2)
- Dubin DT, Stollar V, Hsueh CC, Timko K, Guild GM (1977) Sindbis virus messenger RNA: the 5'-termini and methylated residues of 26 and 42 S RNA. *Virology* 77(2):457–470. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(77\)90471-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(77)90471-8)
- Ehteshami M, Tao S, Zandi K, Hsiao HM, Jiang Y, Hammond E et al (2017) Characterization of β -d-N(4)-Hydroxycytidine as a novel inhibitor of Chikungunya virus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 61(4). <https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.02395-16>
- Ekins S, Mestres J, Testa B (2007a) In silico pharmacology for drug discovery: applications to targets and beyond. *Br J Pharmacol* 152(1):21–37. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707306>
- Ekins S, Mestres J, Testa B (2007b) In silico pharmacology for drug discovery: methods for virtual ligand screening and profiling. *Br J Pharmacol* 152(1):9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707305>

- Feibelman KM, Fuller BP, Li L, LaBarbera DV, Geiss BJ (2018) Identification of small molecule inhibitors of the Chikungunya virus nsP1 RNA capping enzyme. *Antiviral Res* 154:124–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2018.03.013>
- Ferreira AC, Reis PA, de Freitas CS, Sacramento CQ, Villas Boas Hoelz L, Bastos MM et al (2019) Beyond members of the Flaviviridae family, sofosbuvir also inhibits Chikungunya virus replication. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 63(2). <https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01389-18>
- Fresno M, Jimenez A, Vazquez D (1977) Inhibition of translation in eukaryotic systems by harringtonine. *Eur J Biochem/FEBS* 72(2):323–330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1977.tb11256.x>
- Frolov I, Agapov E, Hoffman TA Jr, Pragai BM, Lippa M, Schlesinger S et al (1999) Selection of RNA replicons capable of persistent noncytopathic replication in mammalian cells. *J Virol* 73 (5):3854–3865
- Frolov I, Garmashova N, Atasheva S, Frolova EI (2009) Random insertion mutagenesis of sindbis virus nonstructural protein 2 and selection of variants incapable of downregulating cellular transcription. *J Virol* 83(18):9031–9044. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00850-09>
- Frolova EI, Gorchakov R, Pereboeva L, Atasheva S, Frolov I (2010) Functional Sindbis virus replicative complexes are formed at the plasma membrane. *J Virol* 84(22):11679–11695. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01441-10>
- Fros JJ, Domeradzka NE, Baggen J, Geertsema C, Flipse J, Vlak JM et al (2012) Chikungunya virus nsP3 blocks stress granule assembly by recruitment of G3BP into cytoplasmic foci. *J Virol* 86(19):10873–10879. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01506-12>
- Fros JJ, Geertsema C, Zouache K, Baggen J, Domeradzka N, van Leeuwen DM et al (2015) Mosquito Rasputin interacts with Chikungunya virus nsP3 and determines the infection rate in *Aedes albopictus*. *Parasit Vectors* 8:464. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-1070-4>
- Furuta Y, Gowen BB, Takahashi K, Shiraki K, Smee DF, Barnard DL (2013) Favipiravir (T-705), a novel viral RNA polymerase inhibitor. *Antiviral Res* 100(2):446–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.09.015>
- Furuta Y, Komeno T, Nakamura T (2017) Favipiravir (T-705), a broad spectrum inhibitor of viral RNA polymerase. *Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci* 93(7):449–463. <https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab.93.027>
- Furuta Y, Takahashi K, Kuno-Maekawa M, Sangawa H, Uehara S, Kozaki K et al (2005) Mechanism of action of T-705 against influenza virus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 49 (3):981–986. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.3.981-986.2005>
- Gao Y, Goonawardane N, Ward J, Tuplin A, Harris M (2019) Multiple roles of the non-structural protein 3 (nsP3) alphavirus unique domain (AUD) during Chikungunya virus genome replication and transcription. *PLoS Pathog* 15(1):e1007239. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007239>
- Garoff H, Sjoberg M, Cheng RH (2004) Budding of alphaviruses. *Virus Res* 106(2):103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2004.08.008>
- Gerardin P, Guernier V, Perrau J, Fianu A, Le Roux K, Grivard P et al (2008) Estimating Chikungunya prevalence in La Reunion Island outbreak by serosurveys: two methods for two critical times of the epidemic. *BMC Infect Dis* 8:99. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-8-99>
- Gigante A, Canela MD, Delang L, Priego EM, Camarasa MJ, Querat G et al (2014) Identification of [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidin-7(6H)-ones as novel inhibitors of Chikungunya virus replication. *J Med Chem* 57(10):4000–4008. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jm401844c>
- Gigante A, Gomez-SanJuan A, Delang L, Li C, Bueno O, Gamo AM et al (2017) Antiviral activity of [1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidin-7(6H)-ones against Chikungunya virus targeting the viral capping nsP1. *Antiviral Res* 144:216–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.06.003>
- Gopakumar H, Ramachandran S (2012) Congenital Chikungunya. *J Clin Neonatol* 1(3):155–156. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-4847.101704>
- Gorchakov R, Frolova E, Frolov I (2005) Inhibition of transcription and translation in Sindbis virus-infected cells. *J Virol* 79(15):9397–9409

- Gupta DK, Kaur P, Leong ST, Tan LT, Prinsep MR, Chu JJ (2014) Anti-Chikungunya viral activities of aplysiatoxin-related compounds from the marine cyanobacterium *Trichodesmium erythraeum*. *Mar Drugs* 12(1):115–127. <https://doi.org/10.3390/md12010115>
- Hallenberger S, Bosch V, Anglikar H, Shaw E, Klenk HD, Garten W (1992) Inhibition of furin-mediated cleavage activation of HIV-1 glycoprotein gp160. *Nature* 360(6402):358–361. <https://doi.org/10.1038/360358a0>
- Heidner HW, Knott TA, Johnston RE (1996) Differential processing of sindbis virus glycoprotein PE2 in cultured vertebrate and arthropod cells. *J Virol* 70(3):2069–2073
- Heidner HW, McKnight KL, Davis NL, Johnston RE (1994) Lethality of PE2 incorporation into Sindbis virus can be suppressed by second-site mutations in E3 and E2. *J Virol* 68(4):2683–2692
- Ho YJ, Wang YM, Lu JW, Wu TY, Lin LI, Kuo SC et al (2015) Suramin inhibits Chikungunya virus entry and transmission. *PLoS ONE* 10(7):e0133511. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133511>
- Hoffmann HH, Kunz A, Simon VA, Palese P, Shaw ML (2011) Broad-spectrum antiviral that interferes with de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(14):5777–5782. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1101143108>
- Hoornweg TE, van Duijl-Richter MKS, Ayala Nunez NV, Albulescu IC, van Hemert MJ, Smit JM (2016) Dynamics of Chikungunya virus cell entry unraveled by single-virus tracking in living cells. *J Virol* 90(9):4745–4756. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03184-15>
- Jacobs SC, Taylor A, Herrero LJ, Mahalingam S, Fazakerley JK (2017) Mutation of a conserved nuclear export sequence in Chikungunya virus capsid protein disrupts host cell nuclear import. *Viruses* 9(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v9100306>
- Jadav SS, Sinha BN, Hilgenfeld R, Jayaprakash V (2017) Computer-aided structure based drug design approaches for the discovery of new anti-CHIKV agents. *Curr Comput Aided Drug Des* 13(4):346–361. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1573409913666170309145308>
- Jadav SS, Sinha BN, Hilgenfeld R, Pastorino B, de Lamballerie X, Jayaprakash V (2015) Thiazolidone derivatives as inhibitors of Chikungunya virus. *Eur J Med Chem* 89:172–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.10.042>
- Jhaveri K, Modi S (2015) Ganetespib: research and clinical development. *Onco Targets Ther* 8:1849–1858. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S65804>
- Jones PH, Maric M, Madison MN, Maury W, Roller RJ, Okeoma CM (2013) BST-2/tetherin-mediated restriction of Chikungunya (CHIKV) VLP budding is counteracted by CHIKV non-structural protein 1 (nsP1). *Virology* 438(1):37–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2013.01.010>
- Jose J, Przybyla L, Edwards TJ, Perera R, Burgner JW 2nd, Kuhn RJ (2012) Interactions of the cytoplasmic domain of Sindbis virus E2 with nucleocapsid cores promote alphavirus budding. *J Virol* 86(5):2585–2599. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.05860-11>
- Jose J, Snyder JE, Kuhn RJ (2009) A structural and functional perspective of alphavirus replication and assembly. *Future Microbiol* 4(7):837–856. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.09.59>
- Kaihatsu K, Yamabe M, Ebara Y (2018) Antiviral mechanism of action of epigallocatechin-3-O-gallate and its fatty acid esters. *Molecules* 23(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23102475>
- Karlas A, Berre S, Couderc T, Varjak M, Braun P, Meyer M et al (2016) A human genome-wide loss-of-function screen identifies effective Chikungunya antiviral drugs. *Nat Commun* 7:11320. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11320>
- Karpe YA, Aher PP, Lole KS (2011) NTPase and 5'-RNA triphosphatase activities of Chikungunya virus nsP2 protein. *PLoS ONE* 6(7):e22336. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022336>
- Kaur P, Thiruchelvan M, Lee RC, Chen H, Chen KC, Ng ML et al (2013) Inhibition of Chikungunya virus replication by harringtonine, a novel antiviral that suppresses viral protein expression. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57(1):155–167. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01467-12>
- Keating JA, Striker R (2012) Phosphorylation events during viral infections provide potential therapeutic targets. *Rev Med Virol* 22(3):166–181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.722>

- Khan M, Dhanwani R, Patro IK, Rao PV, Parida MM (2011) Cellular IMPDH enzyme activity is a potential target for the inhibition of Chikungunya virus replication and virus induced apoptosis in cultured mammalian cells. *Antiviral Res* 89(1):1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2010.10.009>
- Khan M, Santhosh SR, Tiwari M, Lakshmana Rao PV, Parida M (2010) Assessment of in vitro prophylactic and therapeutic efficacy of chloroquine against Chikungunya virus in vero cells. *J Med Virol* 82(5):817–824. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.21663>
- Kielian M, Chanel-Vos C, Liao M (2010) Alphavirus entry and membrane fusion. *Viruses* 2(4):796–825. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v2040796>
- Kim HY, Patkar C, Warriar R, Kuhn R, Cushman M (2005) Design, synthesis, and evaluation of dioxane-based antiviral agents targeted against the Sindbis virus capsid protein. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 15(13):3207–3211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2005.05.013>
- Kim KH, Rumenapf T, Strauss EG, Strauss JH (2004) Regulation of Semliki Forest virus RNA replication: a model for the control of alphavirus pathogenesis in invertebrate hosts. *Virology* 323(1):153–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2004.03.009>
- Klimstra WB, Heidner HW, Johnston RE (1999) The furin protease cleavage recognition sequence of sindbis virus PE2 can mediate virion attachment to cell surface heparan sulfate. *J Virol* 73(8):6299–6306
- Langsjoen RM, Auguste AJ, Rossi SL, Roundy CM, Penate HN, Kastis M et al (2017) Host oxidative folding pathways offer novel anti-Chikungunya virus drug targets with broad spectrum potential. *Antiviral Res* 143:246–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2017.04.014>
- Lark T, Keck F, Narayanan A (2017) Interactions of alphavirus nsP3 protein with host proteins. *Front Microbiol* 8:2652. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02652>
- Lebrun G, Chadda K, Reboux AH, Martinet O, Gauzere BA (2009) Guillain-Barre syndrome after Chikungunya infection. *Emerg Infect Dis* 15(3):495–496. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1503.071482>
- Lee CHR, Mohamed Hussain K, Chu JH (2019) Macropinocytosis dependent entry of Chikungunya virus into human muscle cells. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 13(8):e0007610. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007610>
- Leyssen P, De Clercq E, Neyts J (2006) The anti-yellow fever virus activity of ribavirin is independent of error-prone replication. *Mol Pharmacol* 69(4):1461–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.105.020057>
- Li L, Jose J, Xiang Y, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG (2010) Structural changes of envelope proteins during alphavirus fusion. *Nature* 468(7324):705–708. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09546>
- Linger BR, Kunovska L, Kuhn RJ, Golden BL (2004) Sindbis virus nucleocapsid assembly: RNA folding promotes capsid protein dimerization. *RNA* 10(1):128–138. <https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.5127104>
- Lu JW, Hsieh PS, Lin CC, Hu MK, Huang SM, Wang YM et al (2017) Synergistic effects of combination treatment using EGCG and suramin against the Chikungunya virus. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 491(3):595–602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.07.157>
- Lucas-Hourani M, Dauzonne D, Jorda P, Cousin G, Lupan A, Helynck O et al (2013a) Inhibition of pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway suppresses viral growth through innate immunity. *PLoS Pathog* 9(10):e1003678. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003678>
- Lucas-Hourani M, Lupan A, Despres P, Thoret S, Pamard O, Dubois J et al (2013b) A phenotypic assay to identify Chikungunya virus inhibitors targeting the nonstructural protein nsP2. *J Biomol Screen* 18(2):172–179. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057112460091>
- Lyra PP, Campos GS, Bandeira ID, Sardi SI, Costa LF, Santos FR et al (2016) Congenital Chikungunya virus infection after an outbreak in Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. *AJP Rep* 6(3):e299–e300. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1587323>
- Machkovech HM, Bloom JD, Subramaniam AR (2019) Comprehensive profiling of translation initiation in influenza virus infected cells. *PLoS Pathog* 15(1):e1007518. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007518>
- Malet H, Coutard B, Jamal S, Dutartre H, Papageorgiou N, Neuvonen M et al (2009) The crystal structures of Chikungunya and venezuelan equine encephalitis virus nsP3 macro domains

- define a conserved adenosine binding pocket. *J Virol* 83(13):6534–6545. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00189-09>
- Manns MP, von Hahn T (2013) Novel therapies for hepatitis C—one pill fits all? *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 12(8):595–610. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4050>
- McPherson RL, Abraham R, Sreekumar E, Ong SE, Cheng SJ, Baxter VK et al (2017) ADP-ribosylhydrolase activity of Chikungunya virus macrodomain is critical for virus replication and virulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114(7):1666–1671. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621485114>
- Melancon P, Garoff H (1987) Processing of the Semliki Forest virus structural polyprotein: role of the capsid protease. *J Virol* 61(5):1301–1309
- Metz SW, Pijlman GP (2016a) Function of Chikungunya virus structural proteins. In: Okeoma CM (ed) *Chikungunya virus advances in biology, pathogenesis, and treatment*, pp 63–74. Switzerland: Springer, Cham
- Metz SW, Pijlman GP (2016b) Production of Chikungunya virus-like particles and subunit vaccines in insect cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 1426:297–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3618-2_27
- Mishra P, Kumar A, Mamidi P, Kumar S, Basantray I, Saswat T et al (2016) Inhibition of Chikungunya virus replication by 1-[(2-Methylbenzimidazol-1-yl) Methyl]-2-Oxo-Indolin-3-ylidene] Amino] Thiourea(MBZM-N-IBT). *Sci Rep* 6:20122. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20122>
- Moller-Tank S, Kondratowicz AS, Davey RA, Rennert PD, Maury W (2013) Role of the phosphatidylserine receptor TIM-1 in enveloped-virus entry. *J Virol* 87(15):8327–8341. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01025-13>
- Mukhopadhyay S, Zhang W, Gabler S, Chipman PR, Strauss EG, Strauss JH et al (2006) Mapping the structure and function of the E1 and E2 glycoproteins in alphaviruses. *Structure* 14(1):63–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2005.07.025>
- Nayak TK, Mamidi P, Kumar A, Singh LP, Sahoo SS, Chattopadhyay S et al (2017) Regulation of viral replication, apoptosis and pro-inflammatory responses by 17-AAG during Chikungunya virus infection in macrophages. *Viruses* 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v9010003>
- Nguyen PT, Yu H, Keller PA (2014) Discovery of in silico hits targeting the nsP3 macro domain of Chikungunya virus. *J Mol Model* 20(5):2216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-014-2216-6>
- Nguyen PT, Yu H, Keller PA (2015) Identification of Chikungunya virus nsP2 protease inhibitors using structure-base approaches. *J Mol Graph Model* 57:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2015.01.001>
- Ooi YS, Stiles KM, Liu CY, Taylor GM, Kielian M (2013) Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies novel host proteins required for alphavirus entry. *PLoS Pathog* 9(12):e1003835. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003835>
- Ortiz-Riano E, Ngo N, Devito S, Eggink D, Munger J, Shaw ML et al (2014) Inhibition of arenavirus by A3, a pyrimidine biosynthesis inhibitor. *J Virol* 88(2):878–889. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02275-13>
- Ozden S, Lucas-Hourani M, Ceccaldi PE, Basak A, Valentine M, Benjannet S et al (2008) Inhibition of Chikungunya virus infection in cultured human muscle cells by furin inhibitors: impairment of the maturation of the E2 surface glycoprotein. *J Biol Chem* 283(32):21899–21908. doi: M802444200 [pii] <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m802444200>
- Paeshuyse J, Dallmeier K, Neyts J (2011) Ribavirin for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a review of the proposed mechanisms of action. *Curr Opin Virol* 1(6):590–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.030>
- Panas MD, Ahola T, McInerney GM (2014) The C-terminal repeat domains of nsP3 from the old world alphaviruses bind directly to G3BP. *J Virol* 88(10):5888–5893. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00439-14>
- Panas MD, Varjak M, Lulla A, Eng KE, Merits A, Karlsson Hedestam GB et al (2012) Sequestration of G3BP coupled with efficient translation inhibits stress granules in Semliki Forest virus infection. *Mol Biol Cell* 23(24):4701–4712. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E12-08-0619>

- Pawlotsky JM (2014) New hepatitis C virus (HCV) drugs and the hope for a cure: concepts in anti-HCV drug development. *Semin Liver Dis* 34(1):22–29. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1371007>
- Pietila MK, Hellstrom K, Ahola T (2017) Alphavirus polymerase and RNA replication. *Virus Res* 234:44–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2017.01.007>
- Pohjala L, Utt A, Varjak M, Lulla A, Merits A, Ahola T et al (2011) Inhibitors of alphavirus entry and replication identified with a stable Chikungunya replicon cell line and virus-based assays. *PLoS ONE* 6(12):e28923. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028923>
- Pokorna J, Machala L, Rezacova P, Konvalinka J (2009) Current and novel inhibitors of HIV protease. *Viruses* 1(3):1209–1239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v1031209>
- Rada B, Dragun M (1977) Antiviral action and selectivity of 6-azauridine. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 284:410–417. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1977.tb21977.x>
- Ramakrishnan C, Kutumbarao NHV, Suhitha S, Velmurugan D (2017) Structure-function relationship of Chikungunya nsP2 protease: a comparative study with papain. *Chem Biol Drug Des* 89(5):772–782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12901>
- Rathore AP, Haystead T, Das PK, Merits A, Ng ML, Vasudevan SG (2014) Chikungunya virus nsP3 & nsP4 interacts with HSP-90 to promote virus replication: HSP-90 inhibitors reduce CHIKV infection and inflammation in vivo. *Antiviral Res* 103:7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.12.010>
- Rathore AP, Ng ML, Vasudevan SG (2013) Differential unfolded protein response during Chikungunya and Sindbis virus infection: CHIKV nsP4 suppresses eIF2alpha phosphorylation. *Virol J* 10:36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-10-36>
- Raveh A, Delekta PC, Dobry CJ, Peng W, Schultz PJ, Blakely PK et al (2013) Discovery of potent broad spectrum antivirals derived from marine actinobacteria. *PLoS ONE* 8(12):e82318. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082318>
- Reid SP, Tritsch SR, Kota K, Chiang CY, Dong L, Kenny T et al (2015) Sphingosine kinase 2 is a Chikungunya virus host factor co-localized with the viral replication complex. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 4(10):e61. <https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2015.61>
- Rezza G, Nicoletti L, Angelini R, Romi R, Finarelli AC, Panning M et al (2007) Infection with Chikungunya virus in Italy: an outbreak in a temperate region. *Lancet* 370(9602):1840–1846
- Ribeiro Morais G, Vicente Miranda H, Santos IC, Santos I, Outeiro TF, Paulo A (2011) Synthesis and in vitro evaluation of fluorinated styryl benzazoles as amyloid-probes. *Bioorg Med Chem* 19(24):7698–7710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.09.065>
- Roques P, Thiberville SD, Dupuis-Maguiraga L, Lum FM, Labadie K, Martinon F et al (2018) Paradoxical effect of chloroquine treatment in enhancing Chikungunya virus infection. *Viruses* 10(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10050268>
- Rothan HA, Bahrani H, Mohamed Z, Teoh TC, Shankar EM, Rahman NA et al (2015) A combination of doxycycline and ribavirin alleviated Chikungunya infection. *PLoS ONE* 10(5):e0126360. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126360>
- Rubach JK, Wasik BR, Rupp JC, Kuhn RJ, Hardy RW, Smith JL (2009) Characterization of purified sindbis virus nsP4 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase activity in vitro. *Virology* 384(1):201–208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.10.030>
- Rupp JC, Sokoloski KJ, Gebhart NN, Hardy RW (2015) Alphavirus RNA synthesis and non-structural protein functions. *J Gen Virol* 96(9):2483–2500. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000249>
- Sahoo B, Chowdary TK (2019) Conformational changes in Chikungunya virus E2 protein upon heparan sulfate receptor binding explain mechanism of E2-E1 dissociation during viral entry. *Biosci Rep* 39(6). <https://doi.org/10.1042/bsr20191077>
- Salonen A, Vasiljeva L, Merits A, Magden J, Jokitalo E, Kaariainen L (2003) Properly folded nonstructural polyprotein directs the Semliki Forest virus replication complex to the endosomal compartment. *J Virol* 77(3):1691–1702. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.3.1691-1702.2003>
- Savarino A, Boelaert JR, Cassone A, Majori G, Cauda R (2003) Effects of chloroquine on viral infections: an old drug against today's diseases? *Lancet Infect Dis* 3(11):722–727

- Scholte FE, Tas A, Martina BE, Cordioli P, Narayanan K, Makino S et al (2013) Characterization of synthetic Chikungunya viruses based on the consensus sequence of recent E1-226V isolates. *PLoS ONE* 8(8):e71047. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071047>
- Schuffenecker I, Iteman I, Michault A, Murri S, Frangeul L, Vaney MC et al (2006) Genome microevolution of Chikungunya viruses causing the Indian Ocean outbreak. *PLoS Med* 3(7): e263. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030263>
- Seyedi SS, Shukri M, Hassandarvish P, Oo A, Shankar EM, Abubakar S et al (2016) Computational approach towards exploring potential anti-Chikungunya activity of selected flavonoids. *Scientific reports* 6:24027. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24027>
- Sharma R, Fatma B, Saha A, Bajpai S, Sistla S, Dash PK et al (2016) Inhibition of Chikungunya virus by picolinate that targets viral capsid protein. *Virology* 498:265–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2016.08.029>
- Sharma R, Kesari P, Kumar P, Tomar S (2018) Structure-function insights into Chikungunya virus capsid protein: small molecules targeting capsid hydrophobic pocket. *Virology* 515:223–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.12.020>
- Shirako Y, Strauss JH (1994) Regulation of sindbis virus RNA replication: uncleaved P123 and nsP4 function in minus-strand RNA synthesis, whereas cleaved products from P123 are required for efficient plus-strand RNA synthesis. *J Virol* 68(3):1874–1885
- Silva LA, Khomandiak S, Ashbrook AW, Weller R, Heise MT, Morrison TE et al (2014) A single-amino-acid polymorphism in Chikungunya virus E2 glycoprotein influences glycosaminoglycan utilization. *J Virol* 88(5):2385–2397. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03116-13>
- Simmons DT, Strauss JH (1972) Replication of Sindbis virus. I. Relative size and genetic content of 26 s and 49 s RNA. *J Mol Biol* 71(3):599–613
- Simon F, Javelle E, Cabie A, Bouquillard E, Troisgros O, Gentile G et al (2015) French guidelines for the management of Chikungunya (acute and persistent presentations). November 2014. *Med Mal Infect* 45(7):243–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2015.05.007>
- Singh H, Mudgal R, Narwal M, Kaur R, Singh VA, Malik A et al (2018) Chikungunya virus inhibition by peptidomimetic inhibitors targeting virus-specific cysteine protease. *Biochimie* 149:51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2018.04.004>
- Sissoko D, Malvy D, Ezzedine K, Renault P, Moschetti F, Ledrans M et al (2009) Post-epidemic Chikungunya disease on reunion island: course of rheumatic manifestations and associated factors over a 15-month period. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 3(3):e389. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000389>
- Smee DF, Hurst BL, Day CW (2012) D282, a non-nucleoside inhibitor of influenza virus infection that interferes with de novo pyrimidine biosynthesis. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 22(6):263–272. <https://doi.org/10.3851/IMP2105>
- Sokoloski KJ, Nease LM, May NA, Gebhart NN, Jones CE, Morrison TE et al (2017) Identification of interactions between sindbis virus capsid protein and cytoplasmic vRNA as novel virulence determinants. *PLoS Pathog* 13(6):e1006473. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006473>
- Solignat M, Gay B, Higgs S, Briant L, Devaux C (2009) Replication cycle of Chikungunya: a re-emerging arbovirus. *Virology* 393(2):183–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2009.07.024>
- Spuul P, Balistreri G, Kaariainen L, Ahola T (2010) Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-, actin-, and microtubule-dependent transport of Semliki Forest virus replication complexes from the plasma membrane to modified lysosomes. *J Virol* 84(15):7543–7557. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00477-10>
- Spuul P, Salonen A, Merits A, Jokitalo E, Kaariainen L, Ahola T (2007) Role of the amphipathic peptide of Semliki Forest virus replicase protein nsP1 in membrane association and virus replication. *J Virol* 81(2):872–883. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01785-06>
- Stangherlin LM, de Paula FN, Icimoto MY, Ruiz LGP, Nogueira ML, Braz ASK et al (2017) Positively selected sites at HCMV gB furin processing region and their effects in cleavage efficiency. *Frontiers in microbiology* 8:934. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00934>
- Staveness D, Abdelnabi R, Near KE, Nakagawa Y, Neyts J, Delang L et al (2016) Inhibition of Chikungunya virus-induced cell death by salicylate-derived bryostatin analogues provides

- additional evidence for a PKC-independent pathway. *J Nat Prod* 79(4):680–684. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.5b01017>
- Strauss EG, Rice CM, Strauss JH (1984) Complete nucleotide sequence of the genomic RNA of sindbis virus. *Virology* 133(1):92–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(84\)90428-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(84)90428-8)
- Strauss JH, Strauss EG (1994) The alphaviruses: gene expression, replication, and evolution. *Microbiol Rev* 58(3):491–562
- Subudhi BB, Chattopadhyay S, Mishra P, Kumar A (2018) Current strategies for inhibition of Chikungunya infection. *Viruses* 10(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10050235>
- Tamm K, Merits A, Sarand I (2008) Mutations in the nuclear localization signal of nsP2 influencing RNA synthesis, protein expression and cytotoxicity of Semliki Forest virus. *J Gen Virol* 89(Pt 3):676–686. <https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.83320-0>
- Taylor A, Liu X, Zaid A, Goh LY, Hobson-Peters J, Hall RA et al (2017) Mutation of the *N*-terminal region of Chikungunya virus capsid protein: implications for vaccine design. *mBio* 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01970-16>
- Thaa B, Biasiotto R, Eng K, Neuvonen M, Gotte B, Rheinemann L et al (2015) Differential phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase-Akt-mTOR activation by Semliki Forest and Chikungunya viruses is dependent on nsP3 and connected to replication complex internalization. *J Virol* 89(22):11420–11437. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01579-15>
- Thomas S, Rai J, John L, Gunther S, Drosten C, Putzer BM et al (2010) Functional dissection of the alphavirus capsid protease: sequence requirements for activity. *Virol J* 7:327. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-7-327>
- Thomas S, Rai J, John L, Schaefer S, Putzer BM, Herchenroder O (2013) Chikungunya virus capsid protein contains nuclear import and export signals. *Virol J* 10:269. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-10-269>
- Tomar S, Hardy RW, Smith JL, Kuhn RJ (2006) Catalytic core of alphavirus nonstructural protein nsP4 possesses terminal adenylyltransferase activity. *J Virol* 80(20):9962–9969. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01067-06>
- Tsetsarkin KA, Vanlandingham DL, McGee CE, Higgs S (2007) A single mutation in Chikungunya virus affects vector specificity and epidemic potential. *PLoS Pathog* 3(12):e201
- Turner TL, Kopp BT, Paul G, Landgrave LC, Hayes D Jr, Thompson R (2014) Respiratory syncytial virus: current and emerging treatment options. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res* 6:217–225. <https://doi.org/10.2147/CEOR.S60710>
- Uchime O, Fields W, Kielian M (2013) The role of E3 in pH protection during alphavirus assembly and exit. *J Virol* 87(18):10255–10262. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01507-13>
- Urakova N, Kuznetsova V, Crossman DK, Sokratian A, Guthrie DB, Kolykhalov AA et al (2018) β -D-N (4)-hydroxycytidine is a potent anti-alphavirus compound that induces a high level of mutations in the viral genome. *J Virol* 92(3). <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01965-17>
- Utt A, Quirin T, Saul S, Hellstrom K, Ahola T, Merits A (2016) Versatile trans-replication systems for Chikungunya virus allow functional analysis and tagging of every replicase protein. *PLoS ONE* 11(3):e0151616. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151616>
- van der Heijden MW, Bol JF (2002) Composition of alphavirus-like replication complexes: involvement of virus and host encoded proteins. *Arch Virol* 147(5):875–898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-001-0773-3>
- van Duijl-Richter MK, Hoornweg TE, Rodenhuis-Zybert IA, Smit JM (2015) Early events in Chikungunya virus infection-from virus cell binding to membrane fusion. *Viruses* 7(7):3647–3674. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v7072792>
- Vasiljeva L, Merits A, Golubtsov A, Sizemskaja V, Kaariainen L, Ahola T (2003) Regulation of the sequential processing of Semliki Forest virus replicase polyprotein. *J Biol Chem* 278(43):41636–41645. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307481200>
- Venturi G, Di Luca M, Fortuna C, Remoli ME, Riccardo F, Severini F et al (2017) Detection of a Chikungunya outbreak in Central Italy, August–September 2017. *Euro Surveill* 22(39). <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2017.22.39.17-00646>

- Voss JE, Vaney MC, Duquerroy S, Vonnrhein C, Girard-Blanc C, Crublet E et al (2010) Glycoprotein organization of Chikungunya virus particles revealed by X-ray crystallography. *Nature* 468(7324):709–712. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09555>
- Wada Y, Orba Y, Sasaki M, Kobayashi S, Carr MJ, Nobori H et al (2017) Discovery of a novel antiviral agent targeting the nonstructural protein 4 (nsP4) of Chikungunya virus. *Virology* 505:102–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.02.014>
- Wang QY, Bushell S, Qing M, Xu HY, Bonavia A, Nunes S et al (2011) Inhibition of dengue virus through suppression of host pyrimidine biosynthesis. *J Virol* 85(13):6548–6556. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02510-10>
- Weaver SC, Lecuit M (2015) Chikungunya virus and the global spread of a mosquito-borne disease. *N Engl J Med* 372(13):1231–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1406035>
- Weber C, Berberich E, von Rhein C, Henss L, Hildt E, Schnierle BS (2017) Identification of functional determinants in the Chikungunya virus E2 protein. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 11(1):e0005318. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005318>
- Weber C, Sliva K, von Rhein C, Kummerer BM, Schnierle BS (2015) The green tea catechin, epigallocatechin gallate inhibits Chikungunya virus infection. *Antiviral Res* 113:1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2014.11.001>
- Weiss B, Geigenmüller-Gnirke U, Schlesinger S (1994) Interactions between sindbis virus RNAs and a 68 amino acid derivative of the viral capsid protein further defines the capsid binding site. *Nucleic Acids Res* 22(5):780–786. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.5.780>
- Weston JH, Graham DA, Branson E, Rowley HM, Walker IW, Jewhurst VA et al (2005) Nucleotide sequence variation in salmonid alphaviruses from outbreaks of salmon pancreas disease and sleeping disease. *Dis Aquat Organ* 66(2):105–111. <https://doi.org/10.3354/dao066105>
- Wichit S, Hamel R, Bernard E, Talignani L, Diop F, Ferraris P et al (2017) Imipramine inhibits Chikungunya virus replication in human skin fibroblasts through interference with intracellular cholesterol trafficking. *Sci Rep* 7(1):3145. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03316-5>
- Wintachai P, Thuaud F, Basmadjian C, Roytrakul S, Ubol S, Desaubry L et al (2015) Assessment of flavaglines as potential Chikungunya virus entry inhibitors. *Microbiol Immunol* 59(3):129–141. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1348-0421.12230>
- Wintachai P, Wikan N, Kuadkitkan A, Jaimipuk T, Ubol S, Pulmanausahakul R et al (2012) Identification of prohibitin as a Chikungunya virus receptor protein. *J Med Virol* 84(11):1757–1770. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.23403>
- Wong KZ, Chu JH (2018) The interplay of viral and host factors in Chikungunya virus infection: targets for antiviral strategies. *Viruses* 10(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10060294>
- Yang S, Fink D, Hulse A, Pratt RD (2017) Regulatory considerations in development of vaccines to prevent disease caused by Chikungunya virus. *Vaccine* 35(37):4851–4858. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.07.065>
- Zhang R, Kim AS, Fox JM, Nair S, Basore K, Klimstra WB et al (2018) Mxra8 is a receptor for multiple arthritogenic alphaviruses. *Nature* 557(7706):570–574. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0121-3>