

# Single-breath diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Waleed M. El-Sorougi<sup>a</sup>, Maha M. Fathy<sup>b</sup>

**Background** Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is defined by progressive, irreversible airflow limitation and an inflammatory response of the lungs, usually to cigarette smoke. However, COPD is a heterogeneous disease in terms of clinical, physiological, and pathological presentation. The pathological hallmarks of COPD are inflammation of the small airways (bronchiolitis) and destruction of lung parenchyma (emphysema). The functional consequence of these abnormalities is airflow limitation.

**Aim of work** The aim of the study was to measure diffusion capacity in different stages of COPD.

**Patients and methods** Sixty outpatients with COPD with mild to very severe obstruction were included in the study.

**Results** There was a statistically significant negative (inverse) correlation between TLCO%, TLCO/VA, PCO<sub>2</sub>, and HCO<sub>3</sub> and there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between TLCO%, TLCO/VA, PO<sub>2</sub>, and arterial oxygen saturation. There was a statistically significant

positive (direct) correlation between FVC%, FEV1%, FEF25%, FEF50%, FEF75%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA.

**Conclusion** Reduced diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide plus airflow obstruction together identifies a group of individuals with significantly worse lung function.

*Egypt J Bronchol* 2017 11:23–28

© 2017 Egyptian Journal of Bronchology

*Egyptian Journal of Bronchology* 2017 11:23–28

**Keywords:** arterial blood gases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide, spirometry

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chest Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Helwan, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chest Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt

Correspondence to Waleed M. El-Sorougi, MD, 27 Omar Bakeer Street, Saint Fatima Sq., Heliopolis, Cairo 11361, Egypt; Tel: +20 100 123 2700; fax: +20 277 44055; e-mail: waleed@sorougi.com

**Received** 22 July 2016 **Accepted** 1 October 2016

## Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a preventable and treatable disease with significant extrapulmonary effects that may contribute to severity in individual patients. Its pulmonary component is characterized by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. The airflow limitation is usually progressive and associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lung to noxious particles and gases. The chronic airflow limitation characteristic of COPD is caused by a mixture of small airway disease (obstructive bronchiolitis) and parenchymal destruction (emphysema), the relative contribution of which varies from person to person [1].

In general, if the carbon monoxide transfer coefficient is normal, severe emphysema is effectively excluded, but a normal value does not exclude milder disease. In practice, in symptomatic patients with airway obstruction, the test is of most value in helping to distinguish emphysema from asthma in which carbon monoxide transfer coefficient is usually not reduced [2].

The diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLco) is a standard test in the pulmonary function laboratory. The DLco is used in the assessment of restrictive as well as obstructive pulmonary disease, and

is an indicator of disease severity. In COPD and in diffuse parenchymal lung disease the DLco is a strong predictor of desaturation during exercise [3].

## Aim of work

The aim of the study was to measure diffusion capacity in different stages of COPD.

## Patients and methods

Sixty male patients with COPD were included in this study. Patient inclusion criteria included chronic heavy smoking, dyspnea that is progressive, usually worse with exercise, and persistent, chronic cough with chronic sputum production. Exclusion criteria included bronchial asthma, bronchiectasis, long-term oxygen therapy, and other comorbidities such as cardiac, renal, and hepatic disorders. The study protocol was approved by local ethical committee and informed consent was taken.

The sixty patients with COPD were diagnosed clinically and functionally with airflow limitation and were divided

---

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease classification [4].

Each patient was subjected to full history taking, full clinical examination, radiological examination (chest radiography, chest computed tomography), and arterial blood gases. Pulmonary function was assessed by standard spirometric techniques, according to American Thoracic Society criteria [5]. Measurements were obtained for forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV<sub>1</sub>), and the ratio between them, peak expiratory flow, forced expiratory flow (FEF)25%, FEF50%, and FEF75%.

Single-breath diffusion capacity was measured according to the European Respiratory Society and American Thoracic Society recommendations [6]. Inspiration gas contained 0.267% CO, 9.409% He, and rest air. Measured DL<sub>co</sub> is expressed as milliliters of gas standard temperature, pressure, and dry (STPD) per minute per unit of driving pressure in mmHg. The predicted DL<sub>co</sub> is calculated using appropriate software. Percent measured DL<sub>co</sub> of predicted DL<sub>co</sub> is used to compare different groups. The DL<sub>co</sub>/alveolar volume (VA) is derived by dividing the measured DL<sub>co</sub> by the measured VA.

### Statistical analysis

Results are presented as mean and SD. Significance was determined at the 5% level. Nonparametric data from the study groups were compared by Kruskal-Wallis test.

## Results

As regards transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide (TLCO%) and TLCO/VA, there was no

**Table 1 Mean, SD, and SE of different pulmonary function parameters of the whole sample**

Bronchodilator	Pulmonary function	Mean±SD	SE
Pre	FVC%	74.6±22.2	2.9
	FEV1%	47.9±20.2	2.6
	FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC	50.4±11.1	1.4
	FEF25%	27.2±23.1	3
	FEF50%	17.9±12.4	1.6
	FEF75%	17.3±7.6	1
Post	FVC%	80.2±22.5	2.9
	FEV1%	50.4±20.6	2.7
	FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC	49.4±11.4	1.5
	FEF25%	27.5±21.7	2.8
	FEF50%	19±14.1	1.8
	FEF75%	18.6±8.7	1.1

FEF, forced expiratory flow; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

statistically significant difference between mild and moderate COPD groups, which showed the statistically significant highest mean values. The very severe COPD group showed the statistically significant lowest mean value (Tables 1–3).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FVC%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FVC% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild and moderate COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between FVC% and diffusion capacity. In patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FVC% and TLCO% (Table 4).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEV1%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEV1% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild and moderate COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between FEV1% and diffusion capacity. Patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEV1%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEV1% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA (Table 5).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between

**Table 2 Mean, SD, and SE of diffusion capacity of the whole sample**

Diffusion capacity	Mean±SD	SE
TLCO	59.2±22.6	2.9
TLCO/VA	1±0.4	0.05

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio.

**Table 3 Statistical analysis using ANOVA test for comparison between diffusion capacity in patients with mild, moderate, and very severe COPD**

Diffusion capacity	Mild COPD (n=7) (mean±SD)	Moderate COPD (n=24) (mean±SD)	Very severe COPD (n=29) (mean±SD)	P-value
TLCO%	85.1±6.7 <sup>a</sup>	73±13.1 <sup>a</sup>	41.6±16.5 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001*
TLCO/VA	1.3±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.2±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.7±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001*

Different superscript letters indicate statistical difference between means. ANOVA, analysis of variance; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild, moderate, and very severe COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC and diffusion capacity (Table 6).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEF25%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEF25% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild and moderate COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between

FEF25% and diffusion capacity. In patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEF25%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEF25% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA (Table 7).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEF50%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEF50% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild and moderate COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between FEF50%

**Table 4 Correlation between FVC% and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO%	0.746	<0.001*	-0.248	0.592	-0.059	0.783	0.575	0.001*
TLCO/VA	0.619	<0.001*	0.041	0.930	-0.225	0.290	0.297	0.118

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 5 Correlation between FEV1% and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO	0.775	<0.001*	0.571	0.180	-0.082	0.704	0.526	0.003*
TLCO/VA	0.750	<0.001*	-0.036	0.939	0.090	0.674	0.419	0.024*

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in the first second; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 6 Correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO	0.456	<0.001*	0.500	0.253	-0.070	0.747	-0.087	0.655
TLCO/VA	0.559	<0.001*	0.010	0.998	0.218	0.305	0.115	0.554

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV<sub>1</sub>, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 7 Correlation between FEF25% and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO%	0.708	<0.001*	0.107	0.819	-0.124	0.563	0.510	0.005*
TLCO/VA	0.780	<0.001*	0.321	0.482	0.253	0.233	0.577	0.001*

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEF, forced expiratory flow; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

and diffusion capacity. In patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEF50%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEF50% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA (Table 8).

In the whole sample, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEF75%, TLCO, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEF75% is associated with a decrease in TLCO and TLCO/VA.

In patients with mild, moderate, and very severe COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between FEF75% and diffusion capacity (Table 9).

In the whole sample, there was a statistical significant negative (inverse) correlation between TLCO%, PCO<sub>2</sub>, and HCO<sub>3</sub>. A decrease in TLCO% is associated with an increase in PCO<sub>2</sub> and an increase in HCO<sub>3</sub>. There was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between TLCO%, PO<sub>2</sub>, and oxygen saturation (Sat O<sub>2</sub>). A decrease in TLCO% is associated with a decrease in PO<sub>2</sub> and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. There

was no statistically significant correlation between TLCO% and pH.

In patients with mild COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between TLCO and other variables. In patients with moderate COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between TLCO% and other variables. In patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between TLCO% and PO<sub>2</sub> and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. There was no statistically significant correlation between TLCO% and other variables (Table 10).

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant negative (inverse) correlation between TLCO/VA, PCO<sub>2</sub>, and HCO<sub>3</sub>. A decrease in TLCO/VA is associated with an increase in PCO<sub>2</sub> and an increase in HCO<sub>3</sub>. There was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between TLCO/VA, PO<sub>2</sub>, and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. A decrease in TLCO/VA is associated with a decrease in PO<sub>2</sub> and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. There was no statistically

**Table 8 Correlation between FEF50% and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO%	0.709	<0.001*	0.464	0.294	0.067	0.757	0.412	0.026*
TLCO/VA	0.745	<0.001*	0.107	0.819	0.297	0.159	0.403	0.030*

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEF, forced expiratory flow; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 9 Correlation between FEF75% and diffusion capacity**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Vert Severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Diffusion capacity								
TLCO	0.337	0.008*	0.286	0.535	0.141	0.511	0.190	0.324
TLCO/VA	0.291	0.024*	0.009	0.998	0.088	0.682	0.176	0.361

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEF, forced expiratory flow; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 10 Correlation between (TLCO%) and blood gases**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very Severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Blood gases								
pH	0.138	0.294	-0.321	0.482	0.084	0.696	-0.015	0.939
PCO <sub>2</sub>	-0.353	0.006*	0.143	0.688	0.081	0.706	-0.346	0.066
PO <sub>2</sub>	0.349	0.006*	-0.464	0.294	-0.010	0.965	0.380	0.042*
Sat O <sub>2</sub>	0.361	0.005*	-0.378	0.403	-0.053	0.805	0.400	0.031*
HCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.377	0.003*	0.214	0.645	-0.184	0.390	-0.217	0.258

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HCO<sub>3</sub>, bicarbonate; PCO<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PO<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of oxygen; Sat O<sub>2</sub>, oxygen saturation; TLCO, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

**Table 11 Correlation between TLCO/VA and blood gases**

Variables	Whole sample (n=60)		Mild COPD (n=7)		Moderate COPD (n=24)		Very Severe COPD (n=29)	
	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value	Correlation coefficient (r)	P-value
Blood gases								
pH	0.105	0.424	0.286	0.535	0.147	0.493	-0.107	0.582
PCO <sub>2</sub>	-0.425	0.001*	0.429	0.337	-0.218	0.306	-0.275	0.149
PO <sub>2</sub>	0.401	0.001*	-0.429	0.337	0.123	0.566	0.353	0.060
Sat O <sub>2</sub>	0.395	0.002*	-0.324	0.478	0.026	0.903	0.341	0.070
HCO <sub>3</sub>	-0.392	0.002*	0.357	0.432	-0.200	0.350	-0.174	0.367

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HCO<sub>3</sub>, bicarbonate; PCO<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PO<sub>2</sub>, partial pressure of oxygen; Sat O<sub>2</sub>, oxygen saturation; TLCO/VA, transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/alveolar volume ratio. \*Significant at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

significant correlation between TLCO/VA and pH (Table 11).

As regards patients with mild, moderate, and very severe COPD, there was no statistically significant correlation between TLCO/VA and other variables.

## Discussion

Spirometry is considered the gold standard for detecting and quantifying airflow obstruction in the general population. However, several studies have failed to find a strong association between the parenchymal destruction seen in emphysema and airflow obstruction as measured by FEV<sub>1</sub> [7]. This indicates that definitions that rely on measurement of FEV<sub>1</sub> alone may miss some cases of COPD. Including a measurement of DLco may be one way of detecting early cases of emphysema that otherwise would go undetected [8].

The DLco is a common and clinically useful test that provides a quantitative measure of gas transfer in the lungs. Diffusion capacity, along with spirometry and arterial blood gas measurement, is the core pulmonary function test used to evaluate and manage patients with respiratory diseases. Diffusion capacity is often abnormal in patients with interstitial lung disease, pulmonary vascular disease, and COPD [9].

The results show that TLCO% and TLCO/VA had no statistically significant difference between mild and moderate COPD groups, which showed the statistically significant highest mean values. The very severe COPD group showed the statistically significant lowest mean value. This agrees with the results of Polatly *et al.* [10], who found that there was more rapid decline in TLCO in patients who also had excessive FEV<sub>1</sub> declines. DLco is an excellent test for differentiating COPD from asthma (DLco is low in moderate to severe COPD, whereas DLco is normal to high in asthma) [11].

There was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEV1%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA in the whole sample. A decrease in FEV1% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA, which was similar to the study by Brashier *et al.* [12] to assess the correlation between FEV1% predicted and DLco% predicted in patients with varying severity of COPD. They found significant direct relation between FEV1% and DLco% predicted.

As regards patients with mild and moderate COPD, there were no statistically significant correlations between FEV1% and different variables. In patients with very severe COPD, there was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between FEV1%, TLCO%, and TLCO/VA. A decrease in FEV1% is associated with a decrease in TLCO% and TLCO/VA. Mohsenifar *et al.* [13] found that single measurements of TLCO in patients with COPD showed that a reduced value in early disease is associated with accelerated decline in FEV<sub>1</sub>, and in advanced disease predicts exercise capacity and influences mortality.

As regards the whole sample, there was a statistically significant negative (inverse) correlation between TLCO%, PCO<sub>2</sub>, and HCO<sub>3za</sub>. A decrease in TLCO% is associated with an increase in PCO<sub>2</sub> and an increase in HCO<sub>3</sub>.

There was a statistically significant positive (direct) correlation between TLCO%, PO<sub>2</sub>, and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. A decrease in TLCO% is associated with a decrease in PO<sub>2</sub> and Sat O<sub>2</sub>. This agreed with the results of Mohsenifar *et al.* [13] to assess single-breath diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide as a predictor of PaO<sub>2</sub> at maximum work rate, and walking distance in patients with COPD, wherein a highly statistically significant direct relation between DLco and PaO<sub>2</sub> was found. This agrees with the results of Knowler *et al.* [14], who found that DLco of less than 50% predicted is highly suggestive of exercise

desaturation, with a sensitivity of 89%. Desaturation was more closely associated with reduced DLco than with reduced resting Sat O<sub>2</sub>.

### Conclusion

Reduced DLco plus airflow obstruction together identifies a group of individuals with significantly worse lung function. The combination of lung function measurements reflecting bronchial collapsibility, lung diffusion capacity, and bronchodilator response tests is useful for assessing and monitoring parenchymal damage in COPD patients and is a good estimate of the extent of emphysema.

### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

### References

- 1 Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Buist SA, Calverley P, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; **176**:532–555.
- 2 Gibson GJ, Macnee W. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: investigations and assessment of severity. Management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir Mon* 2006; **38**:24–40.
- 3 Van Lee I, Zanen P, VandenBosch JM, Lammers JW. Pattern of diffusion disturbance related to clinical diagnosis. *J Resp Med* 2006; **100**:101–109.
- 4 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. *Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*. NHLBI/WHO Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD); 2014.
- 5 American Thoracic Society. Single breath carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (transfer factor), recommendations for a standard technique – 1995 update. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1995; **52**:2185–2198.
- 6 European Respiratory Society. Optimal assessment and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Eur Res J* 1995; **8**:1398–1420.
- 7 Clark KD, Wardrobe-Wong N, Elliott JJ, Gill PT, Tait NP, Snashall PD. Patterns of lung disease in a “normal” smoking population: are emphysema and airflow obstruction found together? *Chest* 2001; **120**:743–747.
- 8 Matheson MC, Raven J, Johns DP, Abramson MJ, Walters EH. Associations between reduced diffusing capacity and airflow obstruction in community-based subjects. *Respir Med* 2007; **101**:1730–1737.
- 9 Hegewald MJ. Diffusing capacity clinic. *Rev Allerg Immunol* 2009; **8**:125–2.
- 10 Polatly M, Erdinç M, Erdinç E. The early effect of smoking on spirometry and transfer factor. *Environ Res* 2001; **86**:238–243.
- 11 Enright PL, Kaminsky DA. Strategies for screening for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Care* 2003; **48**:1194–1201.
- 12 Brashier B, Saoji S, Raghupati A, Mandrekar S, Valsa S, Gaikwad S. Correlation between spirometric indices (FEV1, FVC) and lung diffusion values (DLCO) in subjects with varying severity of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Am J Resp Crit Care Med* 2007; **173**:1326–1334.
- 13 Mohsenifar Z, Lee SM, Diaz P, Crine RG, Scieurba F, Ginsburg M, Wise RA. Single-breath diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide. A predictor of PaO<sub>2</sub>, maximum work rate, and walking distance in patients with emphysema. *Chest* 2003; **123**:1394–1400.
- 14 Knowler MT, Dunagan DP, Adair NE, Chin R Jr. Baseline oxygen saturation predicts exercise desaturation below prescription threshold in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2001; **161**:732–736.