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## Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of multiple solutions for Kirchhoff-type equations involving nonlocal integro-differential operators with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u=\lambda|u|^{q-2} u+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & \text { in } \Omega, \\ M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{p}}{\left.|x-y|\right|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} v=\mu|v|^{q-2} v+\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|v|^{\beta-2} v|u|^{\alpha}, & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u=v=0, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n>p s$ with $s \in(0,1)$ fixed, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}>0$ are two parameters, $1<q<p<p(\tau+1)<\alpha+\beta<p^{*}, p^{*}=\frac{n p}{n-s p}, M$ is a continuous function, given by $M(h)=k+I h^{\tau}, k>0, I, \tau \geq 0$, and $(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}$ is the fractional $p$-Laplacian operator. We will prove that the problem has at least two solutions by using the Nehari manifold method and fibering maps.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Kirchhoff-type problem involving fractional $p$ Laplacian and concave-convex nonlinearities:

$$
\begin{cases}M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \frac{\mid u(x)-u(y)^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u=\lambda|u|^{q-2} u+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \frac{\mid v(x)-v(y) p^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} v=\mu|v|^{q-2} v+\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|v|^{\beta-2} v|u|^{\alpha}, & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=v=0, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n>p s$ with $s \in(0,1)$ fixed, $\lambda, \mu>0$ are two parameters, $1<q<p<p(\tau+1)<\alpha+\beta<p^{*}, p^{*}=\frac{n p}{n-s p}$ is the fractional Sobolev exponent, $M$ is a special continuous function defined by $M(h)=k+l h^{\tau}, k>0, l, \tau \geq 0 .(-\Delta)_{p}^{s}$ is the fractional $p$-Laplacian operator given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)_{p}^{s} u(x)=2 \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kirchhoff-type equation and system have a broad background in phase transitions, population dynamics, mathematical finance, etc. There have been a lot of excellent results related to the existence and multiplicity of solutions for this system. We refer the readers to [1-4] for Kirchhoff problems involving the classical Laplace operator and to [5, 6] for the $p$-Laplacian case. For the fractional system, please consult [7-21] and the references therein.
In [10] and [11], the authors discussed the system (or a single equation, that is, $u=v$ ) in the special case of $M \equiv 1$. They obtained some interesting results by using the Nehari manifold method. For the special case $p=2$ of this system, there are many results available in the existing literature, we refer the interested reader to $[22,23]$ for the case of the classical Laplacian and to [24-26] for the case of the fractional Laplacian. Moreover, the authors [18] studied bifurcation results for a fractional elliptic equation with critical exponent. There is also some work for the case that $M$ is not a constant (see, for example, [9]). However, as far as we know, there are few results on the fractional p-Kirchhoff system with concave-convex nonlinearities. Motivated by the above work, in this paper we consider problem (1.1) for a more general case $M(h)=k+l h^{\tau}$. We obtained a new multiplicity result by using the Nehari manifold method and fibering maps.
In order to state our result, we introduce some notations. Suppose $s \in(0,1)$ and $p \in$ $[1, \infty)$. Let $W^{s, p}$ be a fractional Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{s, p}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $Q=\mathbb{R}^{2 n} \backslash(C \Omega \times C \Omega)$ with $C \Omega=\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$. We define

$$
X=\left\{u \mid u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is measurable, }\left.u\right|_{\Omega} \in L^{p}(\Omega) \text {, and } \int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y<\infty\right\}
$$

The space $X$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}=\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\left(\int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X_{0}$ be the completion of the space $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $X$. The space $X_{0}$ is a Banach space which can be endowed with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X_{0}}=\left(\int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that this norm is equivalent to the usual one defined in (1.3).
As proved in [17, 24], we have the following results:
(i) $X_{0} \hookrightarrow L^{r}(\Omega)$ is continuous for any $r \in\left[1, p^{*}\right]$ and compact for any $r \in\left[1, p^{*}\right)$.
(ii) For $\alpha+\beta \in\left(p, p^{*}\right)$, let $S$ denote the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $X_{0} \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha+\beta}(\Omega)$. Then, for $u \in X_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L^{\alpha+\beta}(\Omega)} & =\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha+\beta} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}} \leq S^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|_{X_{0}} \\
& =S^{-\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $E=X_{0} \times X_{0}$ be the Cartesian product of two spaces, which is a reflexive Banach space with the norm

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(u, v)\| & =\left(\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{p}+\|v\|_{X_{0}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left(\int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y+\int_{Q} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1.1 We say that $(u, v) \in E$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if for any $(\phi, \psi) \in E$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(\|u\|_{X_{0}}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(\phi(x)-\phi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y \\
& \quad+M\left(\|v\|_{X_{0}}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{p-2}(v(x)-v(y))(\psi(x)-\psi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \phi+\mu|v|^{q-2} v \psi\right) d x+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta} \phi d x \\
& \quad+\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v \psi d x . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.2 Let $s \in(0,1), n>s p$. If $1<q<p<p(\tau+1)<\alpha+\beta<p^{*}$, then there exists $\Lambda_{0}>0$ such that for $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$ problem (1.1) has at least two solutions.

Remark 1 To our best knowledge, there is no similar result of this system for the case $p=2$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries of a Nehari manifold and a variational setting of problem (1.1). Section 3 gives the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 2 The variational setting

Define a functional $I(u, v): E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u, v)=\frac{k}{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\frac{l}{\sigma}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\frac{1}{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-\frac{1}{q} G(u, v), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=p(\tau+1)$, and $m=\alpha+\beta$, and

$$
G(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda|u|^{q}+\mu|v|^{q}\right) d x .
$$

By a direct computation, we know that $I(u, v) \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and, for $\forall(\phi, \psi) \in E$, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(\phi, \psi)\right\rangle= & M\left(\|u\|_{X_{0}}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(\phi(x)-\phi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y \\
& +M\left(\|v\|_{X_{0}}\right) \int_{Q} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{p-2}(v(x)-v(y))(\psi(x)-\psi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+s p}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda|u|^{q-2} u \phi+\mu|v|^{q-2} v \psi\right) d x-\frac{\alpha}{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta} \phi d x \\
& -\frac{\beta}{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v \psi d x \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the weak solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of the functional $I$. Since $I$ is not bounded below on $E$, we consider it on the Nehari manifold

$$
N=\left\{(u, v) \in E \backslash(0,0) \mid\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

From (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=k\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-G(u, v) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $(u, v) \in N$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-G(u, v)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particularly, the following equality holds on $N$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u, v) & =k\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\left(\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x \\
& =k\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{m}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{m}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{m}\right) G(u, v) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\Phi(u, v)=\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle, \quad \forall(u, v) \in E .
$$

Then, for any $(u, v) \in N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle \\
& \quad=k p\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l \sigma\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-m \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-q G(u, v) \\
& \quad=k(p-m)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l(\sigma-m)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-(q-m) G(u, v) \\
& \quad=k(p-q)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l(\sigma-q)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-(m-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, it is natural to split $N$ into three parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N^{+}=\left\{(u, v) \in N:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle>0\right\}, \\
& N^{-}=\left\{(u, v) \in N:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle<0\right\},  \tag{2.7}\\
& N^{0}=\left\{(u, v) \in N:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=0\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now derive some properties of $N^{+}, N^{-}$and $N^{0}$.

Lemma 2.1 I is coercive and bounded below on $N$.

Proof By Hölder's inequality and (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \lambda|u|^{q} d x & \leq \lambda\left(\int_{\Omega} 1 d x\right)^{\frac{m-q}{m}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{m} d x\right)^{\frac{q}{m}}=\lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}}\|u\|_{m}^{q} \\
& \leq \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{q} \leq \lambda|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \mu|v|^{q} d x \leq \mu|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|v\|_{X_{0}}^{q} \leq \mu|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u, v) \leq(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u, v) \geq & k\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{m}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{m}\right)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma} \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{m}\right)(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $q<p \leq \sigma<m$, from inequality (2.9), the functional $I$ is coercive and bounded below on $N$. The proof is completed.

Lemma 2.2 There exists $\Lambda_{0}>0$, given by

$$
\Lambda_{0}=\frac{k(m-p)}{(m-q)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}}\left(\frac{k(p-q)}{(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{m-p}},
$$

such that for any $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$ we have $N^{0}=\emptyset$.

Proof We argue by contradiction. Assume that there exist $\lambda, \mu>0$ with $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$ such that $N^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Then, for $(u, v) \in N^{0}$, we have

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Then it follows from (2.5)-(2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\| \leq\left(\frac{(m-q)(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}}{k(m-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x & \leq \frac{\alpha}{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{m} d x+\frac{\beta}{m} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{m} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{m} S^{-\frac{m}{q}}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{m}+\frac{\beta}{m} S^{-\frac{m}{q}}\|v\|_{X_{0}}^{m} \leq S^{-\frac{m}{q}}\|(u, v)\|^{m} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.5)-(2.7) and (2.11) it follows that

$$
k(p-q)\|(u, v)\|^{p} \leq(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}\|(u, v)\|^{m} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(u, v)\| \geq\left(\frac{k(p-q)}{(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-p}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10) and (2.12),

$$
\lambda+\mu \geq \frac{k(m-p)}{(m-q)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}}\left(\frac{k(p-q)}{(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{m-p}}=\Lambda_{0}
$$

which contradicts $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$.

By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we write $N=N^{+}+N^{-}$for $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, and $I$ is coercive and bounded from below on $N^{+}$and $N^{-}$. We define

$$
C^{+}=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{+}} I(u, v), \quad C^{-}=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{-}} I(u, v) .
$$

As proved in [27], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 For $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, suppose that $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is a local minimizer for I on $N$. Then, if $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \notin N^{0},\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is a critical point of $I$.

## Lemma 2.4

(a) If $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, then $C^{+}<0$.
(b) If $0<\lambda+\mu<\frac{q}{p} \Lambda_{0}$, then $\exists d_{0}>0$ such that $C^{-}>d_{0}$.

Proof (a) Let $(u, v) \in N^{+}$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x<\frac{k(p-q)}{m-q}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\frac{l(\sigma-q)}{m-q}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put (2.13) into (2.5),

$$
I(u, v)<-\frac{k(p-q)}{m p q}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{l(p-q)(m-p)}{m p q}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}<0,
$$

which implies $C^{+}=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{+}} I(u, v)<0$.
(b) Let $(u, v) \in N^{-}$. By (2.5) and (2.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u, v) & \geq \frac{k(m-p)}{p m}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{m-q}{m q} G(u, v) \\
& \geq \frac{k(m-p)}{p m}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{m-q}{m q}(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} \\
& =\|(u, v)\|^{q}\left(\frac{k(m-p)}{p m}\|(u, v)\|^{p-q}-\frac{m-q}{m q}(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.12) with (2.14), we have

$$
I(u, v) \geq\left(\frac{k(p-q)}{(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{q}{m-p}}\left(\frac{k(m-p)}{p m}\left(\frac{k(p-q)}{(m-q) S^{-\frac{m}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{m-p}}-\frac{m-q}{m q}(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\right)
$$

Clearly, if $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, then there exists $d_{0}(p, q, \alpha, \beta, S)>0$ such that $C^{-}=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{-}} I(u$, $v)>d_{0}$.

For each $(u, v) \in E$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t)=k t^{p-q}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l t^{\sigma-q}\|u, v\|^{\sigma}-t^{m-q} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\eta^{\prime}(t)=t^{p-q-1} E(t),
$$

where

$$
E(t)=k(p-q)\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l(\sigma-q) t^{\sigma-p}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-(m-q) t^{m-p} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x
$$

Define

$$
t^{*}=\left(\frac{l(\sigma-q)(\sigma-p)\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}}{(m-q)(m-p) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-\sigma}}
$$

It is easy to check that $E(t)$ increases for $t \in\left[0, t^{*}\right)$ and decreases for $t \in\left(t^{*}, \infty\right), E(t)$ achieves its maximum at $t^{*}$. Since $E(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $E(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and there exists unique $t_{l}, 0<t^{*}<t_{l}$, such that $E\left(t_{l}\right)=0$, so $\eta(t)$ achieves its maximum at $t_{l}$, increasing for $t \in\left[0, t_{l}\right)$ and decreasing for $t \in\left(t_{l}, \infty\right)$. When $l=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=\left(\frac{k(p-q)\|(u, v)\|^{p}}{(m-q) \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x}\right)^{\frac{q}{m-p}} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $E\left(t_{0}\right)=E\left(t_{l}\right)=0$ and $t_{0} \leq t_{l}$ for $l \geq 0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(t_{l}\right) \geq \frac{k(m-p)}{m-q} t_{l}^{p-q}\|(u, v)\|^{p} \geq \frac{k(m-p)}{m-q} t_{0}^{p-q}\|(u, v)\|^{p}=\eta\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{0}(t) & =\Phi(t u, t v)=\left\langle I^{\prime}(t u, t v)(t u, t v)\right\rangle \\
& =k t^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l t^{\sigma}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-t^{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-t^{q} G(u, v), \\
\Psi_{1}(t) & =\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(t u, t v),(t u, t v)\right\rangle \\
& =k p t^{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+l \sigma t^{\sigma}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-m t^{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-q t^{q} G(u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}(t)=t^{q}(\eta(t)-G(u, v)) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma $2.5(t u, t v) \in N^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.N^{-}\right)$if and only if $\Psi_{1}(t)>0\left(\right.$ or $\left.\Psi_{1}(t)<0\right)$.

Proof By (2.7), it is clear that $(t u, t v) \in N^{+}$(or $N^{-}$) if and only if $(t u, t v) \in N$ and $\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(t u, t v),(t u, t v)\right\rangle>0(<0)$ for $t>0$. Note that

$$
\Psi_{0}(t)=\Phi(t u, t v)=\left\langle I^{\prime}(t u, t v),(t u, t v)\right\rangle, \quad \Psi_{1}(t)=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(t u, t v),(t u, t v)\right\rangle .
$$

Hence, $(t u, t v) \in N^{+}$if and only if $\Psi_{0}(t)=0$ and $\Psi_{1}(t)>0$.

Lemma 2.6 For each $(u, v) \in E \backslash(0,0)$ and $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, there exist $0<t_{1}<t_{l}<t_{2}$ such that $\left(t_{1} u, t_{1} v\right) \in N^{+},\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in N^{-}$, and

$$
I\left(t_{1} u, t_{1} v\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{l}} I(t u, t v), \quad I\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right)=\sup _{t \geq 0} I(t u, t v) .
$$

Proof Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{2}(t) & =I(t u, t v) \\
& =\frac{k t^{p}}{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}+\frac{l t^{\sigma}}{\sigma}\|(u, v)\|^{\sigma}-\frac{t^{m}}{m} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} d x-\frac{t^{q}}{q} G(u, v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, by (2.8), (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$
G(u, v) \leq(\lambda+\mu)|\Omega|^{\frac{m-q}{m}} S^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|(u, v)\|^{q} \leq \eta\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \eta\left(t_{l}\right) .
$$

Thus, there exist $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ such that $0<t_{1}<t_{l}<t_{2}$ and $\eta\left(t_{1}\right)=\eta\left(t_{2}\right)=G(u, v)$. It follows from (2.18) that $\Psi_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $\Psi_{0}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$, then $\left(t_{1} u, t_{1} v\right) \in N$ and $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in N . \Psi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=$ $\left(t_{1}\right)^{q+1} \eta^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$. By Lemma 2.5, one has $\left(t_{1} u, t_{1} v\right) \in N^{+}$. Meanwhile, $\Psi_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=\left(t_{2}\right)^{q+1} \eta^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<$ 0 , we obtain $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in N^{-}$. By a direct calculation, we have $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)=t^{q-1}(\eta(t)-G(u, v))$. Since $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right)$ and $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{l}\right), I\left(t_{1} u, t_{1} v\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{l}} I(t u, t v)$. Furthermore, we find that $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \Psi_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[t_{2},+\infty\right)$ and $\Psi_{2}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. Since $\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right) \in N^{-}$, by Lemma 2.4, we obtain $\Psi_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)>0$. Then $I\left(t_{2} u, t_{2} v\right)=$ $\sup _{t \geq 0} I(t u, t v)$.

## 3 Proof of the main result

Lemma 3.1 If $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, then thefunctional I has a minimizer $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ in $N^{+}$satisfying
(i) $I\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=C^{+}<0$;
(ii) $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Proof Since $I$ is bounded from below on $N^{+}$, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.v_{n}\right)\right\} \in N^{+}$such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{+}} I(u, v)=C^{+} .
$$

Since $I(u, v)$ is coercive and bounded from below on $N$, then $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded on $E$. Then there exists $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in E$, up to a subsequence, that we still denote by $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$, such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{1}, \quad v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{1}, \quad \text { in } L^{r}(\Omega), \\
& u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u_{1}(x), \quad v_{n}(x) \rightarrow v_{1}(x), \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $1 \leq r<p^{*}$, and by [28], Theorem IV-9, there exists $l(x) \in L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \leq l(x), \quad\left|v_{n}(x)\right| \leq l(x), \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

for any $1 \leq r<p^{*}$. By the dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}+\mu\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}+\mu\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\lambda\left|u_{1}\right|^{q}+\mu\left|v_{1}\right|^{q}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{1}\right|^{\beta} d x
$$

By Lemma 2.6, there exists $t_{1}<t_{l}$ such that $\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right) \in N^{+}$and $\Psi_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=0$.

Next we show that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ strongly in $E$. Suppose otherwise, then

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\| .
$$

As

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right),\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right)\right\rangle= & k t_{1}^{p}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p}+l t_{1}^{\sigma}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{\sigma} \\
& -t_{1}^{m} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x-t_{1}^{q} G\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right),\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right)\right\rangle= & k t_{1}^{p}\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\|^{p}+l t_{1}^{\sigma}\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\|^{\sigma} \\
& -t_{1}^{m} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{1}\right|^{\beta} d x-t_{1}^{q} G\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right),\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right)\right\rangle>\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right),\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\Psi_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

That is, $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right),\left(t_{1} u_{n}, t_{1} v_{n}\right)\right\rangle>0$ for $n$ large enough. Since $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \in N^{+}$, it is easy to see that $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\rangle=0$, and $\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t u_{n}, t v_{n}\right),\left(t u_{n}, t v_{n}\right)\right\rangle<0$ for $0<t<1$. So we have
$t_{1}>1$. On the other hand, $I\left(t u_{1}, t v_{1}\right)$ is decreasing on $\left(0, t_{1}\right)$, So

$$
I\left(t_{1} u_{1}, t_{1} v_{1}\right) \leq I\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=C^{+}=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{+}} I(u, v),
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ strongly in $E$. This implies

$$
I\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow I\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{+}} I(u, v)=C^{+} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Namely, $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a minimizer of $I$ on $N^{+}$, by Lemma 2.2, $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Lemma 3.2 If $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, then the functional I has a minimizer $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ in $N^{-}$such that
(i) $I\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=C^{-}$;
(ii) $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Proof Since $I$ is bounded from below on $N^{-}$, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{\left(\bar{u}_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\bar{v}_{n}\right)\right\} \in N^{-}$such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)=C^{-} .
$$

Since $I(u, v)$ is coercive, $\left\{\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded on $E$, up to a subsequence, we still denote it by $\left\{\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)\right\}$, then there exists $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \in E$ such that

$$
\bar{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{2}, \quad \bar{v}_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{2}, \quad \text { in } L^{r}(\Omega)
$$

for any $1 \leq r<p^{*}$, and by [28], Theorem IV-9, and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)=G\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right),
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\bar{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{2}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{2}\right|^{\beta} d x
$$

By Lemma 2.6, there exists unique $t_{2}$ such that $\left(t_{2} u_{2}, t_{2} v_{2}\right) \in N^{-}$. Next we show that $\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ strongly in $E$. The proof of this claim is by contradiction. If the claim were not true, then

$$
\left\|\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)\right\| .
$$

Since $\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right) \in N^{-}$and $I\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right) \geq I\left(t \bar{u}_{n}, t \bar{v}_{n}\right)$ for all $t>0$, then we have

$$
I\left(t_{2} u_{2}, t_{2} v_{2}\right)<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(t_{2} \bar{u}_{n}, t_{2} \bar{v}_{n}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)=C^{-},
$$

which is a contradiction. This implies

$$
I\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right) \rightarrow I\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=\inf _{(u, v) \in N^{-}} I(u, v)=C^{-} \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Namely, $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ is a minimizer of $I$ on $N^{-}$, by Lemma $2.2,\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have that for $0<\lambda+\mu<\Lambda_{0}$, problem (1.1) has two solutions $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in N^{+}$and $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \in N^{-}$in $E$. Since $N^{+} \cap N^{-}=\emptyset$, then these two solutions are distinct.
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