RESEARCH Open Access



New limiting variants of the classical reiteration theorem for the K-interpolation method

Irshaad Ahmed*, Maryam Ashfaq and Ghulam Murtaza

*Correspondence: quantized84@yahoo.com Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract

We establish some reiteration theorems for limiting *K*-interpolation methods, thereby obtaining new limiting variants of the classical reiteration theorem. An application to the Fourier transform is given.

MSC: 46B70; 26D15; 47B38

Keywords: *K*-functional; Limiting *K*-interpolation methods; Reiteration theorems; Weighted inequalities; The Fourier transform

1 Introduction

Let (A_0, A_1) be a compatible couple of quasi-normed spaces, that is, we assume that both A_0 and A_1 are continuously embedded in the same Hausdorff topological vector space. Peetre's K-functional $K(t, f) = K(t, f; A_0, A_1)$ is defined, for each $f \in A_0 + A_1$ and t > 0, by

$$K(t,f) = \inf \{ \|f_0\|_{A_0} + t \|f_1\|_{A_1} : f_0 \in A_0, f_1 \in A_1, f = f_0 + f_1 \}.$$

Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < q \le \infty$. The classical K-interpolation space $\bar{A}_{\theta,q} = (A_0, A_1)_{\theta,q}$ is formed by all those $f \in A_0 + A_1$ for which the quasi-norm

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{\theta,q}} = \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-\theta q} K^q(t,f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite (here and in the sequel, the integral should be replaced by the supremum when $q = \infty$). We refer to [1–3] for the properties of the scale $\bar{A}_{\theta,q}$.

The classical reiteration theorem states that (see [4])

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\theta,q} = \bar{A}_{\eta,q}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < q_0, q_1, q \le \infty$, and $\eta = (1 - \theta)\theta_0 + \theta\theta_1$. Moreover, for extreme cases, we have

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0, q_0}, A_1)_{\theta, q} = \bar{A}_{\delta, q}$$
 (1.2)



and

$$(A_0, \bar{A}_{\theta_1, q_1})_{\theta, q} = \bar{A}_{\theta\theta_1, q},\tag{1.3}$$

where $\delta = (1 - \theta)\theta_0 + \theta$.

It is not hard to verify that the scale $\bar{A}_{\theta,q}$ does not make sense if $\theta=0,1$ and $q<\infty$. Let b be a slowly varying function (see, for instance, [5]), then the K-interpolation space $\bar{A}_{\theta,q;b}=(A_0,A_1)_{\theta,q;b}$ consists of those $f\in A_0+A_1$ for which the quasi-norm

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{\theta,q;b}} = \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-\theta q} b^q(t) K^q(t,f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. Note that $\bar{A}_{\theta,q;b} = \bar{A}_{\theta,q}$ when $b \equiv 1$. An important feature of this extended scale $\bar{A}_{\theta,q;b}$ is that it is well defined for limiting values $\theta = 0, 1$, under certain appropriate conditions on b (see [5, Proposition 2.5]). See [5–7] for different reiteration theorems for this extended scale in limiting cases. The results in these papers generalize the earlier results in [8] and [9], where the case when b is a broken logarithmic function was treated. In the papers [10–12], similar reiteration theorems have been derived for the more extended scale $\bar{A}_{\theta,b,E}$, which is obtained by replacing the Lebesgue space L^q ($q \ge 1$) by an arbitrary rearrangement invariant normed space E.

Recently, Cobos et al. [13] have defined two new scales of limiting K-interpolation spaces $\bar{A}_{0,q;K}=(A_0,A_1)_{0,q;K}$ and $\bar{A}_{0,q;K}=(A_0,A_1)_{1,q;K}$, corresponding to the limiting values $\theta=0,1$, without using the extra function b. Namely, $\bar{A}_{0,q;K}$ and $\bar{A}_{1,q;K}$ consist of elements $f\in A_0+A_1$ with the following finite quasi-norms:

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{0,q;K}} = \left(\int_0^1 K^q(t,f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} + \sup_{t \ge 1} K(t,f)$$

and

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{1,q;K}} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{K(t,f)}{t} + \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{K(t,f)}{t}\right)^{q} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q},$$

respectively. The main purpose in the paper [13] was to investigate the connection between these limiting K-interpolation methods and the interpolation over the unit square. Let us mention that these limiting K-interpolation methods were earlier considered in [14] and [15] in the case when the underlying couple (A_0, A_1) is ordered, meaning that A_0 (or A_1) is continuously embedded in A_1 (or A_0). Henceforth, for the sake of simplicity, we denote $\bar{A}_{0,q;K}$ by $\bar{A}_{\{0\},q}$ and $\bar{A}_{1,q;K}$ by $\bar{A}_{\{1\},q}$.

The main goal of the present paper is to obtain limiting variants of the classical reiteration formula (1.1) by characterizing the following limiting reiteration spaces:

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{0\},q}$$

and

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{1\},q}.$$

In addition, we establish similar limiting variants of the reiteration formulae (1.2) and (1.3). In particular, Theorem 3.6 (see below) extends the assertion of [14, Theorem 4.1].

The key ingredients of our proofs will be the two-sided Hardy-type inequalities involving power-type weights. These inequalities are derived in Section 2. The main results are contained in Section 3, whereas an application to the Fourier transform is given in Section 4.

Throughout the paper, we will write $A \lesssim B$ or $B \gtrsim A$ for two non-negative quantities A and B to mean that $A \leq cB$ for some positive constant c which is independent of appropriate parameters involved in A and B. We put $A \approx B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$.

2 Weighted Hardy-type inequalities

To prove our main results, we shall need suitable two-sided Hardy-type inequalities involving power-type weights. We derive them from the following general weighted Hardy-type inequalities.

Theorem 2.1 ([6], Lemma 3.2) Let $0 < s < \infty$, and assume that w and ϕ are non-negative functions on $(0, \infty)$. Put

$$v(t) = \left(w(t)\right)^{1-s} \left(\phi(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} w(u) \, du\right)^{s}.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \phi(u)h(u) \, du \right)^s w(t) \, dt \gtrsim \int_0^\infty h^s(t)\nu(t) \, dt \quad \text{if } 0 < s < 1$$
 (2.1)

and

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \phi(u)h(u) \, du \right)^s w(t) \, dt \lesssim \int_0^\infty h^s(t)\nu(t) \, dt \quad \text{if } 1 \le s < \infty$$
 (2.2)

hold for all non-negative functions h on $(0, \infty)$.

The next assertion deals with the general weighted Hardy-type inequality restricted to non-increasing functions.

Theorem 2.2 ([6], Lemma 3.3) Let 0 < s < 1 and $0 \le a < \infty$, and assume that w and ϕ are non-negative functions on $(0,\infty)$. Put

$$v_0(t) = \phi(t) \left(\int_0^t \phi(u) \, du \right)^{s-1} \int_t^\infty w(u) \, du.$$

Then

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \phi(u)h(u) du \right)^{s} w(t) dt \lesssim \int_{a}^{\infty} h^{s}(t) \nu_{0}(t) dt$$
 (2.3)

holds for all non-negative and non-increasing functions h on (a, ∞) .

Remark 2.3 The previous assertion is proved in [6] for a = 0, but the same proof also works for all $0 < a < \infty$.

Next, using the previous two theorems, we obtain the needed two-sided Hardy-type inequalities.

Corollary 2.4 *Let* $0 < s, \alpha, \beta < \infty$, *then*

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{t} u^{\beta} h(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{s} \frac{dt}{t} \approx \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{\beta s - \alpha} h^{s}(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

holds for all non-negative and non-increasing functions h on $(1, \infty)$.

Proof The estimate " \gtrsim " is a simple consequence of the fact that h is non-increasing. For $1 \le s < \infty$, the converse estimate " \lesssim " follows from Theorem 2.1, applied with $w(t) = t^{-\alpha-1}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$ and $\phi(t) = t^{\beta-1}$ so that $v(t) \approx t^{\beta s - \alpha - 1}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$. When 0 < s < 1, the desired converse estimate results from Theorem 2.2, applied with a = 1, $w(t) = t^{-\alpha-1}$, and $\phi(t) = t^{\beta-1}$, so that $v_0(t) \approx t^{\beta s - \alpha - 1}$. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2.5 *Let* $0 < s, \alpha, \beta < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^1 t^{\alpha} \left(\int_t^{\infty} u^{-\beta} g(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^s \frac{dt}{t} \approx \int_0^1 t^{\alpha - \beta s} g^s(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

holds for all non-negative and non-decreasing functions g on (0,1).

Proof The proof follows by applying Corollary 2.4 to the non-increasing function h(t) = g(1/t) on $(1, \infty)$.

3 Limiting reiteration theorems

In this section, we establish our main results. First we need to introduce K-interpolation spaces of type \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} . Namely, let ν and w be non-negative and locally integrable functions on $(0,\infty)$, and let $0 < p,q \le \infty$. Then the K-interpolation spaces $\bar{A}^{\mathcal{L}}_{w,p;\nu,q} = (A_0,A_1)^{\mathcal{L}}_{w,p;\nu,q}$ and $\bar{A}^{\mathcal{R}}_{w,p;\nu,q} = (A_0,A_1)^{\mathcal{R}}_{w,p;\nu,q}$ consist of elements $f \in A_0 + A_1$ with the following finite quasi-norms:

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{w,p;v,q}^{\mathcal{L}}} = \left(\int_0^\infty v^q(t) \left(\int_0^t w^p(u) K^p(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$||f||_{\bar{A}_{w,p;v,q}^{\mathcal{R}}} = \left(\int_0^\infty v^q(t) \left(\int_t^\infty w^p(u) K^p(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/p} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q},$$

respectively. For details on $\bar{A}_{w,p;v,q}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\bar{A}_{w,p;v,q}^{\mathcal{R}}$, the reader is referred to [6] where slightly different notations are used for these spaces. The spaces \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} , with weights involving logarithmic functions or, more generally, slowly varying functions, have also appeared in [5, 8, 16]. It is also worthy of mention that the spaces \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} have been considered in [10–12] in the more general framework of rearrangement invariant spaces.

Recall that the quasi-norm on the intersection $X \cap Y$ of two quasi-normed spaces X and Y is given by

$$||f||_{X\cap Y} = \max(||f||_X, ||f||_Y).$$

The next assertion gives a limiting variant of the reiteration formula (1.1) corresponding to the limiting value $\theta = 0$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $0 < q_0, q_1, q < \infty$, and $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$. Then

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{0\},q} = \bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0} \cap \bar{A}_{w,q_0;v,q}^{\mathcal{L}},$$

where $w(t) = t^{-\theta_0}$ and $v(t) = \chi_{(0,1)}(t)$.

Proof Let $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{0\},q}$, and take $f \in A_0 + A_1$. According to [4, Theorem 2.1], we have the following formula for the classical K-interpolation method:

$$\begin{split} K \big(t^{\theta_1 - \theta_0}, f; \bar{A}_{\theta_0, q_0}, \bar{A}_{\theta_1, q_1} \big) &\approx \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_0} \\ &+ t^{\theta_1 - \theta_0} \bigg(\int_t^\infty u^{-\theta_1 q_1} K^{q_1}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \bigg)^{1/q_1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, it turns out that

$$||f||_{\bar{A}} \approx I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$
 (3.1)

where

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{q/q_0} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \\ I_2 &= \left(\int_0^1 t^{(\theta_1 - \theta_0) q} \left(\int_t^\infty u^{-\theta_1 q_1} K^{q_1}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{q/q_1} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \\ I_3 &= \sup_{t \ge 1} \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_0} \end{split}$$

and

$$I_4 = \sup_{t \ge 1} t^{\theta_1 - \theta_0} \left(\int_t^{\infty} u^{-\theta_1 q_1} K^{q_1}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_1}.$$

Clearly,

$$I_3 = \left(\int_0^\infty u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{1/q_0}.$$
 (3.2)

Next, let us estimate each of I_2 and I_4 . We observe the simple fact that $t \mapsto K(t, f)$ is non-decreasing and apply Corollary 2.5, with $s = q/q_1$, $\alpha = \theta_1 - \theta_0$, $\beta = \theta_1 q_1$, and $g(t) = K^{q_1}(t, f)$,

to get

$$I_2 \approx \left(\int_0^1 t^{-\theta_0 q} K^q(t, f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}.$$
(3.3)

Moreover, noting the fact that $t \mapsto t^{-1}K(t,f)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$I_1 \geq \left(\int_0^1 t^{-q} K^q(t,f) \left(\int_0^t u^{(1- heta_0)q_0} rac{du}{u}
ight)^{q/q_0} rac{dt}{t}
ight)^{1/q} \ pprox \left(\int_0^1 t^{- heta_0 q} K^q(t,f) rac{dt}{t}
ight)^{1/q}$$

whence, in view of (3.3), we get $I_1 \gtrsim I_2$. Therefore,

$$I_1 + I_2 \approx I_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Now we estimate I_4 . Observe that

$$I_4 \leq \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{\theta_1 - \theta_0} \left(\int_t^{\infty} u^{(\theta_0 - \theta_1)q_1} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_1} \cdot \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{-\theta_0} K(t, f)$$

$$\approx \sup_{t \geq 1} t^{-\theta_0} K(t, f).$$

In addition, we have

$$\left(\int_t^\infty u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{1/q_0} \gtrsim t^{-\theta_0} K(t,f), \quad t > 0,$$

as $t \mapsto K(t,f)$ is non-decreasing. Combining the previous two estimates, we arrive at

$$I_4 \lesssim \left(\int_1^\infty u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{1/q_0}.$$

This, along with (3.2), leads us to

$$I_3 + I_4 \approx \left(\int_0^\infty u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{1/q_0}.$$
 (3.5)

Now inserting estimates (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.1) yields

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{\bar{A}} &\approx \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/q_0} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} + \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(t,f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_0} \\ &= \|f\|_{\bar{A}_{w,q_0;v,q}^L} + \|f\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}}, \end{split}$$

which finishes the proof.

Next we establish a limiting version of the reiteration formula (1.1) corresponding to the limiting value $\theta = 1$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $0 < q_0, q_1, q < \infty$, and $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < 1$. Then

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{1\},q}=\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1}\cap\bar{A}_{w,q_1;v,q}^{\mathcal{R}},$$

where $w(t) = t^{-\theta_1}$ and $v(t) = \chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$.

Proof In view of the following elementary identity:

$$K(t,f;A_0,A_1) = tK(t^{-1},f;A_1,A_0), \quad t>0,$$
 (3.6)

the symmetry property $(A_0, A_1)_{\{0\},q} = (A_1, A_0)_{\{1\},q}$ holds. Together with the well-known symmetry property for the scale $\bar{A}_{\theta,q}$, this gives

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},\bar{A}_{\theta_1,q})_{\{1\},q} = ((A_1,A_0)_{1-\theta_1,q},(A_1,A_0)_{1-\theta_0,q_0})_{\{0\},q}.$$

Now applying Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\begin{split} &\|f\|_{(\bar{A}_{\theta_{0},q_{0}},\bar{A}_{\theta_{1},q_{1}})_{\{1\},q}} \\ &\approx \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{t} u^{(\theta_{1}-1)q_{1}} K^{q_{0}}(u,f;A_{1},A_{0}) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/q_{1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} \\ &+ \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{(\theta_{1}-1)q_{1}} K^{q_{1}}(t,f;A_{1},A_{0}) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_{1}} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{t} u^{\theta_{1}q_{1}} K^{q_{1}}(u^{-1},f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/q_{1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} \\ &+ \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta_{1}q_{1}} K^{q_{0}}(t^{-1},f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_{1}} \\ &= \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} u^{-\theta_{1}q_{1}} K^{q_{1}}(u,f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{q/q_{1}} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} \\ &+ \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-\theta_{1}q_{1}} K^{q_{1}}(t,f) \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q_{1}} \\ &= \|f\|_{\bar{A}^{\infty}_{w,q_{1},v,q}} + \|f\|_{\bar{A}_{\theta_{1},q_{1}}}, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

The next two results provide limiting variants of the reiteration formula (1.2) corresponding to the limiting values $\theta = 0, 1$.

Theorem 3.3 Let $0 < q_0, q < \infty$, and $0 < \theta_0 < 1$. Then

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},A_1)_{\{0\},q}=\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}\cap\bar{A}_{w,q_0;v,q}^{\mathcal{L}},$$

where $w(t) = t^{-\theta_0}$ and $v(t) = \chi_{(0,1)}(t)$.

Proof Let $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}, A_1)_{\{0\},q}$, and take $f \in A_0 + A_1$. Applying the following formula (see [4, Remark 2.1]):

$$K(t^{1-\theta_0}, f; \bar{A}_{\theta_0, q_0}, A_1) \approx \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u}\right)^{1/q_0}, \tag{3.7}$$

we immediately have

$$||f||_{\bar{A}} \approx ||f||_{\bar{A}_{w,q_0;v,q}^{\mathcal{L}}} + ||f||_{\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}},$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.4 *Let* $0 < q_0, q < \infty$, and $0 < \theta_0 < 1$. Then

$$(\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0},A_1)_{\{1\},q}=\bar{A}_{\{1\},q}.$$

Proof Let $\bar{A} = (\bar{A}_{\theta_0,q_0}, A_1)_{\{1\},q}$, and take $f \in A_0 + A_1$. Then, making use of formula (3.7), we have

$$||f||_{\bar{A}} = I_1 + I_2,\tag{3.8}$$

where

$$I_1 = \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{\theta_0 - 1} \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(s, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_0}$$

and

$$I_2 = \left(\int_1^\infty t^{(\theta_0 - 1)q} \left(\int_0^t u^{-\theta_0 q_0} K^{q_0}(u, f) \frac{du}{u} \right)^{q/q_0} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Applying Corollary 2.4, with $s = q/q_0$, $\beta = (1 - \theta_0)q_0$, $\alpha = (1 - \theta_0)q$, and $h(t) = t^{-q_0}K^{q_0}(t, f)$, gives

$$I_2 \approx \left(\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{K(t,f)}{t}\right)^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q}.$$
 (3.9)

Next we estimate I_1 . We have

$$\begin{split} I_1 &\leq \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} t^{\theta_0 - 1} \Biggl(\int_0^t u^{(1 - \theta_0)q_0} \frac{du}{u} \Biggr)^{1/q_0} \cdot \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{K(t, f)}{t} \\ &\approx \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \frac{K(t, f)}{t}. \end{split}$$

Also, since $t \mapsto t^{-1}K(t,f)$ is non-increasing, we have

$$I_1 \ge \sup_{0 < t \le 1} t^{\theta_0 - 2} K(t, f) \left(\int_0^t u^{(1 - \theta_0) q_0} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/q_0} \approx \sup_{0 < t \le 1} \frac{K(t, f)}{t}.$$

Thus,

$$I_1 \approx \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{K(t, f)}{t}. \tag{3.10}$$

Inserting estimates (3.9) and (3.10) in (3.8) completes the proof.

Using the same symmetry argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can derive the following limiting variants of the reiteration formula (1.3) from the previous two theorems.

Theorem 3.5 *Let* $0 < q_1, q < \infty$, and $0 < \theta_1 < 1$. Then

$$(A_0, \bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{1\},q} = \bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1} \cap \bar{A}_{w,q_1;v,q}^{\mathcal{R}},$$

where $w(t) = t^{-\theta_1}$ and $v(t) = \chi_{(1,\infty)}(t)$.

Theorem 3.6 *Let* $0 < q_1, q < \infty$, *and* $0 < \theta_1 < 1$. *Then*

$$(A_0, \bar{A}_{\theta_1,q_1})_{\{0\},q} = \bar{A}_{\{0\},q}.$$

Remark 3.7 If A_1 is continuously embedded in A_0 , then Theorem 3.6 gives back [14, Theorem 4.1].

4 An application

The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The next result provides an application of Theorem 3.4 to the mapping properties of the Fourier transform. For related results, the reader is referred to the papers [17, 18], and [5] and a recent PhD dissertation [19]. As usual, let f^* denote the non-increasing rearrangement (see, for instance, [3]) of f. Put $f^{**}(t) = \int_0^t f^*(u) \, du$, t > 0.

Theorem 4.1 Let $0 < q < \infty$, and set

$$E = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||f||_E = ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int_0^1 t^q f^{**}(t)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$F = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||f||_F = ||f||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int_1^\infty f^*(t)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is bounded as a map from E to F.

Proof We simply put $L^1 = L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is well known that \mathcal{F} is bounded as a map from L^1 to L^∞ and also as a map from L^2 to L^2 . Therefore, by the interpolation property of the K-interpolation method $\bar{A}_{\{1\},q}$ (see [13, Proposition 3.2]), \mathcal{F} is bounded as a map

from $(L^2, L^1)_{\{1\},q}$ to $(L^2, L^\infty)_{\{1\},q}$. Thus, the proof is complete if we show that $(L^2, L^1)_{\{1\},q} = E$ and $(L^2, L^\infty)_{\{1\},q} = F$. To this end, we observe that $(L^1, L^\infty)_{1/2,2} = L^2$ and $(L^\infty, L^1)_{1/2,2} = L^2$, and apply Theorem 3.4 to obtain that

$$\begin{split} \left(L^{2}, L^{1}\right)_{\{1\}, q} &= \left(\left(L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right)_{1/2, 2}, L^{1}\right)_{\{1\}, q} \\ &= \left(L^{\infty}, L^{1}\right)_{\{1\}, q} \\ &= \left(L^{1}, L^{\infty}\right)_{\{0\}, q} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left(L^2, L^\infty\right)_{\{1\},q} &= \left(\left(L^1, L^\infty\right)_{1/2,2}, L^1\right)_{\{1\},q} \\ &= \left(L^1, L^\infty\right)_{\{1\},q}. \end{split}$$

It remains to show that $(L^1, L^{\infty})_{\{0\},q} = E$ and $(L^1, L^{\infty})_{\{1\},q} = F$. Let $f \in L^1 + L^{\infty}$, then (see [1, Theorem 5.2.1])

$$K(t,f;L^1,L^\infty)=\int_0^t f^*(u)\,du,\quad t>0.$$

Thus.

$$||f||_{(L^{1},L^{\infty})_{\{0\},q}} = \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(u) du\right)^{q} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} + \sup_{t \ge 1} \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(u) du$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{q} f^{**}(t)^{q} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} + \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{*}(u) du$$

$$= ||f||_{E}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{(L^{1},L^{\infty})_{\{1\},q}} &= \sup_{0 < t \le 1} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(u) \, du + \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-q} \left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(u) \, du \right)^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= f^{*}(0) + \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-q} \left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{*}(u) \, du \right)^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\approx f^{*}(0) + \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{*}(t)^{q} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, \end{split}$$

where the last equivalence follows from Corollary 2.4, applied with s=q, $\beta=1$, $\alpha=q$, and $h=f^*$. Hence, $\|f\|_{(L^1,L^\infty)_{\{1\},q}} \approx \|f\|_F$. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referees for very helpful comments.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors have equally contributed towards the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 8 September 2017 Accepted: 8 February 2018 Published online: 20 February 2018

References

- 1. Bergh, J., Löfström, J.: Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- 2. Triebel, H.: Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1978)
- 3. Bennett, C., Sharpley, R.: Interpolation of Operators. Academic Press, New York (1988)
- 4. Holmstedt, T.: Interpolation of guasi-normed spaces. Math. Scand. 26, 177-199 (1970)
- 5. Gogatishvili, A., Opic, B., Trebels, W.: Limiting reiteration for real interpolation with slowly varying functions. Math. Nachr. 278, 86–107 (2005)
- 6. Ahmed, I., Edmunds, D.E., Evans, W.D., Karadzhov, G.E.: Reiteration theorems for the *K*-interpolation method in limiting cases. Math. Nachr. **284**, 421–442 (2011)
- Ahmed, I., Karadzhov, G.E., Raza, A.: General Holmstedt's formulae for the K-functional. J. Funct. Spaces 2017, Article ID 4958073 (2017)
- 8. Evans, W.D., Opic, B.: Real interpolation with logarithmic functors and reiteration. Can. J. Math. 52, 920–960 (2000)
- 9. Evans, W.D., Opic, B., Pick, L.: Real interpolation with logarithmic functors. J. Inequal. Appl. 7, 187–269 (2002)
- 10. Fernández-Martínez, P., Signes, T.: Real interpolation with symmetric spaces and slowly varying functions. Q. J. Math. 63, 133–164 (2012)
- 11. Fernández-Martínez, P., Signes, T.: Reiteration theorems with extreme values of parameters. Ark. Mat. **52**, 227–256 (2014)
- 12. Fernández-Martínez, P., Signes, T.: Limit cases of reiteration theorems. Math. Nachr. 288, 25–47 (2015)
- 13. Cobos, F., Fernández-Cabrera, L.M., Silvestre, P.: New limiting real interpolation methods and their connection with the methods associated to the unit square. Math. Nachr. 286, 569–578 (2013)
- 14. Gomez, M.E., Milman, M.: Extrapolation spaces and almost-everywhere convergence of singular integrals. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 34, 305–316 (1986)
- 15. Cobos, F., Fernández-Cabrera, L.M., Kühn, T., Ullrich, T.: On an extreme class of real interpolation spaces. J. Funct. Anal. 256, 2321–2366 (2009)
- 16. Doktorski, R.Y.: Reiteration relations of the real interpolation method. Sov. Math. Dokl. 44, 665–669 (1992)
- Benedetto, J.J., Heinig, H.P.: Weighted Fourier inequalities: new proofs and generalizations. J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 9, 1–37 (2003)
- 18. Sinnamon, G.: The Fourier transform in weighted Lorentz spaces. Publ. Mat. 47, 3–29 (2003)
- 19. Javad, R.K.: The Fourier inequalities in Lorentz and Lebesgue spaces. Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository. Paper 3070 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com