

RESEARCH

Open Access



Endpoint regularity of discrete multisublinear fractional maximal operators associated with ℓ^1 -balls

Feng Liu*

*Correspondence:
liufeng860314@163.com
College of Mathematics and
Systems Science, Shandong
University of Science and
Technology, Qingdao, China

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the endpoint regularity of the discrete m -sublinear fractional maximal operator associated with ℓ^1 -balls, both in the centered and uncentered versions. We show that these operators map $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \cdots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $BV(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ boundedly and continuously. Here $BV(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ represents the set of functions of bounded variation defined on \mathbb{Z}^d .

MSC: 42B25; 46E35; 26A45; 39A12

Keywords: Discrete multisublinear fractional maximal function; ℓ^1 -balls; Sobolev space; Bounded variation; Continuity

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The regularity theory of maximal operators has been the subject of many recent articles in harmonic analysis. The first work was contributed by Kinnunen [1] who investigated the Sobolev regularity of the centered Hardy–Littlewood maximal function \mathcal{M} and proved that \mathcal{M} is bounded on the first order Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $1 < p \leq \infty$. It was noticed that the $W^{1,p}$ -bound for the uncentered maximal operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ also holds by a simple modification of Kinnunen's arguments or [2, Theorem 1]. Subsequently, the above result was extended to a local version in [3], to a fractional version in [4], to a multisublinear version in [5, 6] and to a one-sided version in [7]. Due to the lack of sublinearity of weak derivatives of the maximal function, the continuity of $\mathcal{M} : W^{1,p} \rightarrow W^{1,p}$ for $1 < p < \infty$ is a certainly non-trivial problem. This question was resolved by Luiro in [8]. Later on, Luiro's result was extended to the local version in [9] and to the multisublinear version in [5, 10]. Another way to extend the regularity theory of maximal operators is to study its behavior on different differentiable function spaces, such as fractional Sobolev spaces, Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, Besov spaces and so on. We refer the readers to consult [9, 11–13]. We notice that the L^p -bounds for \mathcal{M} is the crux of the $W^{1,p}$ -bounds for \mathcal{M} for all $1 < p \leq \infty$. Due to the lack of the L^1 -bounds for \mathcal{M} , the $W^{1,1}$ -regularity of maximal operators seems to be a deeper issue. A crucial question was posed by Hajlasz and Onninen in [2]:

Question A ([2]) Is the operator $f \mapsto |\nabla \mathcal{M}f|$ bounded from $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$?

This question was solved completely in dimension $d = 1$. Tanaka [14] first proved that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}f$ is weakly differentiable and satisfies

$$\|(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}f)'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\|f'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \tag{1.1}$$

if $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. The above result was later refined by Aldaz and Pérez Lázaro [15] who showed that if f is of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} , then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}f$ is absolutely continuous and

$$\text{Var}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}f) \leq \text{Var}(f), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\text{Var}(f)$ denotes the total variation of f . The above result directly yields (1.1) with constant $C = 1$ (see also [16]). For the centered version, Kurka [17] showed that if f is of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} , then (1.2) holds for \mathcal{M} with constant $C = 240,004$. Kurka also observed that if $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathcal{M}f$ is weakly differentiable and (1.1) also holds for \mathcal{M} with constant $C = 240,004$. Recently, inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) were extended to a fractional setting in [18] and to a multisublinear setting in [19]. In the remarkable work [20], Carneiro et al. proved that the operator $f \mapsto (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}f)'$ is continuous from $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. It is currently unknown whether the above continuity also holds for the centered version. For the general case $d \geq 2$, Question A remains open, and partial progress was obtained by Hajłasz and Malý [21], Luiro [22] and Saari [23]. Other works on the endpoint regularity of maximal operators include [7, 24, 25].

1.2 Discrete setting

We shall generally denote by $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$ a vector in \mathbb{Z}^d . For a discrete function $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm for $1 \leq p < \infty$ by $\|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = (\sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(\vec{n})|^p)^{1/p}$ and the $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm by $\|f\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \sup_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(\vec{n})|$. We also let $\|\vec{n}\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^d |n_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Formally, we define the discrete analogue of the Sobolev spaces by

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \{f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \|f\|_{1,p} = \|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|\nabla f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty\},$$

where ∇f is the gradient of a discrete function f defined by $\nabla f(\vec{n}) = (D_1 f(\vec{n}), \dots, D_d f(\vec{n}))$ and $D_l f(\vec{n})$ is the partial derivative of f denoted by

$$D_l f(\vec{n}) = f(\vec{n} + \vec{e}_l) - f(\vec{n})$$

and $\vec{e}_l = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the canonical l th base vector, $l = 1, 2, \dots, d$. It is clear that

$$\|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{1,p} \leq (2d + 1)\|f\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

which yields that the discrete Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is just $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ with an equivalent norm. We also denote by $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ the set of all functions of bounded variation defined on \mathbb{Z}^d , where the total variation of $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\text{Var}(f) = \sum_{l=1}^d \|D_l f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

It follows that

$$\|\nabla f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \text{Var}(f) \leq d\|\nabla f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{1.4}$$

Recently, the study of the regularity properties of discrete maximal operators has also attracted many scholars. This progress began with Bober et al. [26] who proved that

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{M}f) \leq \text{Var}(f) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(Mf) \leq \left(2 + \frac{146}{315}\right)\|f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})}, \tag{1.6}$$

where M (resp., \tilde{M}) is the usual discrete centered (resp., uncentered) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. We notice that inequality (1.5) is sharp. Subsequently, Temur [27] proved (1.5) for M (with constant $C = 294,912,004$) following Kurka’s breakthrough [17]. Inequality (1.6) is not optimal, and it was asked in [26] whether the sharp constant for inequality (1.6) is in fact $C = 2$. This question was resolved in the affirmative by Madrid in [28]. Recently, Carneiro and Madrid [18] extended inequality (1.5) to the fractional setting (also see [20, 29–31] for the relevant results).

For general dimension $d \geq 1$, Carneiro and Hughes [32] studied the endpoint regularity of the discrete centered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator associated with ℓ^2 -balls

$$Mf(\vec{n}) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{N(B_r(\vec{n}))} \sum_{\vec{k} \in B_r(\vec{n}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} |f(\vec{k})|,$$

where $B_r(\vec{n})$ is the open ball in \mathbb{R}^d centered at \vec{n} with radius r and $N(B_r(\vec{n}))$ is the number of the lattice points in the set $B_r(\vec{n})$. Carneiro and Hughes [32] first proved that M and its uncentered version map $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ boundedly and continuously. The above result was later extended to a fractional setting in [18] and to a multisublinear setting in [33]. In particular, Liu and Wu [33] investigated the regularity of the discrete centered multisublinear fractional maximal operator associated with ℓ^2 -balls

$$\mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = \sup_{r>0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{N(B_r(\vec{n}))^{1-\frac{\alpha}{md}}} \sum_{\vec{k} \in B_r(\vec{n}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} |f_j(\vec{k})|,$$

where $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < md$. Precisely, they proved the following result.

Theorem B ([33]) *Let $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < (m - 1)d + 1$. Then \mathfrak{M}_α maps $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ boundedly and continuously.*

1.3 Main results

It is well known that the geometry of ℓ^1 -balls in \mathbb{Z}^d is more intricate than that of ℓ^2 -balls. Especially, the number of lattice points in the ℓ^1 -ball is more complex than that of ℓ^2 -ball. This makes the investigation of the discrete multisublinear maximal operators associated with ℓ^1 -balls very complex and interesting. The primary purpose of this paper is to explore

the regularity properties of the discrete multisublinear maximal operators associated with ℓ^1 -balls. Let $m \geq 1$ and $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with each f_j being a discrete function on \mathbb{Z}^d . For $0 \leq \alpha < md$, we define the discrete centered m -sublinear fractional maximal operator \mathbf{M}_α associated with ℓ^1 -balls by

$$\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = \sup_{r>0} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n}))^{1-\frac{\alpha}{md}}} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{n})} |f_j(\vec{k})|,$$

where $\Gamma_r(\vec{n})$ is the ℓ^1 -ball centered at \vec{n} with radius r , i.e. $\Gamma_r(\vec{n}) = \{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d; \|\vec{k} - \vec{n}\|_1 < r\}$, and $N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n}))$ denotes the number of elements in the set $\Gamma_r(\vec{n})$. The uncentered version of \mathbf{M}_α is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = \sup_{\substack{r>0, \vec{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \vec{n} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{l})}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{N(\Gamma_r(\vec{l}))^{1-\frac{\alpha}{md}}} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{l})} |f_j(\vec{k})|.$$

Clearly, when $\alpha = 0$ and $m = d = 1$, $\mathbf{M}_\alpha = M$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha = \tilde{M}$. For the bounds of \mathbf{M}_α and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha$, we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\ell^{p_j}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \tag{1.7}$$

if $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ for $\alpha = 0$, and $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ for $0 < \alpha < md$, and $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{\alpha}{d}$. To see (1.7), we notice that

$$B_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{d}}}(\vec{n}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \subset \Gamma_r(\vec{n}) \subset B_r(\vec{n}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d, \tag{1.8}$$

$$N(B_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{d}}}(\vec{n})) \leq N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n})) \leq N(B_r(\vec{n})). \tag{1.9}$$

Here $B_r(\vec{n})$ is the open ball in \mathbb{R}^d centered at \vec{n} with radius r and $N(B_r(\vec{n}))$ is the number of lattice points in the set $B_r(\vec{n})$. On the other hand, it was shown in [34] that

$$c_d \left(r - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \right)^d \leq N(B_r(\vec{0})) \leq c_d \left(r + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \right)^d \quad \forall r > \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}. \tag{1.10}$$

Here $c_d = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}$. (1.10) yields that

$$\frac{N(B_r(\vec{n}))}{N(B_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{d}}}(\vec{n}))} \leq C_0 \quad \forall r > 0. \tag{1.11}$$

Here $C_0 > 1$ depends only on the dimension d . It follows from (1.8)–(1.9) and (1.11) that

$$C_0^{-m+\frac{\alpha}{d}} \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \leq \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \leq C_0^{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}). \tag{1.12}$$

(1.8), (1.10) and (1.12) imply that

$$\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \leq \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \mathfrak{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \quad \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \tag{1.13}$$

(1.13) together with the bounds for \mathfrak{M}_α leads to (1.7).

Based on the above, a natural question, which arises from the above results, is the following:

Question C Are both \mathbf{M}_α and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha$ bounded and continuous from $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$?

This problem is the main motivation for this work. We will give an affirmative answer by our next theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < (m - 1)d + 1$. Then \mathbf{M}_α maps $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ boundedly and continuously. Moreover, if $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with each $f_j \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then*

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{1.14}$$

The same results hold for the operator $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha$.

Remark 1.1 (i) By (1.4) and Theorem 1.1, we know that both $\vec{f} \mapsto |\nabla \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})|$ and $\vec{f} \mapsto |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})|$ are bounded and continuous from $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ to $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ if $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha < (m - 1)d + 1$.

(ii) Both \mathbf{M}_α and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha$ are not bounded from $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ when $(m - 1)d + 1 < \alpha < md$.

(iii) Both \mathbf{M}_α and $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha$ are not bounded from $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ into $\text{BV}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ when $(m - 1)(d - 1) < \alpha < md$.

To see the above claims (ii) and (iii), let us only consider the centered case. Let $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ with $l > 2(\Lambda_0 + 1)$ and $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with each $f_j(\vec{n}) = \chi_{\{0 \leq \|\vec{n}\|_1 \leq l\}}(\vec{n})$. Here Λ_0 is given as in (2.3). One can easily check that $\|f_j\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = N_{1,d}(l) \lesssim_d l^d$, $\|\nabla f\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_d l^{d-1}$ and $\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = (N_{1,d}(l - \|\vec{n}\|_1))^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}$ when $0 \leq \|\vec{n}\|_1 \leq l$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_1 \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &\geq \sum_{\substack{\Lambda_0 \leq \|(n', n_d)\|_1 \leq \frac{l-1}{2} \\ n_d \geq 0}} ((N_{1,d}(l - \|n'\|_1 - |n_d|))^{\alpha/d} \\ &\quad - (N_{1,d}(l - \|n'\|_1 - |n_d| - 1))^{\alpha/d}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $l - \|n'\|_1 - |n_d| - 1 > \Lambda_0$ when $\Lambda_0 \leq \|(n', n_d)\|_1 \leq \frac{l-1}{2}$. Then, by (2.11) with $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{d}$ and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &\gtrsim_{\alpha, d} \sum_{\substack{\Lambda_0 \leq \|(n', n_d)\|_1 \leq \frac{l-1}{2} \\ n_d \geq 0}} (l - \|n'\|_1 - |n_d| - 1)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\gtrsim_{\alpha, d} \left(\frac{l-1}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\left(\frac{l-1}{2}\right)^d - \Lambda_0^d\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\|\nabla \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}}{\prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}} \gtrsim_{\alpha, m, d} \frac{l^{\alpha+d-1} - \Lambda_0^d l^{\alpha-1}}{l^{md}} \gtrsim_{\alpha, m, d} l^{\alpha+d-1-md} - \Lambda_0^d l^{\alpha-1-md},$$

$$\frac{\|\nabla \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}}{\prod_{j=1}^m \|\nabla f_j\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}} \gtrsim_{\alpha,m,d} \frac{l^{\alpha+d-1} - \Lambda_0^d l^{\alpha-1}}{l^{m(d-1)}} \gtrsim_{\alpha,m,d} l^{\alpha-(m-1)(d-1)} - \Lambda_0^d l^{\alpha-1-m(d-1)}.$$

Letting $l \rightarrow +\infty$, the claims (ii) and (iii) follow.

Remark 1.2 It should be pointed out that our main results are new even in the special case $m = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some notation and necessary lemmas. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. It should be pointed out that the main method employed in this paper is a combination of ideas and arguments from [18, 33], but our methods and techniques in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are more simple, direct and different than those in [18, 33]. In particular, the proof of Theorem B is highly dependent on a summability argument over the sequence of local maximal and local minima of discrete multisublinear fractional maximal functions and two summability estimates (see [33, Lemmas 2.1–2.2]). In [18, 33], the proofs of the corresponding continuity results are highly dependent on the Brezis–Lieb lemma [35]. Moreover, the discrete versions of Lairo’s lemma (see [18, Lemmas 4–5]) have also played key roles in the proof of the corresponding continuity results in [18]. However, these tools and lemmas are unnecessary in our proof. We would like to remark that the proposed method in this paper can be extended to study the convergence of the parameter estimation algorithms for linear and bilinear systems (see [36–38]). Throughout this paper, the letter C will denote a positive constant that may vary at each occurrence but is independent of the essential variables. If there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on ϑ such that $A \leq CB$, we then write $A \lesssim_\vartheta B$ or $B \gtrsim_\vartheta A$; and if $A \lesssim_\vartheta B \lesssim_\vartheta A$, we then write $A \sim_\vartheta B$. We also use the conventions $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} a_i = 1$ and $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} a_i = 0$.

2 Preliminary notations and lemmas

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $N_{1,d}(r)$ the number of elements in the set $\{\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|\vec{n}\|_1 \leq r\}$. It is obvious that $N_{1,d}(0) = 1$ and $N_{1,d}(r + 1) > N_{1,d}(r) \geq 1$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, since $\|\vec{n}\|_2 \leq \|\vec{n}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d}\|\vec{n}\|_2$, then

$$N\left(B_{\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{d}}}(\vec{0})\right) \leq N_{1,d}(r) \leq N\left(B_{r+1}(\vec{0})\right) \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

(2.1) and (1.10) give that

$$c_d \left(\frac{r-1}{\sqrt{d}} - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}\right)_+^d \leq N_{1,d}(r) \leq c_d \left(r + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} + 1\right)^d \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Here c_d is given as in (1.10) and $r_+ := \max\{r, c_d^{-1/d}\}$ for any $r > 0$. By (2.2), there exists $\Lambda_0 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$N_{1,d}(r) \sim_d r^d \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0; \tag{2.3}$$

$$N_{1,d}(r + 1) - N_{1,d}(r) \sim_d r^{d-1} \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0. \tag{2.4}$$

The following lemmas will play key roles in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\gamma > 0$, $d \geq 1$ and Λ_0 is given as in (2.3). Define the function $\Phi_\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi_\gamma(r) = (N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma}$. Then*

- (i) Φ_γ is strictly decreasing on \mathbb{N} .
- (ii) $\Phi_\gamma(r) \sim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} \Phi(2r)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (iii) $\Phi_\gamma(r) \sim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{d}}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof When $d = 1$. It is obvious that $\Phi_\gamma(r) = (2r+1)^{-\gamma} - (2r+3)^{-\gamma}$ is strictly decreasing on $r \in \mathbb{N}$. To prove (i) for the case $d \geq 2$, it suffices to show that

$$\Phi_\gamma(r) > \Phi_\gamma(r+1) \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

(2.5) reduces to the following:

$$\frac{(N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma}{(N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma} + \frac{(N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma}{(N_{1,d}(r+2))^\gamma} > 2 \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.6}$$

It was shown in [28, Lemma 4] that

$$\frac{N_{1,d}(r+1)}{N_{1,d}(r)} > \frac{N_{1,d}(r+2)}{N_{1,d}(r+1)} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.7) with the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality yields (2.6).

To prove (ii), it suffices to show that

$$(N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma} \sim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let us begin with proving the following:

$$(N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma - (N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma \sim_{\gamma,d} r^{d\gamma-1} \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0. \tag{2.9}$$

We consider the following two cases.

Case A. $\gamma \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. When $\gamma = 1$, (2.9) is obvious by (2.4). When $\gamma \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma - (N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma \\ &= (N_{1,d}(r+1) - N_{1,d}(r))(N_{1,d}(r+1)^{\gamma-1} + N_{1,d}(r+1)^{\gamma-2}N_{1,d}(r) + \dots + (N_{1,d}(r))^{\gamma-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.3) and (2.4) yields (2.9) for the case $\gamma \geq 2$.

Case B. $\gamma \notin \mathbb{N}$. We can write $\gamma = \frac{p}{q}$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 2$. Observe that

$$a - b = (a^{\frac{1}{n}})^n - (b^{\frac{1}{n}})^n = (a^{\frac{1}{n}} - b^{\frac{1}{n}})(a^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + a^{\frac{n-2}{n}}b^{\frac{1}{n}} + \dots + a^{\frac{1}{n}}b^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + b^{\frac{n-1}{n}})$$

for any $a, b > 0$. It follows that

$$a^{\frac{1}{n}} - b^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{a - b}{a^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + a^{\frac{n-2}{n}}b^{\frac{1}{n}} + \dots + a^{\frac{1}{n}}b^{\frac{n-2}{n}} + b^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}$$

for any $a, b > 0$. Then

$$a^\gamma - b^\gamma = (a^p)^{\frac{1}{q}} - (b^p)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{a^p - b^p}{a^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q}} + a^{\frac{p(q-2)}{q}}b^{\frac{p}{q}} + \dots + a^{\frac{p}{q}}b^{\frac{p(q-2)}{q}} + b^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q}}} \tag{2.10}$$

for any $a, b > 0$. From (2.3), (2.10) and Case A with $\gamma = p$, we have

$$(N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma - (N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma \sim_{\gamma,d} r^{dp-1} q^{-1} r^{-d\frac{p(q-1)}{q}} \sim_{\gamma,d} r^{d\gamma-1} \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0,$$

which establishes (2.9) in this case.

It follows from (2.3) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma} &= \frac{(N_{1,d}(r+1))^\gamma - (N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma}{(N_{1,d}(l+1))^\gamma (N_{1,d}(r))^\gamma} \\ &\sim_{\gamma,d} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma} \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

When $0 \leq r < \Lambda_0$. By (i) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma} &\geq (N_{1,d}(\Lambda_0))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(\Lambda_0+1))^{-\gamma} \\ &\gtrsim_{\gamma,d} (\Lambda_0+1)^{-1-d\gamma} \gtrsim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with the trivial inequality $(N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma} \lesssim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma}$ for any $0 \leq r < \Lambda_0$ yields that

$$(N_{1,d}(r))^{-\gamma} - (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma} \sim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma} \quad \forall 0 \leq r < \Lambda_0. \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.12) with (2.11) yields (2.8).

It remains to prove (iii). By (2.3) and (2.8), we get

$$\Phi_\gamma(r) \sim_{\gamma,d} (N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{d}} \quad \forall r \geq \Lambda_0. \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, we get from (2.2) that

$$(N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{d}} \gtrsim_{\gamma,d} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma} \quad \forall 0 \leq r < \Lambda_0.$$

This together with (2.10) and the trivial fact that $(N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{d}} \leq 1 \lesssim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} (r+1)^{-1-d\gamma}$ for $0 \leq r < \Lambda_0$ implies that

$$(N_{1,d}(r+1))^{-\gamma-\frac{1}{d}} \sim_{\gamma,d,\Lambda_0} \Phi_\gamma(r) \quad \forall 0 \leq r < \Lambda_0,$$

which together with (2.13) yields (iii). □

Lemma 2.2 *Let $d \geq 2, \gamma > 1$ and $R \in \mathbb{N}$ with $R \geq \Lambda_0$. Then*

$$\sum_{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq R} (N_{1,d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{-\gamma} \lesssim_{\gamma,d} R^{d-d\gamma}; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (N_{1,d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{-\gamma} \lesssim_{\gamma,d} 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof We only prove (2.14), since (2.15) follows from (2.14) and the following:

$$\sum_{\|\vec{n}\|_1 < \Lambda_0} (N_{1,d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{-\gamma} \leq N_{1,d}(\Lambda_0) \lesssim_d \Lambda_0^d,$$

where in the last inequality of the above inequality we have used (2.3). For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_{1,d}(s)$ denote the number of elements in the set $\{\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|\vec{n}\|_1 = s\}$. Since $d - d\gamma < 0$, then by (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq R} (N_{1,d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{-\gamma} &= \sum_{l=R}^{+\infty} (N_{1,d}(l))^{-\gamma} r_{1,d}(l) \lesssim_{\gamma,d} \sum_{l=R}^{+\infty} l^{-d\gamma} (N_{1,d}(l) - N_{1,d}(l-1)) \\ &\lesssim_{\gamma,d} \sum_{l=R}^{+\infty} l^{-d\gamma} (l-1)^{d-1} \lesssim_{\gamma,d} \sum_{l=R}^{+\infty} l^{d-1-d\gamma} \lesssim_{\gamma,d} R^{d-d\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.14) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Φ_γ be defined as in Lemma 2.1. It is clear that

$$(N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n})))^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-m} - (N(\Gamma_{r+1}(\vec{n})))^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-m} = \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r]) \quad \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ and } r \in [0, \infty). \tag{3.1}$$

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1—boundedness part

Let $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with each $f_j \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that all $f_j \geq 0$. We divide the proof of this part into two cases.

3.1.1 Centered case

To prove (1.14), it suffices to show that

$$\|D_l \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \tag{3.2}$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq d$. We shall work with (3.2) for $l = d$ and the other cases are analogous. In what follows, we set $\vec{n} = (n', n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $n' = (n_1, \dots, n_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$. It is clear that

$$\|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)|.$$

For each $n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n'} &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) = \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}, \\ X_{n'}^+ &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) > \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}, \\ X_{n'}^- &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) < \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &= \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^-} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to prove (3.2), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}; \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^-} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1)) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{3.4}$$

We only prove (3.3) since (3.4) can be obtained similarly. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the function $A_r(\vec{f}) : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A_r(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = (N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n})))^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{n})} f_j(\vec{k}) \quad \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Since all $f_j \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_r(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) = 0$. It follows that for any $n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ and $n_d \in X_{n'}^+$, there exists $r(n', n_d) > 0$ such that $\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) = A_{r(n', n_d)}(\vec{f})(n', n_d)$. This together with (3.1) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) \\ &\leq A_{r(n', n_d+1)}(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - A_{r(n', n_d+1)+1}(\vec{f})(n', n_d) \\ &\leq \Phi([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{r(n', n_d+1)}(n', n_d+1)} f_j(\vec{k}) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{r(n', n_d+1)}(n', n_d+1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) f_m(\vec{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{r(n', n_d+1)}(n', n_d+1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) f_m(\vec{k}) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_m(\vec{k}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [r(n', n_d+1)]\}}(n', n_d). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Fix $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Invoking Lemmas 2.1–2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d + 1)\|_1 \leq [r(n', n_d + 1)]\}}(n', n_d) \\ & \leq \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_{n'}^+} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}(\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d + 1)\|_1) \\ & \leq \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}(\|\vec{n}\|_1) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (N_{1, d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{d}-m} \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In the last inequality of (3.7) we have used the fact $\alpha < (m - 1)d + 1$. Then (3.3) follows from (3.6) and (3.7).

3.1.2 Uncentered case

In this case the arguments are similar to those in the centered case, but the arguments are more complex than those in the centered case. We want to show that

$$\|D_d \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{3.8}$$

For each $n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n'} &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}, \\ Y_{n'}^+ &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) > \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}, \\ Y_{n'}^- &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z} : \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) < \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$. Since all $f_j \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then for any $n_d \in Y_{n'}^+$, there exist $r(n', n_d + 1) > 0$ and $\vec{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) = A_{r(n', n_d + 1)}(\vec{f})(\vec{l})$ and $\|(n', n_d + 1) - \vec{l}\|_1 < r(n', n_d + 1)$. By the arguments similar to those used in deriving (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) \\ & \leq A_{r(n', n_d + 1)}(\vec{f})(\vec{l}) - A_{r(n', n_d + 1)}(\vec{f})(\vec{l} - \vec{e}_d) \\ & \leq \Phi([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{r(n', n_d + 1)}(\vec{l})} f_j(\vec{k}) \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n', n_d + 1)}(n', n_d + 1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) f_m(\vec{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Note that $8[r] \geq [2r]$ for $r \geq 2$ and $\Phi(r) \leq 1$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.1, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n', n_d + 1)}(n', n_d + 1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) f_m(\vec{k}) \\ & \leq_{\alpha, m, d} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n', n_d + 1)}(n', n_d + 1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}(8[r(n', n_d + 1)]) f_m(\vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n',n_d+1)}(n',n_d+1)} f_m(\vec{k}) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 < 2r(n',n_d+1) < 4\}}(n',n_d) \\
 &+ \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n',n_d+1)}(n',n_d+1)} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([2r(n',n_d+1)]) f_m(\vec{k}) \chi_{\{r(n',n_d+1) \geq 2\}}(n',n_d) \\
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_m(\vec{k}) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 < 4\}}(n',n_d) \\
 &+ \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_m(\vec{k}) \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([2r(n',n_d+1)]) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [2r(n',n_d+1)]\}}(n',n_d). \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

By the arguments similar to those used to derive (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in X_n^+} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([2r(n',n_d+1)]) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [2r(n',n_d+1)]\}}(n',n_d) \\
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} 1. \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.9)–(3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^+} (\tilde{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n',n_d+1) - \tilde{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n',n_d)) \\
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^+} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{2r(n',n_d+1)}(n',n_d+1)} f_m(\vec{k}) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 < 4\}}(n',n_d) \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^+} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_m(\vec{k}) \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([2r(n',n_d+1)]) \\
 &\quad \left. \times \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [2r(n',n_d+1)]\}}(n',n_d) \right) \\
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \left(\sup_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^+} \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 < 4\}}(n',n_d) \right. \\
 &\quad + \sup_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^+} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([2r(n',n_d+1)]) \\
 &\quad \left. \times \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}-(n',n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [2r(n',n_d+1)]\}}(n',n_d) \right) \\
 &\lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_n^-} (\tilde{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n',n_d) - \tilde{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n',n_d+1)) \lesssim_{\alpha,m,d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_d \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &= \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_{n'}^+} (\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1) - \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n_d \in Y_{n'}^-} (\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d) - \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_\alpha(\vec{f})(n', n_d + 1)) \\ &\lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.8) and completes the proof of the boundedness part.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1—continuity part

3.2.1 Centered case

Let $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ with each $f_j \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $g_{i,j} \rightarrow f_j$ in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\vec{g}_i = (g_{i,1}, \dots, g_{i,m})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We may assume without loss of generality that all $g_{i,j} \geq 0$ and $f_j \geq 0$ since $\|g_{i,j}\| - \|f_j\| \leq \|g_{i,j} - f_j\|$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$. Without loss of generality, we shall prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Given $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(\epsilon, \vec{f}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|g_{i,j} - f_j\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_{i,j}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \|f_j\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + 1 \quad \forall i \geq N_1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq m. \tag{3.15}$$

By the boundedness part, we have that $D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f}) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. We also note that $\alpha < (m - 1)d + 1$. Then, for above $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer Λ with $\Lambda > \Lambda_0$ such that

$$\max \left\{ \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f}) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq 4\Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|f_i \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq \Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \Lambda^{\alpha - (m-1)d - 1} \right\} < \epsilon. \tag{3.16}$$

One can easily check that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(\vec{n}) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n})| \\ &\leq \sup_{r>0} N(\Gamma_r(\vec{n}))^{\frac{\alpha}{d} - m} \left| \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{n})} g_{i,j}(\vec{k}) - \prod_{j=1}^m \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_r(\vec{n})} f_j(\vec{k}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^m \left(\prod_{\mu=1}^{l-1} \|f_\mu\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \left(\prod_{v=l+1}^m \|g_{i,v}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \|g_{i,l} - f_l\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \quad \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.15) implies that $\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(\vec{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n})$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, we have

$$D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(\vec{n}) \rightarrow D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n}) \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

It follows that there exists $N_2 = N_2(\epsilon, \vec{f}, \Lambda) > 0$ such that

$$|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(\vec{n}) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})(\vec{n})| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{N(\Gamma_{4\Lambda}(\vec{0}))} \quad \forall i \geq N_2 \text{ and } \vec{n} \in \Gamma_{4\Lambda}(\vec{0}). \tag{3.17}$$

(3.17) together with (3.16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &= \| (D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 < 4\Lambda\}} \|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\quad + \| (D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq 4\Lambda\}} \|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq 4\Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \quad \forall i \geq N_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

We now prove

$$\|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon \quad \forall i \geq N_1. \tag{3.19}$$

Fix $i \geq N_1$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) \chi_{\{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq 4\Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{\substack{|n_d| \geq 2\Lambda \\ n_d \in \mathbb{Z}}} |\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)| \\ &=: A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

For A_1 , fix $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ with $|n'| \geq 2\Lambda$, let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n'} &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}; \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) = \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)\}; \\ Z_{n'}^+ &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}; \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) > \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)\}; \\ Z_{n'}^- &= \{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}; \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) < \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in Z_{n'}^+} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in Z_{n'}^-} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By the arguments similar to those used in deriving (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+_{n'}} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)) \\
 & \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \|g_{i,j}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+_{n'}} \sum_{\vec{k} \in \Gamma_{r(n', n_d+1)}(n', n_d+1)} \Phi([r(n', n_d + 1)]) g_{i,m}(\vec{k}) \\
 & \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \|g_{i,j}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \right) \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g_{i,m}(\vec{k}) I(\vec{k}), \tag{3.22}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I(\vec{k}) := \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+_{n'}} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [r(n', n_d+1)]\}}(n', n_d).$$

Fix $\vec{k} = (k', k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. By a similar argument as that in getting (3.7), we can get

$$I(k', k_d) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} 1. \tag{3.23}$$

When $\|k'\|_1 < \Lambda$ and $\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda$, then $\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d + 1)\|_1 \geq \|k' - n'\| \geq \Lambda$. Note that $m + \frac{1-\alpha}{d} > 1$. Then, by Lemmas 2.1–2.2 and (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(k', k_d) & \leq \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+_{n'}} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}([r(n', n_d + 1)]) \chi_{\{\Lambda \leq \|\vec{k} - (n', n_d+1)\|_1 \leq [r(n', n_d+1)]\}}(n', n_d) \\
 & \leq \sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+_{n'}} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}(\|\vec{k} - (n', n_d + 1)\|_1) \chi_{\{\Lambda \leq \|\vec{k} - (n', n_d+1)\|_1\}}(n', n_d) \\
 & \leq \sum_{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq \Lambda} \Phi_{m-\frac{\alpha}{d}}(\|\vec{n}\|_1) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \sum_{\|\vec{n}\|_1 \geq \Lambda} (N_{1,d}(\|\vec{n}\|_1))^{\frac{\alpha-1}{d}-m} \\
 & \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \Lambda^{\alpha-(m-1)d-1} \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} \epsilon. \tag{3.24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.24) with (3.23) and (3.15)–(3.16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g_{i,m}(\vec{k}) I(\vec{k}) \\
 & \leq \sum_{\|k'\|_1 \geq \Lambda} \sum_{k_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g_{i,m}(k', k_d) I(k', k_d) + \sum_{\|k'\|_1 < \Lambda} \sum_{k_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g_{i,m}(k', k_d) I(k', k_d) \\
 & \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} (\|g_{i,m} \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}\|_1 \geq \Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|g_{i,m}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}) \epsilon \\
 & \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d} (\|(g_{i,m} - f_m) \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}\|_1 \geq \Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|f_m \chi_{\{\|\vec{k}\|_1 \geq \Lambda\}}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + (\|f_m\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + 1)) \epsilon \\
 & \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, f_m} \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.22) and (3.15) yields that

$$\sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{n'}^+} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d)) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon. \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{\substack{\|n'\|_1 \geq 2\Lambda \\ n' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}}} \sum_{n_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{n'}^-} (\mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d) - \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i)(n', n_d + 1)) \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon. \tag{3.26}$$

It follows from (3.21) and (3.25)–(3.26) that

$$A_1 \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon \quad \forall i \geq N_1. \tag{3.27}$$

By the arguments similar to those used to derive (3.27),

$$A_2 \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon \quad \forall i \geq N_1. \tag{3.28}$$

Then (3.19) follows from (3.20) and (3.27)–(3.28). From (3.18) and (3.19) we have

$$\|D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{g}_i) - D_d \mathbf{M}_\alpha(\vec{f})\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{\alpha, m, d, \vec{f}} \epsilon \quad \forall i \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\},$$

which yields (3.14).

3.2.2 Uncentered case

The proof is essentially analogous to Section 3.2.1. We leave the details to the interested reader.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to the referee for his/her carefully reading and invaluable comments. This work was partially supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11701333) and Support Program for Outstanding Young Scientific and Technological Top-notch Talents of College of Mathematics and Systems Science (No. Sxy2016K01).

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The author worked on drafting and approving the final manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 November 2017 Accepted: 29 January 2018 Published online: 06 February 2018

References

- Kinnunen, J.: The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function of a Sobolev function. *Isr. J. Math.* **100**, 117–124 (1997)
- Hajlasz, P., Onninen, J.: On boundedness of maximal functions in Sobolev spaces. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math.* **29**, 167–176 (2004)
- Kinnunen, J., Lindqvist, P.: The derivative of the maximal function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **503**, 161–167 (1998)
- Kinnunen, J., Saksman, E.: Regularity of the fractional maximal function. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **35**(4), 529–535 (2003)
- Carneiro, E., Moreira, D.: On the regularity of maximal operators. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **136**(12), 4395–4404 (2008)
- Liu, F., Wu, H.: On the regularity of the multisublinear maximal functions. *Can. Math. Bull.* **58**(4), 808–817 (2015)
- Liu, F., Mao, S.: On the regularity of the one-sided Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions. *Czechoslov. Math. J.* **67**(142), 219–234 (2017)

8. Luiro, H.: Continuity of the maximal operator in Sobolev spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **135**(1), 243–251 (2007)
9. Luiro, H.: On the regularity of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on subdomains of \mathbb{R}^d . *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **53**(1), 211–237 (2010)
10. Liu, F.: Continuity and approximate differentiability of multisublinear fractional maximal functions. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **21**(1), 25–40 (2018)
11. Korry, S.: Boundedness of Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator in the framework of Lizorkin–Triebel spaces. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **15**(2), 401–416 (2002)
12. Korry, S.: A class of bounded operators on Sobolev spaces. *Arch. Math.* **82**(1), 40–50 (2004)
13. Liu, F., Wu, H.: On the regularity of maximal operators supported by submanifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **453**, 144–158 (2017)
14. Tanaka, H.: A remark on the derivative of the one-dimensional Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **65**(2), 253–258 (2002)
15. Aldaz, J., Pérez Lázaro, J.: Functions of bounded variation, the derivative of the one dimensional maximal function, and applications to inequalities. *Transl. Am. Math. Soc.* **359**(5), 2443–2461 (2007)
16. Liu, F., Chen, T., Wu, H.: A note on the endpoint regularity of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **94**(1), 121–130 (2016)
17. Kurka, O.: On the variation of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math.* **40**, 109–133 (2015)
18. Carneiro, E., Mardid, J.: Derivative bounds for fractional maximal functions. *Transl. Am. Math. Soc.* **369**(6), 4063–4092 (2017)
19. Liu, F., Wu, H.: Endpoint regularity of multisublinear fractional maximal functions. *Can. Math. Bull.* **60**(3), 586–603 (2017)
20. Carneiro, E., Mardid, J., Pierce, L.B.: Endpoint Sobolev and BV continuity for maximal operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* **273**(10), 3262–3294 (2017)
21. Hajłasz, P., Malý, J.: On approximate differentiability of the maximal function. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **138**(1), 165–174 (2010)
22. Luiro, H.: The variation of the maximal function of a radial function. arXiv:1702.00669
23. Saari, O.: Poincaré inequalities for the maximal function. arXiv:1605.05176
24. Carneiro, E., Finder, R., Sousa, M.: On the variation of maximal operators of convolution type II. arXiv:1512.02715
25. Ramos, J.P.G.: Sharp total variation results for maximal functions. arXiv:1703.00362
26. Bober, J., Carneiro, E., Hughes, K., Pierce, L.B.: On a discrete version of Tanaka’s theorem for maximal functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **140**(5), 1669–1680 (2012)
27. Temur, F.: On regularity of the discrete Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. arXiv:1303.3993
28. Madrid, J.: Sharp inequalities for the variation of the discrete maximal function. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **95**(1), 94–107 (2017)
29. Carneiro, E., Svaiter, B.F.: On the variation of maximal operators of convolution type. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265**, 837–865 (2013)
30. Liu, F.: A remark on the regularity of the discrete maximal operator. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **95**(1), 108–120 (2017)
31. Liu, F., Wu, H.: A note on the endpoint regularity of the discrete maximal operator. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* (in press). <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13962>
32. Carneiro, E., Hughes, K.: On the endpoint regularity of discrete maximal operators. *Math. Res. Lett.* **19**(6), 1245–1262 (2012)
33. Liu, F., Wu, H.: Regularity of discrete multisublinear fractional maximal functions. *Sci. China Math.* **60**(8), 1461–1476 (2017)
34. Pierce, L.P.: Analogues in harmonic analysis. Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University (2009)
35. Brezis, H., Lieb, E.: A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **88**, 486–490 (1983)
36. Li, M., Liu, X., Ding, F.: The gradient based iterative estimation algorithms for bilinear systems with autoregressive noise. *Circuits Syst. Signal Process.* **36**(11), 4541–4568 (2017)
37. Li, M., Liu, X., Ding, F.: The maximum likelihood least squares based iterative estimation algorithm for bilinear systems with autoregressive moving average noise. *J. Franklin Inst.* **354**(12), 4861–4881 (2017)
38. Li, M., Liu, X., Ding, F.: Least-squares-based iterative and gradient-based iterative estimation algorithms for bilinear systems. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **89**(1), 197–211 (2017)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
