



Application of the thermostable β-galactosidase, *BgaB*, from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* as a versatile reporter under anaerobic and aerobic conditions

Torbjørn Ølshøj Jensen[†], Ivan Pogrebnyakov[†], Kristoffer Bach Falkenberg, Stephanie Redl and Alex Toftgaard Nielsen^{*}

Abstract

Use of thermophilic organisms has a range of advantages, but the significant lack of engineering tools limits their applications. Here we show that β -galactosidase from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* (BgaB) can be applicable in a range of conditions, including different temperatures and oxygen concentrations. This protein functions both as a marker, promoting colony color development in the presence of a lactose analogue S-gal, and as a reporter enabling quantitative measurement by a simple colorimetric assay. Optimal performance was observed at 70 °C and pH 6.4. The gene was introduced into *G. thermoglucosidans*. The combination of BgaB expressed from promoters of varying strength with S-gal produced distinct black colonies in aerobic and anaerobic conditions at temperatures ranging from 37 to 60 °C. It showed an important advantage over the conventional β -galactosidase (LacZ) and substrate X-gal, which were inactive at high temperature and under anaerobic conditions. To demonstrate the versatility of the reporter, a promoter library was constructed by randomizing sequences around -35 and -10 regions in a wild type *groES* promoter from *Geobacillus* sp. GHH01. The library contained 28 promoter variants and encompassed fivefold variation. The experimental pipeline allowed construction and measurement of expression levels of the library in just 4 days. This β -galactosidase provides a promising tool for engineering of aerobic, anaerobic, and thermophilic production organisms such as *Geobacillus* species.

Keywords: Genetic reporter, Anaerobic genetics, Thermophile, Thermostable enzyme

Introduction

Economically feasible production of biofuels and biochemicals using microbial cell factories is becoming an increasingly important challenge in the transition towards a sustainable society. Development and optimization of suitable production microorganisms is essential to meet this challenge. Direct engineering of the metabolic pathways of these microorganisms is a recognized method for improving properties and performances. Tuning gene expression to perform metabolic optimization rather than substantial overexpression or inactivation of genes is thus far more appreciated.

Today, strain development for production of many biochemicals is based on metabolic engineering of few mesophilic organisms, such as *Escherichia coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Fisher et al. 2014; Otero et al. 2013; Woolston et al. 2013). The choice of production host has largely been determined by the availability of efficient engineering tools that enable rapid strain development. Besides being convenient, these organisms are not necessarily the best-suited hosts for robust and low cost production of chemicals.



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

^{*}Correspondence: atn@biosustain.dtu.dk

[†]Torbjørn Ølshøj Jensen and Ivan Pogrebnyakov shared 1st authorship The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet Building 220, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

Thermophilic fermentation has several advantages compared to conventional mesophilic fermentation, including: (i) metabolic rates are typically higher at elevated temperatures; (ii) thermophiles are robust and contain thermotolerant enzymes; (iii) thermophilic organisms generally have a low cellular growth yield, hence more substrate carbon is directed towards the product; (iv) thermophilic fermentations are less prone to contaminations by mesophiles; (v) growth at high temperature facilitates recovery of volatile products, for example ethanol (Payton 1984); (vi) fermentation at elevated temperatures reduces the requirement for cooling (Kuhad and Singh 1993; Payton 1984; Wiegel and Ljungdahl 1986). Examples of metabolic engineering of thermophiles have long been limited, but have recently started to emerge (Bhandiwad et al. 2014; Cripps et al. 2009; Shaw et al. 2008; van der Veen et al. 2013). However, genetic tools are often strain-specific (Klapatch et al. 1996; Mai et al. 1997; Mai and Wiegel 2000), and there is a need for a generalized toolbox that allows genetic manipulation and screening of thermophilic production strains.

In particular, the variety of genetic markers and reporters suited for thermophiles is very limited, although a few thermostable variants of green fluorescent protein (GFP) have recently been developed (Aliye et al. 2015; Kiss et al. 2009). A major limitation for applying the GFP variants is that the formation of the chromophores strictly requires oxygen, which restricts the use to aerobic systems (Piatk-evich and Verkhusha 2011).

The enzyme β -galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.23), commonly named lactase, catalyzes the hydrolysis of the terminal non-reducing β -D-galactose residues in β -D-galactosides. For example, it catalyzes the hydrolysis of lactose into glucose and galactose. Several β-galactosidases have been isolated and characterized for the production of lactosefree milk products (Panesar et al. 2006). Thermostable β-galactosidases possess a considerable industrial potential due to their high activity at elevated temperatures (Chen et al. 2008; Pessela et al. 2003; Zeikus et al. 1998). Different thermostable β -galactosidases from bacteria, archaea, and fungi have been identified and characterized with industrial perspective, from species including: Thermus sp. (Ohtsu et al. 1998; Ulrich et al. 1972), Geobacillus stearothermophilus (Chen et al. 2008), Thermotoga maritima (Kim et al. 2004), Thermoanaerobacter sp. (Lind et al. 1989), Bacillus coagulans (Batra et al. 2002), Pyrococcus woesei (Daabrowski et al. 2000), Rhizomucor sp. (Shaikh et al. 1999), and Talaromyces thermophilus (Nakkharat and Haltrich 2006). The most studied β -galactosidase is derived from *E. coli*, notably a mesophile, and is encoded by lacZ. It has been used to elucidate the catalytic mechanism of this enzyme (Mahoney 1997), and it is frequently used in life sciences, since the active enzyme is easily detected when the lactose analogue 5-bromo-4-chloro-3indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) is cleaved forming an intense blue product. The color development requires the presence of oxygen, which limits its use to aerobic bacteria. The more recently developed thermostable dye, 3,4-cyclohexenoesculetin β -D-galactopyranoside (S-gal), does not require oxygen for development of a black product (Heuermann and Cosgrove 2001). Application of this dye would enable color-based selection in thermophilic microorganisms, both anaerobic and aerobic.

In this paper, we characterize the β -galactosidase encoded by bgaB from G. stearothermophilus for molecular applications in thermophiles and under anaerobic conditions. The bgaB gene has previously been applied as a reporter to monitor heat/stress response in Bacillus subtilis (Schrogel and Allmansberger 1997; Yuan and Wong 1995), as well as to gain expression profiles of various promoters in Geobacillus kaustophilus HTA426 (Suzuki et al. 2013), thus it is considered suited for the purpose. Since G. thermoglucosidans is a facultative anaerobe and capable of growing at a broad range of temperatures, it is a suitable platform for testing this and other systems, which require various conditions. We demonstrate *bgaB* as an efficient tool for colony screening of thermophilic aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms. Furthermore by showing its capacity in quantifying promoter strength in a randomized library we highlight the versatility of the tool.

Materials and methods

Strains, plasmids, and primers

The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. The primers used for the constructs are listed in Table 2.

Media and culture conditions

Escherichia coli was grown aerobically at 37 °C in lysogenic broth (LB), when necessary, supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 250 µg/ml S-gal with 250 µg/ml ammonium ferric citrate. The mTGP medium [modified from Taylor et al. (2008)] was used to grow *G. thermoglucosidans* at 60 °C. It contained per liter: 17 g tryptone, 3 g soy peptone, 5 g NaCl, 2.5 g K₂HPO₄. After autoclavation, sterile solutions were added to final concentrations: 4 ml/l glycerol, 4 g/l sodium pyruvate, 0.59 mM MgSO₄, 0.91 mM CaCl₂, and 0.04 mM FeSO₄; agar to 1.5% (w/v) was added to solidify the medium when needed. 12.5 µg/ ml of kanamycin was used for selection of transformants.

For protein (BgaB) expression (under control of the *lacZ* promoter), a fresh *E. coli* culture was grown in LB media with appropriate antibiotics at 37 °C and 200 RPM of shaking until OD600 reached 0.5, then the expression was induced with IPTG (1 mM). The culture was

Table 1 List of strains and plasmids

Name	Relevant characteristics	Reference
Strains		
E. coli TOP10	F— mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Φ80lacZΔM15 Δ lacX74 recA1 araD139 Δ (ara leu) 7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 nupG	Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA
E. coli TOP10: pUC19-bgaB	E. coli harboring the plasmid pUC19-bgaB	This study
G. stearothermophilus DSM2027	Source of <i>bgaB</i> gene	DSMZ GmbH, Germany
G. thermoglucosidans C56-YS93	Wild type isolate	Bacillus Genetic Stock Center, Ohio State University, USA
G. thermoglucosidans: pUCG18P5bgaB	Strain harboring pUCG18 with P5::bgaB	This study
Plasmids		
pUC19	General <i>E. coli</i> cloning vector; contains ampicillin resistance gene	Yanisch-perron et al. (1985)
pUC19 <i>bgaB</i>	pUC19∆l <i>acZa</i> plasmid expressing the <i>bgaB</i> gene under control of the pLac promoter.	This study
pUCG18	E. coli/Geobacillus shuttle vector; AmpR, KanR. Used to construct a library of promoters in E. coli	Taylor et al. (2008)
pUCG18P5 <i>bgaB</i>	Template for promoter library	This study
pMTL61110	E. coli/Geobacillus shuttle vector; AmpR, KanR. Used for expression in G. thermoglucosidans	Sheng et al. (2017)
pMTLP13B	pMTL61110 with P ₁₃ -bgaB	This study
pMTLP24B	pMTL61110 with P ₂₄ -bgaB	This study
pMTLP27B	pMTL61110 with P ₂₇ -bgaB	This study
pMTLP13Z	pMTL61110 with P ₁₃ - <i>lacZ</i>	This study
pMTLP24Z	pMTL61110 with P ₂₄ - <i>lacZ</i>	This study
pMTLP27Z	pMTL61110 with P ₂₇ - <i>lacZ</i>	This study

allowed to further incubate for 3 h before determining the β -galactosidase activity.

DNA manipulations

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Wizard[®] Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) according to producer's specifications. Plasmid extractions were performed using NucleoSpin[®] Plasmid EasyPure kit (Macherey–Nagel).

Construction of the plasmids

Primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. All fragments were amplified with oligomers having uracil incorporated, using the Phusion U polymerase (Thermo Scientific). The plasmids and promoter library were constructed by the uracil-specific excision reagent (USER) cloning method (Geu-Flores et al. 2007; Nour-Eldin et al. 2006). In brief, 1 μ l of 5× HF buffer (Thermo Scientific) and 1 U of USERTM enzyme mix (New England Biolabs, 1 U/ml) were added to 10 μ l of the mixture of purified PCR products, plasmid backbone, or genes.

The reaction mixture was incubated for 25 min at 37 °C, followed by 25 min of incubation at a temperature optimized for annealing of the fragments for 25 min. 8 μ l of

water was added to the reactions, reaching a final volume of 20 μ l. 5 μ l diluted USER mixture was used to transform chemically competent *E. coli* TOP10 cells (Thermo Scientific) (Sambrook and Russell 2001).

β-Galactosidase assay

The β -galactosidase activity was determined as described in Zhang and Bremer (1995), with the following modifications: hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide and sodium deoxycholate were excluded from the substrate solution and the concentration of dibasic sodium phosphate in the permeabilization solution was 100 mM. The activity at different temperatures was determined by incubating the samples for 35 min at different temperatures, and stopping them by adding stop solution, then letting the samples cool before the absorbance (420 nm) was measured. The pH profiles (3.0-8.0) were determined at 70 °C in two buffer systems: citrate-phosphate buffer (200 mM) from pH 3.0 to 6.4 and sodium-phosphate buffer (200 mM) from pH 6.4 to 8.0. After adding the stop solution, the samples were centrifuged at 17,000g for 15 min, and absorbance of the supernatants was measured at 420 nm using a BioTek Synergy Mx Microplate Reader. The enzymatic activity was calculated following the equation below:

Table 2 List of primers used in this study

Name	Sequence 5'–3'	Target
Primers		
Beta-gal_fwd_ USER	AGCTAUGAACGTTTTATCCTCAATTTGTTACGG	<i>bgaB</i> , with flanks for insertion into pUC19
Beta-gal_rev_USER	ACTACTCUAAACCTTCCCGGCTTCATC	
pUC19_fwd_USER	ATAGCUGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCG	pUC19
pUC19_fwd_USER	AGAGTAGUTAAGCCAGCCCCGAC	
PNJ24b	AATTCGUAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCC	pUCG18 backbone with terminator
PNJ27c	AGGGCTTUTGAGCCTTTCATTGAGGCTGTC	
PNJ23	ACCCGGGGAUCCTCTAG	pMTL backbone
PNJ24d	AATTCGUAATCATGGTCATATGGATACAGCG	
PNJ27b	AGGCTTUTGAGCCTTTCATTGAGG	Terminator of groEL gene forward
PNJ567	AGGAGGUCGTTTCCCATGAACGTTTTATCCTCAATTTGTTACGG	bgaB, with flanks for insertion into pUCG18
PNJ311	AAAGCCCUAAACCTTCCCGGCTTCATCATGCTCTC	
PNJ267	ACGAATUCGGCAAAACAACCGGCTCCTTTTGCTC	P _{groES} with CIRCE deleted
PNJ268	ACGATAGUTTTCGCCGTTCTTACACACTTATAATATTAATGAACTTCTTTCCGTTTTGC	
PNJ269	ACTATCGUTAAGGAGGTCGTTTCCCATGAGTAAAGGCGAAGAGCTGTTCAC	
PNJ388	ACACACUWWWWATATTAWWN ₁₅ TTGCAANWWNNWWWTGCAAAAAAATAAC TGTTTTTCTCTCCCAAAGAAGAAAG	P_{groES} with randomized sequences
PNJ389	AGTGTGUAAGAACGGCGAAAACTATCGTTAAG	
PNJ383	AGAGGCUACTCTCAAAAGGTCGGTTTAGACG	Terminator of groEL gene reverse
PNJ566	ACCTCCUTAACGATAGTTTTCGCC	P _{groES} reverse
PNJ672	AGGAGGUCGTTTCCCATGACCATGATTACGGATTCACTGG	lacZ
PNJ673	AAAGCCUTATTTTTGACACCAGACCAACTGG	

$$a = \frac{Abs_s}{Abs_c \cdot V_c \cdot t} \tag{1}$$

where *a* designates the enzyme activity (in Miller units), Abs_s is the absorbance at 420 nm of the sampled supernatant, Abs_c is the optical density at 600 nm of the sampled culture, V_c is the volume of the culture sampled and *t* is the reaction time. All samples were made in triplicates, unless stated otherwise.

For assays performed in deep 96-well microtiter plates (promoter library) the centrifugation was reduced to 4000g for 60 min. To compensate for possible presence of cell debris etc., absorbance at 550 nm was included and compensated in the activity calculations, which when multiplied by 1.75 estimates the light-scatter at 420 nm (Stephenson 2016). The extended version of Eq. (1) was used for the promoter library study.

$$a = \frac{Abs_{420} - 1.75 \cdot Abs_{550}}{Abs_c \cdot V_c \cdot t}$$
(2)

Promoter library construction

The promoter of the *groES* gene (P_{groES}), coding for a subunit of a chaperone complex, was placed upstream the *bgaB* gene (RefSeq WP_020755758.1) from *G. stearothermophilus* on the plasmid pUCG18 (Taylor et al. 2008). This was done using oligomers PNJ267, PNJ268, and PNJ269 in two steps to delete the CIRCE sequence responsible for the negative regulation of *groES* expression. After the β -galactosidase activity was observed on solid medium supplemented with S-gal, the library was constructed by whole plasmid-amplification using long DNA oligomers with partially randomized sequences (PNJ388 and PNJ389). To remove the parental plasmid, the amplicons were digested with *Dpn*I and isolated by gel-purification. The linearized plasmids were circularized by the USER-cloning method as described above. Transformants were selected on plates with ampicillin and S-gal. The black colonies were picked and cultivated in LB with ampicillin in deep 96 well plates at 37 °C overnight and used for inoculation the next day and subsequent activity measurements.

For the expression in *G. thermoglucosidans*, genes *bgaB* and *lacZ* were cloned into vector pMTL61110 (Sheng et al. 2017). The *bgaB* gene under P_{groES}-derived promoters was amplified using primers PNJ267 and PNJ383 and cloned into the pMTL backbone, which was produced by PCR with primers PNJ23 and PNJ24d. The resulting plasmids were used as templates for PCR to derive backbones with respective promoters (primers PNJ27b and PNJ566), where the *lacZ* gene was cloned (amplified with primers PNJ672 and PNJ673 from genomic DNA of *E. coli* MG1655).

Results

The thermostable β -galactosidase from *G. stearothermo-philus* was initially cloned and expressed under control of the P_{lac} promoter in *E. coli*.

To evaluate the potential of this enzyme as a molecular tool under different conditions, its activity was measured in cell lysate at temperatures ranging from 22 to 75 °C and pH ranging from 3 to 8 (Fig. 1). At moderate temperatures the activity was low, while above 55 °C the activity was more pronounced. The highest activity (549.5 Miller units) was achieved at 70 °C. Increasing the temperature even further reduced the activity abruptly. The activity profile at various pH showed an optimum at pH 6.4. At higher pH, activity was marginal.

Quantification of gene expression by evaluating promoter library in *E. coli*

To demonstrate the applicability of *bgaB* as a reporter for quantitative measurements of expression levels, a promoter library was constructed using a method described by Jensen and Hammer (1998a, b). It includes the randomization of the *groES* promoter regions between -35 and -10 elements, while leaving these elements intact, as a way to vary promoter strength. In total, we obtained 28 clones with different promoter variants in *E. coli*. They were grown in 96-well microtiter plates to OD 2.5–4.0 and β -galactosidase activity was measured by incubating

for 35 min at 60 °C (Fig. 2). The commonly used LacZ was not included as reference due to its marginal activity at the tested temperatures (Welsch et al. 2012). All variants displayed β -galactosidase activity. The highest activity (clone 8) measured was 186 Miller units (MU), whereas 38 MU was the lowest measured value (clone 21). This corresponds approximately to a fivefold difference. Of the 28 promoters, 19 showed an activity above 75 MU, whereas activity in 9 of the mutants was below 75 MU. Thus, the employed strategy proved successful for creating and selecting a wide range of expression variance.

As expected from the primer design, the alignment of promoter sequences shows great variation in the sequences upstream (8 bp), downstream (4 bp), and between (17 bp) the -35 and -10 regions (Additional file 1: Figure S1). There was a slight variation in GC content of the varied positions: the six mutants with highest activities had an average GC content of 31%, whereas the six mutants with lowest activity had an average of 26%. Promoter variant 12 stands out, since it had a point insertion downstream of the -10 sequence.

Activity of thermostable β -galactosidase at different conditions

In the presence of Fe³⁺, β -galactosidase cleaves S-gal with a formation of a black product. Although β -galactosidase activity at 37 °C reached only 3% of the maximum, distinct black colonies are readily observed when it is





expressed in *E. coli* (Additional file 1: Figure S2b). Color development was not observed in colonies of the negative control (Additional file 1: Figure S2a).

We tested the applicability of BgaB and S-gal at different temperatures and oxygen levels. To this end, we expressed bgaB in G. thermoglucosidans under control of three promoters of different strengths (P_{13} , P_{24} and P_{27}) from the library described above (Fig. 3). The thermostable BgaB was compared to the commonly used LacZ β -galactosidase from *E. coli*, which was expressed under control of the same three promoters. The combination of BgaB with S-gal produced coloration in all circumstances, including 60 °C and under anaerobic conditions. On the contrary, LacZ was virtually inactive, and X-gal gave a much weaker color at high temperature. Since the color development from X-gal is known to require oxygen, we hypothesize that the observed blue color may be due to other compounds in the media or contamination with low levels of oxygen.

Discussion

Application of *bgaB* as a versatile genetic reporter has been proven in mesophilic and thermophilic facultative aerobe bacteria and in mouse embryos in the presence of oxygen (Kishigami et al. 2006; Schrogel and Allmansberger 1997; Suzuki et al. 2013; Yuan and Wong 1995). Focusing on the applications of the *bgaB* gene under thermophilic and anaerobic conditions, we initially expressed it in E. coli. Optimal conditions for its activity were determined to be 70 °C and pH 6.4. The BgaB protein has previously been characterized by Chen et al. (2008) and Dong et al. (2011), aiming at applications in the dairy industry and by Yuan and Wong (1995) and Schrogel and Allmansberger (1997) who apply bgaB as a reporter gene. In the study by Chen et al. (2008) the optimal conditions for the enzyme were found to be pH 7.0 and 70 °C. Despite the coherence between temperature optima, the pH optimum in the present study (pH 6.4) slightly deviates from that data. Unlike the study by Chen et al. (2008), all activity measurements in our study were performed directly on the cell lysate. Dong et al. (2011) utilized His-tagging of the protein for purification and found pH optimum to be 7.0. It is possible that the addition of the affinity tag may affect protein function and pH optimum, as it has previously been observed for other proteins (Thielges et al. 2011). Additionally, both studies determined pH optimum at 55 °C, while optimal temperature for this enzyme's activity is 70 °C, the significant influence by the



temperature on the activity of BgaB was also shown (at lower temperatures) by Welsch et al. (2012). The study by Schrogel and Allmansberger (1997) test cell extract and found pH optimum coherent to this study, despite that the temperature of the assay was 55 °C. Half-life of the BgaB protein was not assessed in this study, but it has been

reported to be 120 h at 60 °C and 9 h at 70 °C (Chen et al. 2008). This and the temperature profile support the application of BgaB as a marker/reporter for organisms growing at higher temperatures (<75 °C).

Although *E. coli* grows optimally at a temperature where BgaB has only marginal activity, S-gal assay on

solid medium is sensitive enough to produce distinct black colonies. Expression of bgaB in Geobacillus growing at 60 °C both aerobically and anaerobically similarly resulted in development of black colonies in contrast to *lacZ* from *E. coli*. This is in coherence with the study by Welsch et al. (2012). The observation points to a wide applicability of bgaB as a genetic marker, since it functions both in mesophiles and thermophiles, Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. As shown in this study, the color development is not dependent on oxygen, unlike the most commonly used reporter systems (Piatkevich and Verkhusha 2011), such as GFP (Chalfie et al. 1994). Suzuki et al. (2013) showed its applicability in thermophilic bacteria under aerobic conditions, and here we have expanded this to include anaerobic conditions. By combining *bgaB* and S-gal we achieved a much higher sensitivity than when using X-gal as a substrate as done by Suzuki et al. (2013). This way, the bgaB/S-gal combination has a clear advantage for anaerobic and/or thermophilic bacteria where the availability of genetic tools is still scarce.

Generation of promoter libraries with varying strength by altering the flanking regions surrounding consensus motifs within the promoter is a well-recognized method (Gilman and Love 2016; Hammer et al. 2006; Jensen and Hammer 1998a), which was also applied for G. thermoglucosidans (Pogrebnyakov et al. 2017). In this study, a change in the promoter strength of fivefold was achieved, proving the applicability of the method. Using a similar reporter system restricted to mesophilic condition Jensen and Hammer (1998b) obtained a 400-fold change in activity by randomizing of the separating spacer sequences, while the consensus sequences were left intact. Selection of more clones and/or varying the consensus sequences is thus likely to result in greater viability with the respect to the activity. In general, there were no obvious features in promoter sequences, that distinguished strong and weak ones. However, slight variation in GC content between six promoters with highest (GC 31%) and lowest (26%) activity was observed. Future experiments will show the activity of the remaining promoters when expressed in Geobacillus. The promoter activity is likely to be different in Geobacillus, as promoter activity can be straindependent (Jensen and Hammer 1998b).

The experimental setup described above allowed us to construct a promoter library, select relevant clones, assess expression levels, and sequence the promoters within 4 days. It is expected that variation in other regions, such as Shine–Dalgarno affecting expression levels, could be assessed similarly. Since this robust method is very sensitive for identifying positive mutants, it is also highly suitable for an automated cloning and selection platform. Particularly, distinct black colonies with sharp edges are easily recognized by computer software, which facilitates improved automated colony picking. Additionally, simple equipment can be used to the perform analysis using this reporter, in contrast to the expression profiles of various libraries based on fluorescent proteins, which require analysis using fluorescent plate readers or flow cytometry.

In summary, we assessed the reporter system, focusing on application for thermophilic and anaerobic microorganisms, consisting of a thermostable β -galactosidase and its chromogenic substrate S-gal. Optimal conditions for the enzyme activity were 70 °C and pH 6.4. However, the reporter system proved sensitive over a range of different temperatures and pH values, in Gram-negative (*E. coli*) and Gram-positive (*G. thermoglucosidans*) bacteria, and under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Thus, the reporter system presented in this study is a promising tool for fast automated high-throughput applications.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Additional figures.

Abbreviations

S-gal: 3,4-cyclohexenoesculetin β -D-galactopyranoside; X-gal: 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside; U: unit; IPTG: isopropyl β -D-1thiogalactopyranoside; RPM: revolutions per minute; GFP: green fluorescent protein; C: celsius; USER: uracil-specific excision reagent; Abs: absorbance; V: volume; t: time; OD600: optical density, of a sample measured at a wavelength of 600 nm; LB: lysogenic broth; MU: Miller units.

Authors' contributions

TØJ and IP were main responsible for planning and performing the experiments, together with SR they drafted the manuscript. KBF conducted the experiments determining the optimal conditions for the enzyme. TØJ and IP constructed the promoter library. SR and ATN participated in interpretation of experiments and finishing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

Strains are available upon request.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Funding

This study was funded by The Novo Nordisk Foundation and a Ph.D. grant from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union Seventh Framework Programme FP7-People-2012-ITN, together with funding from EU H2020-LCE, "AMBITION".

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 17 November 2016 Accepted: 29 August 2017 Published online: 06 September 2017

References

- Aliye N, Fabbretti A, Lupidi G, Tsekoa T, Spurio R (2015) Engineering color variants of green fluorescent protein (GFP) for thermostability, pH-sensitivity, and improved folding kinetics. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99(3):1205– 1216. doi:10.1007/s00253-014-5975-1
- Batra N, Singh J, Banerjee UC, Patnaik PR, Sobti RC (2002) Production and characterization of a thermostable beta-galactosidase from *Bacillus coagulans* RCS3. Biotechnol Appl Biochem 36(1):1–6. doi:10.1042/BA20010091
- Bhandiwad A, Shaw AJ, Guss A, Guseva A, Bahl H, Lynd LR (2014) Metabolic engineering of *Thermoanaerobacterium saccharolyticum* for n-butanol production. Metab Eng 21:17–25. doi:10.1016/j.ymben.2013.10.012
- Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, Ward W, Prasher D (1994) Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. Science 263(5148):802–805. doi:10.1126/science.8303295
- Chen W, Chen H, Xia Y, Zhao J, Tian F, Zhang H (2008) Production, purification, and characterization of a potential thermostable galactosidase for milk lactose hydrolysis from *Bacillus stearothermophilus*. J Dairy Sci 91(5):1751– 1758. doi:10.3168/jds.2007-617
- Cripps RE, Eley K, Leak DJ, Rudd B, Taylor M, Todd M, Boakes S, Martin S, Atkinson T (2009) Metabolic engineering of *Geobacillus thermoglucosidasius* for high yield ethanol production. Metab Eng 398–408:22
- Daabrowski S, Sobiewska G, Maciunska J, Synowiecki J, Kur J (2000) Cloning, expression, and purification of the His(6)-tagged thermostable betagalactosidase from *Pyrococcus woesei* in *Escherichia coli* and some properties of the isolated enzyme. Protein Expr Purif 19(1):107–112. doi:10.1006/ prep.2000.1231
- Dong YN, Liu XM, Chen HQ, Xia Y, Zhang HP, Zhang H, Chen W (2011) Enhancement of the hydrolysis activity of beta-galactosidase from *Geobacillus* stearothermophilus by saturation mutagenesis. J Dairy Sci 94(3):1176– 1184. doi:10.3168/jds.2010-3775
- Fisher AK, Freedman BG, Bevan DR, Senger RS (2014) A review of metabolic and enzymatic engineering strategies for designing and optimizing performance of microbial cell factories. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 11(18):91–99. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2014.08.010
- Geu-Flores F, Nour-Eldin HH, Nielsen MT, Halkier BA (2007) USER fusion: a rapid and efficient method for simultaneous fusion and cloning of multiple PCR products. Nucleic Acids Res 35(7):e55. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm106
- Gilman J, Love J (2016) Synthetic promoter design for new microbial chassis. Biochem Soc Trans 44(3):731–737. doi:10.1042/BST20160042
- Hammer K, Mijakovic I, Jensen PR (2006) Synthetic promoter libraries—tuning of gene expression. Trends Biotechnol 24(2):53–55. doi:10.1016/j. tibtech.2005.12.003
- Heuermann K, Cosgrove J (2001) S-Gal (TM): an autoclavable dye for color selection of cloned DNA inserts. Biotechniques 30(5):1142–1147
- Jensen PR, Hammer K (1998a) Artificial promoter libraries for selected organisms and promoters derived from such libraries. WO1998007846, Feb 1998
- Jensen PR, Hammer K (1998b) The sequence of spacers between the consensus sequences modulates the strength of prokaryotic promoters. Appl Environ Microbiol 64(1):82–87
- Kim CS, Ji ES, Oh DK (2004) Characterization of a thermostable recombinant beta-galactosidase from *Thermotoga maritima*. J Appl Microbiol 97(5):1006–1014. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02377.x
- Kishigami S, Komatsu Y, Takeda H, Nomura-Kitabayashi A, Yamauchi Y, Abe K, Yamamura K, Mishina Y (2006) Optimized beta-galactosidase staining method for simultaneous detection of endogenous gene expression in early mouse embryos. Genesis 44(2):57–65. doi:10.1002/gene.20186
- Kiss C, Temirov J, Chasteen L, Waldo GS, Bradbury AR (2009) Directed evolution of an extremely stable fluorescent protein. Protein Eng Des Sel 22(5):313–323. doi:10.1093/protein/gzp006

- Klapatch TR, Guerinot ML, Lynd LR (1996) Electrotransformation of *Clostridium thermosaccharolyticum*. J Ind Microbiol 16(6):342–347
- Kuhad RC, Singh A (1993) Lignocellulose biotechnology: current and future prospects. Crit Rev Biotechnol 13(2):151–172. doi:10.3109/07388559309040630
- Lind DL, Daniel RM, Cowan DA, Morgan HW (1989) β -Galactosidase from a strain of the anaerobic thermophile, Thermoanaerobacter. Enzyme Microb Technol 11(3):180–186. doi:10.1016/0141-0229(89)90079-3
- Mahoney RR (1997) Lactose: enzymatic modification. In: Fox PF (ed) Advanced dairy chemistry, vol 3. Springer, New York, pp 77–125
- Mai V, Wiegel J (2000) Advances in development of a genetic system for *Thermoanaerobacterium* spp.: expression of genes encoding hydrolytic enzymes, development of a second shuttle vector, and integration of genes into the chromosome. Appl Environ Microbiol 66(11):4817–4821. doi:10.1128/Aem.66.11.4817-4821.2000
- Mai V, Lorenz WW, Wiegel J (1997) Transformation of *Thermoanaerobacterium* sp. strain JW/SL-YS485 with plasmid plKM1 conferring kanamycin resistance. FEMS Microbiol Lett 148(2):163–167. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.1997. tb10283.x
- Nakkharat P, Haltrich D (2006) Lactose hydrolysis and formation of galactooligosaccharides by a novel immobilized beta-galactosidase from the thermophilic fungus *Talaromyces thermophilus*. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 129(1–3):215–225. doi:10.1385/Abab:129:1:215
- Nour-Eldin HH, Hansen BG, Norholm MH, Jensen JK, Halkier BA (2006) Advancing uracil-excision based cloning towards an ideal technique for cloning PCR fragments. Nucleic Acids Res 34(18):e122. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl635
- Ohtsu N, Motoshima H, Goto K, Tsukasaki F, Matsuzawa H (1998) Thermostable beta-galactosidase from an extreme thermophile, *Thermus* sp. A4: enzyme purification and characterization, and gene cloning and sequencing. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 62(8):1539–1545
- Otero JM, Cimini D, Patil KR, Poulsen SG, Olsson L, Nielsen J (2013) Industrial systems biology of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* enables novel succinic acid cell factory. PLoS ONE 8(1):e54144. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054144
- Panesar PS, Panesar R, Singh RS, Kennedy JF, Kumar H (2006) Microbial production, immobilization and applications of β -p-galactosidase. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 81(4):530–543. doi:10.1002/jctb.1453
- Payton MA (1984) Production of ethanol by thermophilic bacteria. Trends Biotechnol 2(6):153–158. doi:10.1016/0167-7799(84)90032-5
- Pessela BCC, Mateo C, Fuentes M, Vian A, García JL, Carrascosa AV, Guisán JM, Fernández-Lafuente R (2003) The immobilization of a thermophilic β-galactosidase on Sepabeads supports decreases product inhibition. Enzyme Microb Technol 33(2–3):199–205. doi:10.1016/ s0141-0229(03)00120-0
- Piatkevich KD, Verkhusha VV (2011) Guide to red fluorescent proteins and biosensors for flow cytometry. Methods Cell Biol 102:431–461. doi:10.1016/ B978-0-12-374912-3.00017-1
- Pogrebnyakov I, Jendresen CB, Nielsen AT (2017) Genetic toolbox for controlled expression of functional proteins in *Geobacillus* spp. PLoS ONE 12(2):e0171313. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171313
- Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular cloning a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York
- Schrogel O, Allmansberger R (1997) Optimisation of the BgaB reporter system: determination of transcriptional regulation of stress responsive genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 153(1):237–243
- Shaikh SA, Khire JM, Khan MI (1999) Characterization of a thermostable extracellular beta-galactosidase from a thermophilic fungus *Rhizomucor* sp. Biochim Biophys Acta 1472(1–2):314–322. doi:10.1016/ S0304-4165(99)00138-5
- Shaw AJ, Podkaminer KK, Desai SG, Bardsley JS, Rogers SR, Thorne PG, Hogsett DA, Lynd LR (2008) Metabolic engineering of a thermophilic bacterium to produce ethanol at high yield. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105(37):13769–13774
- Sheng L, Kovacs K, Winzer K, Zhang Y, Minton NP (2017) Development and implementation of rapid metabolic engineering tools for chemical and fuel production in *Geobacillus thermoglucosidasius* NCIMB 11955. Biotechnol Biofuels 10:5–22
- Stephenson FH (2016) Protein calculations for molecular biology and biotechnology, 3rd edn. Academic Press, Boston, pp 375–429
- Suzuki H, Yoshida K, Ohshima T (2013) Polysaccharide-degrading thermophiles generated by heterologous gene expression in *Geobacillus kaustophilus*

HTA426. Appl Environ Microbiol 79(17):5151–5158. doi:10.1128/ AEM.01506-13

- Taylor MP, Esteban CD, Leak DJ (2008) Development of a versatile shuttle vector for gene expression in *Geobacillus* spp. Plasmid 60(1):45–52. doi:10.1016/j.plasmid.2008.04.001
- Thielges MC, Chung JK, Axup JY, Fayer MD (2011) Influence of histidine tag attachment on picosecond protein dynamics. Biochemistry 50(25):5799– 5805. doi:10.1021/bi2003923
- Ulrich JT, Temple KL, Mcfeters GA (1972) Induction and characterization of beta-galactosidase in an extreme thermophile. J Bacteriol 110(2):691–698
- van der Veen D, Lo J, Brown SD, Johnson CM, Tschaplinski TJ, Martin M, Engle NL, van den Berg RA, Argyros AD, Caiazza NC, Guss AM, Lynd LR (2013) Characterization of *Clostridium thermocellum* strains with disrupted fermentation end-product pathways. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 40(7):725– 734. doi:10.1007/s10295-013-1275-5
- Welsch N, Homuth G, Schweder T (2012) Suitability of different beta-galactosidases as reporter enzymes in *Bacillus subtilis*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 93(1):381–392. doi:10.1007/s00253-011-3645-0

- Wiegel J, Ljungdahl LG (1986) The importance of thermophilic bacteria in biotechnology. Crit Rev Biotechnol 3(1):39–108
- Woolston BM, Edgar S, Stephanopoulos G (2013) Metabolic engineering: past and future. Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng 4:259–288. doi:10.1146/ annurev-chembioeng-061312-103312
- Yanisch-perron C, Vieira J, Messing J (1985) Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33(1):103–119
- Yuan G, Wong SL (1995) Regulation of *groE* expression in *Bacillus subtilis*: the involvement of the sigma A-like promoter and the roles of the inverted repeat sequence (CIRCE). J Bacteriol 177(19):5427–5433
- Zeikus JG, Vieille C, Savchenko A (1998) Thermozymes: biotechnology and structure-function relationships. Extremophiles 2(3):179–183
- Zhang XG, Bremer H (1995) Control of the *Escherichia coli rrnB* P1 promoter strength by ppGpp. J Biol Chem 270(19):11181–11189. doi:10.1074/ jbc.270.19.11181

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com